King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1017/S003329171800346X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329171800346X)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Oginni, O. A., Robinson, E. J., Jones, A. T., Rahman, Q., & Rimes, K. A. (2019). Mediators of Increased Self-Harm and Suicidal Ideation in Sexual Minority Youth: A Longitudinal Study. *Psychological Medicine*, 49(15), 2524-2532. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329171800346X>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Mediators of Increased Self-Harm and Suicidal Ideation in Sexual Minority Youth:
A Longitudinal Study**

by

O. A. Oginni¹, E. J. Robinson², A. Jones³, Q. Rahman³, K. A. Rimes³

¹Social Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London.

²Department of Biostatistics and Health Informatics, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London.

³Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London.

Address for correspondence: Katharine Rimes, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, Department of Psychology, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London, United Kingdom. SE5 8AF. Email: Katharine.Rimes@kcl.ac.uk.

To be published in Psychological Medicine

<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/psychological-medicine>

Abstract

Background. Sexual minority youth have elevated suicidal ideation and self-harm compared to heterosexual young people; however, evidence for mediating mechanisms is predominantly cross-sectional. Using a longitudinal design, we investigated self-esteem and depressive symptoms as mediators of increased rates of suicidal ideation or self-harm among sexual minority youth, and the roles of childhood gender nonconformity (CGN) and sex as moderators of these relationships.

Method. 4274 youth from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) cohort reported sexual orientation at age 15 years, and past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm at age 20 years. Self-esteem and depressive symptoms were assessed at ages 17 and 18 years respectively. CGN was measured at 30-57 months. Covariates included sociodemographic variables and earlier measures of mediator and outcome variables. Mediation pathways were assessed using structural equation modelling.

Results. Sexual minority youth (almost 12% of the sample) were three times more likely than heterosexual youth to report past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm (95% CI: 2.43-3.64) at 20 years. Two mediation pathways were identified: a single mediator pathway involving self-esteem and a multiple-mediated pathway involving self-esteem and depressive symptoms. Although CGN was associated with past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm, it did not moderate any mediation pathways and there was no evidence for moderation by sex.

Conclusions. Lower self-esteem and increased depressive symptoms partly explain the increased risk for later suicidal ideation and self-harm in sexual minority youth. Preventive strategies could include self-esteem-enhancing or protecting interventions, especially in female sexual minority youth, and treatment of depression.

Introduction

Suicidality, ranging from suicidal ideation to completed suicide, is the second leading cause of death among young people (Patton *et al.* 2009). Suicidality is higher among sexual minority (lesbian, gay and bisexual) relative to heterosexual youth (Plöderl & Tremblay, 2015). Sexual minority youth are approximately twice as likely to have suicidal ideation (Jackman *et al.* 2016) or have attempted suicide (King *et al.* 2008; Marshal *et al.* 2011; Salway *et al.* 2018; Miranda-Mendizábal *et al.* 2017). Rates of deliberate self-harm are about three times higher in sexual minority youth (Jackman *et al.* 2016). It has been suggested that higher rates of suicidality and self-harm may be due to sexual minority stress (Meyer, 2003), however existing evidence is largely cross-sectional. A meta-analysis of sexual orientation disparities in youth suicidal behaviour identified only 14 longitudinal studies (Miranda-Mendizábal *et al.* 2017).

Depression is one of the strongest risk factors for suicidality (Bernal *et al.* 2007) and self-harm in the general population (Mars *et al.* 2014). Depression rates are elevated in sexual minority youth (Marshal *et al.* 2011; Plöderl & Tremblay, 2015) and there is evidence of associations between depression, suicidal ideation and self-harm in this group. However, no studies have investigated whether depression mediates the relationship between sexual orientation and suicidality or self-harm in a longitudinal design.

There is increasing focus on how resilience factors may help protect sexual minority youth against the increased risk of adverse mental health outcomes (Russell & Fish, 2016). In general population studies, higher self-esteem is a resilience factor associated with lower suicidal ideation and self-harm (Jang *et al.* 2014). While low self-esteem can be a symptom of depression, a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies gave stronger evidence for a protective effect of high self-esteem against depression rather than vice versa (Sowislo & Orth, 2013). Self-esteem is lower among sexual minority compared to heterosexual youth (Coulter *et al.*

2016), possibly reflecting minority stress effects. However, to the authors' knowledge, no previous longitudinal studies have investigated self-esteem as a mediator in the relationships between sexual orientation and depression, suicidal ideation and self-harm.

These proposed mediation relationships may be moderated by other factors. For example, in general population studies, compared to boys, adolescent girls have lower self-esteem (Bleidorn *et al.* 2016), greater depression, suicidal ideation and self-harm (Mars *et al.* 2014). However, discrepancies in depression and self-harm between sexual minority youth relative to heterosexual youth are greater among males than females (Plöderl & Tremblay, 2015), while discrepancies in self-esteem are greater in females (Yean *et al.* 2013). Therefore, sex may moderate the potential relationships between self-esteem, depression and suicidality in sexual minority populations.

Another possible moderator is childhood gender nonconformity (CGN), which is longitudinally associated with increased rates of subsequent minority sexual orientation (Li *et al.* 2017). Gender nonconformity is also associated with higher rates of adverse mental health outcomes including suicidality (Plöderl & Fartacek, 2009; Roberts *et al.* 2013). There is some evidence that sexual minority individuals who had higher CGN have increased rates of mental illness than sexual minority adults who were less gender nonconforming (Alanko *et al.* 2009). This suggests that CGN may serve as another moderator of the relationship between sexual orientation and mental illness. However, considering that most studies of CGN have used retrospective assessments, which are open to biases; future research is required where CGN is assessed prospectively.

A more thorough understanding of the interplay of resilience and risk factors in explaining the increased suicidality among sexual minority youth is important to inform preventive and therapeutic efforts. The objectives of this study were to use a longitudinal design in a population cohort to investigate whether minority sexual orientation reported at

15 years was associated with higher rates of past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm (SISH) at 20 years. This study tested mediation models in which a) self-esteem at 17 years mediates the relationships between sexual orientation and depressive symptoms at 18 years, and SISH at 20 years and b) depressive symptoms mediate the relationships between sexual orientation and self-esteem, and SISH at 20 years; and investigated prospectively-assessed childhood gender-nonconformity and sex as moderators of the mediation relationships.

Methods

Participants

The study sample was derived from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) cohort in which participants were enrolled in-utero and during childhood. All pregnant women in the former County of Avon in South West England with expected delivery dates ranging between April 1 1991 and December 31 1992 were eligible to participate. The total sample size was derived from 15,247 pregnancies, which resulted in 15,458 fetuses. Of this total sample, 14,775 were live births and 14,701 were alive at 1 year of age. The sex distribution of the cohort was similar to the general population; however, attrition was associated with male sex, non-white ethnicity and lower household income (Boyd *et al.* 2012). The analyses presented involve 4,274 individuals who completed questions on suicidal thoughts or self-harm at 20 years. The study website contains details of the data that is available through a fully searchable data dictionary:

<http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary/>

Procedure

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee (see www.alspac.bris.ac.uk) and King's College Research Ethics Committee (Ref. PN/14/15-67). Informed written consent was initially gained from pregnant mothers; informed assent was gained from children at subsequent clinic assessments. After age 18

years, written informed consent was obtained. Questionnaires were administered to the children's mothers to elicit socio-demographic information such as socioeconomic status and ethnicity, and childhood gender nonconformity. Questionnaires were subsequently completed by the children as they grew older. Data were collected every one to two years (Boyd *et al.*, 2012).

Predictor variable

Sexual orientation was assessed at age 15 years through a single question asking participants to describe their sexuality with a choice of responses. Responses ranged from 1 (100% heterosexual), through 2 (mostly heterosexual, but also attracted to own sex), 3 (bisexual), 4 (mostly homosexual, but also attracted to opposite sex), to 5 (100% homosexual). Based on the 2,886 completed responses to this question, 2,551 (88.4%) identified as 100% heterosexual, 270 (9.4%) as mostly heterosexual, 47 (1.6%) as bisexual, 10 (0.3%) as mostly homosexual and 8 (0.3%) as 100% homosexual. Fifty-four participants who were not sure of their sexual orientation or were not attracted to either sex, were excluded from analyses because we had no a priori predictions about the relationships between these sexual orientations and the other variables in this study. Missingness was 32.5%. Due to the small sample size, participants were categorised into Heterosexual (100% heterosexual) and Sexual minority (responses other than 100% heterosexual) groups.

Outcome variable

The outcome variable was a composite past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm (SISH) variable at age 20 years. Past-year suicidal ideation and past-year self-harm were assessed using questions derived from the Child and Adolescent Self-harm in Europe (CASE) study (Madge *et al.* 2008). Participants with suicidal ideation were those who either had wished they were 'dead and away from it all' or who had 'thought of killing themselves even if they would not do it' within the previous year. Participants who reported having hurt themselves

on purpose within the past year were categorised as having past-year self-harm. Participants who reported either suicidal ideation or self-harm were categorised as having suicidal ideation or self-harm (SISH) and were coded as '1' while those who reported neither suicidal ideation nor self-harm were coded as '0'. Suicidal ideation and self-harm were combined to simplify the analytic model following preliminary analyses showing that the direct and indirect effects were similar for both variables as individual outcomes (see Appendix 3). Creating a composite variable is also consistent with the conceptualisation of self-harm and suicidality as being on a spectrum of self-injurious thoughts and behaviours based on the consistent association between suicidality and self-harm and their shared risk factors (Hamza *et al.* 2012).

Mediator variables

Self-esteem was assessed at 17 years using the Bachman revision of the Rosenberg's Self-Esteem Scale which was revised for adolescents (Bachman, 1970). This 10-item self-report scale is scored on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (Strongly disagree) to 3 (Strongly agree). Higher scores indicate higher self-esteem. It has a good internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.80$) (Ranzijn *et al.* 1998). Missingness was 34.4%.

Depressive symptoms were assessed at 18 years using the 13-item Short Mood and Feelings questionnaire (SMFQ) (Angold, Costello, Messer, & Pickle, 1995). The questions were scored on a Likert scale ranging from 0 (Not true), through 1 (Somewhat true), to 2 (True). It has been validated for adolescents with an internal consistency of 0.87 (Kuo *et al.* 2005). Missingness was 42.0%.

Moderators

Sex of the child was reported by the mother or healthcare providers. Childhood gender nonconformity was assessed three times by maternal report when the child was aged 30, 42 and 57 months using the Pre-School Activities Inventory (PSAI) (Golombok & Rust,

1993). The PSAI consists of 24 items describing stereotypical gender-specific play, activities and other child characteristics. Twelve items each indicated female- and male-typical behaviours respectively. Responses were scored on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Never) to 5 (Very often). Responses were scored and transformed as recommended by the authors. An average of the three PSAI scores was derived, and this average was standardised so that the means for girls and boys were both 0. The male standardised scores were multiplied by -1, so that higher scores for both male and female participants indicated higher childhood gender nonconformity. Missingness was 12.4%.

Covariates

Socioeconomic status was assessed via two questions about the mothers' occupation and the highest level of education at gestational ages of 18 and 32 weeks respectively. Missingness rates were 17.2% and 6.9% respectively. Occupations were categorised into Skilled/Managerial/Professional jobs; and Partly skilled/Unskilled (Dale & Marsh, 1993). Ethnicity of participants was assessed via a question about the race or ethnic group of the participants' parents at 32 weeks' gestation. Responses were categorised into White (both parents) versus non-White (one or both parents) due to the small number of non-White participants. Missingness was 8.3%. Earlier assessments of self-esteem, depressive symptoms and SISH were also included as covariates. Self-esteem at 13 years was measured using the Self-Image Profile for Children (Butler, 2001), which consists of 25 items each rated on a 5-point Likert scale; missingness was 19.7%. Depressive symptoms and SISH were assessed at 16 years using instruments previously described; missingness rates were 25.0% and 23.9% respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Analyses were conducted using STATA version 14.0 (StataCorp, 2015). Univariate logistic regression analyses were carried out to examine the respective associations between sexual orientation and SISH, and all other variables.

An initial structural equation model was executed with SISH as the outcome variable, adjusting for socioeconomic status and ethnicity (Figure 1). A second model was run in which adjustments were made for earlier measures of self-esteem, depression and SISH. In a third model, childhood gender nonconformity was then included as a moderator. A fourth model was run as model 2 but with sex included as a moderator. The proportion of the total effect of sexual orientation on the combined self-harm/suicidal ideation outcome mediated in each model was derived as a ratio of indirect to total effect, expressed as a percentage. Indirect effects were derived as the difference between the total and direct effects. One thousand bootstrap cycles were carried out to derive standard errors and bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals and to determine the statistical significance of the indirect effects and the proportions mediated. Bootstrapping was carried out after imputation as recommended by Schomaker and Heumann (2018).

Imputation

Imputation of missing data was necessary due to attrition, a recognised difficulty with longitudinal data. This was carried out using multiple imputation by chained equations (MICE). Seventy imputations were carried out as determined by the proportion of missing data. Preliminary analyses revealed the pattern of missingness to be missing at random (MAR) which is a required assumption for MICE (White *et al.* 2011). Covariates (maternal education, maternal occupation, ethnicity and earlier measures of self-esteem, depressive symptoms, SISH) were included in the imputation model to enhance the likelihood of the MAR assumption and facilitate the estimation of missing data (White *et al.* 2011). Following

imputation, cases with imputed SISH at 20 years were excluded; and inferential analyses were based on the 4274 cases with non-missing outcomes.

Results reported are for analyses carried out on imputed data and reported estimates were derived from each of the 70 imputations by applying Rubin's rules (Little & Rubin, 2002). The same analyses were repeated on complete-case (non-imputed) data and findings were similar. The Monte Carlo error of each estimate derived from analyses was less than 0.1 of the standard error of the corresponding estimate. These indicate that the imputation was adequate.

Results

Description of the study sample

The majority (83.45%) of the study participants' mothers had skilled, managerial or professional occupations, and 80.0% of mothers had at least one academic qualification taken at age 16 years. Four percent of the young people were non-White and 11.8% were categorised as sexual minority. The mean self-esteem score at age 13 years was 87.0 (S.D. = 9.54). The mean self-esteem score at age 17 years was 28.3 (S.D. = 7.85). The mean depressive symptoms score at 16 years was 5.9 (S.D. = 6.54); while at 18 years, it was 6.8 (S.D. = 6.54). At ages 16 and 20 years respectively, 20.2% and 18.7% of the participants reported past-year SISH.

Direct associations with sexual orientation

Associations between sexual orientation and the study variables are shown in Table 1. Female participants were more likely to report sexual minority status, but there were no significant relationships between sexual orientation and the other socio-demographic variables. Sexual minority participants had significantly higher standardised mean childhood gender nonconformity scores compared to heterosexual participants. Sexual minority youth also reported significantly lower self-esteem scores at ages 13 years and 17 years; and

significantly higher scores for depressive symptoms at ages 16 years and 18 years. Sexual minority status was also significantly associated with increased risk of past-year SISH at 16 years and 20 years.

---Table 1---

Associations between predictor, mediator, moderator and confounding variables with past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm at 20 years.

Univariate associations between predictor, mediator, moderator and confounder variables with SISH are shown in Table 2. Female participants were significantly more likely to report SISH at 20 years. Participants whose mothers had unskilled/partly skilled jobs and those who were ethnic minority were also significantly more likely to report past-year SISH at 20 years. Past-year SISH at 20 years was also significantly associated with prior past-year SISH at 16 years, higher gender nonconformity scores in childhood, lower self-esteem scores at 13 and 17 years, and higher depression scores at 16 and 18 years.

---Table 2---

Mediation pathways for past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm at 20 years.

Model 1: In the first structural equation model, adjusted for maternal education, maternal occupation and ethnicity, all the mediation pathways were statistically significant (see Appendix 2). Three mediation pathways were identified: i. a single mediator pathway in which lower self-esteem mediated the relationship between sexual minority status and the increased likelihood of later SISH; ii. a single mediator pathway in which higher depressive symptomatology mediated the relationship between sexual minority status and the increased likelihood of later SISH; and iii. a multiple mediated pathway in which minority sexual orientation was associated with lower self-esteem at 17 years; lower self-esteem was associated with more depressive symptomatology at 18 years which was in turn was associated with increased rates of SISH at 20 years. The proportion of the effect of sexual

orientation on SISH mediated in the first model was 19.3% and was statistically significant (Table 3).

Model 2: When earlier measures of self-esteem, depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation were adjusted for in the second model (Figure 1), the single mediation pathway involving depressive symptoms was no longer statistically significant. The proportion mediated reduced to 10.4% but remained statistically significant.

Model 3: None of the pathways were significantly moderated by childhood gender nonconformity (see Appendix 2), however, the proportion mediated increased to 13.1%.

Model 4: The proportion mediated reduced to 4.6% and was no longer statistically significant, however, the pathway between self-esteem and depressive symptoms was significantly moderated by sex such that the relationship between low self-esteem and depressive symptoms was stronger in female participants (see Appendix 2).

---Figure 1---

---Table 3---

Discussion

Associations between sexual orientation and adverse outcomes

The current findings extend previous research by providing longitudinal evidence from a population-based birth cohort study for higher rates of adverse mental health outcomes across a key developmental period in sexual minority youth. Sexual minority youth reported significantly lower levels of self-esteem at 13 and 17 years, higher levels of depressive symptomatology at 16 and 18 years, and higher levels of past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm at 16 and 20 years. These findings are in keeping with research involving mainly non-UK samples (Wichstrøm & Hegna, 2003; King *et al.* 2008; Yean *et al.* 2013; Plöderl & Tremblay, 2015; Jackman *et al.* 2016; Coulter *et al.* 2016). The presence of self-esteem disparities at age 13 years raises the possibility that precursors to later self-harm

and suicidality may start even earlier in childhood (La Roi *et al.* 2016) and prevention strategies may need to begin at younger ages.

These findings indicate that elevated rates of depression, self-harm and suicidality in young people continue despite the increasing societal acceptance of same-sex attraction. The ongoing disparities may partly reflect the fact that young people are coming out at earlier ages (Russell & Fish, 2016). Thus, they may be exposed earlier to stigma and victimisation, which although decreasing at the population-level, may continue to affect at-risk individuals or influence mental health in age-dependent manner (Russell and Fish, 2016). Some individuals are likely to experience challenging peer dynamics and familial stigma against minority sexualities despite wider social or structural changes.

The proportion of participants identifying as sexual minorities is higher than previous studies (e.g. Coulter *et al.* 2016) and this is due to 9% identifying as ‘mostly heterosexual’. This may reflect the increasing societal tolerance for same-sex attractions (Russell & Fish, 2016), and the instability of sexual identity during adolescence (Savin-Williams & Ream, 2007).

Mediation of the relationship between sexual minority status and suicidal ideation or self-harm

Importantly, this study indicates possible mechanisms for increased suicidal ideation and self-harm among sexual minority youth. In the first pathway, lower self-esteem mediated the relationship between sexual minority status and the increased likelihood of SISH. This contrasts with previous negative findings (Wichstrøm & Hegna, 2003; Rosario *et al.* 2005), which may be due to the smaller sample sizes utilised and shorter duration of follow-up (Rosario *et al.* 2005).

The second pathway was a multiple mediated pathway in which sexual minority status was initially associated with lower self-esteem at 17 years, which was associated with

increased depression at 18 years, and which was in turn associated with increased SISH at 20 years. This finding is in keeping with previous evidence of significant associations between self-esteem and depression (Sowislo & Orth, 2013), depression and suicidal ideation (Bernal *et al.* 2007), and depression and self-harm (Jackman *et al.* 2016) in both general and sexual minority samples. Our finding extends research by demonstrating how mediation relationships between a protective factor (self-esteem) and a risk factor (depression) partly explain increased suicidal ideation or self-harm among sexual minority youth. Although low self-esteem can be a symptom of depression, this mediation pathway remained significant after controlling for earlier measures of self-esteem and depression. This suggests that the risk associated with low self-esteem is not entirely resulting from depression. Controlling for earlier measures of self-esteem, depressive symptoms and SISH attenuated the proportion mediated by the later assessments. This indicates that while earlier-onset mental health disparities have sustained effects in increasing the risk for later suicidality and self-harm, ongoing mental health problems are independently associated with increased risk for suicidality and self-harm in sexual minority youth. This highlights the need to recognise the mental health needs of sexual minority youth at different stages of development.

The finding that self-esteem mediated suicidal ideation and self-harm, both on its own and via depressive symptomatology, highlights the importance of considering this factor as a possible mediator for other mental health disparities between sexual minority and heterosexual youth. This is consistent with minority stress theory (Meyer, 1995) which suggests that one mechanism by which societal stigma against sexual minorities negatively impacts on mental health is by internalisation of negative attitudes resulting in lower self-esteem.

Sex differences

Consistent with previous research (Wichstrøm & Hegna, 2003; Fox *et al.* 2015), females had higher rates of suicidal ideation or self-harm. Our findings extend the results from a cross-sectional study (Grossman & Kerner, 1998) by providing longitudinal evidence for a stronger relationship between depression and self-esteem in female sexual minority youth. However, introducing sex as a moderator of the mediation framework led to loss of significance in the mediation pathways and a substantial reduction in the proportion mediated. Moderation by sex divides the sample into male and female, meaning that it is possible the loss in significance is due to less statistical power to detect the moderation effects of sex in this multivariable mediation framework. Future studies may benefit from utilising even larger samples to investigate these effects. More studies are needed to investigate sex differences in the risk for suicidality and self-harm among sexual minority youth.

Effects of childhood gender nonconformity

Consistent with previous findings (Plöderl & Fartacek, 2009; Roberts *et al.* 2013; Jones *et al.* 2017), the present study found that childhood gender nonconformity (CGN) was prospectively associated with later depression and low self-esteem in adolescence and suicidal ideation and self-harm in early adulthood. This is the first study to demonstrate these relationships longitudinally. These associations may indicate the effects of earlier minority stress factors such as bullying. Considering the associations between CGN and later sexual minority status and later adverse mental health sequelae demonstrated in this study, CGN may represent an even earlier indicator of suicidality and self-harm in sexual minority youth. However, it did not significantly moderate the mediation pathways between sexual orientation and SISH. While the proportion mediated increased when CGN was included as a moderator in the mediation model, this negative finding may also indicate the need for a larger sample size to increase the power to detect significant moderated mediation effects.

The prospective assessment of CGN in the present study also meant that it was not affected by possible biases introduced by recall during adulthood including the effects of low mood, knowledge of psychopathology experiences and sexual orientation-related factors.

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this study include its large sample size, longitudinal design and the inclusion of a risk and a protective factor in the analytic framework. The prospective and repeated assessments of childhood gender nonconformity improved accuracy and avoided recall bias. However, the following limitations need to be considered. Although a longitudinal design was utilised, the significant relationships found in this study do not prove causation. More longitudinal and intervention studies are required to further investigate mechanisms including other minority stress factors and coping responses such as rumination (Timmins, Rimes & Rahman, 2018). The birth cohort was recruited from one county in the UK and may not be representative of youth in other geographical regions. It is also possible that some sexual minority participants concealed their sexual orientation, which may result in under-estimating the magnitude of the effects of sexual minority status. Alternatively, some heterosexual participants may also mischievously indicate a sexual minority identity. This misclassification bias may be potentially worsened by the instability of self-reported sexual orientation during adolescence (Savin-Williams & Reams, 2007). Participants who were uncertain about their sexual orientation were not included and future studies among sexual minority adolescents would be enhanced by repeated assessments of sexual orientation. Asexual participants were also excluded and these individuals need further research in their own right. The aggregation of separate sexual minority groups due to sample size considerations in this study may mask differing mediation pathways for each group. Recent evidence suggests that bisexual and ‘mostly heterosexual’ youth may have distinct risk

profiles for adverse mental health outcomes and require investigation as separate groups (Salway *et al.* 2018).

Implications

Suicide and self-harm risk assessments should include consideration of sexual orientation. A meta-analysis found a significant association between communication of suicidal intent and subsequent suicide (Pompili *et al.* 2016), suggesting that expressions of suicidal thoughts should be further explored to ascertain the extent of risk. Those working with sexual minority youth should be aware that low self-esteem and depressive symptoms may be risk indicators for both suicidal ideation and self-harm in this group, especially females, and may indicate individuals who should be targeted for preventive interventions. The roles of peers and teachers are particularly important in the light of evidence that lower social support and inadequate teacher responses to sexual minority stigma are associated with increased suicidality in sexual minority youth (Rimes *et al.*, 2018; Plöderl, Faistauer & Fartacek, 2010). Our findings reinforce pilot interventions aimed at increasing self-esteem in sexual minority youth (Craig *et al.* 2012). Targeting self-esteem may reduce the likelihood of suicidal ideation and self-harm directly, and indirectly by attenuating the likelihood of mediating factors such as depression. Our findings also suggest early identification and treatment of depression in sexual minority youth as preventive interventions against both outcomes. The direct relationships between childhood gender nonconformity and depression, low self-esteem, suicidal ideation and self-harm also identify this characteristic as an early indicator of risk for adverse mental health outcomes later in life. In addition to individual-level interventions, the societal stigma that is likely to be contributing to lower self-esteem and greater depression in sexual minority people needs to be addressed at a wider level. For example, protective legislation and healthcare, educational and workplace policies regarding discrimination and bullying should be enforced (Hatzenbuehler & Keyes, 2013).

Conclusions

Understanding the factors contributing to the elevated rates of suicidal ideation and self-harm in sexual minority youth is crucial to reducing these inequalities. Our findings provide prospective evidence that low self-esteem and depressive symptoms mediate part of the increased risk and future research should investigate the impact of targeting these factors. Gender nonconformity in early childhood was associated with later suicidality and self-harm, indicating that gender nonconforming children may require extra support.

Acknowledgements: We thank the anonymous reviewers for their feedback. The authors are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them; and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses. The UK Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust (Grant ref: 102215/2/13/2) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. OAO was supported by Chevening Scholarships, the UK government's global scholarship programme, funded by the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) and partner organisations.

Financial Support: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest: None.

Ethical standards: The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

References

- Alanko K, Santtila P, Witting K, Varjonen M, Jern P, Johansson A, von der Pahlen B, Sandnabba NK** (2009). Psychiatric symptoms and same-sex sexual attraction and behavior in light of childhood gender atypical behavior and parental relationships. *The Journal of Sex Research* **46**, 494-504.
- Angold A, Costello EJ, Messer SC, Pickles A** (1995). Development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in children and adolescents. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* **5**, 237-249.
- Bachman JG** (1970). *The Impact of Family Background and Intelligence on Tenth-Grade Boys. Youth in Transition. Volume II*. Braun-Brumfield, Inc.: Washington, DC.
- Bernal M, Haro JM, Bernert S, Brugha T, de Graaf R, Bruffaerts R, Lépine JP, de Girolamo G, Vilagut G, Gasquet I, Torres JV, Kovess V, Heider D, Neeleman J, Kessler R, Alonso J, the ESEMED/MHEDEA investigators** (2007). Risk factors for suicidality in Europe: Results from the ESEMED study. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **101**, 27-34.
- Bleidorn W, Arslan RC, Denissen JJA, Rentfrow PJ, Gebauer JE, Potter J, Gosling SD** (2016). Age and gender differences in self-esteem - A cross-cultural window. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **111**, 396-410.
- Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, Molloy L, Ness A, Ring S, Smith GD** (2012). Cohort profile: the ‘children of the 90s’—the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **42**, 111-127.
- Butler RJ** (2001). *Self-image profiles: Manual*. Pearson Assessment: Oxford.

Coulter RW, Herrick A, Friedman MR, Stall RD (2016). Sexual-orientation differences in Positive Youth Development: the mediational role of bullying victimization. *American Journal of Public Health* **106**, 691-697.

Craig SL, McInroy L, Austin A, Smith M, Engle B (2012). Promoting self-efficacy and self-esteem for multiethnic sexual minority youth: An evidence-informed intervention. *Journal of Social Service Research* **38**, 688-698.

Dale A, Marsh C (1993). *The 1991 census user's guide*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office: London.

Fox KR, Franklin JC, Ribeiro JD, Kleiman EM, Bentley KH, Nock MK (2015). Meta-analysis of risk factors for nonsuicidal self-injury. *Clinical Psychology Review* **42**, 156–167.

Golombok S, Rust J (1993). The Pre-School Activities Inventory: A standardized assessment of gender role in children. *Psychological Assessment* **5**, 131-136.

Grossman AH, Kerner MS (1998). Self-esteem and supportiveness as predictors of emotional distress in gay male and lesbian youth. *Journal of Homosexuality* **35**, 25-39.

Hamza AC, Stewart SL, Willoughby T (2012). Examining the link between nonsuicidal self-injury and suicidal behavior: A review of the literature and an integrated model. *Clinical Psychology Review* **32**, 482-495.

Hatzenbuehler ML, Keyes, KM (2013). Inclusive anti-bullying policies and reduced risk of suicide attempts in lesbian and gay youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health* **53**, S21-S26.

Jackman K, Honig J, Bockting W (2016). Nonsuicidal self-injury among lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender populations: An integrative review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* **25**, 3438-3453.

Jang J, Park J, Oh K, Lee K, Kim MS, Yoon M, Ko S, Cho H, Chung Y (2014).

Predictors of suicidal ideation in a community sample: Roles of anger, self-esteem, and depression. *Psychiatry Research* **216**, 74-81.

Jones A, Robinson E, Oginni O, Rahman Q, Rimes KA (2017). Anxiety disorders, gender nonconformity, bullying and self-esteem in sexual minority adolescents: Prospective birth cohort study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **58**, 1201-1209.

King M, Semlyen J, Tai SS, Killaspy H, Osborn D, Popelyuk D, Nazareth I (2008). A systematic review of mental disorder, suicide, and deliberate self-harm in lesbian, gay and bisexual people. *BioMed Central Psychiatry* **8**, 1.

Kuo ES, Stoep AV, Stewart DG (2005). Using the short mood and feelings questionnaire to detect depression in detained adolescents. *Assessment* **12**, 374-383.

La Roi C, Kretschmer T, Dijkstra JK, Veenstra R, Oldehinkel AJ (2016). Disparities in depressive symptoms between heterosexual and lesbian, gay and bisexual youth in a Dutch cohort: TRAILS study. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* **45**, 440-456.

Li G, Kung KT, Hines M (2017). Childhood gender-typed behavior and adolescent sexual orientation: A longitudinal population-based study. *Developmental Psychology* **53**, 764-777.

Little RJ, Rubin DB (2002). *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc.: New Jersey.

Madge N, Hewitt A, Hawton K, de Wilde EJ, Corcoran P, Fekete S, van Heeringen K, De Leo D, Ystgaard M (2008). Deliberate self-harm within an international community sample of young people: comparative findings from the Child & Adolescent Self-harm in Europe (CASE) Study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **49**, 667-677.

- Mars B, Heron J, Crane C, Hawton K, Kidger J, Lewis G, Macleod J, Tilling K, Gunnell D** (2014). Differences in risk factors for self-harm with and without suicidal intent: Findings from the ALSPAC cohort. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **168**, 407-414.
- Marshal MP, Dietz LJ, Friedman MS, Stall R, Smith HA, McGinley J, Thoma BC, Murray PJ, D'Augelli AR, Brent DA** (2011). Suicidality and depression disparities between sexual minority and heterosexual youth: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Adolescent Health* **49**, 115-123.
- Meyer IH** (1995). Minority stress and mental health in gay men. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* **36**, 38-56.
- Miranda-Mendizábal A, Castellví P, Parés-Badell O, Almenara J, Alonso I, Blasco MJ, Cebrià A, Gabilondo A, Gili M, Lagares C, Piqueras JA, Roca M, Rodríguez-Marín J, Rodríguez-Jiménez T, Soto-Sanz V, Vilagut G, Alonso J** (2017). Sexual orientation and suicidal behaviour in adolescents and young adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **211**, 77-87.
- Patton GC, Coffey C, Sawyer SM, Viner RM, Haller DM, Bose K, Vos T, Ferguson J, Mathers CD** (2009). Global patterns of mortality in young people: a systematic analysis of population health data. *Lancet* **374**, 881-892.
- Plöderl M, Faistauer G, Fartacek R** (2010). The contribution of schools to the feeling of acceptance and the risk of suicide attempts among Austrian gay and bisexual males. *Journal of Homosexuality* **57**, 819-841.
- Plöderl M, Fartacek R** (2009). Childhood gender nonconformity and harassment as predictors of suicidality among gay, lesbian, bisexual, and heterosexual Austrians. *Archives of Sexual Behavior* **38**, 400-410.

Plöderl M, Tremblay P (2015). Mental health of sexual minorities. A systematic review. *International Review of Psychiatry* **27**, 367-385.

Pompili M, Murri MB, Patti S, Innamorati M, Lester D, Girardi P, Amore M (2016). The communication of suicidal intentions: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine* **46**, 2239-2253.

Ranzijn R, Keeves J, Luszcz M, Feather N (1998). The role of self-perceived usefulness and competence in the self-esteem of elderly adults: Confirmatory factor analyses of the Bachman revision of Rosenberg's Self-Esteem Scale. *Journal of Gerontology: Series B* **53**, 96-104.

Rimes KA, Ussher G, Baker D, Rahman Q, West E (2018). Psychosocial factors associated with suicide attempts, ideation and future risk to lesbian, gay and bisexual youth: The Youth Chances Study. *Crisis* (<https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000527>).

Roberts AL, Rosario M, Slopen N, Calzo JP, Austin SB (2013). Childhood gender nonconformity, bullying victimization, and depressive symptoms across adolescence and early adulthood: An 11-year longitudinal study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* **52**, 143-152.

Rosario M, Schrimshaw EW, Hunter J (2005). Psychological distress following suicidality among gay, lesbian, and bisexual youths: Role of social relationships. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* **34**, 149-161.

Russell ST, Fish JN (2016). Mental health in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) youth. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* **12**, 465-487.

Salway T, Ross LE, Fehr CP, Burley J, Asadi S, Hawkins B, Tarasoff LA (2018). A systematic review and meta-analysis of disparities in the prevalence of suicide ideation and

attempt among bisexual populations. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*

(<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-018-1150-6>).

Savin-Williams RC, Ream GL (2007). Prevalence and stability of sexual orientation components during adolescence and young adulthood. *Archives of Sexual Behaviour* **36**, 385-394.

Schomaker M, Heumann C (2018). Bootstrap inference when using multiple imputation. *Statistics in Medicine* **37**, 2252-2266.

Sowislo JF, Orth U (2013). Does low self-esteem predict depression and anxiety? A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin* **139**, 213-240.

Timmins L, Rimes KA, Rahman Q (2018). Minority stressors, rumination, and psychological distress in monozygotic twins discordant for sexual minority status. *Psychological Medicine* **48**, 1705-1712.

White IR, Royston P, Wood AM (2011). Multiple imputation using chained equations: issues and guidance for practice. *Statistics in Medicine* **30**, 377-399.

Wichstrøm L, Hegna K (2003). Sexual orientation and suicide attempt: a longitudinal study of the general Norwegian adolescent population. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **112**, 144-151.

Yean C, Benau EM, Dakanalis A, Hormes JM, Perone J, Timko CA (2013). The relationship of sex and sexual orientation to self-esteem, body shape satisfaction, and eating disorder symptomatology. *Frontiers in Psychology* **4**, 1.

Table 1: Univariate associations between sexual orientation and other study variables (covariates, mediators, moderators and outcome).

Variable		Sexual orientation (15 years)				Odds Ratio	95% CI	
		Heterosexual (ref)		Sexual Minority				
		n=3770	%	n=504	%			
Mother’s occupation	Skilled/managerial/ Professional (ref)	3156	83.7	410	81.3	1.18	0.93	1.50
	Partly skilled/ unskilled	614	16.3	94	18.7			
Mother’s educational qualifications	No qualifications (ref)	446	11.8	70	13.9	0.96	0.82	1.11
	Vocational	307	8.1	32	6.3			
	“O” Level (16 years)	1272	33.7	154	30.6			
	“A” Level (18 years)	1031	27.3	140	27.8			
	Degree	714	18.9	108	21.4			
Ethnicity	White (ref)	3622	96.1	480	95.2	1.22	0.79	1.90
	Non-white	148	3.9	24	4.8			
Sex	Male (ref)	1503	39.9	164	32.5	**1.37	1.13	1.67
	Female	2267	60.1	340	67.5			
Past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm (16 years)	No (ref)	3102	82.3	308	61.1	***2.96	2.43	3.60
	Yes	668	17.7	196	38.9			
Past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm (20 years)	No (ref)	3155	83.7	319	63.3	***2.98	2.43	3.64
	Yes	615	16.3	185	36.7			
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Cohen’s d	95% CI	
Childhood gender nonconformity (24-57 months)		0.0	1.02	0.33	1.22	***0.31	0.24	0.39
Self-esteem (13 years)		87.5	9.39	83.4	11.26	***-0.41	-0.50	-0.32
Self-esteem (17 years)		28.5	6.80	26.6	9.55	***-0.25	-0.34	-0.16
Depressive symptoms (16 years)		5.6	5.65	8.7	8.49	***0.49	0.40	0.59
Depressive symptoms (18 years)		6.6	6.89	8.9	8.73	***0.30	0.21	0.40

Note. ref: reference category.

*significant at $p < 0.05$; **significant at $p < 0.01$; ***significant at $p < 0.001$

Table 2: Univariate associations between past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm and predictor, mediator and confounding variables.

Variables		Past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm at 20 years							
		No (ref)		Yes		Odds Ratio	95% CI		
		n=3475	%	n=799	%				
Mother's occupation	High (ref)	2920	84.0	646	80.9	*1.25	1.02	1.52	
	Low	555	16.0	153	19.1				
Mother's educational qualifications	No qualifications (ref)	418	12.0	100	12.5	0.96	0.85	1.09	
	Vocational	275	7.9	59	7.4				
	“O” Level	1172	33.7	255	31.9				
	“A” Level	946	27.2	223	27.9				
	Degree	664	19.1	162	20.3				
Ethnicity	White (ref)	3351	96.4	751	94.0	**1.73	1.23	2.43	
	Non-White	124	3.6	48	6.0				
Sex	Male (ref)	1435	41.3	232	29.0	***1.72	1.45	2.03	
	Female	2040	58.7	567	71.0				
Past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm (16 years)	No (ref)	2986	85.9	424	53.1	***5.40	4.56	6.39	
	Yes	489	14.1	375	46.9				
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Cohen's d			
Childhood gender nonconformity (24-57 months)		0.0	1.06	0.2	1.13	**0.13	0.05	0.21	
Self-esteem (13 years)		87.8	9.76	83.6	10.02	***-0.43	-0.51	-0.36	
Self-esteem (17 years)		29.1	6.75	24.5	8.16	***-0.65	-0.73	-0.58	
Depressive symptoms (16 years)		5.2	5.41	9.2	7.68	***0.68	0.60	0.76	
Depressive symptoms (18 years)		5.9	7.10	10.9	8.73	***0.66	0.58	0.74	

Note.; **ref**: reference category.

*significant at $p<0.05$; **significant at $p<0.01$; *** significant at $p<0.001$.

Table 3: Total, direct and indirect effects of sexual orientation on past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm at age 20 (outcome) through self-esteem at 17 and depression at age 18 (mediators).

Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4		<div>^aIndirect effect was computed as total effect minus direct effect;</div> <div>^bEffect proportion mediated was computed as the ratio of the indirect effect to the total effect expressed as a percentage.</div> <div>Model 1 - Adjusted for maternal education, maternal occupation and ethnicity;</div> <div>Model 2 – As in model 1, but also adjusted for earlier measures of self-esteem, depression and suicidal ideation;</div>				
Coef (SE)	95% CI	Coef (SE)	95% CI	Coef (SE)	95% CI	Coef (SE)	95% CI					
Total effect	***1.08 (0.09)	0.91	1.25	***0.80 (0.09)	0.61	0.98	***0.80 (0.09)	0.61	0.97	***0.80 (0.09)	0.61	0.97
Direct effect	***0.87 (0.10)	0.68	1.05	***0.72 (0.10)	0.52	0.90	***0.70 (0.10)	0.48	0.88	***0.77 (0.18)	0.42	1.10
Indirect effect ^a	***0.21 (0.04)	0.14	0.28	**0.08 (0.03)	0.03	0.13	**0.10 (0.04)	0.03	0.19	0.04 (0.15)	-0.25	0.34
Effect proportion mediated in % ^b	***19.27 (3.81)	12.51	27.59	**10.43 (3.86)	3.21	18.49	*13.05 (5.47)	3.90	25.39	4.60 (18.86)	-30.72	43.16

Model 3 – As in Model 2, but also adjusted for interaction with childhood gender nonconformity;

Model 4 – As in Model 2, but also adjusted for interaction with sex.

Note. *significant at $p < 0.05$; **significant at $p < 0.01$; *** significant at $p < 0.001$.

Figure 1: Coefficients for paths in structural equation models for past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm at 20 years. Model adjusted for sociodemographic variables and earlier measures of mediator and outcome variables (Model 2). Solid lines indicate significant paths while broken lines indicate non-significant paths; weight of the lines corresponds to size of the path coefficients. *p significant at $<.05$, $^{**}p$ significant at <0.01 , $^{***}p$ significant at <0.001 .

APPENDIX 1: Table showing bivariate correlations between study variables (unimputed data) – Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation coefficients

	1. Sex ^{a‡}	2. Mother’s highest education ^b ‡	3. Mother’s occupation ^c ‡	4. Ethnicity ^d ‡	5. Childhood gender nonconformity	6. Self- esteem (13 years)	7. Self-esteem (17 years)	8. Depressive symptoms (16 years)	9. Depressive symptoms (18 years)	10. SI/SH (16 years) ^{e‡}	11. SI/SH (20 years) ^{f‡}
1.	1.00										
2.	***-0.07	1.00									
3.	0.02	***-0.23	1.00								
4.	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00							
5.	***-0.08	***0.10	-0.01	-0.01	1.00						
6.	***-0.10	**0.05	***-0.07	-0.01	*-0.04	1.00					
7.	***-0.18	***0.14	**0.06	0.01	0.01	***0.34	1.00				
8.	***0.23	-0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	***-0.33	***-0.43	1.00			
9.	***0.18	***-0.09	0.03	0.01	*0.04	***-0.30	***-0.44	***0.50	1.00		
10.	***0.15	*-0.04	0.04	0.01	*0.04	***-0.24	***-0.30	***0.49	***0.33	1.00	
11.	***0.10	0.01	0.03	**0.05	**0.06	***-0.19	***-0.27	***0.29	***0.33	***0.32	1.00

Variables	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
n	4274	3977	3541	3921	3745	3430	2804	3205	2477	3253	4274
Mean	0.6	3.4	0.15	0.04	0.1	87.1	28.5	5.9	6.8	0.20	0.19
SD	0.49	1.21	0.36	0.19	1.00	8.90	6.65	5.54	5.90	0.40	0.39
Minimum	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	-3.24	54.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Maximum	1.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	6.13	123.00	40.00	26.00	26.00	1.00	1.00
Cronbach's alpha					0.66-0.72	0.66	0.43	0.91	0.91		

^aSex: 0 = Male, 1 = Female. ^bMother's educational qualification: 1 = No education, 2 = Vocational, 3 = 'O' Level, 4 = 'A' Level, 5 = Degree. ^cMother's occupation: 0 = High, 1 = Low. ^dEthnicity: 0 = White, 1 = Non-white. ^eSuicidal ideation or self-harm at 16 years: 0 = No, 1 = Yes. ^fSuicidal ideation or self-harm at 20 years: 0 = No, 1 = Yes. [‡]Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

APPENDIX 2: Coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for paths in structural equation models for past-year suicidal ideation or self-harm at age 20 years

Potential predictor and exposure variables	Potential mediator & outcome variables	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3			Model 4		
		Coef	95% CI		Coef	95% CI		Coef	95% CI		Coef	95% CI	
Sexual orientation	Self-esteem	***-1.89	-2.71	-1.07	*-0.86	-1.66	-0.06	*-0.92	-1.79	-0.05	-1.05	-2.37	0.25
CGN								0.09	-0.15	0.34			
SO x CGN								0.09	-0.59	0.77			
Sex	Depressive symptoms										***-1.65	-2.12	-1.17
SO x Sex											0.43	-1.07	1.92
Sexual orientation		***1.55	0.86	2.25	0.60	-0.07	1.27	0.58	-0.13	1.29	0.61	-0.49	1.70
	Suicidal ideation or self-harm	***-0.39	-0.42	-0.36	***-0.25	-0.29	-0.22	***-0.26	-0.29	-0.22	***-0.20	-0.25	-0.16
Self-esteem								0.16	-0.67	1.00			
SO x CGN								-0.15	-0.68	0.39			
Self-esteem x CGN	Depressive symptoms							0.00	-0.03	0.03			
Sex											**2.53	0.68	4.39
SO x Sex											-0.01	-1.34	1.32
Self-esteem x Sex	Suicidal ideation or self-harm										*-0.08	-0.14	-0.02
Sexual orientation		***0.87	0.62	1.12	***0.72	0.46	0.98	***0.70	0.48	0.88	**0.77	0.30	1.24
Self-esteem		***-0.07	-0.08	-0.05	***-0.05	-0.07	-0.03	***-0.05	-0.07	-0.03	**0.05	-0.08	-0.02
Depressive symptoms	Depressive symptoms	***0.09	0.07	0.11	***0.08	0.06	0.10	***0.08	0.06	0.10	***0.08	0.05	0.11
CGN								-0.02	-0.60	0.55			
SO x CGN								0.00	-0.25	0.25			
Self-esteem x CGN	Suicidal ideation or self-harm							0.00	-0.02	0.02			
Dep x CGN								0.01	-0.01	0.02			
Sex											0.19	-0.99	1.37
SO x Sex	Depressive symptoms										-0.07	-0.63	0.49
Self-esteem x Sex											0.00	-0.04	0.04
Dep x Sex											0.00	-0.04	0.04

Model 1 - Adjusted for maternal education, maternal occupation and ethnicity; Model 2 – As in model 1, but also adjusted for earlier measures of self-esteem, depression and suicidal ideation; Model 3 – As in Model 2, but also adjusted for interaction with CGN; Model 4 – As in Model 2, but also adjusted for interaction with sex.

Note. *Significant at $p<0.05$; **significant at $p<0.01$; *** significant at $p<0.001$.

CGN = childhood gender nonconformity. SO = sexual orientation. Dep = depression; SO x CGN = interaction term for sexual orientation and childhood gender nonconformity; SO x Sex = interaction term for sexual orientation and sex; Self-esteem x CGN = interaction term for self-esteem and childhood gender nonconformity; Self-esteem x Sex = interaction term for self-esteem and sex; Dep x CGN = interaction term for depressive symptoms and childhood gender nonconformity; Dep x Sex = interaction term for depressive symptoms and sex.

APPENDIX 3: Comparison of the direct, indirect and total effects for Model 2^a for suicidal ideation alone, self-harm alone and the combined suicidal ideation or self-harm outcome.

		Suicidal ideation				Self-harm				Combined suicidal ideation or self-harm outcome			
		Coef	<i>p</i> -value	Bias-corrected 95% CI		Coef	<i>p</i> -value	Bias-corrected 95% CI		Coef	<i>p</i> -value	Bias-corrected 95% CI	
Mediators													
Self-esteem and depression	Total effect	0.94	<0.001	0.71	1.16	0.78	0.009	0.49	1.04	0.80	<0.001	0.61	0.98
	Direct effect	0.85	<0.001	0.60	1.06	0.69	<0.001	0.39	0.98	0.72	<0.001	0.52	0.90
	Indirect effect ^a	0.09	0.017	0.01	0.16	0.10	<0.001	0.03	0.17	0.08	0.002	0.03	0.13
	Effect proportion mediated (%) ^b	9.67	0.031	1.19	18.88	12.69	0.030	3.27	24.91	10.43	0.007	3.21	18.49

^aModel 2: Adjusted for maternal education, maternal occupation, ethnicity; also earlier measures of self-esteem, depression and suicidal ideation

^bEffect proportion mediated was computed as the ratio of the indirect effect to the total effect expressed as a percentage.