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Abstract. Continuous dopaminergic stimulation in Parkinson’s disease (PD) has several advantages over pulsatile, non-continuous, stimulation. These therapies currently consist of pump-based and transcutaneous therapies and are based on a more constant delivery of the dopaminergic drug resulting in continuous dopaminergic stimulation and a more stable treatment effect. Several clinical and experimental observations have shown that continuous stimulation of dopaminergic receptors induces fewer complications, such as dyskinesia, compared to pulsatile stimulation. Currently available non-oral pharmacological continuous therapies in PD include the transdermal Rotigotine (RTG) patch, infusion therapies with Apomorphine and Intrajejunal Levodopa (IJLI) and the Rivastigmine patch. Here we aim to provide a concise review of these current therapies and discuss ongoing and future developments of continuous non-oral pharmacological dopaminergic therapies in PD.
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INTRODUCTION

Continuous dopaminergic stimulation in Parkinson’s disease (PD) has several advantages over pulsatile, non-continuous, stimulation. Several clinical and experimental observations have shown that continuous stimulation of dopaminergic receptors induces fewer complications, such as dyskinesia, compared to pulsatile stimulation [1, 2]. Moreover, evidence suggests that early treatment with long acting dopaminergic stimulation, such as dopamine agonists, has a reduced risk of motor complications compared to immediate release preparations, such as Levodopa, although Levodopa (LD) is more effective in improving motor symptoms and some nonmotor symptoms in experimental models of animals.
[3–5]. Moreover, continuous therapy has the capability of simplifying treatment regimes and could improve compliance [6]. Significant medication non-compliance has been reported to be as high as 67% among PD patients [7] and only a quarter of patients adhere to medication times [8]. Simplification of regimes improves patient adherence [9].

As gastrointestinal dysfunction in PD has now been recognized to be part of the nonmotor endophenotype of PD and occur in all stages of the disease, leading to absorption problems of oral medication, the focus of continuous drug delivery in PD should be focused towards non-oral therapies [10, 11]. Currently available non-oral therapies in PD consist of the transdermal Rotigotine (RTG) patch, infusion therapies with Apomorphine and Intrajejunal Levodopa (IJLI) and Rivastigmine patch. Here we aim to provide a concise review of these current therapies and discuss ongoing and future developments of continuous non-oral pharmacological dopaminergic therapies in PD.

CONTINUOUS DRUG DELIVERY (CDD) IN PD

Many attempts have been or are being currently made in order to provide oral levodopa preparations with longer distribution times, more stable pharmacokinetics to mimic CDD and reduce the number of tablets needed daily. A notable example includes DM-1992/Depomed, a gastroretentive form of Levodopa/Carbidopa for the treatment of advanced PD [12]. On the other hand, non-oral, continuous, dopaminergic treatments are becoming the treatment of choice for PD patients with ON-OFF fluctuations and levodopa-associated dyskinesias. Other benefits of continuous, non-oral, therapies are their applicability for night-time complaints. These can be both motor symptoms, such as difficulties turning in bed, and non-motor, such as insomnia. The focus of this review will be on non-oral therapies. An overview of available continuous drug therapies, including those under development, is provided in (Fig. 1).

CURRENTLY AVAILABLE LEVODOPA-BASED STRATEGIES

Continuous Intrajejunal Levodopa Infusion (IJLI) therapy

IJLI, was first introduced in Sweden in the 1990s [13] and since its introduction much progress has been made in our understanding of the effects of this therapy. IJLI is used for PD patients with advanced disease and a double-blind, placebo-controlled study [14] has demonstrated that continuous intraintestinal levodopa-carbidopa intestinal gel infusion provided a reduction in off-time, increase in on-time without troublesome dyskinesia, and improvement in measures of quality of life when compared with intermittent, oral administration of the drug. Although initially introduced to address motor symptoms in patients who experience wearing-Off, On-periods with troublesome dyskinesia and other motor fluctuations [15, 16], it has now been shown IJLI also has a pronounced effect on non-motor symptoms (NMS). IJLI has a clear effect on motor symptoms, with a reduction of up to 3.9 hours/day reduction in Off-time and On-time with dyskinesia [17]. Regarding NMS, the effect was shown at both the level of NMS as a whole (NMS burden) [17, 18], but was especially pronounced for sleep, mood and gastrointestinal problems [17, 19]. In addition, several studies have shown a clear improvement in experienced quality of life, not only in patients on IJLI [14, 17, 18], but also in caregivers [18]. Moreover, a study sponsored by the Industry suggested that costs for IJLI are currently comparable to standard care with oral therapies with per patient costs over the lifetime of the patient estimated at €537,687 for IJLI compared to €514,037 for standard care [20]. However, national commissioning bodies in many countries may have different cost benefit values for the use of IJLI. IJLI therapy can be considered for patients with advanced PD and severe motor fluctuations. IILI is less suitable for patients with a poor response to levodopa, pronounced dementia, and the inability to handle the infusion pump. Unlike candidates for deep brain stimulation, however, depression and mild cognitive impairment are not a contraindication and there is also no upper age limit [21].

IJLI therapy is associated with an increased risk for the development of or worsening of pre-existing polyneuropathy (PNP). Up to 9% of patients on IJLI develop subacute PNP, which is a reason to stop the therapy. Up to half of patients may develop chronic PNP which can be alleviated or stabilised with vitamin B1 and B12 [22]. Similar development of PNP is also observed with oral Levodopa preparations and it remains unclear whether it is more prevalent in patients on IJLI therapy compared to those on oral therapies [23]. Nevertheless, patients on IJLI should be routinely checked for signs of PNP. Other complications of IJLI include decreased weight.
(around 7%), device-related infections (around 6%),
and device dislocations (around 5%) [17, 24].

Future development of IJLI and other Levodopa
based therapies

Recently, a new formula for IJLI has been
developed where Levodopa/Carbidopa gel was combined
with Entacapone to extend the half-life of levodopa
[25]. In a small randomized cross-over trial, it was
shown that when levodopa content in the gel was
lowered by 20% and Entacapone added, this was as
effective as the normal IJLI gel without Entacapone.
This in effect showed that Entacapone allows for a
20% reduction of the Levodopa dose in IJLI ther-
apy [25]. The reduction of solution volume needed
may prevent high L-Dopa doses, that increase the
treatment cost and the risk for side-effects, such
as hyperkinesia, hallucinations, hyperhomocysteine-
mia, B12-deficiency and polyneuropathy [26] and
create the possibility to introduce smaller pump
devices. A recent approach has been to combine the
third generation COMT inhibitor opicapone, effective once a day with IJLI infusion which may reduce the IJLI dosing by 20–30% (Taddei et al., unpublished data).

A novel levodopa/carbidopa solution allowing continuous intravenous and subcutaneous (sc) infusion is currently being tested in a Phase I, multicenter trial in Sweden (IPO-001, NCT03419806). Another example is the Levodopa pump patch, ND0612, whereby a pump is attached to a transdermal patch [27–29]. This formulation consists of Levodopa and Carbidopa and is being developed for patients with moderate to severe motor disease. The subcutaneous route administration of ND0612 shows sustained levodopa plasma levels, thus offering a new approach to continuous drug delivery. Currently, the available data is showing that continuous subcutaneous ND0612 delivery yielded steady-state plasma concentrations estimated to be in a therapeutic window. A Phase 2 trial showed reduced Off-time of over two hours compared with placebo. Further analysis showed good tolerability and transient local skin reactions were the main adverse events [27–29].

Other subcutaneous L-dopa alternatives are also currently under development, such as ABBV-951 (NCT03374917). Subcutaneous administration of continuous therapy is a relatively convenient way of treatment and the main problems related to it are local skin reactions and the amount of L-dopa that can be given subcutaneously daily. Another intuitive example of trying to achieve a more continuous LD delivery in a non-invasive way is DopaFuse that has undergone a Phase II study. It is a little device that is worn in the back of the mouth and slowly delivers the drug. INP103 on the other hand (NCT03541356) is trying to utilize levodopa during OFF episodes by the intranasal route and is currently recruiting for a Phase IIa study in Australia.

**CURRENTLY AVAILABLE NON-LEVODOPA BASED STRATEGIES**

**Rotigotine transdermal patch**

The transdermal RTG patch has been in clinical use for the best part of 15 years now and was the first transdermal therapy widely available to PD patients in all stages of the disease. RTG shows steady and stable plasma levels throughout the day, including night time [30]. Interestingly, as Apomorphine, RTG is one of the few dopamine agonists with a significant effect on D1-type receptors, unlike, e.g., pramipexole which has potent D3 activity. RTG not only has a clear effect on motor symptoms in PD [31, 32], but its efficacy has also been shown for several NMS, concerning positive effects mainly on sleep and (dopamine-fluctuation related) pain [33–35].

**Future development of Rotigotine**

Studies addressing the possibility of improving the delivery of Rotigotine are currently underway. One way of improving delivery is to combine drugs with polyoxazolines, biodegradable bioconjugate polymers, with potential of greater control of drug delivery and release rate. Such a RTG-polyoxazoline conjugate, delivered subcutaneously, has entered into a phase I study in de novo PD patients (NCT02579473). In a PD rat model, this drug (SER-214) showed a prolonged RTG half-life with reduced motor complications [36].

An extended-release microsphere formulation of Rotigotine, LY 03003, is being developed as an intramuscular injection and is intended for once weekly administration. If proven to be bioequivalent to transdermal RTG patch, it could provide a useful alternative that simplifies treatment administration and compliance (NCT03589066). According to the manufacturer there are also plans on developing the formulation for monthly injections. Small polymeric, Rotigotine-loaded implants that were inserted subcutaneously in rats have shown a sustained drug release for about 40 days, indicating that further development of such drug formulations may provide a convenient alternative for continuous dopaminergic stimulation in PD patients [37].

**Ropinirole**

Ropinirole is a D2/D3 dopamine agonist that is used in PD patients in all stages of disease as immediate and extended release tablets. A study on Ropinirole delivered by subcutaneous implants that can hopefully deliver the medication under a 3-month period is under way (NCT03250117), while continuous subcutaneous infusion with ropinirole has been previously tested in animals [38].

**Apomorphine**

The use of Apomorphine subcutaneous injections for the treatment of motor symptoms in advanced PD has been documented as far back as 1951 [39]. The evidence for the clinical use of Apomorphine
in addressing motor symptoms and motor fluctuations in PD was, until very recently, based on the results from a number of open-label trials [40]. In 2017, a large randomized, placebo-controlled study (TOLEDO study) showed the superiority of Apomorphine compared to placebo with a significant and clinically meaningful reduction in Off-time of approximately two hours and an equal extension of On-time without troublesome dyskinesia [41]. However, a significant benefit on quality of life was not observed. Apomorphine infusion therapy also appears to have a focal effect on NMS [19] with the main effects on mood/apathy, perceptual problems and memory. The indications for Apomorphine therapy are the same as for IJLI, although care should be taken with patients who experience drug-related hallucinations or impulse control disorders. Such patients need not necessarily be excluded as visual hallucinations can often be handled through dose adjustments [21].

**Future development of Apomorphine**

Studies are currently underway to assess the effect of Apomorphine delivery via a pump patch rather than via classical infusion [42].

The apomorphine-based product ND0701 is delivered via a patch attached to a pump. Results obtained so far have shown that the bioavailability of apomorphine from ND0701 was comparable to that of commercial Apomorphine and that the systemic and local safety profile of ND0701 was non-inferior to commercial Apomorphine with, e.g., less prominent nodules formation [43]. This idea is identical to the one described for the levodopa-based therapies and ND0701 is aimed at patients who may not respond to the levodopa patch-pump technology (see above).

**Rivastigmine**

Rivastigmine was first approved in Switzerland in 1997 and later in the USA in 2000 for the symptomatic treatment of mild-to-moderate Alzheimer’s disease. Following this, it was approved in many countries worldwide in 2006 for the symptomatic treatment of mild-to-moderate PD dementia [44] in advanced PD. The patches provide a smooth and continuous transcutaneous drug delivery, unlike oral capsules. The Rivastigmine 9.5 mg/24h patch provides comparable exposure to the highest capsule dose (12 mg/day), but with prolonged efficacy time and lower peaks [44]. The usefulness of Rivastigmine extends beyond improving cognition. A small pilot trial has shown an improvement in REM sleep behavior episodes assessed by means of bed partner diaries [45]. Another small study has shown that Rivastigmine may improve certain elements of postural control and reduce falls [46, 47], although this requires further studies as the positive findings are not paralleled by marked effects in clinical practice.

**Amantadine**

Although Amantadine is an oral therapy, and as such not within the scope of this review, we have referenced it as the single-dose tablet can be considered a continuous therapy and furthermore aids in delivering simplified treatment regimes in PD patients. Amantadine has still its role in PD treatment and is mainly used as an antidyserkinetic and a novel extended formulation ADS-S102, that is used 1 time daily, has recently undergone a Phase III trial in France with promising results in reducing levodopa-induced dyskinesias and even mildly reducing OFF-time [48].

**SUMMARY AND SPECULATION ABOUT FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS**

Although the current continuous therapies are not disease-modifying, they clearly provide robust symptomatic relief of both motor and non-motor symptoms related to the disease in advanced PD. The developments currently underway appear to be attractive concepts, but there is still much left to improve with regards to efficacy and safety of these treatments. Even so, the drive for more efficacious and less invasive and costly alternatives to the current treatments is likely to benefit patients with advanced PD in the future.

Further developments of the Levodopa-based therapies, such as IJLI, are likely to focus primarily on achieving highly concentrated infusion solutions in order to reduce pump size and weight. Addressing night-time delivery improving sleep and nocturnal motor symptoms is also important. First steps towards such treatments are the addition of COMT inhibitors to IJLI therapy and the 24 h transcutaneous Rotigotine patch, while IJLI is also in many cases given on a 24-hour basis. Finally, efforts should be made to use existing continuous therapies, such as Rivastigmine, for other indications. Exploratory results have shown that this drug may in fact not only address cognitive problems in PD, but also gait, underlining the concept...
of the cholinergic subtype of PD associated with gait disorders [49, 50].

Although not classified as CDD, cell-based therapies and gene therapy methodology are also under development, with an aim to achieve a more continuous and physiological dopaminergic stimulation in the areas of the brain that these techniques are applied to. These methods are still purely experimental, but that not least the use of stem cell-based techniques might accelerate this development. The variability and symptom fluctuations of PD can be better captured by digital technology such as wearable devices and sensors and may become regular in clinical practice in future. Monitoring devices, whether it be devoted devices worn by the patients, i.e., a wearable accelerometer, software/applications on patients’ mobile devices measuring movement patterns or even devices measuring levodopa levels similar to those used for glucose monitoring in diabetes, could provide a monitoring aid in treating patients with continuous dopaminergic stimulation.

A further step could also be an interaction the monitoring with the treatment providing devices, creating a biofeedback loop, thus minimizing motor fluctuations consistently, with minimal patient/doctor intervention, taking us a step further in personalized patient care.

The ultimate aim should be a continuous therapy that is capable of release throughout the 24 hours of a day and that holistically addresses motor and non-motor symptoms, preferably through a personalized medicine for PD approach where patients’ individual symptoms are taken into account [50].
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