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Abstract

Compared to women, men are less likely to seek help for mental health difficulties. Despite considerable interest, a paucity in evidence-based solutions remains to solve this problem. The current review sought to synthesise the specific techniques within male-specific interventions that may contribute to an improvement in psychological help-seeking (attitudes, intentions or behaviours). A systematic review identified 6,598 potential articles from three databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE and PsychInfo). Nine studies were eligible. A meta-analysis was problematic due to disparate interventions, outcomes and populations. The decision to use an innovative approach that adopted the Behavioural Change Techniques (BCTs) taxonomy to synthesise each intervention’s key features likely to be responsible for improving help-seeking was made. Of the nine studies, four were engagement strategies (i.e. brochures/documentaries), two RCTs, two pilot RCTs and one retrospective review. Regarding quality assessment, three were scored as ‘strong’, five as ‘moderate’ and one as ‘weak’. Key processes that improved help-seeking attitudes, intentions or behaviours for men included: using role-models to convey information, psycho-educational material to improve mental health knowledge, assistance with recognising and managing symptoms, active problem-solving tasks, motivating behaviour change, sign posting services and finally, content that built on positive male traits (e.g. responsibility and strength). This is the first review to use this novel approach of using BCTs to summarise and identify specific techniques that may contribute to an improvement in male help-seeking interventions, whether engagement with treatment or the intervention itself. Overall, this review summarises previous male help-seeking interventions, informing future research/clinical developments.
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Globally, males are 1.8 times more likely to take their own lives compared to women (World Health Organisation, 2017; Chang, Yip, & Chen, 2019). This disproportionality higher suicide risk is often associated with men being less likely to seek help for mental health difficulties. Men tend to hold more negative attitudes towards the use of mental health services compared to women (Mackenzie, Gekoski, & Knox, 2006; Möller-Leimkühler, 2002; Addis & Mahalik, 2003; Yousaf, Popat, & Hunter, 2015). Being male is negatively associated with one’s willingness to seek mental health support (Gonzalez, Alegria, Prihoda, Copeland, & Zeber, 2011) and is a significant predictor of help-seeking attitudes (Nam, et al., 2010). These attitudes are reflected in low service use which is consistently observed across western countries. When controlling for prevalence rates, women in the United States (US) are 1.6 times more likely to receive any form of mental health treatment compared to men across a 12-month period (Wang, et al., 2005). Similarly, Australian women are 14% more likely to access mental health services compared to men (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2007; Harris, et al., 2015). Lastly, the United Kingdom’s (UK) Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) service that provides evidence based psychological treatments for depression and anxiety receives 36% male referrals (NHS Digital, 2016). Women in the UK are also 1.58 times more likely to receive any form of treatment (either medication or psychological therapy) even when controlling for prevalence rates (McManus, Bebbington, Jenkins, & Brugha, 2016).

Although men complete more suicides globally, in western countries the male to female ratio is notably higher, whereby men are 3.5 times more likely to commit suicide
compared to their female counterparts (World Health Organisation, 2002; Chang, et al., 2019). It is important to note that not all men who commit suicide have a mental health issue due to a variety of psychological, social and physical risk factors (Turecki & Brent, 2016). However, men who do experience suicidal ideation are less likely to use mental health services (Hom, Stanley, & Jonier Jr, 2015), reducing opportunities for prevention and intervention.

Numerous reviews have attempted to identify the pertinent factors explaining why men are more reluctant to seek help for psychological distress (Möller-Leimkühler, 2002; Seidler, Dawes, Rice, Oliffe, & Dhillon, 2016; Gulliver, Griffiths, & Christensen, 2010). Men are thought to be deterred from engaging in mental health services due socialisation into traditional masculine gender roles. Traits associated with traditional masculinity include stereotypes of stoicism, invulnerability and self-reliance which are frequently discussed as they do not fit comfortably with psychological help-seeking (Vogel, Heimerdinger-Edwards, Hammer, & Hubbard, 2011; Tang, Oliffe, Galdas, Phinney, & Han, 2014). For instance, negative emotions are perceived as a sign of weakness, discouraging men from reaching out to friends (Pirkis, Spittal, Keogh, Mousaferiadis, & Currier, 2017). This negatively impacts men’s overall help-seeking behaviours and their choice of treatment type (Seidler, et al., 2016). Failure to adhere to these masculine stereotypes can result in the internalisation of discriminative views held by the wider public (Corrigan, Rafacz, & Rüsch, 2011; Rüsch, Angermeyer, & Corrigan, 2005). These self-stigmatising beliefs further discourage men from seeking help (Addis & Mahalik, 2003; Pederson & Vogel, 2007; Levant, Kamaradova, & Prasko, 2014).

Another explanation for poor service use relates to differences in coping strategies. Men cope with mental health difficulties differently compared to women, demonstrating an increased tendency to self-medicate with alcohol and drugs to alleviate emotional distress.
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(Kilpatrick, et al., 2000; Rutz & Rihmer, 2009; Möller-Leimkühler, 2002; Oliver, Pearson, Coe, & Gunnell, 2005). This is supported by higher prevalence rates of substance use disorders in men (Wilhelm, 2014; Nolen-Hoeksema, 2004). Similarly, mental health literacy (i.e. one’s knowledge of prevention, symptom recognition and available treatments including self-help strategies) influences help-seeking (Jorm, 2012). Poor mental health literacy is reported to be associated with lower use of mental health services (Bonabi, et al., 2016; Thompson, Hunt, & Issakidis, 2004). Men are regarded as having poorer mental health literacy compared to women as they are worse at identifying mental health disorders (Swami, 2014; Cotton, Wright, Harris, Jorm, & McGorry, 2006).

Another obstacle men experience is the lack of appropriate diagnostic instruments and clinician biases. Men express symptoms of depression that do not always conform to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Addis, 2008). For example, they may express more externalising behaviours such as alcohol consumption, irritability and aggressive behaviours whilst under reporting other symptoms (Angst, et al., 2002; Rice, et al., 2015). These factors may mask men’s difficulties, leading to inaccurate diagnoses and inappropriate treatment (Cochran & Rabinowitz, 2003; Kerr & Kerr Jr, 2001). In response to these symptomatic gender differences, it has been suggested that men would benefit from lower clinical thresholds (Angst, et al., 2002) or the use of other measures that may be more sensitive to the symptoms that they express (Strömberg, Backlund, & Löfvander, 2010; Cochran & Rabinowitz, 2003). Furthermore, clinicians may suffer from their own biases with the expectation that men should fulfil particular masculine stereotypes (Mahalik, Good, Tager, Levant, & Mackowiak, 2012). For example, when men do not conform to these traditional masculine stereotypes by: expressing themselves emotionally or by taking responsibility for their health, they may be regarded as deviant and/or feminine (Seymour-Smith, Wetherell, & Phoenix, 2002; Vogel,
Epting, & Wester, 2003). These biases influence the quality and type of care provided and leave men less likely to receive a diagnosis despite presenting with similar or identical symptoms to women (Doherty & Kartalova-O’Doherty, 2010).

Focusing on masculinity has been argued to be overly focused on problems associated with masculinity, so that clinicians neglect adaptive traits. A more recent framework, ‘positive masculinity’ (Englar-Carlson & Kiselica, 2013; Kiselica & Englar-Carlson, 2010), has suggested that masculine qualities can be valued. For example, self-reliance and responsibility can be helpful when experiencing emotional difficulties (Fogarty, et al., 2015; Englar-Carlson & Kiselica, 2013). Indeed, positive masculinity and practitioner training around male gender socialisation may assist with reducing practitioner biases when working with men (Mahalik, et al., 2012).

It is important to note that the degree to which these characteristics occur vary between men as they are not a homogenous group. Not all men will conform to traditional masculine norms and there are varying degrees of mental health literacy and symptom expression. In addition, other factors such as a person’s culture (Lane & Addis, 2005; Guo, Nguyen, Weiss, Ngo, & Lau, 2015), sexual orientation (Vogel, et al., 2011), severity and type of presenting symptoms (Edwards, Tinning, Brown, Boardman, & Weinman, 2007) also influence one’s willingness to seek mental health help.

The philosophies underlying interventions to improve men’s help-seeking have varied. Indeed, targeting one’s conformity to traditional masculine stereotypes may elicit behaviour change that extends to psychological help-seeking in men (Blazina & Marks, 2001; Barker, Ricardo, Nascimento, Olukoya, & Santos, 2010). This approach may be perceived as aligning with feminist initiates, thus representing an antagonistic position against masculinity and male values (Hearn, 2015). Similarly, men’s health campaigns addressing topics such as male victims of domestic violence and male suicide statistics reinforce the notion that men
are a victimised group. This makes them susceptible to being used to justify certain men’s rights movements seeking to re-gain hegemonic masculine ideals that have been previously threatened (Salter, 2016). Although many acknowledge that men and women’s health initiatives are not a binary choice (Baker, 2018), these strategies may face some resistance from the wider public. This can therefore be a complex process made inherently more difficult by the current social and political climate.

Approaches that leverage traditional masculine norms have the potential to improve service uptake, however they also pose the risk of re-enforcing masculine stereotypes (Fleming, Lee, & Dworkin, 2014; Robinson & Robertson, 2010). Campaigns such as ‘Man Up Monday’ seeks to encourage tests for sexually transmitted infections (Anderson, Eastman-Mueller, Henderson, & Even, 2015), but also reinforces the notion that to be a ‘real man’ one must sleep with multiple partners and engage in violent or risky sexual behaviours (Fleming, et al., 2014). Such campaigns have been criticised for re-enforcing negative masculine stereotypes whilst undercutting alternative, positive campaigns that seek to encourage respectful and communicative sexual relationships (Fleming, et al., 2014). These approaches could be argued to contribute to an increase in violence and poorer well-being amongst men (Courtenay, 2000; Baugher & Gazmararian, 2015).

Given the disparity in mental health service use between men and women, it is important that strategies designed to improve help-seeking among men are developed further. Limited work has been carried out to address these problems, with only a handful of public awareness campaigns and interventions designed to improve men’s psychological help-seeking. These include the ‘Real Men. Real Depression’ campaign focusing on educating the public about depression in men (National Institute of Mental Health, n.d), a male-sensitive brochure to address help-seeking in depressed men (Hammer & Vogel, 2010), an intervention aiming to reduce self-stigma associated with mental health problems (MacInnes & Lewis,
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2008), the ‘HeadsUpGuys’ website that provides information and management tips for depression to encourage men to seek help (Ogrondniczuk, Oliffe, & Beharry, 2018), and ‘Man Therapy’ – a programme designed to teach men about mental health and self-evaluation tools which encourage them to engage in treatment (Spencer-Thomas, Hindman, & Conrad, 2014).

Such initiatives, particularly campaigns, are often not rigorously tested to see if they do significantly improve psychological help-seeking (attitudes, intentions or behaviours) compared to controls or pre-existing strategies that are not gender specific. Moreover, they appear to be constructed in isolation with limited collaboration between researchers who share the same goal. When developing a complex intervention, it is recommended that a theoretical understanding of the likely processes eliciting behaviour change are explored (Craig, et al., 2008). However, many initiatives do not explore these processes in detail, making it difficult to develop more effective interventions that improve help-seeking.

This review aims to collate and synthesise previous interventions that have been designed to improve psychological help-seeking in men. Additionally, this review seeks to identify key components across these interventions that are likely to contribute to improvements in help-seeking attitudes, intentions and/or behaviours. These key components can then be used as a theoretical framework within which to develop future mental health help-seeking approaches for men. This review adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, Altman, & Prisma Group, 2009) and was pre-registered on PROSPERO (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=82270).

Method

Search Strategy
Published interventions measuring help-seeking behaviours were identified from the electronic databases of MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PsychINFO. A comprehensive review was conducted on the 1st of March 2019 without any restrictions for publication year, language or method. The search strategy was first formulated for Ovid (MEDLINE) before being adapted for other databases. Subject headings of ‘help-seeking’ OR ‘barrier’ related terms AND ‘mental health’ related terms AND ‘intervention’ related terms AND ‘male sex’ related terms were used (see supplementary appendix 1). Furthermore, publications identified from manual reference checks were also included to ensure a comprehensive search strategy.

**Population**

As highlighted previously, men’s help-seeking behaviours differ significantly from women, thus requiring different techniques and strategies to engage them. To ensure that the current review’s findings would be applicable to men specifically, only interventions containing a 100% male sample or studies with a male sub-analysis were included. Both community and clinical populations were eligible. Community populations referred to interventions that did not record or screen out by mental health status of their recruited sample. For interventions including a clinical population, mental health diagnosis was confirmed by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) (World Health Organisation, 1992), DSM (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) or which met clinical cut offs on validated scales used to measure mental health severity and/or symptoms. Criminal and prison populations were excluded as barriers and routes to mental health care will be notably different from non-prison populations, such as; court ordered treatments and treatment eligibility (Begun, Early, & Hodge, 2016). Similarly, participants under the age of 18 were excluded from the present review as younger populations have additional facilitators to mental health care such as parental and school support (Dunne, Bishop, Avery, & Darcy,
2017). Younger boys also have access to child and adolescent mental health services which
often have different assessment criteria and available treatments (Singh & Toumainen, 2015),
potentially influencing help-seeking.

Interventions

All interventions measuring changes to help-seeking as a primary, secondary or
additional outcome measure were included. Help-seeking behaviours were defined as
changes to help-seeking attitudes (i.e. the beliefs held towards seeking professional help
when faced with a serious emotional/mental health problem); intentions (i.e. one’s
willingness/readiness to seek support); or practical help-seeking (i.e. inquiring or presenting
to professional psychological services or reaching out for social support from friends or
family). For the remainder of this review changes to help-seeking refer to changes in
attitudes, intentions or behaviours.

Eligible Articles

In accordance with the PRISMA guidelines, the study selection was undertaken in
two phases (Moher, et al., 2009). After identification and removal of duplicates, all articles
were screened via the title and abstract by the first author (ISO). Two authors (ISO and LB)
retrieved and screened the full text of those articles selected after phase one. From the 6,598
articles identified, nine reports met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). A Cohen’s kappa (κ)
statistic was calculated to assess the inter-rater reliability, whereby ≤ 0 indicates no
agreement, 0.01-0.20 as slight, 0.21 – 0.40 as fair, 0.41 – 0.60 as moderate, 0.61 – 0.80 as
substantial and 0.81 – 1.00 as almost perfect levels of agreement (Cohen, 1960; McHugh,
2012). A substantial level of agreement was achieved between the two authors (ISO and LB),
κ = 0.73. Subsequently, both authors (ISO and LB) resolved discrepancies by referring to the
inclusion/exclusion criteria. Where disagreements remained, a third author was consulted for a deciding opinion (JB). Thus, 100% consensus was obtained.
**Quality Assessment**

The Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP) checklist was used to assess the quality of each study (Thomas, 2003). Initially, pre-registration stated that the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme, n.d.) checklist would be used; however, no qualitative studies were eligible. The EPHPP has been recommended when assessing the quality of public health interventions, particularly for those with varying experimental designs (Deeks, et al., 2003; Jackson & Waters, 2005). The EPHPP has also been reported to have better inter-rater reliability than the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of
Bias Tool (Armijo-Olivo, Stiles, Hagen, Biondo, & Cummings, 2012). Six components of the study’s methodology (selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection methods and withdrawal and drop-outs) were scored as either weak, moderate or strong to reach an overall quality rating, also coded as weak, moderate or strong (Figure 2). An overall score of strong was assigned when there were no weak ratings, moderate for one weak rating, and weak if there were two or more weak ratings. The quality assessment was conducted by two authors (ISO and LB), scoring a substantial level of agreement, $\kappa = 0.80$. Similarly, all disagreements were discussed to reach 100% consensus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Selection Bias</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Confounders</th>
<th>Blinding</th>
<th>Data Collection Method</th>
<th>Withdrawal and Dropout</th>
<th>Total Score*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hammer &amp; Vogel (2010)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King et al (2018)</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacNeil et al (2018)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFall et al (2000)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pal et al (2007)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochlen et al (2006)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzdek et al (2014)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzdek et al (2016)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yousaf &amp; Popat (2015)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strength of Evidence: ++ Strong  + Moderate  - Weak  x n/a

*Total scores were calculated as strong where 0 weak rating, moderate where 1 weak rating, and weak where $\geq 2$ weak ratings were scored.

Figure 2. EPHPP Checklist criteria for each study.
Data Extraction

Data extraction consisted of country of study, number of participants, age of participants, type of population, diagnosis of population, study design, the intervention’s characteristics and outcome measures (Table 1). Additional information regarding uptake and dropout for the interventions was also included (see supplementary Table 1).
Table 1. Table summarising characteristics of included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean age in years (SD)</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Diagnosis (Measure)</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Intervention Aim</th>
<th>Intervention type &amp; length</th>
<th>Intervention Delivered by:</th>
<th>Help-seeking Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Other Outcome Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hammer &amp; Vogel (2010)</td>
<td>U.S</td>
<td>1,397</td>
<td>29.44 (10.19)</td>
<td>Depressed community sample</td>
<td>Depression (CES-D)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Compare a newly developed male-sensitive brochure to a gender-neutral brochure</td>
<td>Male sensitive (MS) brochure vs RMRD brochure vs gender neutral brochure</td>
<td>Brochure</td>
<td>ATSPPHS (short version)</td>
<td>Self-stigma of Seeking Help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King et al (2018)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>38.80 (19.9)</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>If the ‘Man Up’ documentary could increase help-seeking intentions</td>
<td>3-part documentary (1hr per part) examining the link between masculinity and mental health vs control</td>
<td>Video documentary</td>
<td>The General Help Seeking Questionnaire</td>
<td>CMNI, GRCS, Social Support, Well-being, Resilience and ASIQ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFall et al (2000)</td>
<td>U.S</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>51.05 (3.75)</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>PTSD (Compensation receipt for veterans)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Assess whether an outreach intervention providing information about services would improve service enrolment</td>
<td>Outreach PTSD information brochure + 1month follow-up call vs control</td>
<td>Leaflets and the study co-ordinator</td>
<td>Treatment inquiries. Agreement and/or attendance to a mental health provider.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pal et al (2007)</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>29.70 (9.89)</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>Treatment non-attendance and problematic drinking (AUDIT)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Examine change in alcohol use following a brief intervention compared to simple advice</td>
<td>Two 45m sessions of Brief Motivational Interviewing vs control</td>
<td>Medical social service officer</td>
<td>Readiness to change questionnaire</td>
<td>WHO Quality of Life and Addiction Severity Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Sample Description</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Interventions</td>
<td>Outcome Measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochlen et al (2006)</td>
<td>U.S</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>21.01 (1.56)</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Compare men’s response to the RMRD brochure compared to a gender-neutral brochure</td>
<td>ATSPPHS, MHAES and qualitative assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzdek et al (2014)</td>
<td>U.S</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37.65 (11.44)</td>
<td>Depressed or anxious community sample</td>
<td>Pilot RCT</td>
<td>What are the effects of GBMI on mental health functioning, stigma towards internalising disorders and help-seeking</td>
<td>One 2hr GBMI vs control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzdek et al (2016)</td>
<td>U.S</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19.71 (1.42)</td>
<td>Depressed or anxious community sample</td>
<td>Pilot RCT</td>
<td>Assess GBMI effect on psychosocial barriers to help-seeking</td>
<td>One 2hr GBMI vs control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yousaf &amp; Popat (2015)</td>
<td>U.K</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>35.30 (12.08)</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Double-blind RCT</td>
<td>Test whether conceptual priming could increase men's attitudes towards seeking psychological support</td>
<td>25m test - unscramble 18 sentences with priming words towards help-seeking Scrambled sentence test Inventory of Attitudes Toward Seeking Mental Health Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: ASIQ, Adult Suicide Ideation Questionnaire; ATAU, Assessment and Treatment as Usual; ATSPPHS, Attitudes Towards Seeking Professional Psychological Help Scale; AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; BAI, Beck Anxiety Inventory; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CES-D, Centre for Epidemiological Depression Scale; CMNI, Conformity to Masculine Norms Inventory; DSM-V; Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5th Edition; DUKE-AD, DUKE Anxiety and Depression subscale; EDI-3, Eating Disorders Inventory 3rd edition; GBMI, Gender Based Motivational Interviewing; GRCS, Gender Role Conflict Scale; hr, hour; m, minutes; MATT, Male Assessment and Treatment Track; MHAES, Mental Health Advert Effectiveness Scale; N/A, Data Not Available; PPL, Perceptions of Problems in Living questionnaire; PTSD, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder; RCT, Randomised Controlled Trial; RMRD, Real Men Real Depression brochure; RPRD, Real People Real Depression brochure; SWSL, Satisfaction With Life Scale; TAU; Treatment As Usual; U.K, United Kingdom; U.S, United States; WHO, World Health Organisation.
Across the nine studies identified, populations were heterogeneous with differing presenting problems (e.g., depression, problematic drinking, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), eating disorders and a community sample). The interventions varied considerably. For instance, four promoted service engagement through the use of a brochure (Hammer & Vogel, 2010; McFall, Malte, Fontana, & Rosenheck, 2000; Rochlen, McKelley, & Pituch, 2006) or a documentary (King, Schlichthorst, Spittal, Phelps, & Pirkis, 2018), one evaluated multiple outcomes including readiness to change (Pal, Yadav, Mehta, & Mohan, 2007), one assessed the effects of priming men’s attitudes towards help-seeking (Yousaf & Popat, 2015), and three evaluated the acceptability and efficacy for improving help-seeking attitudes, intentions and practical help-seeking (Syzdek, Addis, Green, Whorley, & Berger, 2014; Syzdek, Green, Lindgren, & Addis, 2016; MacNeil, Hudson, & Leung, 2018). As a result, a meta-analysis was deemed inappropriate as results would not be meaningful, particularly as they could not be interpreted in any specific context (Higgins & Green, 2005). An alternate, novel method that identified the Behavioural Change Techniques (BCTs) within interventions was used. This helped identify each intervention’s key elements that may have contributed to changes in help-seeking attitudes, intentions and/or behaviours.

**Behavioural Change Techniques (BCTs)**

BCTs refer to the observable and replicable components within an intervention designed to change behaviour (Michie, et al., 2013), in this case, help-seeking. BCTs represent the smallest identifiable components that in themselves have the potential to change behaviour (Michie, Johnston, & Carey, 2016; Michie, West, Sheals, & Godinho, 2018). These components are referred to as the ‘active ingredients’, helping to make greater sense of the often very complex behaviour change interventions (Michie, et al., 2013). Standardisation of BCTs allows for greater replicability, synthesis and interpretation of an intervention’s
specific elements that may elicit behaviour change (Michie, et al., 2013; Cane, Richardson, Johnston, Ladha, & Michie, 2015).

Michie et al., (2013) devised a taxonomy (BCCTv1) containing 93 BCTs to address the lack of consistency and consensus when reporting an intervention (Craig, et al., 2008). Examples of BCTs include: ‘framing/reframing’ whereby a new perspective on a behaviour is suggested to change emotions or cognitions, ‘re-attribution’ defined as suggesting alternative explanations to the perceived cause of the behaviour, and ‘credible source’ which involves the presentation of verbal or visual information by a credible source, such as celebrity figures, mental health professionals and/or other men with lived experiences of mental health, either in favour of or against the behaviour.

For the current review, each intervention’s BCTs were independently coded by two authors (ISO and LM) trained in recognising and coding BCTs (http://www.bct-taxonomy.com/). These were then discussed to reach consensus and are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. Table summarising the identified behaviour change techniques (BCTs) and outcomes of eligible interventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Identified BCTs</th>
<th>Help-seeking Attitudes, Intentions and Behaviours ($p, d$)</th>
<th>Symptoms ($p, d$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement Strategies (brochures/documentary)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammer &amp; Vogel (2010)</td>
<td>5.3. Information about social and environmental consequences</td>
<td>Improved attitudes to help-seeking ($p &lt; .05^*, d = n/a$)</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.6. Information about emotional consequences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2. Social comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.1. Credible source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King et al (2018)</td>
<td>5.6. Information about emotional consequences</td>
<td>Improved help-seeking intentions and intentions to seek help from male and female friends ($p &lt; .05^*$, $d &lt; .05$)</td>
<td>No changes to suicidal ideation ($p &gt; .05$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.1. Demonstration of the behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2. Social comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.1. Credible source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.3. Vicarious consequences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFall et al (2000)</td>
<td>3.1. Social support (unspecified)</td>
<td>Improved service enquiry, attendance and follow-up appointments ($p &lt; .05^*$, $d &gt; .05$)</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.1. Instruction on how to perform behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.1. Credible source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochlen et al (2006)†</td>
<td>4.1. Instruction on how to perform behaviour</td>
<td>Male-sensitive and gender-neutral brochures both improved help-seeking attitudes ($p &lt; .05^*$, $d = n/a$)</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.6. Information about emotional consequences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.2. Social comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.1. Credible source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Techniques Used</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pal et al (2007)</td>
<td>1.2. Problem solving, 3.3. Social support (emotional), 5.3. Information about social and environmental consequences, 8.2. Behaviour substitution, 11.2. Reduce negative emotions, 15.1. Verbal persuasion about capability</td>
<td>Improved readiness to change (i.e. intentions) from baseline to 1 month follow up (<em>p &lt; .05</em>, d = n/a)  Reduced alcohol addiction severity, alcohol use in last 30 days and improved psychological and physical well-being (<em>p &lt; .05</em> for all)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yousaf &amp; Popat (2015)</td>
<td>None identified.</td>
<td>Higher attitudes towards seeking mental health services for the primed group vs control (<em>p &lt; .05</em>, d &gt; .5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzdek et al (2014)</td>
<td>2.2. Feedback on behaviour, 2.7. Feedback on outcome(s) of behaviour, 3.3. Social support (emotional), 4.1. Instruction on how to perform the behaviour</td>
<td>No changes for help-seeking attitudes, or help-seeking intentions (<em>p &gt; .05, d &lt; .5</em>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzdek et al (2016)</td>
<td>1.4. Action planning, 2.2. Feedback on behaviour, 2.7. Feedback on outcome(s) of behaviour, 3.3. Social support (emotional), 4.3. Re-attribution, 5.6. Information about emotional consequences, 9.1. Credible source, 13.2. Framing/reframing</td>
<td>Increased behavioural help-seeking from parents, (<em>p &lt; .05</em>, d &gt; .5), professionals, (<em>p &gt; .05, d &gt; .5</em>), partners, (<em>p &gt; .05, d &gt; .5</em>), friends, (<em>p &gt; .05, d &gt; .5</em>), and counselling services (<em>p &gt; .05, d &gt; .5</em>).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Retrospective Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Authors (Year)</th>
<th>Techniques Used</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MacNeil et al (2018)</td>
<td>3.3. Social support (emotional), 5.3. Information about emotional consequences, 5.6. Information about social and environmental consequences, 6.2. Social comparison</td>
<td>Received more male referrals after the instalment of intervention (MATT) (<em>p &lt; .05</em>, d &lt; .05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*† = One study reported their effect size in partial eta squared and was not appropriate to convert to Cohen’s D.

* = *p < .05

D = Cohen’s D
Results

Strength of Evidence

There was a substantial level of agreement for the two authors (ISO and LB) completing the EPHPP quality assessment (Thomas, 2003) ($\kappa = 0.80$). Of the nine studies included, three were scored as having ‘strong’ quality (Syzdek, et al., 2016; Yousaf & Popat, 2015; King, et al., 2018), whilst five were deemed ‘moderate’ in quality (Hammer & Vogel, 2010; McFall, et al., 2000; Pal, et al., 2007; Rochlen, et al., 2006; Syzdek, et al., 2014). One study was scored as having ‘weak’ quality (MacNeil, et al., 2018) (Figure 2).

Categorisation of Interventions

As there were different types of interventions with some aiming to engage men (e.g. brochures/video documentary) and other interventions aiming to change behaviour or attitudes, the interventions were divided into three main categories of ‘engagement strategies’, ‘RCTs/Pilot RCTs’ and ‘retrospective reviews’.

Engagement strategies comprised of three interventions delivering a brochure (Hammer & Vogel, 2010; McFall, et al., 2000; Rochlen, et al., 2006) and one study delivering a three-part video documentary (King et al., 2018) to improve help-seeking. RCTs/Pilot RCTs included two RCTs (Pal, et al., 2007; Yousaf & Popat, 2015) and two pilot RCTs (Syzdek, et al., 2014; Syzdek et al., 2016). The last intervention was a retrospective review comparing referral rates before and after the instalment of a male-sensitive assessment and treatment programme (MacNeil, et al., 2018).

A summary of the specific elements or BCTs used across all the interventions that may have contributed to improvements in male help-seeking are given in Table 3. The engagement strategies (i.e. brochures/documentaries, n=4) and retrospective review (n=1) contained eight and four BCTs respectively. 14 BCTs were identified within the RCTs/Pilot
RCTs (n=4). As six BCTs (3.3, 4.1, 5.3, 5.6, 6.2 and 9.1) were coded across the different intervention categories (i.e. engagement strategies, RCTs/Pilot RCTs and retrospective review) they were only counted once, resulting in a total of 18 different BCTs across all the interventions identified.

The BCTs identified from the engagement strategies, RCTs/pilot RCTs and retrospective review were analysed separately due to different behaviour change approaches (Table 3). Various BCTs were grouped into ‘processes’ to help synthesise the 18 distinct techniques implemented across these dissimilar interventions. These processes can be seen as overarching terms that summarise similar BCTs into broader psychological processes. Thus, helping to bridge the gap between these research findings and wider clinical practice (Figure 3).
Table 3. Examples and frequency of behavioural change techniques (BCTs) used within the engagement strategies, RCTs/Pilot RCTs and retrospective review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCT</th>
<th>BCT Example(s)</th>
<th>BCT Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement Strategies (brochures/documentary)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Social support (unspecified)</td>
<td>Telephone survey that provided an opportunity to ask questions about services, schedule an appointment and address perceived barriers. (McFall, et al., 2000).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Instruction on how to perform behaviour</td>
<td>Option to receive information about services and how to schedule an intake appointment/description of treatment options (McFall, et al., 2000; Rochlen, et al., 2006).</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 Information about social and environmental consequences</td>
<td>Description of mental health symptoms through the use of male-sensitive language (Hammer &amp; Vogel, 2010).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Information about emotional consequences</td>
<td>Brochure containing facts specific to men and depression (Hammer &amp; Vogel, 2010; Rochlen, et al., 2006) and a documentary delivering psychoeducational material about mental disorders (King, et al., 2018).</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1 Demonstration of the behaviour</td>
<td>Video featuring men modelling positive health behaviours such as emotional expression and seeking help (King, et al., 2018).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 Social comparison</td>
<td>Testimonials and photographs of men who have experienced depression (Hammer &amp; Vogel, 2010; Rochlen, et al., 2006) and a showhost talking to other men who have reached out for help (King, et al., 2018).</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1 Credible source</td>
<td>Letter from the programme director inviting men to seek care (McFall, et al., 2000), testimonials of men who have experienced depression (Hammer &amp; Vogel, 2010; Rochlen, et al., 2006) and information being delivered by a familiar radio and television host (King, et al., 2018).</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.3 Vicarious consequences</td>
<td>Other men talking about how reaching out for help changed their mental health trajectory for the better (King, et al., 2018).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RCTs and Pilot RCTs</strong></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Problem solving</td>
<td>Prompting discussion of drinking alternatives, high-risk situations and coping without alcohol (Pal, et al., 2007).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Action planning</td>
<td>Developing an action plan on how to improve mental health, which may include seeking help (Syzdek, et al., 2016).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Feedback on behaviour</td>
<td>A feedback report outlining personal scores on symptom measures (Syzdek et al., 2014; Syzdek, et al., 2016).</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 Feedback on outcome(s) of behaviour</td>
<td>Feedback on symptom levels and untreated mental health (Syzdek et al., 2014; Syzdek, et al., 2016).</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Social support (emotional)</td>
<td>Adopting a motivational interviewing framework or a gender-based motivational interviewing framework (Pal, et al., 2007; Syzdek, et al., 2014; Syzdek, et al., 2016).</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Instruction on how to perform behaviour</td>
<td>Discussing different actions that could be taken to address mental health problems such as; formal help, informal help and coping skills. (Syzdek, et al., 2014)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Re-attribution</td>
<td>Elicited how participants untreated mental health may be affecting their value-driven behaviours (Syzdek, et al., 2016).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 Information about social and environmental consequences</td>
<td>Information regarding the harmful consequences of drinking. Linking alcohol consumption to potential consequences (Pal, et al., 2007).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Information about emotional consequences</td>
<td>Providing psychoeducational material about mental disorders (Syzdek, et al., 2016).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2 Behaviour substitution</td>
<td>Exploration of alternatives to drinking alcohol (Pal, et al., 2007).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1 Credible source</td>
<td>Listing famous men with internalising disorders (Syzdek, et al., 2016).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Behaviour Change Techniques within Help-Seeking Interventions for Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.2 Reduce negative emotions</td>
<td>Reducing stress related to personal responsibility (Pal, et al., 2007).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.2 Framing/Reframing</td>
<td>Re-framing help-seeking to be consistent with participants values and masculine norms (Syzdek, et al., 2016).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.1 Verbal persuasion about capability</td>
<td>Emphasis on participants responsibility to change, facilitating self-efficacy and optimism (Pal, et al., 2007).</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Retrospective Review

| 3.3 Social support (emotional) | Delivering cognitive behavioural therapy (MacNeil, et al., 2018). | 1 |
| 5.3 Information about social and environmental consequences | Providing psychoeducation and the biological model of mental health illnesses (MacNeil, et al., 2018). | 1 |
| 5.6 Information about emotional consequences | Discussing the negative impact mental health has on daily living, relationships and sport (MacNeil, et al., 2018). | 1 |
| 6.2 Social comparison | Highlighting that the men are not alone with their mental health struggles, and that there are others experiencing the same (MacNeil, et al., 2018). | 1 |
BCTs within the Engagement Strategies

The most commonly used BCTs within the engagement strategies (i.e. brochures/video documentary) used a ‘credible source’ and provided ‘information about the consequences’ (either emotional, social or environmental) of poor mental health. Testimonials and photographs of men with depression (i.e. credible source) were used to explain a medical-model of depression and the associated symptoms (i.e. information). Similarly, a familiar radio/television host was used to deliver mental health information (King, et al., 2018). Video footage of men talking about their personal problems, help-seeking, and emotional expression was also used to model positive health behaviours and demonstrate how to seek help (King, et al., 2018). These highlighted the ‘social comparison’ BCT as it provided someone who one could relate to (Hammer & Vogel, 2010; Rochlen, et al., 2006; King, et al., 2018). Similarly, Rochlen and colleagues (2006) used testimonials and photographs of men in their male-sensitive brochure who had experienced depression. This may have contributed to an improvement in help-seeking attitudes among men, despite not showing larger improvements compared to a gender-neutral brochure (Rochlen, et al., 2006). Lastly, McFall et al., (2000) intervention implemented a ‘credible source’ (i.e. a letter from the PTSD programme director encouraging veterans to seek care), contributing to an improvement in practical help-seeking. In sum, all four engagement strategies utilised a role-model (i.e. credible source BCT), which may have contributed to an improvement in help-seeking.

In addition to the processes of providing information and using role-models, the BCTs of ‘instruction on how to perform a behaviour’ and ‘unspecified social support’ were used. Here, men received a telephone call to discuss the brochure before explaining how to schedule an appointment with a mental health service (McFall, et al., 2000).
Brochures appeared to be an effective strategy to improve men’s help-seeking behaviours. The processes of using role-models and delivering information about the long-term outcomes of mental health disorders, symptoms and potential services appeared to help elicit this behaviour change.

**BCTs Within RCTs and Retrospective Review**

The RCTs and pilot RCTs also made use of role-models (i.e. credible source BCT). Famous men with depression or anxiety were listed to challenge misconceptions of mental health (Syzdek, et al., 2016). Again, these methods provided real-life examples of other men experiencing the same or similar difficulties eliciting a sense of social comparison (MacNeil, et al., 2018). The interventions that provided information about the emotional, social and environmental consequences of mental illness appeared to improve help-seeking, whether behaviourally or attitudinally. The interventions included psycho-educational materials about mental disorders (Syzdek, et al., 2016), addressed the consequences of alcohol consumption (Pal, et al., 2007) and/or explored how eating disorders impact daily living, relationships and sport (MacNeil, et al., 2018).

Alongside providing information and using role-models, several other processes were identified. A process helping men to recognise and manage their symptoms was also identified. This contained the BCTs of: ‘feedback on behaviour’, ‘feedback on outcomes of behaviour(s)’, ‘re-attribution’ and ‘reduce negative emotions’. Syzdek and colleagues gave feedback on participants’ current difficulties identified from a computerised assessment, before exploring whether their untreated mental health was affecting their value-driven behaviours (Syzdek, et al., 2014; Syzdek, et al., 2016). This enabled men to re-attribute their current symptoms to their behaviours. Moreover, the intervention by Pal et al., (2007) helped reduce stress associated with problematic drinking in an Indian context.
Secondly, a process incorporating active-problem-solving exercises was identified. This contained the BCTs of: ‘problem solving’, ‘behaviour substitution’ and ‘action planning’. These involved: planning how to improve one’s mental health through seeking professional or non-professional help (Syzdek, et al., 2016), discussing situational drinking cues and exploring alternative drinking activities for hazardous drinkers (Pal, et al., 2007), respectively.

‘Emotional social support’, ‘instruction on how to perform a behaviour’ and ‘vicarious consequences’ were other BCTs that were identified. These contributed to two processes of motivating behaviour change and sign positing services.

The motivating behaviour change process comprised of the ‘vicarious consequences’ and ‘emotional social support’ BCTs as the BCCTv1 dictates that cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and motivational interviewing (MI) frameworks should be coded as emotional social support (Michie, et al., 2013). This BCT was observed in two studies using CBT and MI (Pal, et al., 2007; MacNeil, et al., 2018) and two pilot RCTs adapting MI to be gender sensitive (Syzdek, et al., 2014; Syzdek, et al., 2016). Also, the BCT of ‘vicarious consequences’ was used within one engagement strategy, whereby men with lived experience discussed how seeking mental health improved their overall trajectory (King, et al., 2018). As a result, it appears that the BCTs of ‘emotional social support’ and ‘vicarious consequences’ motivated men to change their behaviours related to their mental health.

For the sign posting services process, men were provided with a brochure listing their university’s counselling services and referral information for community mental health providers (i.e. ‘instruction on how to perform a behaviour’ BCT) (Syzdek, et al., 2016). Syzdek and colleagues also discussed potential actions that could be taken to address men’s current mental health problems including formal help, informal help and coping skills (Syzdek, et al., 2014).
Lastly, the process of positive masculinity included the BCTs of: ‘framing/re-framing’ and ‘verbal persuasion about capability’, noted across two interventions. Here, help-seeking was re-framed to be consistent with current masculine norms (i.e. a sign of strength) (Syzdek, et al., 2016) and emphasis was placed on one’s personal responsibility to change (Pal, et al., 2007).

In summary, various BCTs were used within the interventions. This enabled the identification and synthesis of different processes that contribute to positive help-seeking behaviours. The use of role-models and information were important for the engagement strategies (i.e. brochures/documentary). This was further supplemented by instructions on how to seek help and social support. These processes were also apparent in the RCTs and the retrospective review. Additional processes included: active-problem-solving, recognising and managing symptoms, sign posting services, motivating behaviour change and building on positive masculine traits (e.g. responsibility and strength) (Figure 3). It is suspected that these processes contributed to the improvements in help-seeking.
BCTs (n = 18)

- Credible source $^{a,b}$
- Demonstration of the behaviour $^a$
- Social comparison $^{a,c}$
- Information about social and environmental consequences $^{a,b,c}$
- Information about emotional consequences $^{a,b,c}$
- Feedback on behaviour $^b$
- Feedback on outcomes of behaviour $^b$
- Re-attribute $^b$
- Reduce negative emotions $^b$
- Problem solving $^b$
- Action planning $^b$
- Behaviour substitution $^b$
- Vicarious consequences $^a$
- Social support emotional $^{b,c}$
- Social support (unspecific) $^a$
- Instruction on how to perform a behaviour $^{a,b}$
- Framing/reframing $^b$
- Verbal persuasion about capability $^b$

Processes (n = 7)

- Role models
- Information
- Recognising and managing symptoms
- Active-problem-solving
- Motivating behaviour change
- Sign posting services
- Positive masculinity

Relevance to current help-seeking literature

- Normalise symptoms and reduce mental health stigma (Ferrari, 2016)
- Deliver psycho-education to improve mental health literacy and symptom identification (Bonabi, et al., 2016; Jorm, 2012)
- Men prefer solution focused frameworks e.g. motivational interviewing (Patrick & Robertson, 2016)
- Provide information about where and how to access support e.g. workplace training (Oliffe & Christina, 2014)
- Address male stereotypes e.g. responsibility and strength (Englar-Carlson & Kiselica, 2013)

Figure 3: Synthesis of BCTs into processes and their relevance to the current literature.

$a =$ BCT identified within engagement strategies
$b =$ BCT identified within RCTs/Pilot RCTs
$c =$ BCT identified within retrospective review
Discussion

As mentioned previously, distinct BCTs were grouped into ‘processes’ to enable these research findings to be more relevant in a clinical context. Seven key processes were synthesised from the 18 identified BCTs. These included: using role-models to convey information, psycho-educational material to improve mental health knowledge, assistance with recognising and managing symptoms, active problem-solving tasks, motivating behaviour change, sign posting services and incorporating content that builds on positive male traits (e.g. responsibility and strength).

To understand these processes in greater detail, the current male help-seeking literature was used to help explain why these processes may have contributed to an improvement in psychological help-seeking for men from the studies identified within this review.

Interpretation of BCTs with regard to the literature

Despite the heterogeneity across interventions, the 18 identified BCTs had a fairly consistent overlap with key constructs that have already been identified within the help-seeking literature. The process of delivering information about the emotional, social and environmental consequences of help-seeking and/or mental health diagnoses can be seen as facets of mental health literacy. Indeed, poor mental health literacy is a barrier to help-seeking (Bonabi, et al., 2016), and having knowledge of mental health disorders assists in their recognition, management and prevention (Jorm, 2012).

Using role-models and supporting men to recognise and manage their symptoms were also of importance. This was helpful as role models often normalised the problems, offering reassurance that the difficulties were the result of everyday stressors. This made the problems more acceptable, enabling men to acknowledge their symptoms and may have reduced
mental health stigma (Ferrari, 2016). This can also help model the behaviour of seeking help when experiencing psychological distress. There is a danger that if not carefully used, this could also increase self-stigmatising beliefs about mental health. Once men identify with having a mental health problem, they may criticise themselves for not being able to cope or fear that they will be judged for having a mental health condition (Primack, Addis, Syzdek, & Miller, 2010). These stigmatising beliefs may deter men from seeking help. Nevertheless, improving mental health literacy and using role models supported men to identify their own symptoms before discussing them in a safe setting. This helped to preserve their autonomy and clarify whether their symptoms required professional support. Considering this, some men may prefer a person-centred approach as they may feel discouraged from engaging in treatment that seeks to label a mental health diagnosis in a clinical framework (River, 2018). Although this may not improve treatment outcomes, it may improve service uptake. However, this has not been formally assessed.

Processes using active-problem-solving exercises and motivating behaviour change also seemed important across the interventions in this review. Men were provided with specific information about how to improve their mental health and use a variety of management strategies. Interventions that implement an action-orientated or solution focused framework may be promising as men are less inclined to engage in traditional talking therapies (Patrick & Robertson, 2016). This was also demonstrated from three interventions adopting a MI framework (Syzdek, et al., 2014; Syzdek, et al., 2016; Pal, et al., 2007). Similarly, drawing men’s attention to the potential benefits of treatment and how seeking help can improve long-term outcomes may also improve their motivation to seek help (King, et al., 2018). The process of sign posting must not be overlooked. This process informed men about where and how to access professional support, indicating that men may need more guidance on this. Workplace training and the development of bridging services could help
connect and motivate men to engage with existing mental health services (Oliffe & Christina, 2014).

An equally important process that built on positive masculine traits emerged from two interventions (Pal, et al., 2007; Syzdek, et al., 2016). Targeting adaptive masculine stereotypes such as responsibility, and re-framing help-seeking to align with male values (e.g. a sign of strength) may have contributed to an improvement in help-seeking behaviours. This process fits in with Englar-Carlson & Kiselica work on ‘positive masculinity’ (2013), which acknowledges the virtues of masculinity, as opposed to remedying weaknesses (Kiselica & Englar-Carlson, 2010). This motivated men to take responsibility in looking after themselves and emphasised that seeking help for mental health difficulties does not indicate weakness, nor is it detrimental to one’s masculinity.

**Implications for Future Research**

To the authors knowledge, this is the first review to identify key features within an intervention that may contribute to an improvement in help-seeking for men. A post-hoc decision to use the BCCTv1 to analyse and synthesise these interventions using BCTs was made because of the idiosyncratic nature of this research field but has proved very successful.

Other public health interventions or fields that lack consensus or have limited data may find this approach useful when synthesising diverse interventions. Moreover, identifying promising BCTs is a good way forward when trying to understand or design interventions targeting a behaviour. Although the full BCCTv1 contains 93 BCTs (Michie, et al., 2013), the current review only identified 18 different BCTs. Thus, future research is needed to understand these promising 18 BCTs in more detail and to prevent overlooking other, potentially effective techniques.
To promote more coherent evidence, it is advised that a standardised reporting method is adopted when reporting newly developed help-seeking interventions for men. For example, the TIDieR checklist (Hoffman, et al., 2014), TRENDS statement (Des Jarlais, Lyles, Crepaz, & Trend Group, 2004) and the use of BCCTv1 will improve the clarity and consistency in this field. Alternatively, the development of a new male-specific framework for reporting help-seeking interventions would be helpful. Such a framework should place emphasis on the initial uptake to an intervention, the intervention’s main components (i.e. BCTs), and the strategies used to recruit men (such as marketing techniques, language and phrases chosen) as these have been highlighted as key factors when designing male interventions (Pollard, 2016).

Ideally, future work would seek to evaluate the role specific BCTs have in changing help-seeking behaviours. Eventually, the evidence base would point towards specific techniques that are more effective than others. This enables better tailoring of interventions that address men’s needs. This could also transpire into further precision-tailoring for various sub-groups of men, as help-seeking differs across: ethnicities (Parent, Hammer, Bradstreet, Schwartz, & Jobe, 2018), education levels (Hammer, Vogel, & Heimerdinger-Edwards, 2013), and conformity to masculine norms (Wong, Ho, Wang, & Miller, 2017). Similarly, if it is possible to identify redundant or ineffective techniques within interventions, more cost-effective solutions can be developed. As more male focused interventions addressing psychological help-seeking are designed, work can be done to dismantle and identify the effective techniques within them.

**Implications for Clinical Practice**

All four engagement strategies utilising brochures and documentaries demonstrated significant improvements in help-seeking. Brochures and documentaries may therefore be a
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feasible and acceptable strategy to enable behaviour change in men. This suggests men may not need direct face-to-face contact and are receptive to less invasive and personal strategies. This was further demonstrated through a conceptual priming task that improved help-seeking attitudes (Yousaf & Popat, 2015).

Mental health literacy can be a strong facilitator for seeking mental health help (Bonabi, et al., 2016). When given a vignette, men are less likely to identify other men as having a mental health difficulty (Swami, 2014). Moreover, poor identification of depressive symptoms and inadequate suggestions to treatment (e.g. do nothing and leave them alone) are associated with being male (Kaneko & Motohashi, 2007). This demonstrates that, generally, men have inaccurate perceptions of their health and are poorer at recognising symptoms.

Psycho-educational materials may help men to understand their current difficulties and the possible long-term outcomes of mental health conditions. This may enable men to distinguish their symptoms from everyday stressors, eliciting a greater perceived need for help. Although psycho-educational materials may contribute to favourable help-seeking attitudes, it needs to be carefully delivered (Gonzalez, Tinsley, & Kreuder, 2002). Men who do identify as having a mental health difficulty are at risk of stigmatising themselves for not being ‘strong enough’ to cope (Primack, et al., 2010), reducing their likeliness of seeking support. To overcome this, such information should be delivered in a supportive manner to help men accept their difficulties without feeling a sense of shame or loss of autonomy (Johnson, Oliffe, Kelly, Galdas, & Ogrodniczuk, 2012). This should be combined with offering reassurance about where they can access professional support, treatment information and to signpost appropriate services. Once in treatment, interventions that steer away from a diagnostic framework may be more palatable to men (River, 2018). They should aim to provide men with skills and greater self-control as opposed to treating what is wrong with them. This has been demonstrated through interventions marketed as ‘improve your sleep’ or
a ‘stress workshop’, gaining high levels of male-engagement (Primack, et al., 2010; Archer, et al., 2009). Also, using male role models such as celebrities and others with mental health difficulties may particularly appeal to men, helping to reduce mental health stigma and improve service uptake.

Lastly, active-problem solving or tangible solution focused approaches have been reported to be effective for changing other behaviours such as increasing physical activity and dieting (Hunt, et al., 2014). Indeed, such approaches might be more appealing to men.

These are not the entirety of processes that will improve male help-seeking. Similarly, working outside a diagnostic framework, providing men with skills, offering greater self-control and adopting solution focused approaches are not definitive solutions, as what may be helpful for some men may not be for others. None the less, these techniques demonstrate some potential for improving help-seeking in men and may continue to be effective.

**Strengths and Limitations**

This review has established how to synthesise complex behavioural interventions across different types of interventions. The steps taken to identify the active ingredients responsible for behaviour change have been demonstrated. A strength of this review included the use of a validated taxonomy used in other areas with reasonable inter-rater reliability (Michie, et al., 2013). All interventions were coded through consensus by two authors (ISO and LM). The current review has pointed out the specific techniques that should be considered when developing male help-seeking interventions in the future. This review has also implemented a systematic approach that utilised two reviewers throughout, resolved discrepancies to reach consensus and adopted a comprehensive search strategy.

There are however some limitations. Although the BCTTv1 is a widely used approach identifying techniques that elicit behaviour change, it is not possible to guarantee 100%
accuracy of the coded BCTs, as it does not have perfect inter-rater reliability. This is further
confounded as it is likely that an intervention’s true content is under reported (Michie,
Fixsen, Grimshaw, & Eccles, 2009). The recorded BCTs were only identified from the
description provided in the published articles. It would therefore be helpful if future
interventions reported their content more fully, ideally using BCTs or a similar system.

The BCT Taxonomy also presents other limitations. For instance, the BCTTv1 states
that ‘emotional social support’ extends to MI and CBT (Michie, et al., 2013). This is a
limitation for the interpretation of the current finding’s as MI was implemented within three
studies in this review (Pal, et al., 2007; Syzdek, et al., 2014; Syzdek, et al., 2016). Indeed, MI
includes aspects of emotional support, but in addition, behaviour change elicited from MI is
thought to arise through combating ambivalence (Miller & Rollnick, 2013). Ambivalence
refers to the experience of motivations for and against a behaviour. Thus, a MI framework
seeks to elicit the positive reasons for changing a behaviour (Miller & Rose, 2015). In this
context, emotional support may not necessarily have contributed to improvements in help-
seeking per se, but men may need to work through their motivations both for and against
seeking psychological support in order to improve their help-seeking attitudes, intentions
and/or behaviours. The BCT taxonomy does not allow us to determine whether emotional
support or working through ambivalence contributes to changes in help-seeking. A
suggestion to overcome this limitation would be to use another taxonomy that seeks to
identify specific MI techniques that contribute to behaviour change (Hardcastle, Fortier,
Blake, & Hagger, 2017). Indeed, this may enable the distinction between social support and
combating ambivalence.

Although help-seeking is consistently reported to be worse in males (Mackenzie, et
al., 2006), the identified techniques in this review should be interpreted cautiously. Men are
not a homogenous group. Alongside sex, other factors such as symptom severity, diagnosis
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(Edwards, et al., 2007), culture (Lane & Addis, 2005; Guo, et al., 2015) and sexual orientation (Vogel, et al., 2011) all intersect with help-seeking behaviours. Consequently, certain BCTs may be more or less effective for different sub-groups of men.

Lastly, from over 6,000 articles identified from the initial search strategy only 9 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria. This highlights the dearth in literature surrounding studies that seek to evaluate changes in mental health help-seeking in males. Furthermore, only three studies utilised a measure of practical help-seeking (McFall, et al., 2000; MacNeil, et al, 2018; Syzdek, et al., 2016) which also highlights the lack of research using practical help-seeking as an outcome measure.

Conclusion

Historically, men are more hesitant about seeking help for mental health difficulties compared to their female counterparts. Often, this is associated with the disproportionately higher suicide rates in men compared to women (World Health Organisation, 2017; Chang, Yip, & Chen, 2019). Nevertheless, a paucity of male-specific interventions designed to improve psychological help-seeking remains.

The current review includes all the available interventions. Furthermore, the specific features within these diverse interventions have been summarised, aiming to provide some clarity within this diverse field. This review has demonstrated the feasibility and usefulness of synthesising complex behaviour change interventions with this method.

Interventions designed to improve psychological help-seeking in men share similarities. Interventions that appear to improve male help-seeking incorporate: role models, psycho-educational materials, symptom recognition and management skills, active problem-solving tasks, motivating behaviour change, sign posting materials, and content that builds on positive masculine traits (e.g. responsibility and strength).
In sum, this review helps provide clarity when trying to understand help-seeking interventions for men. Furthermore, promising strategies to consider when developing future interventions have been discussed, informing both research and clinical practice.
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