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That trauma can play a significant role in the onset and maintenance of voice-hearing is one of the most striking and important developments in the recent study of psychosis. Yet the finding that trauma increases the risk for hallucination and for psychosis is quite different from the claim that trauma is necessary for either to occur. Trauma is often but not always associated with voice-hearing in populations with psychosis; voice-hearing is sometimes associated with willful training and cultivation in nonclinical populations. This article uses ethnographic data among other data to explore the possibility of multiple pathways to voice-hearing for clinical and nonclinical individuals whose voices are not due to known etiological factors such as drugs, sensory deprivation, epilepsy, and so forth. We suggest that trauma sometimes plays a major role in hallucinations, sometimes a minor role, and sometimes no role at all. Our work also finds seemingly distinct phenomenological patterns for voice-hearing, which may reflect the different salience of trauma for those who hear voices.
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Introduction

The observation that trauma can play a significant role in the onset and maintenance of voice-hearing is one of the most striking and important developments in the recent study of psychosis. Theoretical models propose that trauma impacts hallucinations on 3 levels.  First, trauma may serve as a biological, biopsychosocial, and/or psychological stressor or trigger: those with psychosis commonly report physical assault, sexual trauma, and other forms of victimization before onset.  Second, trauma may influence voice content: what voices say may reflect elements of the original event.  Third, trauma-related dissociation may create or maintain hallucinations. Some argue early trauma creates auditory hallucinations by leading to the dissociation of self components; others that dissociation mediates the effect of childhood trauma on the hallucination process.  Although some studies have questioned these findings, there is enough evidence to conclude that trauma is a significant risk factor for psychosis and for voice-hearing in particular.  Yet the finding that trauma increases the risk for hallucination and for psychosis is quite different from the claim that trauma is necessary for either to occur. Moreover, trauma is operationalized in a wide range of ways. In the DSM-IV diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), trauma is conceptualized as the event to which there is a specific psychological reaction (eg, fear, helplessness, and horror); in the DSM-5...
diagnosis, trauma is limited to a number of specific event types (e.g., exposure to actual or threatened death, serious injury, or sexual violence). Studies examining associations between childhood trauma and hallucinations often use a variety of scales that rely on summing-up events experienced in childhood, answered contemporaneously or in retrospect, that may include relatively common stressful experiences such as feeling disliked by a parent (Child Abuse and Trauma Scale; CATS),\(^1^\) having hurtful or insulting things said to you ( Childhood Trauma Questionnaire—Short Form; CTQ-SF),\(^1^\) and parental divorce (Adverse Childhood Experience Questionnaire; ACE-Q)\(^1^\) in addition to more extreme classically traumatic experiences of physical or sexual assault. In the trauma and hallucinations literature, trauma is sometimes identified through a rating of the severity of the psychological consequences of trauma regardless of the number or frequency of traumatic experiences or the total number of adverse experiences recorded.\(^1^\) It is worth noting that prospective informant-reports and retrospective self-reports of childhood trauma show relatively low levels of agreement\(^1^\) (Kappas below 0.31\(^1^\)).

Furthermore, there is a common if often implicit assumption about the causal pathway between trauma and hallucinations: that the effects underlying the association, whether mediated via cognitive or neurobiological routes, are a result of emotional stress.\(^1^\) Yet many traumatic experiences also commonly co-occur with other events that may constitute a parallel risk factor for the development of hallucinations after trauma: for example, concussion and brain injury in intimate partner violence,\(^1^\) malnutrition and neglect in childhood trauma,\(^1^\) and drug-facilitated sexual abuse.\(^1^\) The research on social adversity and social disadvantage demonstrates that trauma and psychosis are deeply entangled with a myriad of social and environmental risk factors.\(^21^,22^\)

Finally, the experience of trauma is common. In the United States, researchers have found that about 90% of the general population reports at least one significant traumatic event\(^23^; 70% do so globally.\(^2^\) What counts as trauma may vary in different social worlds,\(^25^\) but the rate of psychosis (<1%) is substantially lower than the rate of trauma.

The goal of the article is to explore the possibility of multiple pathways to voice-hearing for clinical and nonclinical individuals whose voices are not due to known etiological factors such as drugs, sensory deprivation, epilepsy, and so forth. We do so using multiple sources of data, including ethnography and qualitative observation. Our work also finds seemingly distinct phenomenological patterns for voice-hearing, which may reflect the different salience of trauma for those who hear voices. We suggest that while trauma may play a major role in some hallucinations, and a minor role in many, it may play no role in other hallucinations.

**Trauma is Often But Not Always Associated With Voice-hearing in Populations With Psychosis**

Trauma can of course never be ruled out, even when individuals do not report it. Still, even in large studies when participants have been asked systematically about trauma, not all who report voices report trauma. One review reports that between 34% and 53% of patients with severe mental illness report childhood sexual or physical abuse, and that 56% of patients admitted with first episode psychosis report childhood sexual abuse;\(^26^\) another reports studies that found a 47% rate of childhood sexual abuse in a schizoaffective population and a 38% rate of sexual abuse in an inpatient psychosis population.\(^27^\) A retrospective population-based study of 17 337 people found a statistically significant relationship between hallucination and self-reported history of childhood adversity in many forms. Early trauma exposure effectively doubled the risk of hallucination (with odds ratios between 1.2 and 2.5 for different categories of trauma; only 2% of the sample reported hallucinations), but there were individuals who reported childhood abuse (64% reported at least one item on the ACE) but did not report hallucinations, and individuals who reported hallucinations but did not report childhood abuse.\(^28^\) Other studies have reported a significant minority of individuals with psychosis and voice-hearing who report neither any history of abuse\(^29^\) nor a clear trigger for the onset of their hallucinations.\(^30^\)

**Voice-hearing is Often Associated With Propensity and Practice in Nonclinical Populations**

The role of trauma in hallucinations in the general (nonclinical) population is even less clear.\(^31^\) In many culturally diverse settings, unusual sensory experiences are highly valued.\(^32^\) We know that highly hypnotizable individuals are able to experience hallucinations in response to suggestions,\(^33^\) that those who score highly in absorption are more likely to report unusual sensory experiences,\(^34^,35^\) and that meditation is capable of eliciting vivid apparitions.\(^36^\)

Those for whom hallucinations are culturally valuable—who expect or even yearn to see spirits or to hear God—often describe some kind of willful “training” or deliberate cultivation that appears to increase the chance of experiencing them. In ethnographic work with charismatic Christians, Luhrmann\(^37,38^\) found that many nonclinical Christian interlocutors (roughly a third) reported at least one experience of hearing God speak audibly. For the most part, these events were rare (people typically reported no more than a handful of such events); brief (rarely more than 4–6 words); and startling, but not distressing (God said things such as, “Slow down”, “I love you”; “I will always be with you”). Compared with people with psychosis, their voices were less commanding, although they shared qualities such as being vivid, substantial, and located in external space. The research found that these events were
associated with mental imagery practice, or unscripted prayer\textsuperscript{39} and with the trait of “absorption,” as measured by the Tellegen Absorption Scale, which seems to capture whether one can be caught up in sensory experience.\textsuperscript{40} Although some of these individuals did spontaneously speak about trauma, most did not, despite lengthy interviews.

The Hearing the Voice project at Durham University\textsuperscript{41} and a related project at Yale\textsuperscript{42} work with nonclinical voice-hearers who describes themselves as psychics and spiritualists. As with the nonclinical Christians, these participants often emphasize skill and training in their experience of voices. Those in the Durham group typically spoke of first hearing an unpleasant voice, deciding to treat it as a gift, and then methodically working with the voice until the voice became more positive and controllable. About half, however, always experienced their voices as positive, and all reported a higher degree of control over the voices than usually found in clinical samples. Many work at churches on a regular basis, passing on their experiences, mastered over time, often following initial distress at the initial voice experience, and learned under the tutelage of another person with dissociative identity disorder. In this study, childhood malatreatment was assessed by the CTQ,\textsuperscript{12} a self-report inventory that asks about emotional, physical, and sexual abuse and physical and emotional neglect. For those with past trauma, their voices were on average louder than normal speech, related to real humans who were influential in the individual's life, related to memories, and experienced with hallucinations in other sensory modalities. Bless et al\textsuperscript{52} found that 43% of individuals in the general population who reported hearing voices could not identify any specific event (a “trigger,” typically negative) when they heard their voice for the first time. These non-trigger individuals reported less severe and less frequent auditory hallucinations with more neutral emotional content than those reported adverse life events when they heard a voice for the first time. Those who identified a clear trigger more often reported hallucinations in other sensory modalities, poorer general mental health, and were more likely to have been in contact with a health professional about their voices.

\textit{Novel Data on Different Phenomenological Presentations of Voice-hearing}

Here, we present novel data from an ethnographic study of healthy voice-hearers, which finds that there are different phenomenological presentations of voice-hearing, and different responses to a novel probe, and that these differences are associated with distinctive presentations of trauma.

In Cape Coast, Ghana, “okomfo” are individuals who are understood to talk with the local gods. There is a widely shared cultural model that those who become okomfo are called audibly by the gods; that during this time other people may think that the individual is mad (they also say that people are driven mad if they refuse to answer the call); that during the long and difficult training process, the individual is taught how to hear and speak through them. After training, candidates are understood to have a positive relationship with a range of gods and spirits with whom they speak on a regular basis. Despite this shared cultural model, individuals presented different accounts of voice-hearing. In the summer of 2017, Luhrmann\textsuperscript{37} interviewed 11 okomfo and 7 charismatic Christians who (unusually) said that God or the gods spoke to them audibly at least once a week. (There...
were other okomfo who did not hear voices at least once a week.) All were individuals in an ongoing research project on spiritual experience in the area, and most had already been interviewed at length by the local research team, including John Dulin, Vivian Dzokoto, and Eunice Otoo. Data were collected as part of the Mind and Spirit project in a Templeton-funded, Stanford-based comparative, and interdisciplinary project under the direction of TM Luhrmann (PI). Luhrmann conducted a more detailed phenomenological interview. In addition, she played a 45 s audio-track originally created by Pat Deegan to represent the experience of hearing voices. The segment included whispering voices and murmuring voices. It featured a “good” female voice and a “bad” male voice, a man who made derogatory comments such as “you smell” and who gave sharp commands such as “don’t do that.” Luhrmann and her team remade the track with native Fante speakers. At the end of the interview, individuals were asked whether their experience with the gods was similar to or different from this track. All were asked directly about trauma.

Table 1 displays 4 exemplars of patterns of voice-hearing among these nonclinical individuals. Each pattern was represented by several individuals. The ethnographer identified these patterns through the direct responses in interviews and in discussion with other research team members using the iterative methods standard in the discipline.

Table 1.

Pattern I: “psychosis-like” presentation
Example: Mary was in her early forties when her business failed. She ran into the bush to follow the call of the gods and was lost for 3 days. Her family took her to a psychiatric hospital but—she reported—the hospital said that nothing was wrong. Now in her late seventies, she repeatedly described the gods’ voices as audible. She had verbal conversations with the gods as well, and other beings would speak with her: demons, cats, and even disembodied neighbors. They would chatter around her, whispering, commenting on what she was doing, commanding her, talking among themselves. She clearly recognized the events on the audio-track and said that what she heard sounded like that.

Pattern II: “trauma-related dissociation” presentation
Example: Ekuwa became an okomfo in her late twenties. She had a violent relationship with her husband, and after a particularly bad fight, she packed her bags and left. She was possessed by a god a month later. She had always had a tumultuous life. She had 4 children by 4 men, and she spoke a lot about fighting. She said that her mother beat her when she was young. When she listened to the track, she said: “this is not the way the gods talk. This is how witches talk.” She heard witches in her dreams, and sometimes they would wake her up and seemingly assault her. Yet while her auditory experience was real, it seemed less prominent and less varied. She seemed to hear whispering only in her dreams.

Pattern III: “simple trance” presentation
Example: Nyakpoo became an okomfo because his mother had been one and he liked what she did. When he was young, he would help out in the shrine and follow her around. His other siblings would not. He was in his teens when he began his training. He never heard the gods speak before he was initiated. He said that the gods never sounded like the audio-track, nor did the witches. He did see and hear them, but their degree of audition was never clear to any of his interviewers. He said that no one had ever thought of taking him to a hospital. He reported no trauma. His account was of a vividly imagined world which he clearly enjoyed immensely.

Pattern IV: “incidental” hallucinations
Example: Laura was a Catholic. She prayed for 30–60 min each day, reading her Bible and talking informally with God in her mind, but she did not speak in tongues and she did not describe entering prayer in a vividly trance-like way. She would often wake up and pray in the middle of the night. During this nighttime period she would hear God speak out loud to her, maybe twice a week. She said she had never heard anything like the audio-track.
rejected the track, or gave a description of voice-hearing at odds with the track. Their account of voice-hearing were more novelistic, more rich with imaginative detail, than the accounts of others. There were 3 okomfo like this.

Pattern IV we identified as “incidental hallucination.” In this pattern, individuals appeared to hear voices no more than once a week. They gave no account of other people thinking the individual is psychiatrically impaired. They denied trauma. There was little evidence of elaborated trance. They described no variety of auditory events. In this pattern, people said that the track was unlike their experience. Six Christians gave accounts that fit this pattern.

Within the group as a whole, as the voices were less demanding and overall more positive, the individuals described less trauma. Those who denied trauma unequivocally also denied that the Deegan track resembled the voices they heard from the gods. Those who identified either childhood trauma or a precipitating event tended to say that the voices of the god sounded like the voices on the track. Only those who described past experience with clinical features resembling a psychotic break said that they experienced the full range of auditory events presented on the track. Our authorship group thought that these different categories could be distinguished as well in other data sets—specifically, in the Durham and Yale studies, and also in the Unusual Experiences Enquiry (UNIQUE) studies.

Overall, these observations suggest that when people have experienced trauma and the trauma is salient for them, voice-hearing may be more harsh and more audibly diverse. They also suggest that there may be pathways to hallucination in which trauma plays little role.

Underlying Mechanisms

There are at least 2 types of related mechanisms, psychological/cognitive and neurobiological, which could explain the existence of auditory hallucinations not due to trauma, and the greater distress and auditory diversity of hallucinations associated with trauma salience.

With respect to psychological mechanisms, research on dissociation has distinguished 2 forms, “detachment” and “compartmentalization,” unlikely to be explained by similar cognitive mechanisms, with the former being more likely to be associated with trauma.54–57 Detachment-type dissociation involves feelings of depersonalization and/or derealization that is associated clinically with peritraumatic dissociation; as aforementioned, it may be a mediating factor between trauma and hallucinated voices. Compartmentalization-type dissociation is considered to be the basis of the alterations in subjective experience associated with hypnosis.58 The basic mechanism in compartmentalization-type dissociation seems to be an individual’s susceptibility to suggestion, and inward attention focus; those at the higher end of hypnotic suggestibility can experience marked hallucinations in response to suggestions. This susceptibility seems to be a stable lifelong trait, normally distributed in the population, and distinct from the effects of persuasion or social conformity.59 It seems possible that the voice-hearing of pattern II individuals is mediated by detachment-style dissociation and that of pattern III individuals by the voluntary use of compartmentalization-style dissociation.

This latter mechanisms is consistent with the proposal that, under specific conditions of expectancy or attention, mental processes can influence sensory perception. Theoretical models developed from data on clinical population groups suggest that hallucinations arise from alterations in the balance between bottom-up perceptual and top-down cognitive processing deficits,60–62 perhaps influenced by deficits in inhibitory control and cognitive monitoring mechanisms.53,64 In the absence of cognitive or neurological deficit (as in the case of spiritual practice), top-down effects may play a central role. There is indeed evidence that top-down influences can produce hallucinations in the absence of any external signals,65 and that focused attention has the potential to blur the line between beliefs and experiences.66 In support, studies using degraded speech tasks suggest that non-help-seeking voice hearers utilise such top-down influence during speech perception.61 Indeed, formal computational modeling of participant behavior during perceptual inference (within the Bayesian predictive coding framework66) reveals that both clinical and nonclinical voice-hearers are biased toward top-down prior beliefs, and have difficulties updating those priors in light of new evidence.67

These mechanisms are also consistent with the observation that learning—or training—may alter voice-hearing experience, regardless of pathway. Voice-hearing embedded in an agreeable social world may become more manageable,42 distressing voice-hearing may improve in response to specific coaching,68 and voice-hearing in religious contexts, as discussed, may become more culturally appropriate over time.

With respect to the neurobiological mechanisms, we suggest that the classic dopaminergic hypothesis for schizophrenia and psychosis-like experience may not apply for the explanation of nonpsychosis hallucinations. Although presynaptic striatum dopaminergic hyperactivation is likely present in psychosis across diagnostic categories,69–72 and may be a factor behind trauma-related hallucinations,73 it may not be present in nonclinical voice-hearers in general.74 Therefore, it is possible that the trauma-related patterns (I and II) may differ from the non-trauma-related patterns (III and IV) in the degree to which they are dopaminergically mediated.75 The incidental murmuring and possibly other blurry speech experience most evident in patterns I and II may arise from a spontaneously hyperactivated cortex, whereas the clear voices in addition may represent intrusive memories that are not adequately suppressed by frontal regions of the brain.76 By contrast, some
more incidental voice-hearing may be sleep related or perhaps also due to the deliberate spiritual practice with inner sensory imagery; hallucination events have been associated with practice in a range of traditions.

If dopamine is not involved in patterns III and IV, what other neurobiological process may be at play with the power to disrupt the balance between bottom-up and top-down processes and trauma-related memories? An excitation–inhibition (E/I) imbalance model at the neurochemistry level, following the suggestion by Jardri et al., proposes that excitatory transmitters, such as glutamate, cause spontaneous hyperactivation of temporal lobe speech areas, which are not inhibited due to hypoactivation of frontal lobe areas caused by aberrant function of inhibitory transmitters, particularly gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA). An E/I imbalance model is indirectly supported by studies showing elevated glutamate levels in trait hallucinators as well as studies in treatment-resistant patients having schizophrenia. It is possible that while nontrauma hallucinating individuals show abnormal glutamate levels, which could explain the spontaneous onset of a hallucinatory episode, individuals with a history of trauma in addition show abnormal GABA levels, which prevents them from cognitively inhibiting the voices once they occur, and which would let trauma-related memories flow freely.

An important factor in trauma-related psychosis is stress, and a corresponding dysregulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal gland (HPA)-axis. Several studies have shown that HPA-axis hormonal dysregulation in trauma may be a risk factor for transition to psychosis. Although not a common issue in hallucinations research, it is possible that elevated cortisol levels may be a marker for trauma-related hallucinations, not seen in non-trauma individuals.

Conclusion

The discovery of the great importance of trauma for voice-hearing should lead us to consider the possibility of alternative pathways as well. Having established trauma so firmly as a risk factor for voice-hearing, we should now use more careful phenomenological methods to explore voice-hearing for which trauma may be less salient and to consider whether the patterns we describe here might be supported by further research. Ethnographic data—not often considered in psychiatric science—may be helpful here. We should also vigorously explore the ways in which training in many forms may render some of these experiences less caustic.

All research was approved by the authors’ Institutional Review Boards.

Funding

T.M.L.’s work was supported by the John Templeton Foundation 55427; B.A.D. and P.M. are supported by the Wellcome Trust WT 108720; K.H. is supported by the European Research Council (ERC Advanced Grant 693124), Research Council of Norway FRIMEDBIO 21550, and Health Authority of Western Norway (Helse-Vest 912045; V.B. is supported by a Wellcome Trust Seed Award in Science 200589/2/16/7.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that there are no conflicts of interest in relation to the subject of this study.

References


