Genomics of body fat percentage may contribute to sex bias in anorexia nervosa
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Anorexia nervosa (AN) occurs nine times more often in females than in males. Although environmental factors likely play a role, the reasons for this imbalanced sex ratio remain unresolved. AN displays high genetic correlations with anthropometric and metabolic traits. Given sex differences in body composition, we investigated the possible metabolic underpinnings of female propensity for AN. We conducted sex-specific GWAS in a healthy and medication-free subsample of the UK Biobank (n = 155,961), identifying 77 genome-wide significant loci associated with body fat percentage (BF%) and 174 with fat-free mass (FFM). Partitioned heritability analysis showed an enrichment for central nervous tissue-associated genes for BF%, which was more prominent in females than males. Genetic correlations of BF%male and BF%female with AN differed significantly from each other (p < .0001, δ = -0.17), suggesting that the female preponderance in AN may, in part, be explained by sex-specific anthropometric and metabolic genetic factors increasing liability to AN.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is one of the most lethal psychiatric disorders and has established environmental and genetic risk factors (Chesney, Goodwin, & Fazel, 2014; Keshaviah et al., 2014). Female sex is the most robust and replicated risk factor, with nine females affected for each male case observed (Bulik et al., 2006; Miceli, Hagberg, Petersen, & Treasure, 2013; Steinhausen & Jensen, 2015). Although historic diagnostic criteria for AN may have favored detection in females (e.g., presence of the amenorrhea criterion), most schemata did allow for the diagnosis of AN in males (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; World Health Organization, 1992). The focus of most work on gender differences in AN has been on sociocultural factors, such as personal evaluation of physical appearance and social pressures to be thin (Bakalar, Shank, Vannucci, Radin, & Tanofsky-Kraff, 2015) although models based on biological and hormonal factors, such as growth, sex, and appetite-regulating hormone abnormalities have also been posited (Culbert, Racine, & Klump, 2016; Schorr & Miller, 2017). However, collectively findings to date are not yet able to account for the widely disparate prevalences by sex.

The marked alterations in body composition, including fat mass (FM), fat-free mass (FFM), and bone mineral density observed in AN are clinical characteristics of the illness, but have generally been considered to be sequelae of starvation (Westmoreland, Krantz, & Mehler, 2016). Females with AN show significantly greater FM deficits than affected males (Nagata et al., 2017) and, even after recovery, some individuals do not restore healthy body fat percentages (BF%; El Ghoch, Calugi, Lamburghini, & Dalle Grave, 2014). Moreover, lower BF% is a major risk factor for relapse (Bodell & Mayer, 2011). The causes of these particular sex differences have not yet been fully investigated.

Both AN and body composition as measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis are heritable (Schousboe et al., 2004; Tarnoki et al., 2014; Table S1). Significant negative single nucleotide polymorphism-based autosomal genetic correlations (SNP-rg) between AN and body mass index (BMI) and BF% were observed by the largest GWAS of AN conducted by the Eating Disorders Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC-ED; Duncan et al., 2017; Watson et al., 2018). This suggests shared etiology between those anthropometric traits and AN. Furthermore, AN shares common genetic variation with metabolic traits, such as insulin sensitivity and cholesterol. This revealed, for the first time, that a component of the genetic risk for AN is related to body composition and metabolism (Duncan et al., 2017; Hinney et al., 2017).

Phenotypic sex differences in body composition are also present in the general population; discernible as early as adolescence, females have on average higher BF% (Flegal et al., 2009), and less visceral adipose tissue and FFM than males (Paas, Wong, Syme, & Pausova, 2017), partially due to differences in adipocyte metabolism (Cheung & Cheng, 2016; Karastergiou & Fried, 2017; Link & Reue, 2017). Moreover, epidemiological findings indicate a female predominance at both tails of BMI, in extreme obesity (Kelly, Yang, Chen, Reynolds, & He, 2008; Lovre & Mauvais-Jarvis, 2015) and in AN (Steinhausen & Jensen, 2015). Recent evidence shows clear biological sex differences in metabolism in rodent models (Arnold, 2017) and in humans (Mauvais-Jarvis, 2015).

The observed phenotypic sex differences in body composition across the lifespan are partially due to genetic factors (Table S1 and Figure S1; Silventoinen et al., 2016, 2017). Heritability estimates from twin studies (twin-$h^2$) of these epidemiological sex differences unveiled that twin-$h^2$ estimates of BMI—a proxy of BF%—vary across the lifespan and show sex-specific patterns, most apparent at the age of 13 years, from 20 to 30, and between ages 70 and 80 (Table S1 and Figure S1; Silventoinen et al., 2016, 2017). Although the twin-$h^2$ varies somewhat, the specific genetic factors influencing BMI remain stable from decade to decade postadolescence, whereas environmental effects appear to change across time, especially in females (Haberstick et al., 2010). Additionally, several GWAS of proxy measures of BF% (Heid et al., 2010; Lindgren et al., 2009; Pulit et al., 2018; Randall et al., 2013; Winkler et al., 2017) and of BF% itself (Kilpeläinen et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2016) show clear sex differences in genome-wide significant genomic loci and documented female-specific heterogeneity in the genomic architecture extensively (for review, see Link & Reue, 2017; Pultit, Karaderi, & Lindgren, 2017; Small et al., 2018).

Convergent epidemiological and genetic findings show that the regulation of body composition varies between the sexes and is substantially influenced by both genetic and environmental factors. The primary goal of this study is to investigate whether a sex-specific analysis of genetic determinants of body composition may partially explain the observed female preponderance in AN. We utilize new GWAS summary statistics from the PGC-ED with about 16,000 cases, capitalizing on the availability of detailed and highly standardized body composition measurements and genetic data of 155,961 healthy and medication-free individuals in the UK Biobank. Together, these provide a unique opportunity for a powerful investigation of the sex specificity of the genetic underpinnings of body composition and psychiatric traits and their relationship with AN.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Genome-wide association study of AN by the Eating Disorders Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium

The meta-analysis of GWAS on AN was a combined effort by the AN Genetics Initiative (Kirk et al., 2017; Thornton et al., 2018) and the
PGC-ED (www.med.unc.edu/pgc) and comprised 33 cohorts from 17 countries (Table S3) with 16,992 AN cases and 55,525 controls (Watson et al., 2018). The GWAS included 72,358 females (16,531 of whom are cases) and 24,454 males (460 of whom are cases; Table S2). The analysis includes additional samples from the Genetic Consortium for AN, the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 3 (Boraska et al., 2014), and the UK Biobank (Sudlow et al., 2015). Case definitions established a lifetime diagnosis of AN via hospital or register records, structured clinical interviews, or online questionnaires based on standardized criteria—DSM-III-R, DSM-IV, ICD-8, ICD-9, or ICD-10—(American Psychiatric Association, 2013; World Health Organization, 1992), whereas in the UK Biobank cases self-reported a diagnosis of AN (Davis et al., 2018). Quality control, imputation, GWAS, and meta-analysis followed the standardized pipeline of the PGC, Rapid Imputation Consortium Pipeline (Ricopili; https://github.com/Nealelab/ricopili/tree/master/rp_bin). SNPs were excluded if they had a minor allele frequency (MAF) smaller than 1%, if no call was made in more than 1% of samples following imputation, if they were imputed with low confidence (INFO=0.7), or if they deviated substantially from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (controls \( p < 10^{-6} \), cases \( p < 10^{-10} \)). Individuals were excluded if they showed inbreeding coefficients \( r > 0.2 \), or evidence of DNA contamination. Ancestry outliers were removed based on plotting of the first two principal components (PCs). The analysis was performed using imputed variant dosages and an additive model. The SNP-based heritability (\( h^2 \)) of AN calculated using these data was 17% (SE = 1%), suggesting that a substantial fraction of the heritability of AN stems from common genetic variation across all autosomes (Watson et al., 2018).

2.2 | GWASs of body composition: Study design and participants

Our study includes a cross-sectional analysis of the baseline data from the epidemiological resource UK Biobank (www.ukbiobank.ac.uk; Allen, Sudlow, Peakman, Collins, & UK Biobank, 2014; Sudlow et al., 2015). To identify genetic variation associations with BF% and FFM that are not confounded by illnesses and their downstream effects or metabolism-changing medication, we applied stringent exclusion criteria (Table S2). Due to this trait-specific medication and illness filtering, the final analysis included 155,961 (45% female) healthy and drug-free European ancestry participants comprising 32% of the genotyped UK Biobank participants. European ancestry was defined by 4-means clustering of the first two PCs from the genetic data (Warren et al., 2017). Phenotypic characteristics separated by sex are presented in Table 1. All statistics were calculated in R 3.4.1 if not otherwise stated.

2.3 | Body composition assessment in healthy participants

Body composition was assessed with a rigorous and highly standardized protocol by UK Biobank using the same Tanita BC-418 MA machines (Tanita Corporation, Arlington Heights, IL) for every participant. This body composition analyzer calculates FFM and FM from raw bioelectrical impedance data, using standard formulas including sex, age, height, and athleticism. Individuals whose hydration status might be compromised (e.g., suffering from diabetes mellitus or other endocrine diseases) were excluded (Table S3). Bioelectrical impedance technology has been extensively validated (Genton et al., 2003; Kyle et al., 2004; Lu et al., 2016), and results in more reliable estimates of body adiposity than BMI for healthy individuals (Mazzoccoli, 2016; Tanamas et al., 2016). Therefore, bioelectrical impedance analysis is the most feasible method in very large epidemiological samples, such as the UK Biobank, compared with proxy measures of adiposity, and does not expose participants to radiation unlike dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.

2.4 | GWASs on body composition

We calculated sex-specific GWAS on residualized BF% and FFM, using BGENIE v1.2 (Bycroft et al., 2018). Our final analyses included 7,794,483 SNPs and insertion–deletion variants with an MAF >1%, imputation quality scores >0.8, and that were genotyped, or present in the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) reference panel used for imputation by UK Biobank (McCarthy et al., 2016). We used an additive model on the imputed dosage data provided by UK Biobank, and residualized phenotypes prior to GWAS for factors related to assessment center, genotyping batch, smoking status, alcohol consumption, menopause, and for continuous measures of age, and socioeconomic status (SES) measured by the Townsend deprivation index (Townsend, 1987) as independent variables. We accounted for underlying population stratification by also including the first six PCs, calculated on the genotypes of the European subsample. We then meta-analyzed these sex-specific GWAS using METAL (http://csg.sph.umich.edu/abecasis/metal/; Willer, Li, & Abecasis, 2010) using an inverse variance weighted model with a fixed effect, to obtain sex-combined results. Significantly associated SNPs (\( p < 5 \times 10^{-8} \)) were considered as potential index SNPs. SNPs in LD \( r^2 > 0.2 \) with a more strongly associated SNP within 3,000 kb were assigned to the same locus using Functional Mapping and Annotation (FUMA; Watanabe, Taskesen, van Bochoven, & Posthuma, 2017). Overlapping clumps additionally were merged with a second clumping procedure in FUMA merging all lead SNPs with \( r^2 = 1 \) to genomic loci. After clumping, independent genome-wide significant loci \((5 \times 10^{-8})\) were compared with entries in the NHGRI-EBI

| Table 1 | Phenotypic characteristics of individuals in the analyses |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|         | Meta-analyzed   | Female          | Male            |
| Number (%) | 155,961         | 70,700 (45%)    | 85,261 (55%)    |
| Age (years) | 54.9 ± 8.1      | 54.8 ± 8.0      | 55.0 ± 8.2      |
| Height (cm) | 170.4 ± 9.3     | 163.0 ± 6.2     | 176.4 ± 6.7     |
| Weight (kg) | 78.1 ± 15.1     | 69.6 ± 12.6     | 85.1 ± 13.2     |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 27.0 ± 4.2      | 26.2 ± 4.6      | 27.4 ± 3.8      |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 89.4 ± 12.6 | 82.3 ± 11.3 | 95.3 ± 10.3 |
| Hip circumference (cm) | 102.5 ± 8.1 | 102.0 ± 9.3 | 103.0 ± 6.9 |
| Waist-to-hip ratio | 0.9 ± 0.1 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| Body fat (%) | 29.3 ± 8.2 | 35.3 ± 6.7 | 24.4 ± 5.5 |
| Fat mass (kg) | 23.0 ± 8.5 | 25.3 ± 9.1 | 21.2 ± 7.5 |
| FFM (kg) | 55.1 ± 11.6     | 44.4 ± 4.6      | 63.9 ± 7.4      |
| SES, Townsend deprivation index | −1.6 ± 2.9 | −1.7 ± 2.8 | −1.7 ± 2.9 |

BMI = body mass index; FFM = fat-free mass; SES = socioeconomic status. Data are n (%), or mean (SD).
GWAS catalog (MacArthur et al., 2017) using FUMA (Watanabe et al., 2017). Sex-specific loci are defined as reaching genome-wide significance ($5 \times 10^{-8}$) in either females or males while not showing at least suggestive significance in the opposite sex ($5 \times 10^{-6}$) with differences in beta estimates that remain significant after Bonferroni correction for the total number of significant genomic loci.

### 2.5 | Genome-wide SNP-based heritability and partitioned heritability

Using BOLT-LMM (Loh et al., 2015) on genotyped, genome-wide, common genetic variants and linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) implemented in LDSC v.1.0.0 (Bulik-Sullivan et al., 2015) on genome-wide summary statistics, we calculated the total phenotypic variance explained by common autosomal SNPs, SNP-based heritability ($SNP-h^2$). We included all genotyped and imputed autosomal variants for BF% and FFM and used the LD score reference files provided with the software. We tested for differences between the heritabilities by calculating SE using a block jackknife method implemented into the software. To identify tissue types associated with BF% and FFM, we performed a partitioned heritability analysis in LDSC v.1.0.0, ranking 10 cell type groups based on contribution to heritability after controlling for the effects of 53 functional annotations (Finucane et al., 2015).

### 2.6 | Genetic correlations

Using an analytic extension of LDSC (Bulik-Sullivan et al., 2015), we calculated SNP-based bivariate genetic correlations ($SNP-rg$) across the autosomes to examine the genetic overlap between AN and metabolic and psychiatric GWAS summary statistics. First, we calculated $SNP-rg$ between anthropometric traits, namely our BF% and FFM and subsequent Bonferroni correction of the $p$ value by applying a block jackknife method, as described and implemented in LDSC v.1.0.0 by Bulik-Sullivan et al. (2015) and in our Supporting Information.

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | GWAS of AN

The AN GWAS resulted in eight genome-wide significant loci and showed enrichment for CNS cell types. It genetically correlated with a broad range of metabolic and psychiatric phenotypes, mirroring clinically observed comorbidity (for details, see Duncan et al., 2017; Watson et al., 2018).

#### 3.2 | GWAS of body composition measures in the UK Biobank

After quality control, we performed sex-stratified association analyses on the continuous outcomes of BF% and FFM. Minimal inflation due to population stratification or other systematic biases was indicated by LDSC intercepts between 1.02 and 1.10 and lambda median statistic inflation values ($\lambda_{median}$) between 1.18 and 1.59 (Table S4 and Figure S3a,b). We identified 34 independent loci associated with meta-analyzed BF% that are not reported to be associated with anthropometric traits in the GWAS catalog (MacArthur et al., 2017) and replicated 42 independent genome-wide significant results ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) after LD-based and distance-based clumping (Figure 1, Figure S4a, Table S5a,b).

As a sensitivity analysis, we repeated all $SNP-rg$ analysis with a female-only GWAS of AN. However, due to the small number of male AN cases, it was impossible to perform a male-only analysis. All methods are described in more detail in the Supporting Information. Stringent multiple testing correction was performed on each analysis, using matrix decomposition to detect the effective number of tests and subsequent Bonferroni correction of the $p$ value thresholds.
genomic loci in FFM (Table S6a). All genomic regions, region plots thereof, their annotations, including nearby protein coding genes (within 100 kb), and previous entries in the GWAS catalog are published on FUMA (http://fuma.ctglab.nl/browse) entries 20–25. Summary statistics are available for download www.topherhuebel.com/GWAS.

3.3 | Genome-wide SNP-based and partitioned heritability

The SNP-h² for BF% ranged between 29 and 33%, and for FFM between 43 and 51% (Figure 3), while that for AN is about 17–20% with an assumed population prevalence of 0.9% (Duncan et al., 2017; Watson et al., 2018). The SNP-h² of FFMmale measured by LDSC was significantly higher than the SNP-h² of FFMmeta (p < .001, δSNP-h² = 5.6%). However, neither the SNP-h² estimates for BF% nor for FFM measured by LDSC differed significantly between the sexes.

Partitioned heritabilities can estimate the proportion of the overall SNP-h² that can be attributed to different cell type groups. BF%female showed an significant enrichment for the CNS cell type group with 14% of SNPs explaining an estimated 40% of the SNP-h² (p = .004), whereas BF%male was significantly enriched for the “other” cell type group that contains adipose tissue with 20% of SNPs explaining an estimated 57% of the SNP-h² (p = .004; Figure S4a,b). The FFMfemale and FFMmale were enriched for connective and bone tissue with 11% of SNPs explaining an estimated 47% of SNP-h² in both sexes (pfemale = 6.65 × 10⁻⁶; pmale = 2.29 × 10⁻⁷; Figure S5a,b). The meta-analyzed FFMboth was also enriched for skeletal muscle with 10% of SNPs explaining an estimated 37% of SNP-h² (p = .004, Figure S5c).

3.4 | Genetic correlations of anthropometric traits across the lifespan

The significant SNP-rg between BF%meta and BMIchildhood was 0.46 (SE = 0.04; p = 6.11 × 10⁻³²) and between BF%meta and BMIadolescence/young adulthood was 0.48 (SE = 0.05; p = 9.24 × 10⁻²⁵). Similarly, FFMchildhood and FFMadulthood showed a significant SNP-rg of 0.69 (SE = 0.10; p = 2.70 × 10⁻¹²) and FFMchildhood also correlated genetically with FFMmeta in our UK Biobank sample (SNP-rg = 0.30; SE = 0.04; p = 3.24 × 10⁻¹²).

BF%meta and FFMmeta correlated genetically (SNP-rg = 0.26; SE = 0.02; p = 3.95 × 10⁻²⁶). The SNP-rg between BF%female and BF%male was significantly less than 1 (SNP-rg = 0.89, SE = 0.03; p₁₂ = .0005), indicating heterogeneity in the genomic architecture between females and males (Figure 4).

3.5 | Sex-specific genetic correlations with AN

We calculated SNP-rg between the sex-specific and sex-combined GWAS with AN to investigate sex differences. The genetic correlation
between BF%female and AN was $-0.44$ ($\text{SE} = 0.04; \ p = 8.28 \times 10^{-27}$), whereas that between BF% male and AN was $-0.26$ ($\text{SE} = 0.04; \ p = 1.04 \times 10^{-13}$). These SNP-rg were significantly different from each other ($\delta\text{SNP-rg} = -0.17; \ \text{SE} = 0.04; \ p = 4.23 \times 10^{-5}$). AN showed a significant genetic correlation with FFMmeta ($\text{SNP-rg} = -0.14; \ \text{SE} = 0.03; \ p = 5.79 \times 10^{-7}$), Physical activityfemale showed a significant SNP-rg with AN ($\text{SNP-rg} = 0.25; \ \text{SE} = 0.06; \ p = 1.10 \times 10^{-5}$), but physical activitymales did not ($\text{SNP-rg} = 0.10; \ \text{SE} = 0.06; \ p = .07$). However, this difference was not statistically significant ($\delta\text{SNP-rg} = -0.13; \ \text{SE} = 0.07; \ p = .05$; Figure 4) after multiple testing correction.

BMI-adjusted fasting insulin concentrations and AN were genetically correlated ($\text{SNP-rg} = -0.24; \ \text{SE} = 0.06; \ p = 2.31 \times 10^{-5}$). Fasting insulinfemale was genetically correlated with AN ($\text{SNP-rg} = -0.36; \ \text{SE} = 0.07; \ p = 5.29 \times 10^{-7}$), but not fasting insulinmale ($\text{SNP-rg} = -0.16; \ \text{SE} = 0.05; \ p = .003$). However, this difference in SNP-rg between sexes did not reach significance ($\delta\text{SNP-rg} = -0.19; \ \text{SE} = 0.08; \ p = .02$) after multiple testing correction. Sex- and age-adjusted insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) correlated significantly with AN ($\text{SNP-rg} = -0.29; \ \text{SE} = 0.07; \ p = 2.83 \times 10^{-5}$; Figure 5), but no sex differences were observed.

AN was significantly correlated with MDDfemale ($\text{SNP-rg} = 0.26; \ \text{SE} = 0.07; \ p = 4.00 \times 10^{-5}$) and anxietymeta ($\text{SNP-rg} = 0.25; \ \text{SE} = 0.05; \ p = 8.90 \times 10^{-8}$). However, the difference between the male and female SNP-rg with AN was not significant in MDD ($\delta\text{SNP-rg} = -0.004$;...
FIGURE 4  Heatmap of sex-specific bivariate single nucleotide polymorphism-based genetic correlations (SNP-r²) of body fat percentage, BMI, fat-free mass, physical activity, and obesity with AN. The strength of the correlation is reflected in the hue. Blue colors are negative SNP-r²s, meaning that the same genetic variants influence both traits in opposite directions, and red are positive SNP-r²s meaning that the same genetic variants influence traits in the same direction. Colored squares are significant after correction for multiple comparisons by matrix decomposition and Bonferroni correction (p_{Bonferroni} = .05/10). The SNP-r²s were calculated by linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC). AN = anorexia nervosa; BF% = body fat percentage; BMI = body mass index; FFM = fat-free mass; PA = physical activity; PGC2 = 2nd freeze psychiatric genomics consortium; UKB = UK Biobank

FIGURE 5  Sex-specific bivariate single nucleotide polymorphism-based genetic correlations (SNP-r²) of fasting glucose, fasting insulin, and insulin resistance assessed by the HOMA-IR with AN. The SNP-r²s were calculated by linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC). Significant SNP-r²s are marked with an asterisk (*) after correction for multiple comparisons by matrix decomposition and Bonferroni correction (p_{Bonferroni} = .05/28). The error bars depict the SE. Summary statistics for BMI-adjusted HOMA-IR were not available. AN = anorexia nervosa; BMI = body mass index; HOMA-IR = insulin resistance by homeostatic model assessment
The latest GWAS on AN by the PGC-ED presented evidence for a reconceptualization of AN as a metabo-psychiatric disorder by identifying significant SNP-rgs of AN with a variety of metabolic phenotypes, including body composition, lipid metabolism, and glycemic traits (Duncan et al., 2017; Watson et al., 2018). We extended the findings on the relationship between BF% and AN by replicating that genomic effects on BF% differ by sex (Heid et al., 2010; Lindgren et al., 2009). This indicates a sex-specific enrichment for BF% and that differences between female and male SNP-rgs were only nominally significant for both traits and did not survive correction for multiple testing emphasizing the need for larger sample sizes to examine sex differences.

In our sex-specific investigation of the contribution of psychiatric disorders and behavioral traits to AN, genomic variation associated with MDD in females and OCD in males suggested a possible sex effect in their SNP-rg with AN, but statistical tests did not confirm this. Power may be an issue; in particular, the current sample size of the OCD GWAS is relatively small. Consequently, some of our findings need to be interpreted cautiously, and this analysis should be repeated after much larger GWASs are available preferably with >10,000 cases.

Additionally, we estimated SNP-rg of AN with sex-specific GWASs of physical activity and glycemic traits to investigate potential moderators and mediators of the relationship between body fat and AN. Only physical activityfemale and fasting insulinfemale were significantly genetically associated with AN. However, the differences between female and male SNP-rgs were only nominally significant for both traits and did not survive correction for multiple testing emphasizing the need for larger sample sizes to examine sex differences.
Conclusion

Our results add further evidence that AN is both a psychiatric and metabolic disorder and suggest that an age-dependent specific set of genomic variation may be differentially active in females that influences body composition, which may also contribute to liability for AN. Our work could have therapeutic implications, by considering exploring approaches to using body composition measures or genetic markers of body composition as predictors of clinical course or adverse outcome, and as a component of personalized treatment that considers an individual’s propensity to lose therapeutically restored weight. Some individuals may be at greater risk of relapse, for example, when confronted with periods of negative energy balance, and this could be addressed in personalized treatment and relapse prevention (Bulik, 2016). Sex-specific genetic and biological factors may partially underlie increased risk for AN in females which suggests that new and focused studies of body composition and metabolism in AN patients could increase our understanding of AN etiology and response to treatment.
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