King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.schres.2018.12.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2018.12.046)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Comacchio, C., Howard, L., Bonetto, C., Lo Parrino, R., Furlato, K., Semrov, E., Preti, A., Mesiano, L., Neri, G., De Girolamo, G., de Santi, K., Miglietta, E., Cristofalo, D., Tosato, S., Lasalvia, A., & Ruggeri, M. (2019). The impact of gender and childhood abuse on age of psychosis onset, psychopathology and needs for care in psychosis patients. *Schizophrenia Research*, 210, 164-171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2018.12.046>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Manuscript Number: SCHRES-D-18-00876R1

Title: The impact of gender and childhood abuse on age of psychosis onset, psychopathology and needs for care in First Episode Psychosis patients

Article Type: Full Length Article

Keywords: gender, childhood abuse, sexual abuse, physical abuse, psychosis, first episode psychosis

Corresponding Author: Dr. Carla Comacchio,

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: Carla Comacchio

Order of Authors: Carla Comacchio; Louise Howard; Chiara Bonetto; Riccardo Lo Parrino; Karin Furlato; Enrico Semrov; Antonio Preti; Luca Mesiano; Giovanni Neri; Giovanni de Girolamo; Katia de Santi; Elisabetta Miglietta; Doriana Cristofalo; Sarah Tosato; Antonio Lasalvia; Mirella Ruggeri

Abstract: Gender is associated with several features of psychotic disorders, including age of onset, symptomatology, prevalence of childhood sexual abuse (CSA) and needs for care. Childhood sexual abuse is associated with adverse mental health consequences but as there is a gender difference in stress reactivity, there may be a differential impact of CSA on psychopathology, age of psychosis onset and needs for care in First Episode Psychosis (FEP) patients. We hypothesized that a history of abuse would be associated with lowering of age of onset, increased symptomatology and more unmet needs in women but not men. A total of 444 FEP patients have been recruited within the context of the GET UP trial. Symptomatology has been assessed using the PANSS, needs for care with the CAN and childhood abuse with the CECA-Q. CSA was more frequent among female patients, whereas there was no gender difference in the prevalence of childhood physical abuse. Childhood abuse was associated with higher levels of negative symptoms in both men and women, with a reduced the age of onset in women only and little increase in needs for care in both men and women. Our results seem to suggest that childhood sexual abuse in female FEP patients may be linked to a more severe form of psychosis whose presentation is characterized by earlier age of onset and higher levels of negative symptoms and we can also speculate that gender-specific protective factors in women, but not in men, may be outweighed by the consequences of childhood abuse.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the patients and their family members who participated in this study. The authors have declared that there are no conflicts of interest in relation to the subject of this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This study was supported by the Italian Ministry of Health, Ricerca Sanitaria Finalizzata, Code H61J08000200001.

Verona, 21/12/18

Carla Comacchio
Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement
University of Verona, Verona, Italy
e-mail: carla.comacchio@univr.it

Dr. Matcheri Keshavan
Editor-in-Chief
Schizophrenia Research

OBJECT: “The impact of gender and childhood abuse on age of psychosis onset, psychopathology and needs for care in First Episode Psychosis patients” (SCHRES-D-18-00876).

Dear Matcheri,
this is our response to the comments raised by your referees to the paper in object. We believe that the referees' contributions really helped improving the quality of the article and we are very thankful for their help.

Concerning Reviewer #1 we have reformulated the second aim of our work in a more understandable way, we have completely rewritten the statistical part and we have provided additional information on the recruitment process.

Regarding Reviewer #2 we have added some specification on what needs for care are and we have explained reasons for inclusion and exclusion criteria with appropriate references.

As for Reviewer #3 we have added to the paper more information on gender differences in psychosis, we have provided additional information on patients drop outs, we have included the limitation suggested in the discussion and we have toned down the conclusion of our study.

We hope that the revised version of our manuscript will be suitable for publication in your journal.

Best wishes,

Carla

Reviewer #1: The paper appears to be an interesting contribution to current knowledge, with its main message (as I understand) being that in women who have suffered abuse the presentation at FEP is characterised by younger age at onset and higher levels of negative symptoms.

We thank referee #1 for his/her contribution.

I believe few main points should be clarified:

- The second objective should be clarified: assess the impact of gender and traumatic experiences (physical and sexual abuse) on psychopathology, age of psychosis onset and needs for care. I understand the impact of these variables is to be considered together rather than using gender and abuse as independent variables, but what is written is unclear (Page 16).

The second aim has been reformulated as follows: "assess the combined effect of gender and traumatic experiences (physical and sexual abuse) on psychopathology, age of psychosis onset and needs for care" (page 3)

- The statistical analysis should provide more details and be described in relation of how the questions were addressed rather than just mentioning the tests used.

The statistical part has been rewritten as follows: "Gender differences in socio-demographic characteristics at baseline were evaluated by Chi-square test (for marital status and working status), Fisher's exact test (for educational level, nationality and diagnosis) and test for independent groups (for age of onset). Differences among the 4 sub-groups (CECA-Q completers and non-completers, males and females, respectively) in age of onset were assessed by Analysis of Variance with Bonferroni post-hoc comparisons; the same differences in educational level, marital status, working status, nationality and diagnosis were estimated by Chi-square tests. PANSS (total, dimensions and items) and CAN (total and dimensions) mean levels at baseline were compared between males and females by t test for independent groups. Differences among the 4 sub-groups (physical abuse and no physical abuse, males and females; sexual abuse and no sexual abuse, males and females, respectively) in PANSS mean scores (total and dimensions), CAN mean scores (total and dimensions) and age of onset were assessed by Analysis of Variance with Bonferroni post-hoc comparisons. No comparison was adjusted for demographic variables (marital status, education and employment) because they could act both as direct and as reverse causal factors. All tests were bilateral at $p < 0.05$. Analyses were performed by SPSS 22.0 for Windows." (page 6).

- This is a secondary analysis of data from a trial. However, I believe that the information of how participants were sampled (consecutively or not) and how the recruitment procedures were conducted should be also added to this manuscript to give the reader an idea about the potential selection bias.

The following explanation has been added: "All the Community Mental Health Services in the GET UP catchment area (Veneto and Emilia-Romagna Regions and the cities of Florence and Bolzano) were asked to refer all potential cases of psychosis at their first contact with the service over a period of 1 year. The Screening Schedule for Psychosis (Jablensky *et al*, 1992) has been administered to all patients as soon as possible after first service contact, Patients meeting all inclusion criteria have been invited to undertake standardized assessments as soon as possible once they achieve clinical stabilization. (Ruggeri *et al*, 2015)." (page 4).

Reviewer #2: Overall, a very interesting manuscript.

We thank referee #2 for his/her contribution.

1:

- A citation for "evidence of gender differences in stress reactivity to trauma" is needed.

Citation added (Riecher Rossler *et al*, 2018) (page 2).

- A brief explanation of "needs for care" would be helpful to orient the reader.

The following explanation has been added: "different needs for care (women showing more unmet needs in the domains of "functioning" (basic education, money, childcare, self-care, looking after the home) (Bertani *et al*, 2012) and "services" (information, telephone use, transport, and benefits compared to men (Ochoa *et*

al, 2012))” (Page 2).”

- Typo: "Due to the [?] for the lack of information..."
"or the" has been delated (page 3).

2.1:

-Typo: "Study desing"
Corrected (page 4).

-It is unclear how FEP/psychosis is defined. Are the symptoms listed in item 3 the requirements for active symptoms only (i.e., all participants also had a lifetime diagnosis of an ICD-10 psychotic disorder)? Or is this symptom criteria what defined people as having or not having FEP/psychosis? If the latter is the case, this is a very loose definition of psychosis. If the former is the case, making this clearer would be helpful.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria have been drawn from criterias adopted by the WHO ten country study (Jablensky et al, 1992) and this has been specified in the revised version of the manuscript. The following sentence has been added: “Based on the methodology adopted in the WHO Ten-Country study (Jablensky *et al*, 1992), inclusion criteria to ascertain cases of FEP were...” (page 4).

3.5:

-Since the primary finding is that abuse was associated with unmet functioning and services needs, it would helpful to provide a brief description of what the measure's "functioning" and "service" domains assess in either in the Results or the Discussion section in order to make this finding more useful in applied settings.

A specification for service and functioning needs for care has been added in the introduction (page 3) and in the discussion: “female patients showed higher levels of total and unmet service needs than males (information, telephone use, transport, and benefits).” (page 8).

4:

-The use of the word "disclosure" has a particular connotation regarding trauma reporting, which I don't think is intended here. If it is, this point should be made more clearly. Otherwise, "reported" or "endorsed" may be more appropriate to the context.

"Disclosed" has been changed into "endorsed" (page 8).

-The point about childhood abuse outweighing gender-specific protective factors in women is a nice one. You might consider highlighting this in the abstract or introduction, perhaps over or in addition to the description of women with abuse having a "male" presentation of psychosis.

We highlighted this point in the abstract "we can may also speculate that gender-specific protective factors in women, but not in men may be outweighed by the consequences of childhood abuse.” (page 1)

Reviewer #3: This is an interesting work examining the role of history of childhood abuse on symptom presentation and needs of care at first episode psychosis in men and women.

The authors hypothesise that history of abuse is associated with early age at onset, more sever symptomatology, and more unmeet needs in women only. They conclude that childhood sexual abuse in female patients is associated with negative symptoms and early age at psychosis onset.

As a whole, the work is an interesting effort to examine the different psychotic symptom presentations at FEP.

Sample size and statistics methods are adequate. Recruitment and recall bias are discussed in the limitation section. Writing is acceptable although the manuscript might benefit from some proofreading.

We thank referee #3 for his/her contribution.

In the present form, the study reports raise the following questions.

Abstract

Please be more specific in the background, e.g. do state clearly that women are more likely to have a history of childhood sexual abuse, a late age at psychosis onset, etc. etc. - for example, readers might find it ambiguous that gender is associated with symptoms (what gender and what symptoms?) In the results: 'with a reduced the age of onset' -please delete 'the'.

"The" has been deleted (page 1).

In the conclusion, although it is true that a presentation with negative symptoms and early age at onset is more common in men, it is too strong concluding that psychosis presentation in women with a history of sexual abuse is similar to psychosis presentation in men. This could be misleading, for example, even the pathogenic mechanisms might differ by gender, e.g. see Murray RM, O'Callaghan E, Castle DJ and Lewis SW (1992) A neurodevelopment approach to the classification of schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 18, 319-332.; Riecher- Rössler A and Häfner H (2000) Gender aspects in schizophrenia: bridging the border between social and biological psychiatry. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 102, 58-62.). So, it would be indicated to limit the conclusion to what you have found to be the presentation in women with a history of sexual abuse.

"Similar to the typical male presentation of psychosis" has been changed to "is characterized by earlier age of onset and higher levels of negative symptoms" (page 1).

Introduction

As in the abstract, it is good to specifically report previous findings - e.g. men presented at FEP with more negative symptoms and early age at onset, whereas women had more depressive symptoms and late age onset (e.g., Quattrone D., Di Forti M., et al. 2018. Transdiagnostic dimensions of psychopathology at first episode psychosis: findings from the multinational EU-GEI study. *Psychological medicine*, pp.1-14. ; or Morgan VA, Castle DJ and Jablensky AV (2008) Do women express and experience psychosis differently from men? Epidemiological evidence from the Australian National Study of Low Prevalence (Psychotic) Disorders. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 42, 74-82.)

The following sentence has been added: "gender is associated with age of onset (lower for male patients compared to females (Jackson *et al*, 2013)), symptoms (women having more positive symptoms than men; men presenting with more negative and disorganized symptoms than women (Hui *et al*, 2014)), and different needs for care (women showing more unmet needs in the domains of "functioning" (basic education, money, childcare, self-care, looking after the home) (Bertani *et al*, 2012) and "services" (information, telephone use, transport, and benefits compared to men (Ochoa *et al*, 2012)))" (Page 2).

Hypothesis: I understand the rationale for testing whether women with a history of abuse have more positive symptoms than men. It is not very clear to me the rationale for testing whether psychosis women with a history of abuse have more negative symptoms than men. In general, you should restate the hypotheses to make it clear whether you want to compare men and women suffering their FEP, or men and women with a history of abuse suffering their FEP.

The comparison is between people with FEP exposed and not exposed to childhood abuse : "our primary hypothesis was that women with FEP, compared with men, would have had higher levels of positive and negative symptoms and lower age of illness onset in people exposed to childhood sexual abuse." (page 3).

Methods

This is well written. You say in the discussion data on cannabis or other drugs are unfortunately not available. It is not very clear if patients were antipsychotic free when symptoms were rated. The list of potential participants should be specified - e.g. number of contacted v. assessed patients - along with main reasons of refusal or drop out.

Patients have been assessed after clinical stabilization, thus were not antipsychotic free when symptoms were rated (pages 6-14).

The following sentence has been added: "Overall, 626 FEP patients (364 experimental; 262 TAU) were eligible for the study in the recruitment phase in the GET UP catchment area. Fifty-two (11.7%) patients dropped out: 33 (12.1%) and 19 (11.0%) in the experimental and TAU groups, respectively (not significant). There were no significant differences in demographic variables between completers and noncompleters. " (page 6).

Results

Table 4 illustrates PANSS sub-scores mean but not all the items. Indeed, it would be worth to check what are the items that actually increase in women more than in men when there is an history of abuse. Distinguishing depressive and negative symptoms is particularly challenging, thus if the increase is related only to items involving avolition, including anhedonia and asociality (Blanchard and Cohen, 2006), this might be suggestive of a depressive component. This potential limitation should be mentioned in the discussion.

The following paragraph has been added: " We decided to focus only on the impact of gender and childhood abuse on PANSS subscales rather than on PANSS single items in order to avoid multiple testing. However, distinguishing depressive and negative symptoms is particularly challenging, thus if the increase is related only to items involving avolition, including anhedonia and asociality (Blanchard and Cohen, 2006), this might be suggestive of a depressive component. We cannot rule out that the increase in negative symptoms in female patients exposed to childhood abuse may be due to an increase in the depression levels." (page 12).

Discussion

The authors well explain the limitations of the study, including recruitment and recall bias. The other main limitation discussed is the lack of control for confounding such as cannabis use. This indeed might be associated not only with an early age at onset, but also with more positive and less negative symptoms at psychosis onset. As already pointed out for your abstract, it would be indicated to tone down that 'Overall these findings suggest that childhood abuse is associated with a more "male" (lower age of onset, higher negative symptoms) presentation of FEP in women.'

"Similar to the typical male presentation pf psychosis" has been changed to "a form of psychosis whose presentation is characterized by earlier age of onset and higher levels negative symptoms" (pages 12-13).

Contributors

Author MR and AL designed the study and wrote the protocol. Author CC managed the literature searches and analyses. Authors CB and DC undertook the statistical analysis. Authors CC and LH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors RLP, KF, ES, AP, LM, GN, GDG were involved in patient recruitment. Authors MR and KDS coordinated data management and supervised patient recruitment. Authors EM and ST prepared the tables. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Table 1. Gender differences in socio-demographic characteristics

	Females (n=184)	Males (n=260)	p-value Chi-square, Fisher or t test
Age at onset, mean (SD)	33.4 (10.2)	27.9 (8.5)	<0.001
Educational level, n (%)	(12 missing)	(10 missing)	
Low (primary-middle school)	69 (40.1%)	94 (37.6%)	0.61
High (secondary school, university)	103 (59.9%)	156 (62.4%)	
Marital status, n (%)	(11 missing)	(13 missing)	
Unmarried	102 (59.0%)	211 (85.4%)	<0.001
Married	54 (31.2%)	26 (10.5%)	
Widowed, separated, divorced	17 (9.8%)	10 (4.1%)	
Working status, n (%)	(10 missing)	(5 missing)	
Employed	60 (34.5%)	99 (38.8%)	0.03
Unemployed	51 (29.3%)	93 (36.5%)	
Housewife, student, retired, other	63 (36.1%)	63 (24.7%)	
Nationality, n (%)	(1 missing)	(4 missing)	
Italy	163 (88.6%)	227 (87.3%)	0.76
Other	21 (11.4%)	33 (12.7%)	
Diagnosis, n (%)			
Non affective psychosis	139 (75.5%)	207 (79.6%)	0.35
Affective psychosis	45 (24.5%)	53 (20.4%)	

Table 2. Gender differences in socio-demographic characteristics by CECA-Q completers

	CECA-Q completers		CECA-Q non-completers			
	Females (n=150)	Males (n=206)	Females (n=34)	Males (n=54)	p-value Chi- square or ANOVA	Bonferroni post-hoc
Age at onset, mean (SD)	32.72 (10.31)	27.65 (8.59)	36.24 (9.53)	28.88 (8.22)	<0.001	F comp > M comp F non-comp > M comp F non-comp > M non comp
Educational level, n (%)	(3 missing)	(6 missing)	(9 missing)	(4 missing)	0.55	
Low (prim-middle school)	57 (38.8%)	72 (36.0%)	12 (48.8%)	22 (44.0%)		-
High (sec school, college)	90 (61.2%)	128 (64.0%)	13 (52.0%)	28 (56.0%)		-
Marital status, n (%)	(5 missing)	(9 missing)	(6 missing)	(4 missing)	<0.001	
Unmarried	85 (58.6%)	172 (87.3%)	17 (60.7%)	39 (78.0%)		-
Married	46 (31.7%)	19 (9.6%)	8 (28.6%)	7 (14.0%)		M non-comp > M comp
Widow, separat, divorc	14 (9.7%)	6 (3.0%)	3 (10.7%)	4 (8.0%)		M non-comp > M comp
Working status, n (%)	(6 missing)	(3 missing)	(4 missing)	(2 missing)	0.52	
Employed	50 (34.7%)	80 (39.4%)	10 (33.3%)	19 (36.5%)		-
Unemployed	42 (29.2%)	71 (35.0%)	9 (30.0%)	22 (42.3%)		-
Housewife, stud, retir	52 (36.1%)	52 (25.6%)	11 (36.7%)	11 (21.2%)		-
Nationality, n (%)	(1 missing)	(3 missing)	-	(1 missing)	0.03	
Italy	133 (89.3%)	186 (91.6%)	30 (88.2%)	41 (77.4%)		M comp > M non-comp
Other	16 (10.7%)	17 (8.4%)	4 (11.8%)	12 (22.6%)		M non-comp > M comp
Diagnosis n (%)					0.36	
Non-affective psychosis	111 (74.0%)	161 (78.2%)	28 (82.4%)	46 (85.2%)		-
Affective psychosis	39 (26.0%)	45 (21.8%)	6 (17.6%)	8 (14.8%)		-

Table 3. Gender differences in psychopathology, mean PANSS scores (SD) (t test)

	Females (n=184)	Males (n=260)	p-value
Total	2.29 (0.65) 1 missing	2.40 (0.69)	0.07
Positive sub-scale	2.27 (0.86) 2 missing	2.27 (0.89)	0.98
Delusions	3.39 (1.92) 2 missing	3.37 (1.85) 2 missing	0.90
Conceptual disorganization	2.00 (1.22) 3 missing	1.96 (1.35) 3 missing	0.73
Hallucinatory behaviour	2.12 (1.65) 3 missing	2.07 (1.64) 2 missing	0.79
Excitement	1.78 (1.24) 3 missing	1.53 (1.01) 3 missing	0.02*
Grandiosity	1.50 (1.03) 4 missing	1.76 (1.38) 5 missing	0.02*
Suspiciousness/persecution	3.40 (1.79) 2 missing	3.29 (1.76)	0.51
Hostility	1.64 (1.02) 3 missing	1.85 (1.33) 3 missing	0.07
Negative sub-scale	2.28 (1.01) 2 missing	2.70 (1.18) 1 missing	<0.001*
Blunted affect	2.39 (1.48) 3 missing	3.04 (1.59) 4 missing	<0.001*
Emotional withdrawal	2.62 (1.56) 2 missing	3.12 (1.69) 3 missing	0.001*
Poor rapport	1.76 (1.18) 2 missing	1.86 (1.38) 2 missing	0.40
Passive/apathetic social withdrawal	2.82 (1.73) 6 missing	3.37 (1.78) 1 missing	0.001*
Difficulty in abstract thinking	2.13 (1.34) 8 missing	2.47 (1.42) 13 missing	0.01*
Lack of spontaneity	1.99 (1.43) 3 missing	2.54 (1.68) 2 missing	<0.001*
Stereotyped thinking	2.20 (1.42) 3 missing	2.40 (1.48) 6 missing	0.17
General psychopathology sub-scale	2.29 (0.66) 1 missing	2.34 (0.56)	0.44
Somatic concern	2.39 (2.68) 4 missing	2.07 (1.59) 5 missing	0.12
Anxiety	3.58 (1.38) 1 missing	3.55 (1.61) 4 missing	0.82
Guilt feelings*	2.44 (1.50) 3 missing	2.07 (1.35) 1 missing	0.01*
Tension	2.12 (1.25) 2 missing	2.34 (1.39) 2 missing	0.09
Mannerisms and posturing	1.26 (0.80) 6 missing	1.25 (0.81) 3 missing	0.87
Depression	3.17 (1.50) 2 missing	3.20 (1.55) 1 missing	0.82
Motor retardation	1.80 (1.17)	2.00 (1.26)	0.09

	3 missing	3 missing	
Uncooperativeness	1.55 (1.00) 2 missing	1.58 (1.14) 3 missing	0.81
Unusual thought content	2.44 (1.55) 1 missing	2.50 (1.61) 2 missing	0.73
Disorientation	1.32 (0.76) 4 missing	1.44 (0.87) 5 missing	0.14
Poor attention	1.61 (0.99) 4 missing	1.67 (1.06) 3 missing	0.59
Lack of judgment and insight	3.52 (1.72) 3 missing	3.83 (1.66) 1 missing	0.06
Disturbance of volition	1.73 (1.08) 5 missing	1.86 (1.30) 5 missing	0.27
Poor impulse control*	1.65 (1.06)* 4 missing	1.88 (1.25)* 3 missing	0.049*
Preoccupation	2.68 (1.46) 5 missing	2.77 (1.44) 4 missing	0.52
Active social avoidance	3.12 (1.98) 4 missing	3.35 (1.90) 3 missing	0.21

Table 4. Gender differences in psychopathology by childhood abuse (PANSS).

	Physical abuse		No physical abuse			
Mean (sd)	Females (n=40)	Males (n=63)	Females (n=98)	Males (n=136)	p-value ANOVA	Bonferroni post-hoc
PANSS positive	2.30 (0.84)	2.42 (0.82)	2.23 (0.83)	2.18 (0.68)	0.25	-
PANSS negative	2.40 (0.96)	2.66 (0.98)	2.16 (1.01)	2.59 (1.14)	<0.01	M PA > F no PA M no PA > F no PA
PANSS gen psychopatol	2.32 (0.65)	2.37 (0.52)	2.17 (0.63)	2.29 (0.61)	0.20	-
PANSS total	2.33 (0.63)	2.45 (0.51)	2.18 (0.63)	2.33 (0.64)	0.05	M PA > F no PA
	Sexual abuse		No sexual abuse			
Mean (sd)	Females (n=31)	Males (n=22)	Females (n=106)	Males (n=168)	p-value ANOVA	Bonferroni post-hoc
PANSS positive	2.30 (0.79)	2.44 (0.78)	2.23 (0.84)	2.24 (0.83)	0.70	-
PANSS negative s	2.37 (0.84)	2.80 (1.08)	2.20 (1.03)	2.58 (1.10)	0.01	M no SA > F no SA
PANSS gen psychopatol	2.39 (0.64)	2.42 (0.77)	2.18 (0.63)	2.30 (0.56)	0.14	-
PANSS total	2.36 (0.58)	2.51 (0.70)	2.20 (0.64)	2.35 (0.60)	0.08	-

Table 5. Gender differences in age of psychosis onset by childhood abuse

	Physical abuse		No physical abuse			
Mean (sd)	Females (n=40)	Males (n=63)	Females (n=98)	Males (n=136)	p-value ANOVA	Bonferroni post-hoc
Age at onset	31.4 (9.7)	27.5 (8.0)	33.5 (10.8)	27.5 (8.8)	<0.001	F PA > M PA F no PA > M no PA F no PA > M PA
	Sexual abuse		No sexual abuse			
Mean (sd)	Females (n=31)	Males (n=22)	Females (n=106)	Males (n=168)	p-value ANOVA	Bonferroni post-hoc
Age at onset	30.9 (9.5)	29.7 (9.1)	33.0 (10.5)	27.2 (8.5)	<0.001	F no SA > M no SA

Table 6. Gender differences in needs for care, mean CAN scores (SD) (t test).

Mean (SD)	Females (n=184)	Males (n=260)	<i>p</i> -values t-test
CAN total	4.73 (2.89) 21 missing	2.44 (2.77) 35 missing	0.10
• Health	1.78 (1.05) 25 missing	1.82 (1.12) 44 missing	0.70
• Basic	0.68 (0.83) 23 missing	0.66 (0.86) 41 missing	0.83
• Social	1.10 (1.08) 26 missing	0.98 (1.04) 44 missing	0.31
• Services	0.64 (0.65) 25 missing	0.47 (0.57) 43 missing	0.01*
• Functioning	0.55 (0.89) 29 missing	0.41 (0.75) 55 missing	0.12
CAN met	2.38 (2.00) 32 missing	2.27 (1.92) 57 missing	0.60
• Health	0.92 (0.91) 25 missing	0.93 (0.97) 44 missing	0.94
• Basic	0.40 (0.66) 23 missing	0.36 (0.62) 41 missing	0.53
• Social	0.37 (0.69) 26 missing	0.39 (0.67) 44 missing	0.76
• Services	0.31 (0.53) 25 missing	0.27 (0.46) 43 missing	0.43
• Functioning	0.39 (0.76) 29 missing	0.30 (0.63) 55 missing	0.25
CAN unmet	2.35 (2.29) 32 missing	2.01 (2.08) 57 missing	0.15
• Health	0.86 (1.01) 25 missing	0.90 (0.99) 44 missing	0.73
• Basic	0.28 (0.58) 23 missing	0.30 (0.58) 41 missing	0.72
• Social	0.73 (0.99) 26 missing	0.59 (0.93) 44 missing	0.18
• Services	0.33 (0.53) 25 missing	0.20 (0.44) 43 missing	0.01*
• Functioning	0.16 (0.43) 29 missing	0.11 (0.36) 55 missing	0.24

Table 7. Gender differences in needs of care by childhood abuse (CAN)

	Physical abuse		No physical abuse			
Mean (SD)	Females (n=40)	Males (n=63)	Females (n=98)	Males (n=136)	p-value ANOVA	Bonferroni post-hoc
CAN tot	5.21 (2.44)	4.59 (2.60)	4.53 (3.17)	4.27 (2.83)	0.35	-
CAN met	2.23 (1.73)	2.56 (1.83)	2.54 (2.09)	2.31 (2.00)	0.72	-
CAN unmet	2.77 (2.18)	1.96 (1.82)	2.00 (2.27)	2.00 (2.12)	0.24	-
CAN unmet services	0.35 (0.63)	0.17 (0.38)	0.27 (0.47)	0.18 (0.44)	0.14	-
CAN unmet functioning	0.26 (0.51)	0.18 (0.39)	0.07 (0.27)	0.07 (0.34)	0.02	F PA > M no PA
	Sexual abuse		No sexual abuse			
Mean (SD)	Females (n=31)	Males (n=22)	Females (n=106)	Males (n=168)	p-value ANOVA	Bonferroni post-hoc
CAN tot	5.37 (2.33)	4.14 (2.80)	4.64 (3.07)	4.38 (2.78)	0.30	-
CAN met	2.50 (2.05)	2.20 (2.19)	2.48 (2.01)	2.48 (1.94)	0.95	-
CAN unmet	2.86 (2.49)	2.00 (1.75)	2.11 (2.17)	1.89 (2.02)	0.17	-
CAN unmet services	0.47 (0.63)	0.33 (0.48)	0.23 (0.47)	0.16 (0.41)	0.005	F SA > M no SA
CAN unmet functioning	0.24 (0.51)	0.10 (0.30)	0.10 (0.31)	0.11 (0.37)	0.29	-

ABSTRACT

Gender is associated with several features of psychotic disorders, including age of illness onset, symptomatology, a higher prevalence of history of childhood sexual abuse (CSA) and needs for care. Childhood sexual abuse is associated with adverse mental health consequences but as there is a gender difference in stress reactivity, there may be a differential impact of CSA on psychopathology, age of psychosis onset and needs for care in First Episode Psychosis (FEP) patients. We hypothesized that a history of abuse would be associated with lowering of age of onset, increased symptomatology and more unmet needs in women but not men. A total of 444 FEP patients have been recruited within the context of the GET UP trial. Symptomatology has been assessed using the PANSS scale, needs for care with the CAN scale and childhood abuse with the CECA-Q scale. Childhood sexual abuse was more frequent among female patients [22.6% in women vs 11.6% in men (OR=0.45, $p<0.01$)], whereas there was no gender difference in the prevalence of childhood physical abuse (29.0% in women vs 31.7% in men). Childhood abuse was associated with higher levels of negative symptoms in both men and women, with a reduced ~~the~~ age of onset in women only and little increase in needs for care in both men and women. Our results seem to suggest that childhood sexual abuse in female FEP patients may be linked to a more severe form of psychosis whose presentation is characterized by earlier age of onset and higher levels of negative symptoms and we can also speculate that gender-specific protective factors in women, but not in men, may be outweighed by the consequences of childhood abuse. is similar to that considered the typical “male” presentation of psychosis (earlier age of onset, higher levels negative symptoms).

Key words: gender, childhood trauma, childhood abuse, sexual abuse, physical abuse, psychosis, first episode psychosis, FEP

1.INTRODUCTION

There have been many studies investigating the impact of gender on psychosis, but few studies have examined the impact of gender on first episode psychosis (FEP). However, there is some evidence that at psychosis onset, gender is associated with age of onset (lower for male patients compared to females (Jackson *et al*, 2013)), symptoms (women having more positive symptoms than men; men presenting with more negative and disorganized symptoms than women (Hui *et al*, 2014)), and different needs for care (women showing more unmet needs in the domains of “functioning” (basic education, money, childcare, self-care, looking after the home) (Bertani *et al*, 2012) and “services” (information, telephone use, transport, and benefits compared to men (Bertani *et al*, 2012; Ochoa *et al*, 2012)). Other gender differences include rates of childhood trauma, with women being more likely to have been exposed to sexual abuse and physical abuse during their childhood (Alvarez *et al*, 2011). Childhood traumatic experiences appear to be involved in the etiological path leading to the development of psychosis with postulated mechanisms that include the lowering of Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) levels (Theleritis *et al*, 2014); the over-activation of the Hypothalamus Pituitary Adrenal (HPA) axis (Aas *et al*, 2011); a subclinical pro-inflammatory state (Dennison *et al*, 2012) and metabolic dysregulation (Misiak *et al*, 2015) which have been observed in FEP patients. However there has been less research on how childhood adversities impact on clinical features of psychosis. Most studies have involved samples of chronic adult patients, which suggest that a history of childhood trauma is associated with an increased severity in positive symptoms (Gallagher *et al*, 2013), whereas the relationship with negative symptoms is more controversial (Alemany *et al*, 2013; Ruby *et al*, 2015). In FEP patients, childhood emotional abuse has been linked to an increased severity in positive symptoms (Ramsay *et al*, 2011), whereas childhood sexual abuse has found to be associated with and both positive (Ucok *et al*, 2007) and negative symptoms (Burns *et al*, 2011).

As there is evidence of gender differences in stress reactivity to trauma ([Riecher-Rossler *et al.*, 2018](#)), there may be a differential impact of childhood abuse on psychopathology, age of psychosis onset and needs for care in First Episode Psychosis (FEP) patients. There are only two studies to our knowledge examining this. Haug and colleagues (2015) found higher levels of anomalous self-experiences in female FEP patients exposed to childhood abuse compared to males. Misiak and colleagues (2016) found higher levels of auditory-verbal hallucinations in female FEP patients exposed to childhood abuse compared to males. The impact of childhood adversities on the age of psychosis onset has been investigated in samples of chronic adult patients. Two studies have found a positive association between earlier age of psychosis onset and childhood psychological abuse (Li *et al.*, 2015; Alvarez *et al.*, 2011). Hacıoglu and colleagues (2014), in a sample of female patients with schizophrenia, found that patients with a history of childhood sexual abuse were significantly younger than those without. One study has focused on how childhood trauma interacts with gender on age of psychosis onset (Kocsis-Bogar *et al.*, 2018) and found that childhood physical abuse predicted an earlier age at psychosis onset only for female patients. To our knowledge no study has focused on the impact of gender and childhood traumatic experiences on needs for care in people with psychosis to date.

Thus, the impact of gender and childhood adversities on psychotic patients, especially FEP patients, is unclear. The present study therefore aims to: 1) explore gender differences in psychopathology, age of onset and needs for care in a large cohort of FEP patients; and 2) assess the ~~combined effect~~^{impact} of gender and traumatic experiences (physical and sexual abuse) on psychopathology, age of psychosis onset and needs for care. Given that both severe physical and sexual abuse appear to be associated with psychosis in female patients, that childhood sexual abuse is more frequently experienced by girls and that childhood sexual abuse has been reported to be associated with some features of psychosis at illness onset, our primary hypothesis was that women with FEP, compared with men, would have had higher levels of positive and negative symptoms and lower age of illness onset in people exposed to childhood

sexual abuse. Due to the ~~or the~~ lack of information on the impact of gender and childhood abuse on needs for care of both chronic and FEP patients, for the formulation of our secondary hypothesis we relied on studies assessing gender differences in needs for care in FEP patients. We thus hypothesized that women with FEP, compared with men, would have had more unmet needs for care in the services and functioning domains in people exposed either physical or sexual abuse in their childhood.

2.METHODS

2.1 Study design

Here we present secondary analyses of the GET UP PIANO trial. The GET UP PIANO trial has a pragmatic cluster randomized controlled design, which compares the effectiveness of Treatment As Usual (TAU) plus a multi-element psychosocial treatment for patients with FEP and their family members, versus TAU alone, as provided by Italian community mental health services. All the Community Mental Health Services in the GET UP catchment area (Veneto and Emilia-Romagna Regions and the cities of Florence and Bolzano) were asked to refer all potential cases of psychosis at their first contact with the service over a period of 1 year. The Screening Schedule for Psychosis (Jablensky *et al*, 1992) has been administered to all patients as soon as possible after first service contact. Patients meeting all inclusion criteria have been invited to undertake standardized assessments as soon as possible once they achieve clinical stabilization. (Ruggeri *et al*, 2015). Based on the methodology adopted in the WHO Ten-Country study (Jablensky *et al*, 1992), the inclusion criteria to ascertain FEP were: (1) age 18–54 years, (2) residence in catchment areas (Veneto and Emilia Romagna regions and cities of Milan, Florence and Bolzano), (3) presence of at least one of the following: hallucinations, delusions, qualitative speech disorder, qualitative psychomotor disorder, bizarre, or grossly inappropriate behaviour, or two of the following: loss of interest, initiative, and drive; social withdrawal; episodic severe excitement; purposeless destructiveness; overwhelming fear; or

marked self-neglect, (4) first lifetime contact with Mental Health Services, prompted by these symptoms. Exclusion criteria were: (1) antipsychotic medication (>3 months) prescribed for an identical or similar mental disorder; (2) mental disorders due to general medical condition; (3) moderate-severe mental retardation per a clinical functional assessment; and (4) psychiatric diagnosis other than International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 for psychosis. A detailed description of the GET UP PIANO trial is available elsewhere (Ruggeri *et al*, 2015).

2.2 Measures

Age at psychosis onset together with other socio-demographic and clinical information has been collected by using an ad hoc schedule. Symptomatology has been assessed using the *Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale* (PANSS), a widely used assessment scale consisting of 30 items that covers positive symptoms, negative symptoms and general psychopathology; each item is rated on a Likert-type severity scale ranging from 1 (absent) to 7 (extreme) (Kay *et al*, 1997). The European version of the *Camberwell Assessment of Needs* (CAN-EU) has been used to measure the number of present needs of subjects per area and the number of unmet needs. This interview comprises 22 items grouped into five conceptual domains: health (psychotic symptoms, drugs, alcohol, safety to self, safety to others, psychological distress, and physical health); basic (accommodation, food, and daytime activities); social (sexual expression, social networks, and intimate relationships); service (information, telephone use, transport, and benefits) and functioning (basic education, money, childcare, self-care, looking after the home). Each item is rated on a scale of three conditions: 0=no problem, 1=absent or moderate problem due to the person receiving ongoing interventions (met need), 2=actual serious problem and no interventions received (unmet need) (McCrone *et al*, 2000). Information on childhood trauma has been collected at baseline using the *Childhood Experience of Care and Abuse-Questionnaire* (CECA-Q), a measure of sexual abuse, parental physical abuse and lack of parental care (neglect and antipathy) occurred before the age of 17. It takes the form of a semi-structured interview, which aims to reflect objective features of early life experience with

probing questions to ascertain details of context and time-sequence of experience (Bifulco *et al*, 2005).

2.3 Statistical analysis

Gender differences in socio-demographic characteristics at baseline were evaluated by Chi-square test (for marital status and working status), Fisher's exact test (for educational level, nationality and diagnosis) and test for independent groups (for age of onset). Differences among the 4 sub-groups (CECA-Q completers and non-completers, males and females, respectively) in age of onset were assessed by Analysis of Variance with Bonferroni post-hoc comparisons; the same differences in educational level, marital status, working status, nationality and diagnosis were estimated by Chi-square tests. PANSS (total, dimensions and items) and CAN (total and dimensions) mean levels at baseline were compared between males and females by t test for independent groups. Differences among the 4 sub-groups (physical abuse and no physical abuse, males and females; sexual abuse and no sexual abuse, males and females, respectively) in PANSS mean scores (total and dimensions), CAN mean scores (total and dimensions) and age of onset were assessed by Analysis of Variance with Bonferroni post-hoc comparisons. No comparison was adjusted for demographic variables (marital status, education and employment) because they could act both as direct and as reverse causal factors. All tests were bilateral at $p < 0.05$. Analyses were performed by SPSS 22.0 for Windows.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Composition of the sample

Overall, 626 FEP patients (364 experimental; 262 TAU) were eligible for the study in the recruitment phase in the GET UP catchment area. Fifty-two (11.7%) patients dropped out: 33 (12.1%) and 19 (11.0%) in the experimental and TAU groups, respectively (not significant). There were no significant differences in demographic variables between completers and noncompleters. A total of 444 FEP patients were assessed at baseline, 260 males and 184 females. The groups did not differ in socio-demographic characteristics, except marital and

working status (males were more frequently unmarried and unemployed than females) (Table 1).

<Insert Table 1 about here>

3.2 Childhood traumatic experiences

Childhood physical abuse was reported by 30.6% (N=103) of patients, with similar rates for males and females [29.0% (N=40) of females and 31.7% (N=63) of males]. Sexual abuse was reported by 16.2% (N=53) of patients, 22.6% (N=31) in women, 11.6% (N=22) in men (OR=0.45) (Fisher test, $p<0.01$).

Socio-demographic characteristics of CECA-Q completers and CECA-Q non-completers are presented in Table 2. There was no difference between female completers and non-completers, whereas male completers were less likely to be married, widowed/separated/divorced and more likely to be Italian than male non-completers.

<Insert Tables 2 about here>

3.3 Psychopathology

There were some gender differences in PANSS subscales. For positive symptoms, female patients showed higher levels of excitement compared to males, whereas male patients showed higher levels of grandiosity compared to females. Male patients showed higher levels of negative symptoms than females in the PANSS negative subscale total and in the items of blunted affect, emotional withdrawal, passive/apathetic social withdrawal, difficulty in abstract thinking and lack of spontaneity. General psychopathology scale revealed higher levels of guilt feelings in females compared to males and higher levels of poor impulse control in male patients than females (Table 3).

<Insert Table 3 about here>

The impact of gender and childhood traumatic experiences on psychopathology is presented in Table 4. There were no gender differences in positive symptoms for patients (male or female) with or without a history of physical abuse; however, for negative symptoms, physical abuse was associated with higher levels of symptoms in both men and women. Similarly, while there were no differences for positive symptoms for CSA by gender, CSA was associated with higher levels of negative symptoms for both men and women.

<Insert Table 4 about here>

3.4 Age of onset

Age at psychosis onset was 27.9 years for males and 33.4 for females (Table 1). Physical abuse and sexual abuse reduced the age of onset in women only (ANOVA, $p<0.01$) (Table 5).

<Insert Table 5 about here>

3.5 Needs for care

Females showed higher levels of total [mean=4.73 (SD= 2.89) *vs.* mean=2.44 (SD=2.77)], met (mean=2.38 (SD=2.00) *vs.* mean=2.27 (SD=1.92)) and unmet [(mean=2.35 (SD= 2.29) *vs.* mean=2.01 (SD=2.08)] needs for care compared to males, though these differences were not statistically significant (Table 6). Abuse had little impact on needs for care other than unmet functioning and service, which showed higher levels in both men and women (Table 7).

<Insert Tables 6 and 7 about here>

4. DISCUSSION

We found that gender and childhood abuse impacted on some outcome measures of interest. We detected high rates of childhood physical and sexual abuse, with sexual abuse more frequently ~~endorsed~~~~disclosed~~ by women than men. Both types of abuse were associated with differences in psychopathology, with physical abuse associated with higher levels of negative symptoms in both men and women, and sexual abuse associated with higher levels of negative symptoms for both men and women (though men still had a higher level of negative symptoms). Physical abuse and sexual abuse were associated with a lower age of onset in women but not men and both types of abuse had little impact on increased needs for care in either men and women (though their needs for care around abuse were not measured), but female patients showed higher levels of total and unmet service needs than males (information, telephone use, transport, and benefits). Overall these findings suggest that childhood abuse is associated with a more “male” (lower age of onset, higher negative symptoms) presentation of FEP in women.

Our findings for FEP patients are largely consistent with research in more chronic patients though with some notable differences. Our first hypothesis was only partly confirmed as we did not find a stronger impact of childhood sexual abuse on positive symptoms for female patients compared to males unlike Misiak and colleagues (2016), who found that childhood sexual abuse predicted higher levels of auditory-verbal hallucinations in female patients. Nevertheless, in line with our hypothesis, we found that childhood sexual and physical abuse were associated with higher levels of negative symptoms in both males and females. The association between childhood sexual abuse and severity of negative symptoms in FEP patients has been previously noted (Burns *et al*, 2010), but gender effects have not been previously examined. In our sample, males and females with childhood abuse showed similar levels of negative symptoms thus the present study revealed that the tendency of male patients towards showing higher levels of negative symptoms compared to females at psychosis onset (Hui *et al*, 2014) disappears when

the trauma variable is included in the analysis, as a result of the increase in negative symptoms reported by female patients with a history of both physical and sexual abuse during childhood.

Our findings have face validity as we report similar levels of childhood physical abuse as previous reports. A critical review of the literature on childhood abuse rates in people with psychosis (Morgan and Fisher, 2007) reported a prevalence of physical abuse at 35% for both sexes. However, our rates of childhood sexual abuse were lower than their reports of 42% for females and at 28% for males (compared with 22.6% for females *vs.* 11.6% for males in this study). The reliability of self-reports in adulthood of childhood traumatic events has often been questioned (Schafer *et al*, 2012) because the shift from a concrete construct to a theoretical construct in reporting early experiences of abuse allows for the distortion that time, memory, emotions, denial, and other factors put on the events and their recall to remain part of the abstraction (Hulme, 2004). Nevertheless, Fisher *et al.* (2011) compared the similarity of abuse ratings obtained using different measures of childhood adversity (CECA-Q PBI scales and independent clinical case notes) found that reports of childhood abuse were similar when obtained by different assessment methods. It has also been noted that for research purposes the variable “childhood trauma” often requires a dichotomization (present/absent) and this makes it difficult to understand the real extent of trauma presence in their samples (Vergano *et al*, 2015).

Age at psychosis onset was higher for females compared to males (33.4 *vs.* 27.9), in line with other studies that indicate an average 1-5 years difference in age of psychosis onset between sexes (Eranti *et al*, 2013). Childhood physical and sexual abuse reduced the age of onset in female patients only. Moreover, gender difference in age of psychosis onset lost its significance as soon as trauma variables were included in the analysis. Our result confirms our first hypothesis and adds information to the work by Kocsis-Bogar (2018), run on a sample of

chronic psychotic patients, who found that childhood physical abuse, but not sexual abuse, acted as a predictor of earlier age of psychosis onset for females only. As a possible explanation, we can speculate that gender-specific protective factors in women, but not in men, such as better premorbid adjustment (Addington *et al*, 2003), higher levels of insight (Parellada *et al*. 2011) and shorter duration of untreated psychosis (Cascio *et al*, 2012), may be outweighed by the consequences of childhood abuse.

Service needs were higher in females compared to males, both total and unmet, consistently with other findings reporting women with psychosis as having more services needs than men (Ochoa *et al*, 2012). Our second hypothesis on the impact of gender and childhood abuse on needs for care was then confirmed but, given that the present paper is the first one investigating this aspect in FEP patients, we cannot make proper comparison with other studies. However, gender differences in needs for care in FEP patients have been reported, with female patients showing more service (Ochoa *et al*, 2012) and functioning (Bertani *et al*, 2012) unmet needs than males, and childhood abuse does not seem to add a significant impact on them. However, this result should be considered in the light of some sociocultural factors, as Latin families appear to be more likely to accept and cope with underperforming males (Bimbi, 2003) and to provide them greater support in everyday activities. The lack of a gender perspective in the Italian public mental health services may lead clinicians to be unaware of gender-specific issues and to replicate those behavioral patterns of FEP patients' families, thus hyper-investing in the rehabilitation programs of males and underestimating the needs of females with psychotic disorders.

4.1 Strengths and limitations

Strengths of the present study include the large sample size, the community-based study design, high levels of retention, and no significant differences in demographic variables between completers and non-completers (Ruggeri *et al*, 2015). Although the GET-UP study was not intended to address gender differences, its design ensures a good representativeness of all patients in its area and overcomes the problem of gender differences in help-seeking behaviours (Walker & Levine, 1993). Community-based study designs are considered the gold standard for describing true gender differences (Riecher-Rossler *et al*, 2018).

The possibility of selection and misclassification bias in the GET UP trial has been discussed in detail elsewhere (Ruggeri *et al*, 2015; Amaddeo *et al*, 2001). For selection bias, however, to test our hypotheses the age limit set in the GET-UP study (18-54) is potentially problematic. Women display a second peak in the incidence of psychosis in the perimenopausal period (Jackson *et al*, 2013), and studies with the upper age limit at 60 years show the same cumulative rates of psychosis for both gender (Riecher-Rossler, 2009), in contrast with studies in which the upper age limit is lower that showed a higher incidence of psychosis in men compared to women (Riecher-Rossler *et al*, 2017). It is therefore possible that a proportion of late-onset psychotic women may have been missed by the GET-UP study.

We decided to focus only on the impact of gender and childhood abuse on PANSS subscales rather than on PANSS single items in order to avoid multiple testing. However, distinguishing depressive and negative symptoms is particularly challenging, thus if the increase is related only to items involving avolition, including anhedonia and asociality (Blanchard and Cohen, 2006), this might be suggestive of a depressive component. We cannot rule out that the increase in negative symptoms in female patients exposed to childhood abuse may be due to an increase in the depression levels.

Needs for care have been assessed using the standard version of the CAN, which is not gender-sensitive (Ramsay *et al*, 2001). We were not able to examine the number of mothers or pregnant women in our sample (pregnancy was not an exclusion criteria in the GET-UP study protocol), but it is known that over 60% of women with severe mental illnesses are mothers (Howard *et al*,

2001) and they have specific, complex needs, including needs around CSA and domestic violence and abuse, contraception and different domains of childcare that are not detected adequately by generic assessment tools (Howard & Hunt, 2008). Therefore, it is extremely likely that actual needs for care in female patients in our sample are higher and more diversified than those detected. Future studies could use a validated and more specific assessment tool such as the CAN-M which provides a more comprehensive picture of needs for care of women with severe mental illnesses who are pregnant or mothers (Howard & Hunt, 2008). NICE guidelines (2012) recommend discussing contraception and optimisation of physical and mental health in potential future pregnancies at all stages of care, thus an adequate assessment of needs for care in this area appears crucial. Other gendered needs include comorbid substance abuse (Riecher-Rossler *et al*, 2018) - men show high rates of cannabis (Crocker & Tibbo, 2017), alcohol, cocaine and hallucinogens (Ochoa *et al*, 2012) abuse compared to women. Unfortunately, we were not able to control for cannabis abuse, which has been found to decrease the age of psychosis onset in both sexes (Di Forti *et al*, 2014).

Finally, patients in the GET-UP trial have been assessed after clinical stabilization (defined as a condition allowing the patient to collaborate at least in a brief examination) and this may have different implications for males and females with FEP. Women with FEP, compared to men, show higher levels of insight into illness (Karow *et al*, 2008), have greater risk of self-harm (Haro *et al*, 2008) and express more depressive symptoms (Carpenter *et al*, 2007) and for these reasons tend to seek effective treatment more often and earlier than men.

CONCLUSIONS

The investigation of gender differences in people with psychosis is important because it is likely to increase the understanding of the etiological pathway leading to the development of psychosis. Personalization of treatment for people with psychosis is an important goal and taking gender into account is likely to make a big difference, as it is for other fields of medicine.

Our results seem to suggest that childhood sexual abuse in female FEP patients may be linked to a form of psychosis whose presentation is characterized by~~similar to that is considered the typical “male” presentation of psychosis~~ (earlier age of onset and, higher levels negative symptoms), but additional research is needed to confirm this. However, the impact of gender and childhood abuse on psychopathology, age of onset and needs for care appears to be small and further research, is needed to disentangle whether these small differences are due to the lack of gender-sensitive assessment tools or simply reflect a lack of gender difference in itself.

Funding

This study was supported by the Italian Ministry of Health, Ricerca Sanitaria Finalizzata, Code H61J08000200001.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the patients and their family members who participated in this study. The authors have declared that there are no conflicts of interest in relation to the subject of this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- Aas M, Dazzan P, Mondelli V, et al. Abnormal cortisol awakening response predicts worse cognitive function in patients with first-episode psychosis. *Psychol Med* 2011; 41(3): 463-76.
- Aleman S, Goldberg X, van Winkel R, Gastó C, Peralta V, Fañanás L. Childhood adversity and psychosis: examining whether the association is due to genetic confounding using a monozygotic twin differences approach. *Eur Psychiatry* 2013; 28(4): 207-12.
- Alvarez MJ, Roura P, Osés A, Foguet Q, Solà J, Arrufat FX. Prevalence and clinical impact of childhood trauma in patients with severe mental disorders. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2011; 199(3): 156-61.
- Alvarez MJ, Roura P, Osés A, Foguet Q, Solà J, Arrufat FX. Prevalence and clinical impact of childhood trauma in patients with severe mental disorders. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2011; 199(3): 156-61.
- Alvarez-Jimenez M, Gleeson JF. Multielement psychosocial interventions for first-episode psychosis are feasible and show promise in generic mental health services. *Evid Based Ment Health* 2015; 18(4): 127.
- Amadeo F, Zambello F, Tansella M, Thornicroft G. Accessibility and pathways to psychiatric care in a community-based mental health system. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2001; 36(10): 500-7.
- Bendall S, Jackson HJ, Hulbert CA, McGorry PD. Childhood trauma and psychotic disorders: A systematic, critical review of the evidence. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2008; 34(3): 568-79.
- Bertani M, Lasalvia A, Bonetto C, et al. The influence of gender on clinical and social characteristics of patients at psychosis onset: a report from the Psychosis Incident Cohort Outcome Study (PICOS). *Psychological Medicine* 2012; 42(4): 769-80.

Bifulco A, Bernazzani O, Moran PM, Jacobs C. The childhood experience of care and abuse questionnaire (CECA.Q): validation in a community series. *Br J Clin Psychol* 2005; 44(Pt 4): 563-81.

Blanchard JJ, Cohen AS. The structure of negative symptoms within schizophrenia: implications for assessment. *Schizophr Bull.* 2006 Apr;32(2):238-45.

F B, editor. Differenze e disuguaglianze. Prospettive per gli studi di genere in Italia (Differences and Inequalities. Prospects for Gender Studies in Italy). Bologna: Il Mulino; 2003.

Brown DW, Anda RF, Edwards VJ, Felitti VJ, Dube SR, Giles WH. Adverse childhood experiences and childhood autobiographical memory disturbance. *Child Abuse Negl* 2007; 31(9): 961-9.

Burns JK, Jhazbhay K, Esterhuizen T, Emsley R. Exposure to trauma and the clinical presentation of first-episode psychosis in South Africa. *J Psychiatr Res* 2011; 45(2): 179-84.

Carpenter WT. Deconstructing and reconstructing illness syndromes associated with psychosis. *World Psychiatry* 2007; 6(2): 92-3.

Cotton SM, Lambert M, Schimmelmann BG, et al. Gender differences in premorbid, entry, treatment, and outcome characteristics in a treated epidemiological sample of 661 patients with first episode psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research* 2009; 114(1-3): 17-24.

Crocker CE, Tibbo PG. The interaction of gender and cannabis in early phase psychosis. *Schizophr Res* 2018; 194: 18-25.

Davies A, McIvor RJ, Kumar RC. Impact of childbirth on a series of schizophrenic mothers: a comment on the possible influence of oestrogen on schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 1995; 16(1): 25-31.

Dennison U, McKernan D, Cryan J, Dinan T. Schizophrenia patients with a history of childhood trauma have a pro-inflammatory phenotype. *Psychol Med* 2012; 42(9): 1865-71.

Di Forti M, Sallis H, Allegri F, et al. Daily use, especially of high-potency cannabis, drives the earlier onset of psychosis in cannabis users. *Schizophr Bull* 2014; 40(6): 1509-17.

Eranti SV, MacCabe JH, Bundy H, Murray RM. Gender difference in age at onset of schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2013; 43(1): 155-67.

Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Woodward LJ. The stability of child abuse reports: a longitudinal study of the reporting behaviour of young adults. *Psychol Med* 2000; 30(3): 529-44.

Filatova S, Marttila R, Koivumaa-Honkanen H, et al. A comparison of the cumulative incidence and early risk factors for psychotic disorder in young adults in the Northern Finland Birth Cohorts 1966 and 1986. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* 2017; 26(3): 314-24.

Fisher HL, Craig TK, Fearon P, et al. Reliability and comparability of psychosis patients' retrospective reports of childhood abuse. *Schizophr Bull* 2011; 37(3): 546-53.

Fisher H, Morgan C, Dazzan P, et al. Gender differences in the association between childhood abuse and psychosis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2009; 194(4): 319-25.

Fisher HL, Craig TK, Fearon P, et al. Reliability and Comparability of Psychosis Patients' Retrospective Reports of Childhood Abuse. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2011; 37(3): 546-53.

Fisher H, Morgan C, Dazzan P, et al. Gender differences in the association between childhood abuse and psychosis. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2009; 194(4): 319-25.

Gallagher BJ, 3rd, Jones BJ. Childhood stressors and symptoms of schizophrenia. *Clinical schizophrenia & related psychoses* 2013; 7(3): 124-30.

Haack S, Seeringer A, Thürmann PA, Becker T, Kirchheiner J. Sex-specific differences in side effects of psychotropic drugs: genes or gender? *Pharmacogenomics* 2009; 10(9): 1511-26.

Hacioglu Yildirim M, Yildirim EA, Kaser M, et al. The relationship between adulthood traumatic experiences and psychotic symptoms in female patients with schizophrenia. *Comprehensive psychiatry* 2014; 55(8): 1847-54.

Haro JM, Ciudad A, Alonso J, et al. [Remission and relapse in the outpatient treatment of patients with schizophrenia. Outcomes at 3 years]. *Actas Esp Psiquiatr* 2008; 36(4): 187-96.

Haug E, Øie M, Andreassen OA, et al. Anomalous self-experience and childhood trauma in first-episode schizophrenia. *Compr Psychiatry* 2015; 56: 35-41.

Howard LM, Hunt K. The needs of mothers with severe mental illness: a comparison of assessments of needs by staff and patients. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2008; 11(2): 131-6.

Howard LM, Kumar R, Thornicroft G. Psychosocial characteristics and needs of mothers with psychotic disorders. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001; 178: 427-32.

Hui CL, Leung CM, Chang WC, Chan SK, Lee EH, Chen EY. Examining gender difference in adult-onset psychosis in Hong Kong. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2014.

Hulme PA. Retrospective measurement of childhood sexual abuse: a review of instruments. *Child Maltreat* 2004; 9(2): 201-17.

Jablensky A, Sartorius N, Ernberg G, et al. Schizophrenia: manifestations, incidence and course in different cultures. A World Health Organization ten-country study. *Psychological medicine Monograph supplement* 1992; 20: 1-97.

Jackson D, Kirkbride J, Croudace T, et al. Meta-analytic approaches to determine gender differences in the age-incidence characteristics of schizophrenia and related psychoses. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2013; 22(1): 36-45.

Karow A, Pajonk FG, Reimer J, et al. The dilemma of insight into illness in schizophrenia: self- and expert-rated insight and quality of life. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience* 2008; 258(3): 152-9.

Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. THE POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE SYNDROME SCALE (PANSS) FOR SCHIZOPHRENIA. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 1987; 13(2): 261-76.

Kocsis-Bogár K, Mészáros V, Perczel-Forintos D. Gender differences in the relationship of childhood trauma and the course of illness in schizophrenia. *Compr Psychiatry* 2018; 82: 84-8.

Koster A, Lindhardt A, Lajer M, Rosenbaum B. Gender differences in first episode psychosis. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2008; 43(12): 940-6.

Li XB, Li QY, Liu JT, Zhang L, Tang YL, Wang CY. Childhood trauma associates with clinical features of schizophrenia in a sample of Chinese inpatients. *Psychiatry Res* 2015; 228(3): 702-7.

Longenecker J, Genderson J, Dickinson D, et al. Where have all the women gone?: participant gender in epidemiological and non-epidemiological research of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2010; 119(1-3): 240-5.

Lysaker PH, Davis LW, Gattton MJ, Herman SM. Associations of anxiety-related symptoms with reported history of childhood sexual abuse in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2005; 66(10): 1279-84.

Lysaker PH, Meyer PS, Evans JD, Clements CA, Marks KA. Childhood sexual trauma and psychosocial functioning in adults with schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services* 2001; 52(11): 1485-8.

Malla A, Payne J. First-episode psychosis: psychopathology, quality of life, and functional outcome. *Schizophr Bull* 2005; 31(3): 650-71.

McCrone P, Leese M, Thornicroft G, et al. Reliability of the Camberwell Assessment of Need - European Version - EPSILON study 6. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2000; 177: S34-S40.

McFarlane WR, Lukens E, Link B, et al. Multiple-family groups and psychoeducation in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1995; 52(8): 679-87.

Misiak B, Kiejna A, Frydecka D. The history of childhood trauma is associated with lipid disturbances and blood pressure in adult first-episode schizophrenia patients. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2015; 37(4): 365-7.

Misiak B, Moustafa AA, Kiejna A, Frydecka D. Childhood traumatic events and types of auditory verbal hallucinations in first-episode schizophrenia patients. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 2016; 66: 17-22.

Morgan C, Fisher H. Environment and schizophrenia: environmental factors in schizophrenia: childhood trauma--a critical review. *Schizophr Bull* 2007; 33(1): 3-10.

~~Morgan C, Fisher H. Environment and schizophrenia: Environmental factors in schizophrenia: Childhood trauma--A critical review. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2007; 33(1): 3-10.~~

(NICE) NifHaCE. Psychosis and schizophrenia in adults. 2015.

Ochoa S, Usall J, Cobo J, Labad X, Kulkarni J. Gender differences in schizophrenia and first-episode psychosis: a comprehensive literature review. *Schizophr Res Treatment* 2012; 2012: 916198.

Oram S, Khalifeh H, Howard LM. Violence against women and mental health. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2017; 4(2): 159-70.

Peters SD, Wyatt, G. E., & Finkelhor, D. A sourcebook on child sexual abuse. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage; 1986.

Ramsay R WS, Youard E. Needs of women patients with mental illness. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*; 2001. p. 85–92

Ramsay CE, Flanagan P, Gantt S, Broussard B, Compton MT. Clinical correlates of maltreatment and traumatic experiences in childhood and adolescence among predominantly African American, socially disadvantaged, hospitalized, first-episode psychosis patients. *Psychiatry Research* 2011; 188(3): 343-9.

Riecher-Rössler A. Sex and gender differences in mental disorders. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2017; 4(1): 8-9.

Riecher-Rössler A, Butler S, Kulkarni J. Sex and gender differences in schizophrenic psychoses-a critical review. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2018.

Riecher-Rössler A, Pflueger MO, Aston J, et al. Efficacy of using cognitive status in predicting psychosis: a 7-year follow-up. *Biol Psychiatry* 2009; 66(11): 1023-30.

Ruby E, Rothman K, Corcoran C, Goetz RR, Malaspina D. Influence of early trauma on features of schizophrenia. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2015.

Ruggeri M, Bonetto C, Lasalvia A, et al. Feasibility and Effectiveness of a Multi-Element Psychosocial Intervention for First-Episode Psychosis: Results From the Cluster-Randomized Controlled GET UP PIANO Trial in a Catchment Area of 10 Million Inhabitants. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2015; 41(5): 1192-203.

Sahin S, Yuksel C, Guler J, et al. The history of childhood trauma among individuals with ultra high risk for psychosis is as common as among patients with first-episode schizophrenia. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry* 2013; 7(4): 414-20.

Schaefer I, Fisher HL, Aderhold V, et al. Dissociative symptoms in patients with schizophrenia: relationships with childhood trauma and psychotic symptoms. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 2012; 53(4): 364-71.

Shrout PE. Measurement reliability and agreement in psychiatry. *Stat Methods Med Res* 1998; 7(3): 301-17.

Theleritis C, Fisher HL, Schäfer I, et al. Brain derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) is associated with childhood abuse but not cognitive domains in first episode psychosis. *Schizophr Res* 2014; 159(1): 56-61.

Thorup A, Albert N, Bertelsen M, et al. Gender differences in first-episode psychosis at 5-year follow-up--two different courses of disease? Results from the OPUS study at 5-year follow-up. *Eur Psychiatry* 2014; 29(1): 44-51.

Ucok A, Bikmaz S. The effects of childhood trauma in patients with first-episode schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 2007; 116(5): 371-7.

Vergano CM, Lauriola M, Speranza AM. The Complex Trauma Questionnaire (ComplexTQ): development and preliminary psychometric properties of an instrument for measuring early relational trauma. *Frontiers in Psychology* 2015; 6.

Walker EF, Lewine RR. Sampling biases in studies of gender and schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 1993; 19(1): 1-7; discussion 9-14.

Wing JK, Babor T, Brugha T, et al. SCAN - SCHEDULES FOR CLINICAL-ASSESSMENT IN NEUROPSYCHIATRY. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1990; 47(6): 589-93.