ETV6/RUNX1 Induces Reactive Oxygen Species and Drives the Accumulation of DNA Damage in B Cells
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Abstract

The t(12;21)(p13;q22) chromosomal translocation is the most frequent translocation in childhood B cell precursor–acute lymphoblastic leukemia and results in the expression of an ETV6/RUNX1 fusion protein. The frequency of ETV6/RUNX1 fusions in newborns clearly exceeds the leukemia rate revealing that additional events occur in ETV6/RUNX1–positive cells for leukemic transformation. Hitherto, the mechanisms triggering these second hits remain largely elusive. Thus, we generated a novel ETV6/RUNX1 transgenic mouse model where the expression of the fusion protein is restricted to CD19+ B cells. These animals harbor regular B cell development and lack gross abnormalities. We established stable pro-B cell lines carrying the ETV6/RUNX1 transgene that allowed us to investigate whether ETV6/RUNX1 itself favors the acquisition of second hits. Remarkably, these pro-B cell lines as well as primary bone marrow cells derived from ETV6/RUNX1 transgenic animals display elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) as tested with ETV6/RUNX1 transgenic dihydroethidium staining. In line, intracellular phospho-histone H2AX flow cytometry and comet assay revealed increased DNA damage indicating that ETV6/RUNX1 expression enhances ROS. On the basis of our data, we propose the following model: the expression of ETV6/RUNX1 creates a preleukemic clone and leads to increased ROS levels. These elevated ROS favor the accumulation of secondary hits by increasing genetic instability and double-strand breaks, thus allowing preleukemic clones to develop into fully transformed leukemic cells.
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Introduction

The ETV6/RUNX1 (TEL/AML1) fusion gene is the most common chromosomal alteration in pediatric cancer and occurs in approximately 25% of children with B cell precursor–acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL) [1,2]. The t(12;21)(p13;q22) chromosomal translocation results in the fusion of two critical regulators of hematopoiesis, bringing together the 5′ portion of the ETV6 (TEL) gene on chromosome 12p13 and nearly the entire RUNX1 (AML1) gene on chromosome 21q22 [3,4]. Persuasive evidence that clearly shows the presence of the ETV6/RUNX1 fusion already prenatally and defines it as an early and initiating mutation is available. These insights were obtained from studies of identical twins with concordant ALL [5] and retrospective screening of archived neonatal blood spots of children diagnosed with ALL [6]. The early arise of the translocation indicates that it presents the first event (“hit”) in the process of leukemogenesis creating a
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preleukemic clone. This is further supported by the fact that the fusion gene may be present for up to 10 years before leukemia diagnosis [7,8]. Secondary genetic events are clearly required for the clinical manifestation of the leukemia that evolves from an ETV6/RUNX1 preleukemic clone that is characterized by the surface markers CD34+/CD38−/low CD19 [9,10].

At the time point of diagnosis, ETV6/RUNX1 ALL is characterized by multiple copy number alterations [11,12]. So far, it is elusive how the presence of ETV6/RUNX1 induces the acquisition of these additional genetic events during pathogenesis of BCP-ALL.

Genomic instability is one of the characteristics of transformed cells [13] and may arise from increased DNA damage and/or impaired DNA repair [14]. DNA damage occurs due to spontaneous decay but also results from exposure to radiation or certain chemicals. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are chemically reactive molecules that participate in self-propagating reactions, and, if allowed to accumulate, they can cause oxidative damage to intracellular macromolecules, including DNA, proteins, and lipids [15]. The increased mutation rate in a variety of cancers has been attributed to the accumulation of ROS [16]. The capability of ROS to induce DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) stresses the potential of ROS for triggering chromosomal aberrations [17–20]. Additionally to ROS-mediated mutagenesis, the expression of leukemia-associated fusion genes may interfere with DNA repair as convincingly demonstrated for breakpoint cluster region/Abelson 1 (BCR/ABL1) leukemia [21]. DNA repair is also disturbed in leukemic cells characterized by translocation products such as RUNX1/ETO (AML1/ETO) [22,23] and in cases of ETV6/RUNX1 leukemia [12]. ETV6/RUNX1 cells also display a disrupted spindle checkpoint that may result in aneuploidy [24].

In this study, we describe a novel mouse model where ETV6/RUNX1 expression is restricted to CD19+ B lymphoid cells. In line with earlier reports [25–30], we did not observe leukemia formation in these animals. Here, we describe for the first time that the expression of ETV6/RUNX1 is associated with increased levels of ROS and the subsequent accumulation of DSBs, a prerequisite for mutagenesis. These findings suggest that the expression of ETV6/RUNX1 triggers mutagenesis through enhanced ROS production.

**Design and Methods**

**Generation of a CD19+ B Cell–Specific ETV6/RUNX1-Expressing Mouse**

To generate a mouse that expresses ETV6/RUNX1 specifically in CD19+ cells, the CD19 bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) RP23-407K24 was used. For BAC modification, the building vector pQ5-CD19 containing an EcoRI and Nhel restriction site was used [31]. The human ETV6/RUNX1 cDNA was amplified from an pMSCV-ETV6/RUNX1–internal ribosomal entry site (IRES)–green fluorescent protein (GFP) plasmid (a kind gift of O. Williams, University College London, Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom) using the primers 5′ GGCGAATTCCATGTCTGAGACTCTGCTGAG 3′ containing an EcoRI site and 5′ GCCCTGAGCTTTAACCGTAATCTGGACACATCTGTAGTGTA 3′ containing an Nhel site and a hemagglutinin (HA) tag. This fragment was inserted into the plasmid pQ5-CD19 using EcoRI and Nhel restriction sites. After NotI digestion, the linearized form of pQ5-CD19-ETV6/RUNX1 was used to insert the ETV6/RUNX1-IRES-hCD2t expression cassette into the mouse CD19 BAC RP23-407K24 by standard BAC modification procedures as described earlier [32]. The expression cassette was thereby inserted into exon 1 of the CD19 BAC to express ETV6/RUNX1 and hCD2t under the control of the Cd9 promoter. Upon recombination, the start codon (ATG) of the Cd9 coding sequence in exon 1 is replaced by the ETV6/RUNX1IRES-hCD2t-polyA expression cassette. Transcription from the Cd9 promoter is terminated by a polyadenylation signal just after the hCD2t coding sequence. Furthermore, the inserted bicistronic cDNA is not in frame with the Cd9 coding sequence. Transgenic mice were generated by injection of linearized BAC DNA at 1 ng/μl into pronuclei of C57BL/6×CBA F1 zygotes. The transgenic animals were backcrossed to C57BL/6 mice for seven generations. ETV6/RUNX1 transgenic (E/R) mice were genotyped using the following oligos: forward—5′ GCCAGACATTGTCATATG 3′ and reverse—5′ CGAGTGCTTTCCTCCATCCTGTA 3′. Mice were kept at the animal facility at Research Institute of Molecular Pathology/Institute of Molecular Biotechnology (IMP/IMBA; Vienna, Austria). All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with protocols approved by the animal committee of the Medical University of Vienna and by the Federal Ministry of Science and Research.

**Southern Blot Analysis**

Twenty micrograms of tail genomic DNA of wt and E/R littermates were digested with BamHI, separated on a 1% agarose gel, transferred to a nylon membrane (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), and hybridized with a probe downstream of the insertion site of the ETV6/RUNX1-IRES-hCD2t expression cassette (amplification primers, forward—5′ GTATCGAGGAACCCGGCCACCC 3′ and reverse—5′ GTACCCCCACAGACAGCCAAATGTGG 3′).

**mRNA Expression Analysis and Semiquantitative Reverse Transcription–Polymerase Chain Reaction Analysis**

Total RNA from bone marrow (BM) and spleen was isolated using TRIzol (TRI Reagent; Sigma-Aldrich, Vienna, Austria) and subsequently treated with DNase I (DNase I recombinant; Roche Diagnostics, Vienna, Austria). cDNA was prepared with RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) using random hexamer primers. Sequences of primer pairs used during the course of the study (5′-3′) are given as follows: ETV6/RUNX1, forward—CTCTGTCTCCCGCGCTGAA and reverse—CGGCTCGTGTCGCGCAT; mouse Etv6, forward—GATAGTGATCCCAACGGACCT and reverse—AGGTGGTGATTCCACAGACCAT; and mouse Hprt, forward—GATAAGCGCCAGACCTTTGTGG and reverse—GGTAGCTGGGCTATAGGCT.

**Blood Smears and Blood Parameters**

Blood smears were stained with Hemacolor Rapid staining of blood smear staining set (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Confocal images (original magnification, ×100) were taken using a Carl Zeiss (Oberkochen, Germany) Axio Imager.Z1 microscope. Blood parameters were measured from EDTA blood by scil Vet ABC (Gurnee, IL) according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

**Flow Cytometric Analysis**

Single-cell suspensions were preincubated with anti-CD16/CD32 (24G2) antibody to prevent nonspecific Fc receptor–mediated
binding. Antibodies used for lineage determination included CD3e
(145-2C11), Ter119 (Ter119), Gr-1 (RB6-8C5), CD11b (M1/70),
and CD19 (1D3). An antibody against human CD2 (RPA 2.1; all
BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) as marker for ETV6/RUNX1 expres-
sion was used. Staining for free oxygen radicals was performed
using dihydroethidium (DHE; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). For
flow cytometric detection of phospho-histone H2AX (γH2AX; S139;
Cell Signaling Technology; clone 20E3), B cells were fixed in
paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich; 2%), permeabilized by exposure to
ice-cold methanol (−20°C, 30 minutes), washed in phosphate-buffered
saline containing 0.5% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.2% Tween 20
(Sigma-Aldrich), and stained with an Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated
γH2AX antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA)
for 60 minutes (room temperature). Subsequently, cells were washed
and analyzed. Samples were acquired on a BD FACS Canto II FACS
device (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed with FlowJo software
(TreeStar, Ashland, OR).

**Protein Analysis**

Protein expression has been determined from the fetal liver–
derived CD19+ B cell lines of wt and E/R tg mice. Cells were lysed in
a buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors [25 mM Hepes
(pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% Tween 20, 0.5% NP-40, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 40 μl/ml protease inhibitor
cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), 0.1 mM PMSF, 0.1 mM NaVO₄,
and 1 mM DTT]. The protein concentration was determined by Bio-
Rad protein assay kit as recommended by the manufacturer (Bio-Rad
Laboratories, Hercules, CA). ETV6/RUNX1 was immunoprecipitated
of 2 mg of cell lysate using an anti-RUNX1 antibody (N-20;
Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) and probed with a polyclonal
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**Figure 1.** Design of BAC and expression analysis of the ETV6/RUNX1 transgene. (A) The ETV6/RUNX1-IRES-hCD2t expression cassette
was inserted in the first exon of the Cd19 gene. (B) Southern blot analysis of the Cd19 locus in an ETV6/RUNX1 founder mouse. Genomic
DNA was digested with BamHI, blotted on a nitrocellulose membrane, and hybridized with a radiolabeled DNA probe against the proximal
region of the Cd19 intron 1. The expected insertion of the ETV6/RUNX1-IRES-hCD2t-polyA cassette gives rise to a labeled DNA frag-
ment of 5169 bp in contrast to the wt Cd19 allele (6840 bp). (C) The reporter hCD2t is specifically expressed in CD19+ cells. BM cells of
wt mice (upper panel) and E/R-expressing mice (lower panel) were isolated, stained for surface markers CD11b, Gr1, CD3e, Ter119
as well as CD19, and analyzed by flow cytometry. The reporter gene hCD2t is only expressed in CD19+ cells but not in other hematopoietic
lineages. Black inlet shows percentage of hCD2t+ cells from the CD19-expressing population. (D) Distribution of the hCD2t expression
levels on the entire CD19+ cell fraction. One representative histogram of hCD2thigh/low-expressing CD19+ cells is shown. (E) mRNA expression analysis for ETV6/RUNX1 and Etv6 in semiquantitative reverse transcription–PCR in FACS-sorted hCD2tlow/high
cells of the BM and the spleen of wt and E/Rtg mice. The wedge shows five-fold dilution series. (F) ETV6/RUNX1 protein expression was analyzed
by immunoprecipitation (anti-RUNX1) and Western blot analysis (anti-ETV6) from E/Rtg and wt fetal liver–derived B cell lines. As control, 293T
cells transiently transfected with an E/R-expressing plasmid were used. An unspecific antibody cross-hybridization signal is visible in some of
the samples (wt).
antiserum directed against ETV6 [directed against helix-loop-helix (HLH) domain, a kind gift of Jan Cools, Center for Human Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, KU Leuven (University of Leuven; Leuven, Belgium)]. Proteins were electrophoretically resolved on an 8% polyacrylamide gel containing sodium dodecyl sulfate and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Whatman, Piscataway, NJ). Sites of antibody binding were detected using protein A–conjugated HRP (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom) with enhanced chemiluminescence detection (GE Healthcare).

**Infection of Fetal Livers and Cell Culture**

Fetal liver cells of embryos (E14.5) were prepared, and single-cell suspensions were infected as previously described [33]. After outgrowth of cell lines, the cells were tested by flow cytometry for CD19 and hCD2t expression.

Transformed fetal liver and BM cells were maintained in RPMI (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (PAA Laboratories, Pasching, Austria), 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), and 5 μM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). A010 cells that produce an ecotropic replication-deficient form of the Abelson virus were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (PAA Laboratories), 100 U/ml l-glutamine (Life Technologies), and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies). Viral supernatant was prepared as previously described [33].

**Comet Assay**

DNA damage was detected using the CometAssay or single gel electrophoresis assay following the manufacturer’s protocol (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD). Briefly, 10^6 cells/ml medium of freshly isolated BM cells [enriched for CD19^+ B cells by magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS)] were mixed 1:10 (v:v−1) with low melting point agar at 38°C and immediately pipetted onto agar-covered slides. Slides were incubated at 4°C in the dark for 30 minutes, and the coverglass was removed, immersed in chilled lysis solution, and incubated again at 4°C for 60 minutes. Slides were placed in a horizontal electrophoresis chamber and electrophoresed with buffer (0.3 N NaOH and 1 mM EDTA) at 0.3 A for 20 minutes. Samples were dried and stained with CometAssay Silver Staining Kit (Trevigen). Comet tails were imaged using a model BA310 microscope (Motic, Hongkong) and quantified by TriTek CometScore (TriTek Corp, Summerduck, VA). A minimum of 50 cells were scored per sample.

**Statistics**

All statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 4 (San Diego, CA). Differences were assessed for statistical significance by unpaired Student’s t test and one-way analysis of variance using the Tukey Multiple Comparison Test if not otherwise mentioned. Error bars represent means ± SD. P values are considered as follows: <.05: *, <.01: **, and <.001: ***.

**Results**

**Generation of a CD19-Specific ETV6/RUNX1 Mouse**

ETV6/RUNX1^+ BCP-ALL is characterized by the expansion of B cells expressing the D-related antigens Cd19 and Cd10 (equivalent to Hardy Fraction C) [34]. To express the ETV6/RUNX1 fusion
protein in CD19+ B cells, a BAC containing the Cd19 locus was modified through homologous recombination in Escherichia coli [31,32]. A cassette encoding the human fusion gene ETV6/RUNX1 linked through an IRES to a truncated human CD2 (hCD2t) antigen reporter and followed by a polyadenylation signal (polyA) was inserted into the first exon of the Cd19 gene. As a result of the recombination strategy, expression of functional Cd19 from the BAC is prevented (Figure 1A). Several transgenic lines were obtained from oocyte injection of the CD19-ETV6/RUNX1 BAC. Southern blot analysis revealed that line 1, which was further analyzed in detail and used in the present study, harbors four copies of the CD19-ETV6/RUNX1 BAC (Figure 1B). To determine specific expression of ETV6/RUNX1 in B cells, we analyzed hCD2t reporter gene expression in various hematopoietic cell lineages isolated from BM by flow cytometry. hCD2t reporter expression was restricted to CD19+ cells and could not be detected in any other cell type analyzed (Figure 1C). CD19+ cell-specific expression of ETV6/RUNX1 mRNA was further confirmed by performing real-time PCR analysis (Figure W1). The uniform expression of the hCD2t reporter gene within the CD19+ cell population (Figure 1D) indicated that essentially all ETV6/RUNX1 transgene CD19+ cells express the ETV6/RUNX1 fusion protein. Consistently, using semiquantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (PCR), we detected the ETV6/RUNX1 transgene expression both in hCD2thigh and hCD2tlow populations (Figure 1E).

As the fusion gene is expressed under the control of the ETV6 promoter in patients with leukemia, we also tested whether the expression levels of the ETV6/RUNX1 transgene and the endogenous Etv6 gene are within the same range. As depicted in Figure 1E, ETV6/RUNX1 and Etv6 genes were expressed at comparable levels in CD19+hCD2tlow cells indicating that the transgene is expressed at a nearly physiological level. Finally, we confirmed ETV6/RUNX1 expression at protein level in CD19+ B cells (Figure 1F).

Unaltered Hematopoiesis in E/Rtg Mice
ETV6/RUNX1tg (E/Rtg) mice are born at normal mendelian ratio and do not display any gross abnormalities (Figure W2). We next tested whether the expression of ETV6/RUNX1 in the CD19+ B lymphoid compartment impacts on hematopoiesis in general and on B cell development in particular. Various hematopoietic lineages of wt and E/Rtg mice were assessed by flow cytometry. No consistent differences
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**Figure 3.** E/R expression induces ROS production in vitro and in vivo. (A and B) Fetal liver cells of wt and E/Rtg mice were infected with a retrovirus encoding for p160v-ABL. Stably transformed pro-B cell lines were analyzed for the expression of the surface markers (A) CD19 and hCD2t as well as (B) CD19 and B220. (C) wt and E/Rtg cell lines were stained with DHE and subsequently analyzed by flow cytometry to measure differences in ROS level. One representative histogram is depicted. (D) A summary of all experiments using wt and E/Rtg cell lines stained with DHE is shown. Statistical analysis was carried out by one-tailed paired t test (P = .04). (E) wt and E/Rtg cell lines were treated for 24 hours with 5 mM ROS scavenger NAC and analyzed for ROS by flow cytometry. Depicted are histograms for wt (left panel) and E/Rtg (right panel) untreated cells and cells treated with NAC. (F) CD19+ BM cells of E/Rtg (n = 16) and control littermate mice (n = 10) were analyzed for ROS levels. Bar graphs represent DHE-MFI ± SD; statistical differences were revealed using unpaired t test. (G) Indicated human and murine cell lines were transfected with a retrovirus encoding for E/R-IRESGFP or GFP. The fold difference in DHE-MFI of GFP-positive versus GFP-negative cells is shown. (H) Bar graphs depict average fold change in DHE-MFI on E/R or GFP expression ± SD of all five cell lines analyzed. *P < .05 (unpaired t test).
Figure 4. E/R expression induces DNA damage in wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> cells. (A) wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> cell lines were stained for γH2AX and analyzed by flow cytometry. One representative histogram is shown. (B and C) Comet assay of MACS-enriched CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells derived from BM of wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice. Two mice of each genotype were pooled before MACS. (B) Representative pictures of comets of each genotype. (C) Statistical analysis (unpaired t test) of comet assay of wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice (n = 6 each) is depicted. Fifty pictures per sample were taken for statistical analysis of percentage of tail DNA. Average ± SD of each sample is shown.

were detected between the transgenic and control mice (Figure W3, A and B). Similarly, we failed to detect any alterations in various blood parameters that may indicate an altered blood physiology as assessed by blood smears and a hematocytometer. Briefly, no differences in hematocrit levels, white blood cell counts, platelet numbers, or hemoglobin levels were detected (Figure 2, A and B). ETV6/RUNX1<sup>+</sup> ALL cells are arrested at the pro-B cell stage [26,27]. To analyze B cell development in our CD19-specific E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice, we stained BM and splenocytes for B cell surface markers corresponding to distinct developmental stages (Figure 2C). Gating of the early B cell fractions according to Hardy in the BM revealed no significant differences in pre-pro-B cells, early pro-B cells, and late pro-B cells, respectively, between wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice (Figure 2D, left panel). Interestingly, we observed slightly increased pre-B cell and immature B cell numbers in the spleen of E/R<sup>tg</sup> animals although mature B cells were comparable; however, this did not meet the criteria of statistical significance (Figure 2D, right panel).

**ETV6/RUNX1 Elevates Cellular ROS Levels**

Oncogenes such as BCR/ABL1 induce the production of ROS [17]. To investigate whether ETV6/RUNX1 affects the equilibrium of ROS production and scavenging, we generated stable pro-B cell lines from transgenic and control mice. This allowed us to address the impact of ETV6/RUNX1 on DNA damage in vitro in a stable and reproducible setting. Fetal liver cells from wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> littermate embryos were infected with the Abelson virus that immortalizes pro-B cells in vitro [35,36]. ETV6/RUNX1 transgene expression was confirmed by surface-marker staining of the reporter gene hCD2<sub>t</sub> (Figure 3A), followed by flow cytometric analysis. No changes in the expression of the pro-B cell surface markers CD19<sup>+</sup>B220<sup>+</sup>CD43<sup>+</sup> and the proliferation rate of the cell lines were detected upon expression of ETV6/RUNX1 when analyzed by flow cytometry and when assessed by growth curves, respectively (Figures 3B and W4).

Remarkingly, the analysis of ROS levels in the E/R<sup>tg</sup> pro-B cells consistently revealed about a two-fold increased mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) for the ROS-sensitive fluorescence probe DHE compared to control cells (wt DHE-MFI = 5700 vs E/R<sup>tg</sup> DHE-MFI = 12,100; Figure 3, C and D). To verify that indeed an elevated ROS level accounts for the detected differences in DHE values, we treated our cells with the ROS scavenger N-acetyl-cystein (NAC) for 24 hours before measurement. A clear reduction in ROS levels was detected in E/R<sup>tg</sup> as well as control cell lines (40% and 35% reduction in DHE-MFI, respectively; Figure 3E). To exclude the possibility of an Abelson oncogene–related impact on our experimental setup, we analyzed ex vivo–derived BM cells from our E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice. CD19<sup>+</sup> BM cells of E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice (n = 16) displayed significantly elevated DHE fluorescence compared to littermate controls (n = 10; DHE-MFI = 1690 ± 138 and 1298 ± 31, respectively; P = 0.0365; Figure 3F), indicating that the observed differences are associated with ETV6/RUNX1 expression. Further evidence came from another experiment where we used five cell lines derived from different tissues of both murine and human origin (NIH3T3, HEPA1-6, RAW, MBA, and 32D). These cells were infected with a retrovirus encoding for ETV6/RUNX1-ires-GFP or GFP alone. All cell lines tested except NIH3T3 reacted with an increase of ROS on ETV6/RUNX1 expression (P = 0.0285; Figure 3, G and H).

**Accumulation of DNA DSBs on ETV6/RUNX1 Expression**

It is a common feature of oncogenes to enhance DNA mutation rate [37]. The increased mutation rate may result from both an enhanced DNA damage and/or deregulated DNA repair mechanisms. Upon DNA DSBs, serine residue 139 of histone H2AX (γH2AX) becomes phosphorylated. This may be used as a sensitive marker to detect the presence of DSBs [38,39]. When we stained wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> cell lines with a γH2AX-specific antibody and analyzed them through intracellular flow cytometry, we found higher levels of DSBs in ETV6/RUNX1<sup>+</sup> cells compared to control cells (γH2AX MFI = 3069 and 1298 in wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice, respectively; Figure 4A). To assess DSBs in ex vivo–derived BM cells of wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice, we employed a comet assay. In such an assay, cells are embedded in agarose on microscope slides, lysed, and subjected to electrophoresis at high pH resulting in comet-like structures of the DNA. BM-derived and BM-sorted B cells were used directly ex vivo in this comet assay, which resulted in a higher percentage of comet tail DNA, an indicator of DNA damage, for E/R<sup>tg</sup>-derived B cells compared to wt counterparts (43.3% vs 30.6%; Figure 4, B and C). These results suggested a link between E/R<sup>tg</sup> expression and DSBs, a prerequisite for DNA mutations.

**Discussion**

The ETV6/RUNX1 fusion gene has been described to arise already in utero [5,9,40], producing a preleukemic clone that may progress to full-blown leukemia when acquiring additional genetic alterations [5,9,11]. Here, we report the generation of a mouse model for
ETV6/RUNX1 where transgene expression is driven by a BAC containing the CD19-regulatory expression elements, restricting its expression to the B lymphoid lineage. We describe that ETV6/RUNX1-expressing B lymphoid cells display increased levels of ROS accompanied by increased DNA DSBs. Thus, we propose a model where ETV6/RUNX1 induces DNA instability through ROS deregulation, thereby facilitating mutagenesis and the appearance of additional genetic alterations.

Several reports described that enforced expression of the ETV6/RUNX1 fusion gene from retroviral promoters impairs B cell development and induces a block at the pro-B cell stage [26], leading to the expansion of the CD19+ B cell fraction [41]. Interestingly, we failed to detect any changes in B cell development at the pro-B cell stage. However, we observed a trend in elevated numbers of immature B cells as well as pre-B cells in the spleen of E/R+ mice. Several reasons may account for these differences. Importantly, we succeeded in obtaining ETV6/RUNX1 expression at similar levels when compared to the endogenous Ets6 gene. Thus, it is attractive to speculate that higher ETV6/RUNX1 levels are required to inflict a B cell differentiation block. In addition, one may reason that the onset of transgenic expression accounts for the different impact on B cell development; it may be of relevance at which stage of B cell development the transgene is expressed. In our case, transgene expression is under the control of the Cd19 promoter and thereby restricted to already committed B cells. In contrast, other studies employed promoters that allowed for ETV6/RUNX1 expression already at earlier developmental stages such as in hematopoietic stem and/or progenitor cells. This may support the concept that the expression of ETV6/RUNX1 before B lymphoid development is required.

ETV6/RUNX1 is commonly assumed to be the first hit during leukemogenesis, and it is assumed that secondary alterations have to occur for the clinical manifestation of the disease. In line, animal models for ETV6/RUNX1 in mice and zebra fish revealed that the expression of the fusion gene does not suffice to allow for leukemogenesis [25–30]. Van der Weyden et al. used random mutagenesis using a Sleeping Beauty transposon to induce BAC-ALL in ETV6/RUNX1 knock-in mice [42]. Several cooperating mutations were identified in these mice, some of which resembled alterations in B-ALL cases (including regulators of B cell development). Although a few mutations prevail, genome-wide profiling of genetic alterations in ETV6/RUNX1+ B-ALL revealed that the mutational spectrum is highly heterogeneous, with numerous recurrent lesions generating mutations or copy number alterations in only a few patients [11,43].

Although the animal model for ETV6/RUNX1+ leukemia that Van der Weyden et al. used in their study relied on the usage of knock-in mice [42], a few reports also suggest that the human ETV6/RUNX1 gene fusion—as used for the generation of our transgenic animals—could lead to leukemia development if combined with a secondary hit in mice. It has been reported either for BM transplantation experiments where the human gene fusion was combined with loss of p16/p19 [25] or also in a recent study on inducible E/R transgenic mice in combination with loss of p16 [44] that the human fusion gene was able to induce leukemogenesis in mice.

Intracellular redox homeostasis is maintained by balancing ROS production with ROS scavenging through cellular antioxidant defense systems [45]. Several pathophysiological conditions including leukemia [46] have been associated with unbalanced ROS metabolism. Moreover, ROS is known to oxidize DNA that further provokes mutation of genes that may promote carcinogenesis [47]. In this report, we show for the first time that ETV6/RUNX1 expression in B cells is associated with elevated endogenous ROS levels and an increase in DSBs (Figures 3 and 4). The finding that a chromosomal translocation product induces DNA damage through ROS production is not entirely surprising as it has already been shown to be true for other chromosomal alterations and oncogenes; ample evidence supports this concept [summarized in [48]]. The expression of RUNX1/ETO or RUNX1 itself in human fibroblasts suffices to elevated intracellular ROS levels when compared to empty vector control [49]. These data have been supported in a Drosophila model where the expression of RUNX1/ETO resulted in high levels of ROS [50]. In our hands, the expression of the ETV6/RUNX1 fusion product in B cells, but also various other cell types, increased ROS levels in the respective cells. This observation also suggests that increased ROS production induced by RUNX1-containing translocation products could be a common mechanism driving leukemogenesis.

ROS is viewed as an important signaling mediator [51] and may be implicated in gene-regulatory pathways such as the one involving hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) [52,53]. Interestingly, ETV6/RUNX1 was shown to downregulate expression of DNA damage-inducible transcript 4 (DDIT4) (also known as REDD1), an important HIF-1 effecter [54], whereas the loss of DDIT4 was reported to induce HIF-1 stabilization and tumorigenesis through a ROS-dependent mechanism [55], suggesting a possible link between ETV6/RUNX1 and increased ROS accumulation in patients.

Irradiation and topoisomerase II inhibitors are therapeutic modalities that induce DSBs [56]. This predicts that cells harboring ETV6/RUNX1 ought to be more sensitive to topoisomerase inhibitors. In fact, this prediction has been verified with patient-derived cells; BCP-ALL cells are highly sensitive to doxorubicine and etoposide, if they are ETV6/RUNX1+. However, these cells do not differ from ETV6/RUNX1+ B-ALL cells with respect to their susceptibility to other therapeutically relevant agents (vincristine, glucocorticoids, cytarabine, alkylating agents, and thioguanin) [57]. Taken together, our data propose a novel mechanism of how additional mutations could be acquired in an ETV6/RUNX1+ preleukemic clone that then could cause leukemia development.
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Figure W1. ETV6/RUNX1 is specifically expressed in CD19\(^+\) B cells of E/R\(^{tg}\) mice. BM and spleen of wt and E/R\(^{tg}\) mice (n = 3 each) were analyzed for ETV6/RUNX1 mRNA expression by real-time PCR. Depicted are means ± SD. The SYBR green method was used for quantification of the PCR product. Sequences of primer pairs used were given as follows (5′-3′): ETV6/RUNX1, forward—CTCTGTCTCCCCGCCTGAA and reverse—CGGCCTGTGCCTGGCAT; mouse gapdh, forward—5′-AGAAGGTGGTGAAGCAGGCATC-3′ and reverse—5′-CGGCATCGAAGGTGGAAGAGTG-3′.

Figure W2. The expression of ETV6/RUNX1 in the CD19\(^+\) B lymphoid compartment does not lead to gross abnormalities in hematopoietic/lymphatic organs. Representative examples of hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections of spleen (top panel), liver (second panel), lung (third panel), and bone (fourth panel) of wt and E/R\(^{tg}\) mice are depicted. Spleens, livers, lungs, and bone were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 hours, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. After fixation, the bones were additionally decalcified in EDTA. Sections (5 Am) were stained with H&E using standard protocols. Images were taken using a Carl Zeiss Axio Imager.Z1 microscope.
**Figure W3.** The expression of ETV6/RUNX1 in the CD19⁺ B lymphoid compartment does not affect hematopoietic lineages other than the B cell lineage. (A) BM of wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice was analyzed for myeloid, T-lymphoid, and erythroid lineage markers by flow cytometry. Representative dot plot diagrams are depicted. (B) Bar graphs depict statistical analysis of hematopoietic lineages of wt and E/R<sup>tg</sup> mice. Percentages of Gr-1/CD11b⁺, CD3e⁻, and Ter119⁺ cells in whole BM are shown. No consistent differences were detected between the transgenic and control mice as analyzed by unpaired t test.

**Figure W4.** E/R transgenic cells proliferate with similar kinetics as wt controls. An amount of 10⁶ cells of the E/R<sup>tg</sup> and wt cell lines, respectively, was plated, and total cell numbers were determined after indicated days. The increase in cell number is shown in the graph. The experiment was performed more than three times. A summary of the experiment is depicted. Data points show means of 12 or 6 (as indicated), and whiskers represent SE. We did not observe significant differences in growth between the cell lines as analyzed by unpaired t test for each time point.