Abstract

Introduction. Exercise and physical activity may improve pain and function in people with persistent musculoskeletal pain, but adherence is often low. Understanding the barriers and facilitators of exercise adherence could aid in the development of an intervention to promote exercise adherence. This study explored the factors influencing adherence to prescribed exercise in people with persistent musculoskeletal pain.

Methods. Qualitative semi-structured interviews were conducted with patients with persistent musculoskeletal pain. Registered physiotherapists specialising in the treatment of persistent musculoskeletal pain were recruited to two focus groups. Data was analysed using framework analysis informed by the Theoretical Domains Framework.

Findings. Twenty patient participants (mean age=44 years, standard deviation=14) and ten physiotherapists (mean duration registered=11 years, standard deviation=5) were included. Four themes were identified: the role of environment, the therapeutic relationship, facilitating engagement with self-management and the influence of pain and negative affect. The Health Action Process Approach was identified as an appropriate model to inform intervention development.

Conclusions. Personal, social, and environmental factors as well as the relationship with the physiotherapist influences exercise adherence. These findings may inform practice and the development of theoretically-informed interventions to enhance exercise adherence in people with persistent musculoskeletal pain.
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Introduction

Persistent musculoskeletal pain is experienced in the axial skeleton and peripheral joints persisting over three months after initial onset [1]. Common diagnoses include low back pain, osteoarthritis, and fibromyalgia [2]. Clinical practice guidelines suggest referral to a healthcare professional and treatment often includes a prescription of exercise or regular physical activity to maintain function and mobility [1]. Exercise is defined as planned, structured and repetitive bodily movement, while physical activity is considered any bodily movement resulting in energy expenditure [3]. In this study, the term prescribed exercise was used to encompass either of these behaviours recommended by a healthcare professional. Prescribed exercise can improve mobility while decreasing pain [4, 5]. However, the degree of improvement is still relatively unknown, since 50-70% of patients are minimally or non-adherent to their prescribed programme [6, 7]. Poor adherence means that patients often fail to achieve the optimum benefit from prescribed exercise [8].

Treatment adherence has been defined by the World Health Organization as “the extent to which a person’s behaviour corresponds with agreed recommendations from a healthcare provider” [9]. However, this definition is not specific to exercise adherence [10] and few studies have focused on adherence to prescribed exercise as a primary outcome [7, 11, 12]. Recent systematic reviews have identified intervention components that can enhance exercise adherence [4, 13-15]. A review of 12 randomized controlled trials identified activity feedback (e.g., via an activity monitor), written exercise instruction, and behavioural programmes including goal planning as strategies that may enhance adherence to physiotherapy appointments in the general population [4]. Specifically, for people with acute or persistent musculoskeletal pain, three reviews of controlled trials identified that motivational and behavioural programmes, such as cognitive behavioural therapy [14], goal setting, graded tasks, self-monitoring, feedback, problem solving [15], individualised exercise programmes,
and self-management techniques (e.g., symptom management and relaxation techniques) [13] may effectively enhance exercise adherence. However, moderate quality evidence, small sample sizes and heterogeneous adherence measures used in the included studies limit the conclusions of these reviews. These limitations are compounded by a lack of qualitative research in people with persistent musculoskeletal pain, which impedes the development of interventions aimed at improving exercise adherence. Qualitative research is recommended in the early stages of developing complex interventions to explore the full experience of the behaviour [16].

Barriers to exercise adherence have however been qualitatively investigated in a population with rheumatoid arthritis. A number of factors have been identified including: a lack of social connections, fatigue, fears relating to risks of infection, lack of professional input or conflicting professional advice, a lack of disease specific programmes, inaccessible facilities and psychological barriers [17-19]. Whilst these findings are specific to people with rheumatoid arthritis [20], they inform the development of qualitative research in a broader population with persistent musculoskeletal pain. This may support the development of an appropriately tailored behaviour change intervention [21].

Behaviour change interventions are defined as “coordinated sets of activities designed to change specified behaviour patterns” [22], and are most effective when tailored to a specific behaviour and population group [16, 23]. Frameworks for intervention design suggest that the identification of an appropriate theory is one of the first steps in intervention development [24] and there is some evidence that interventions developed with a theoretical framework are more effective than interventions without an explicit theoretical underpinning [25, 26].

There are a number of theories used to underpin behaviour change interventions, many with overlapping constructs [16, 27]. The Health Action Process Approach is one model describing the process of health behaviour change [28, 29] and has been used to explain...
exercise and physical activity behaviours [30-34]. The model has two layers, a continuum layer providing a distinction between a *motivational phase* that leads to behaviour intention and a *volitional phase* that leads to the actual health behaviour. The second layer can be considered a more explicit stage model and considers individuals as *preintenders, tenders* or *actors*. Individuals within these phases or stages can be differentiated based on the constructs eliciting the most influence on the behaviour [29].

In the motivational phase individuals do not yet have an intention to act (e.g., the patient does not intend to engage with their physiotherapy exercises). These individuals are referred to in the model as *pre-intenders*. Three factors are theorised to be most influential in this phase of the model. The first is risk perception, which is the interpretation of a health threat (such as the experience of pain), the second is outcome expectations (such as expectations of the prescribed exercise), and the third is self-efficacy (the belief in one’s capabilities to successfully engage in the behaviour [35]). The model differentiates between phase-specific self-efficacy with task self-efficacy being most influential in this phase [31].

After an intention is formed the individual moves into the volitional phase. With this transition, factors are theorised to change in importance, thereby reflecting a mindset that differs from that of the motivational phase. Individuals are first in the intentional stage where volitional factors such as maintenance self-efficacy and action and coping planning are most influential. Once the behaviour has been adopted, the individual moves to the actional stage, where maintenance of the behaviour is influenced by maintenance self-efficacy and external barriers and facilitators. Stronger intentions and planning may contribute to maintenance of the behaviour [30, 32]. This theory may provide an appropriate framework to explain exercise adherence and underpin the development of an intervention.

There is, however, argument within the literature regarding the necessity of theory to ensure intervention effectiveness [36, 37]. This may be due to the inappropriate selection of
theory, which subsequently fails to target the most pertinent behavioural constructs [38]. To adequately evaluate and ensure a comprehensive consideration of theoretical models explaining health behaviour, formative research should be undertaken to allow for an informed decision on the appropriate factors to target [16]. Formative research is important to understand factors contributing to health behaviour. It is often gathered through in depth qualitative research such as interviews and focus groups, as this provide researchers and clinicians with a deeper understanding of individual decision processes [16]. This may inform the development of effective interventions to overcome identified barriers.

Thus, the aim of this study was to explore the key factors related to exercise adherence. This was done by identifying the perceived barriers and facilitators from both the perspective of patients with persistent musculoskeletal pain and physiotherapists, in order to identify the theoretical constructs most pertinent to this population to establish the appropriateness of the Health Action Process Approach to underpin intervention development.

**Methods**

This qualitative study included semi-structured interviews with patients with persistent musculoskeletal pain and focus groups with specialist musculoskeletal physiotherapists.

**Participant information and recruitment**

Patients were purposefully recruited from outpatient physiotherapy clinics in three large public hospitals in London, United Kingdom. Posters advertising the study were displayed in physiotherapy clinic waiting rooms and interested patients contacted the study researcher for eligibility screening. Patients were eligible if:

i. they had self-reported persistent musculoskeletal pain for three months or longer
ii. received physiotherapy which included prescribed exercise for their persistent musculoskeletal pain

iii. were able to understand and speak sufficient English to engage in an interview

Patients were not eligible if they experienced pain due to inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, as these conditions receive different management and have been previously explored [17-19]. Once eligibility was confirmed potential participants were provided with the study information sheet and given 24 hours to consider their participation.

Physiotherapists for two focus groups were recruited from (i) a physiotherapy department in a large public hospital in London and (ii) a Masters in Advanced Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy programme at a London university. Physiotherapists were eligible for inclusion if they were Health and Care Professions Council registered physiotherapists with at least three months experience working with patients with persistent musculoskeletal pain.

**Topic guide development**

Interview and focus group topic guides were informed by the study aims and previous research [17-19] (see supplementary material for sample topic guides). A Patient and Public Involvement group which consisted of five individuals with persistent musculoskeletal pain commented on the topic guides during development. The interview topic guide was piloted with two participants. Following the pilot interviews, comprehension was checked, and the participants provided feedback on length and phrasing of questions. The topic guide was refined following the pilot interviews, which were not included in the analysis. The interviews were iteratively refined as they were conducted, and new ideas or descriptions were generated [39]. The focus group topic guide was refined iteratively following the first focus group.

**Researcher reflexivity and trustworthiness**
All interviews and focus groups were conducted by the first author (LM) who had no previous relationship with the participants. LM has a master’s degree in health and exercise psychology and received training in conducting focus groups and semi-structured interviews from experienced qualitative researchers.

Throughout data generation, reflective notes were recorded in a diary. A social constructivist approach was maintained during this study. This asserts that reality is socially constructed and subjective to the individual. Therefore, the reflective diary assisted in providing congruence across the data and was used to identify and acknowledge any potential interpretation bias [40].

**Ethical consideration**

Ethical approval was obtained from West Midlands-Edgbaston Research Ethics Committee and the King's College London and Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Trust Foundation (17/WM/0249).

**Procedure**

**Interviews**

Following informed consent, individual interviews were conducted face to face in a private room at a London university or via telephone, depending on participant preference. Descriptive data (age, sex, occupation, pain location and duration) were collected. Recruitment continued until data saturation of themes was reached. This was defined as no new information obtained for three consecutive interviews [41].

**Focus groups**

Following informed consent, the two focus groups were conducted. One was held in a private room in a public hospital physiotherapy department and the other at a London university. The perceptions from the physiotherapists provided data contributing to understanding patient behaviours in the clinic that may influence adherence levels [42]. Conducting two focus groups
allowed for the generation of insight from physiotherapists working in different settings and clinics and at different stages in their careers. Participants provided data on their sex and years registered as a physiotherapist. An independent researcher was present during both focus groups to take field notes.

**Data management and analysis**

Interviews and focus groups were audio recorded, transcribed verbatim and anonymised. Data was managed and analysed using the framework approach to analysis [43] using NVivo 11.41 (QSR International Ltd). This method provided an in-depth analysis of themes and allowed for a cohesive analysis of interview and focus group data [43]. This approach encompasses seven steps that ensures a systematic, rigorous and transparent qualitative data analysis [43, 44]. To ensure theoretical constructs were comprehensively considered, the analysis was informed by the Theoretical Domains Framework [31].

The Theoretical Domains Framework assists in identifying and selecting the most appropriate theoretical constructs. The framework consists of 14 domains of theoretical constructs [31] that can aid in identifying factors (i.e., barriers and facilitators) that are provided by the qualitative data analysis [30]. Responses can then be mapped onto the appropriate domains, identifying key factors that may influence behaviour and could be targeted in subsequent interventions [32]. It has been used extensively as preparation for the qualitative analysis of patient experiences [33,34].

The Theoretical Domains Framework informed the development of the matrices required for the completion of the framework analysis [44]. Data was charted into matrices informed by the domains that comprise the framework (table 1). This process was also inductive so that any data that was not captured by one of the 14 domains was open coded. Conversely, if no data matched one of the 14 domains, the domain was not used. The data was then summarized and synthesized and linked back to the original transcripts in NVivo to
maintain a data management audit trail. The summarised data was then analysed across all the matrices for themes pertaining to exercise barriers and facilitators.

[Insert table 1 here]

**Data validation**

Validation of the focus group and interview data was ensured by checking initial codes with an independent researcher (a health psychology doctoral student with experience of conducting framework analysis). The final themes derived from the data were shared with participants and the Patient and Public Involvement group for resonance and relevance.

**Findings**

Twenty persistent musculoskeletal pain patient participants (75% female, mean age=44 years, \(SD=14\)) and ten physiotherapists (60% female, mean duration registered=11 years, \(SD=5\)) were recruited to this study (table 2). Interviews had a mean duration of 64 minutes (range 48-72 minutes). Three patient participants (ID2, ID8, ID15) completed telephone interviews due to poor mobility. The two focus groups had similar durations of 54 (public hospital physiotherapists) and 56 minutes (master programme students). The findings between the two focus groups were congruent and there was no apparent difference between years of clinical experience and perceptions about patient adherence. Notes were made in the reflective diary pertaining to the rapport developed between the interviewer and participants, and how this impacted on data collection. These reflections assisted in data analysis and interpretation. The excerpts in the diary aided in identifying congruence, and conversely, disparities between the responses from the patient participants and physiotherapists. This provided a richness to the interpretation of the results.

[Insert table 2 here]
The analysis identified four superordinate themes with two subordinate themes each: the role of environment; the therapeutic relationship; facilitating engagement with self-management and the influence of pain and negative affect (table 3).

_Theme 1: the role of environment “it’s just helpful to have that additional support”_

Patient participants reported that the availability of suitable physical space as well as social influences impacted on their adherence to prescribed exercise. All physiotherapists agreed that environmental factors were important for exercise adherence and discussed ways to ameliorate these influences with their patients when prescribing exercise. The two subthemes will be discussed separately below.

Sub theme 1.1: physical environment

The accessibility of adequate space or equipment was important to facilitate exercise adherence and the majority of patient and physiotherapist participants commented on this aspect. This barrier prevented some patients from completing their prescribed exercises:

“…some of the exercises they give are like ‘ok now do this’ and it’s like ok so where in my flat am I going to attach an exercise band at that height and do it at home?” Male patient ID21 (shoulder pain > 5 years, ≥ 40 years old, employed).

The physiotherapists also recognized the impact of an appropriate environment on exercise adoption and adherence and tried to support patients by suggesting alternative places to complete their exercises, such as at work or in a dedicated exercise space. For example, one physiotherapist reported:

“Often we’ll encourage patients to take exercise and extend it out into the local gym or a pool.” Physiotherapist ID3 (certified < 10 years).
Some patient participants reported that completing exercises in a gym was helpful as they viewed it as an enabling environment which facilitated their prescribed exercise and encouraged additional physical activity.

“I think going to the gym is a big help...I feel like I sort of want to make the most of my time here so then I do the exercises...and I feel like I had a proper workout.” Female patient ID9 (hip pain < 5 years, < 40 years old, not employed).

In contrast, other patient participants found that completing exercises in a gym could be disconcerting due to the nature of the exercises.

“I felt a bit silly, I mean the guy beside me is lifting these huge weights and I’m lying there with my like exercise band moving my leg back and forth...I’m a little more aware of people looking around at me.” Male patient ID11 (hip pain < 5 years, < 40 years old, employed).

Therefore, completing the exercises at home was the most realistic option for some patients, and they felt identifying physical prompts in their environment supported their adherence.

“I had to actually put the towel by my bed so when I got up I would do the exercise.” Female patient ID20 (osteoarthritis > 5 years, ≥ 40 years old, not employed).

Sub theme 1.2: social environment

It was acknowledged by patients and physiotherapists that social support provided by family, friends or peers assisted patients to adhere to their prescribed exercise. Some patient participants discussed the isolation that occasionally accompanied their condition and identified that meeting others to complete exercises facilitated adherence, as reflected below by a patient participant who was referred to a group exercise class:
“It gives me a purpose to get dressed. To make an effort to go. You meet likewise people, the same as you. They may not necessarily have the same condition, but you know you’re all there to do an exercise class. You’re having a reason to get out of bed without thinking.” Female ID15 (fibromyalgia < 5 years, < 40 years old, not employed).

The role of social support was recognized by the physiotherapists as a useful strategy to be discussed when prescribing exercise, particularly when adherence to exercise is low:

“I think you need to look at more than following their exercise, is it they don’t know how to do them, is it they’re unmotivated maybe they would benefit from joining a class some patients respond better in a class environment than a one to one.”

Physiotherapist ID4 (certified ≥ 10 years).

Theme 2: the therapeutic relationship “someone who considers me personally”

The therapeutic relationship appeared to influence exercise adherence. This relationship was described as collaboratively developing a tailored exercise programme which aligned with the patients’ needs and lifestyle. The importance of building a strong, collaborative relationship was recognized as influencing exercise adherence by both patient participants and the physiotherapists.

Sub theme 2.1: collaborative partnership

Many patient participants remarked on the importance of the role of the physiotherapist and acknowledged that their physiotherapist influenced their exercise adherence. Collaboratively developing a plan to achieve their set goals, and regular monitoring of their exercise adherence by the physiotherapists encouraged greater exercise adherence.

“I think my take up of the exercises was really good…I was feeling positive about my progress and obviously I had a physio kind of monitoring me and helping and wanting me to progress.” Female ID7 (low back > 5 years, < 40 years old, employed).
The physiotherapists recognised that it is important to understand a patient’s needs and their readiness to complete exercises and if this was not considered they may be less able to support exercise adherence:

“You think oh how can I get it across, and I think you can take it quite personally that you haven’t managed to engage that patient or it’s that I’m not explaining things properly but actually sometimes it’s just that it’s not right for them there and then.”

Physiotherapist ID5 (certified < 10 years).

However, some patient participants reflected that they felt that the physiotherapist was not listening to, or acknowledging, their concerns. One patient participant reported that this affected her relationship with the physiotherapist and subsequently her engagement with her prescribed exercises:

“…and I’m telling her it hurts and she’s like oh yeah you just have to blah blah blah and it’s like, she didn’t listen to me at all... I feel like I’ve blocked out anything she said to be honest.” Female ID3 (fibromyalgia < 5 years, < 40 years old, not employed).

Failure to develop this relationship and collaborative plan led some patient participants to question if their treatment was useful:

“I think one of the issues is that I don’t feel like I have a plan right now. I am just kind of doing the physio.” Male, ID16 (ankle pain > 5 years, ≥ 40 years old, employed).

The physiotherapists recognized the need to collaborate with patients and help them integrate exercises into their lifestyle. However, external factors such as limited appointment duration impacted on their ability to provide knowledge and support for patients. This could affect the development of a collaborative partnership.
“...in my opinion experience doesn’t stop the fact that we have very little time often to enact a lot of stuff...drawing on a lot of information, try to synthesize that, bring that together in a meaningful way that’s going to change the patient’s behaviour.”

Physiotherapist ID6 (certified ≥ 10 years).

Sub theme 2.2: tailored exercise prescription

Both the patient participants and physiotherapists reported that tailoring an exercise prescription to an individuals’ needs, interests or lifestyle influenced exercise adherence. A few patient participants noted that they were able to adapt their exercises to fit into their daily lives. Sometimes this was done independently, or for others, facilitated by the physiotherapist. However, failing to identify ways in which exercise programmes could be realistically undertaken led patient participants to stop exercising.

“The whole plan takes about 45 minutes so I was like oh my god! I want to go for walks and get some fresh air and I was like I can’t balance all these things and then I was trying to go swimming to strengthen my leg as well and I just like ah! So the physio [exercises] just kept getting pushed to the side.” Female ID12 (knee pain < 5 years, < 40 years old, employed).

The physiotherapists recognised that prescribing an acceptable and manageable exercise programme facilitated exercise adherence.

“I think also the personalisation too, so people feel that you’ve really taken the time to understand them and how it relates to them, you get a greater buy in than someone that just perceives oh they’ve come in and they’ve been given a sheet of exercises and off they’ve gone.” Physiotherapist ID3 (certified < 10 years).

Theme 3: facilitating engagement with self-management “it’s important to ‘buy in’ to the new lifestyle”
Both patient participants and physiotherapists recognized the need for patients to believe in and engage with the advice and exercise prescription being provided. Patient participants discussed adapting their attitudes and beliefs and altering their daily activities to integrate prescribed exercise into their lives. To facilitate self-management, additional support and realistic treatment expectations were considered important by both physiotherapists and patient participants. The physiotherapists discussed developing a self-management plan (i.e., an action plan) with patients to assist in adhering to their exercises. The physiotherapists acknowledged their role in collaborating with patients to develop this plan. However, they recognised that implementation of the plan is the responsibility of the patient.

“We’ll ask the patient...what their goals are and then how they think that they are going to get there and they're like ‘well you’re gonna do it’, I’m kind of trying to explain that no you’re gonna do it [the exercises] but I’m gonna help facilitate you to get there.”

Physiotherapist ID7 (certified ≥ 10 years).

Sub theme 3.1: support to overcome environmental barriers

Both physiotherapists and the patient participants recognized the supportive role of the physiotherapist during the appointment, but there is less support available when patients exercise at home. Patient participants reflected on the need for additional resources between their appointments to assist with their efforts to self-manage. Some patient participants reported they would leave their physiotherapist appointment with an understanding of how to complete their exercises, however a lack of accessible resources would impact on their efforts to adhere. In the time between appointments, participants noted they would often forget the detailed instructions about how to perform the exercise accurately and effectively, this subsequently affected their adherence levels.
“I left [the appointment] thinking, yeah I got this, they’re written here on the paper. But actually there are subtle things…should this be tilted forward…there are specific things to make sure the exercise is done correctly. So in the time between appointments it fades so I just stop [doing the exercise].” Female ID3 (fibromyalgia < 5 years, < 40 years old, not employed).

A few patient participants noted that their engagement with exercises waned between appointments and some stopped exercising all together until their next appointment. Both patient participants and physiotherapists noted that there are limited resources available that patients can access between appointments to support their exercise adherence and their efforts to self-manage.

“You send them away with some progressions, but you know nobody wants to do the same exercises for a year to come. So do they know how to progress themselves…maybe this is why they stop at some point.” Physiotherapist ID9 (certified < 10 years).

A few patient participants recognized the value of leaving the appointments with resources to refer to while exercising at home. Some patients discussed being videotaped while completing the exercise in their appointment and how this facilitated their adherence at home.

“What he did for me this time was much more helpful…he used my phone to film me doing the exercises. So that was really helpful because then when I came home I could watch the video back and remember what I am supposed to do. And then I got his voice in the background giving me instructions as I go.” Female ID9 (hip pain < 5 years, < 40 years old, not employed).

Sub theme 3.2: establishing realistic treatment expectations

Patient participants discussed their expectations of their treatment and how they felt their exercises would impact on their pain and function. These expectations modified their ability or
willingness to engage in their treatment and manage their condition. Some patient participants discussed their uncertainty about the effectiveness of their physiotherapy when they did not experience improvements in their pain and function. This led them to question their treatment, and consequently decreased adherence to their prescribed exercises.

“…I just get really frustrated and angry…physio doesn’t always give me the result that I want so it’s like what else could I have done. Did I push it too much or did I not do enough…like what the heck!” Female ID5 (hip pain < 5 years, ≥ 40 years old, employed).

Physiotherapists underlined that patient expectations of treatment outcomes may be altered if they misunderstand the purpose of physiotherapy. Some physiotherapists reflected that a few patients expect passive treatment (such as massage) and do not anticipate that they would be required to complete exercises at home. The physiotherapists reported that this may affect a patient’s exercise adherence.

“I think also the biggest thing is patient expectation. That you’ve got to do the exercises. Or the idea is that you do the exercises regularly. And it may take several weeks or months and often it’s when you talk about the longevity, they are often looking for the quick fix.” Physiotherapist ID3 (certified < 10 years).

Theme 4: the influence of pain and negative affect “I was really feeling like pain was a huge barrier…so I had quite low mood”

Numerous patient participants discussed the role that their emotions and mental health had on their adherence to exercise. Some patient participants suggested that their exercise adherence was greatly influenced by the presence of pain or the fear of experiencing pain. Other patient participants reported that these experiences or negative emotions had a self-regulatory effect
and acted as a reminder to complete their exercises. Physiotherapists recognized the importance that these factors may have on patient exercise adherence as well as engagement with treatment.

Sub theme 4.1: understanding pain

Some patient participants reported that they were uncertain what pain represented and this influenced their adherence to exercise. Few patient participants revealed that pain would trigger anxiety as they did not know what initiated the pain and it would cause them to avoid activity or make them more hesitant to complete their daily activities. This was largely because they were unsure how to manage an increase in pain.

“My fear is that when, you know, you feel a twinge and you’re like ah did I just hurt myself again and you have to wait 6 weeks to see someone and ask that question so I won’t want to do anything until I see them again.” Male ID21 (shoulder pain > 5 years, ≥ 40 years old, employed).

The physiotherapists recognized that poor understanding of the meaning of pain was a potential barrier to exercise adherence, and that some patients may require additional support and education around understanding their pain.

“With persistent pain we are looking to help them functionally rather than just reducing their pain which isn’t going to happen. As long as they understand why they’re doing it and what benefits it’s going to give them...they’re the ones that are probably going to succeed with it.” Physiotherapist ID4 (certified ≥ 10 years).

Some patient participants recognized that increased pain may be expected following exercise, however there was still uncertainty about what pain represented:

I mean I know I can do it [the exercises], but I would definitely avoid putting too much pressure on it just because of how it has been in the past I wouldn’t want it to go back or revert to the condition [it was before]. I would do moderate exercise. But I wouldn’t
know if I was causing more detriment, so I would just keep it moderate.” **Female ID17**

(shoulder pain < 5 years, < 40 years old, employed).

Sub theme 4.2: impact of negative affect

Some patient participants reported that the antecedents or consequences of the experience of negative affect influenced exercise adherence. For some patients, negative affect resulted in lower adherence to exercise as well as their everyday activities. Many patients reported a lack of support regarding the management of negative emotions.

“One thing I have noticed...there doesn’t seem to be joined up thinking and I think what we [the physiotherapist] haven’t really talked about is the mental health implications of the injury. Sometimes I just feel so [bad] about it [the pain] and I don’t want to do anything.” **Male ID16** (ankle pain > 5 years, ≥ 40 years old, employed).

Conversely, for some patients the experience, or the anticipation, of negative affect motivated them to adhere to their exercises.

“I enter them [the exercises] in a notebook and at the end of the day if I have to enter in there that I didn’t do them...I feel quite guilty because it’s like a confessional...so I think damn I should do them.” **Male ID1** (back pain > 5 years, ≥ 40 years old, not employed).

The physiotherapists recognized that persistent pain conditions are complex, and that mental health influences patient adherence to exercise and treatment recommendations. However, our physiotherapists realised that they were not trained to assist with serious mental health issues and recognise referral to appropriate services was required.

“If it is chronic pain and they’ve gone through many many different physios and they’ve failed physio, sometimes our best role is to refer to a psychologist for some other
treatment. Obviously we know that exercise is important but if they’re not willing to do that there may be other yellow flags.” Physiotherapist ID10 (certified ≥ 10 years).

[Insert table 3 here]

Discussion

This qualitative interview and focus group study provided perspectives from both patient participants with persistent musculoskeletal pain and physiotherapists. The Theoretical Domains Framework provided a framework for organizing the data to underpin a comprehensive analysis identifying four themes encompassing individual, environmental and interpersonal levels: the role of environment, the therapeutic relationship, facilitating engagement with self-management, and the influence of pain and negative affect.

The physical and social environment was reported to influence exercise adherence by both physiotherapists and patient participants. Our findings suggest that the accessibility and appropriateness of exercise facilities affect exercise adherence. This concurs with other research in the general population [38,39]. More specifically, for those with mobility issues, the home environment and nearby facilities are important factors in facilitating exercise [45]. Our study extends these findings and suggests that for a population with persistent musculoskeletal pain, the topic of accessible and adequate space to complete exercise needs to be addressed. Physiotherapists can support patients in developing effective coping strategies and identifying how to overcome situations where lack of appropriate space to exercise may be an issue. For instance, by adapting exercises to align with their available environment or referral to appropriate community resources.

Our findings also support previous research indicating that social support increases physical activity levels in physiotherapy interventions [4, 12]. A qualitative study exploring rheumatoid arthritis patient perspectives of an educational, self-management exercise programme identified the environment as being influential in the participants’ adherence to the
programme [46]. Particularly, the support from others in the group, the physiotherapist facilitating the programme, as well as friends and family, enabled the uptake and maintenance of exercise. Physiotherapists in our study recognized the importance of social support, particularly in patients with low motivation. This was incorporated into treatment planning with patients by referring them to group exercise classes. The current evidence may not support group exercise classes over individualised treatment in improving pain and function. There was no significant difference in outcomes between participants with osteoarthritis and low back pain receiving group education and exercise classes and those receiving individual treatment or usual care [47]. However, as group-based treatment is cost-effective it may be more feasible to deliver than individualised treatment [48]. Additionally, patients completing group exercise may also benefit from increased social support. It may be more appropriate to establish with the patient the desired type of social support, who should deliver it and how it can best be utilised.

Our findings suggest that the therapeutic relationship influenced exercise adherence in people with persistent musculoskeletal pain, and while this was recognized by both the physiotherapists and the patient participants, their views differed to some extent. The influence of the patient-provider relationship on treatment adherence is well recognised [49, 50], and the impact of the therapeutic alliance on rehabilitation outcomes has been explored [51, 52]. The therapeutic alliance has been described as the positive social connection between the patient and healthcare professional [53]. The therapeutic alliance model comprises three elements: agreement of treatment goals, agreement on the tasks, and the development of a personal bond between the patient and the healthcare professional [51]. This relationship is increasingly regarded as an important determinant to engagement with physical rehabilitation [54] and has been found to be associated with the perceived ability to control pain in a persistent musculoskeletal pain population [55]. The findings from our study extend this evidence by
suggested that targeting each component of the therapeutic alliance model in physiotherapy treatment may support exercise adherence. Developing a personal bond was identified as facilitating exercise adherence by both the patient and physiotherapist participants in our study. Patient participants recognized that collaboratively developing a tailored and individualised exercise prescription supported their adherence. However, it was noted by the physiotherapists in our study that this partnership takes time to develop and may not always be feasible in the current clinical setting. Whilst allied health professionals, such as physiotherapists, are increasingly developing advanced communication skills to motivate and support behaviour change [56], it is ultimately the patient’s responsibility to adhere to their prescribed exercise. Self-efficacy, motivation and intentions have been identified as predictors of adherence to physical therapy programmes [57], and better supporting patients in developing these skills, and ultimately self-managing their conditions, may be required.

The World Health Organization published an action plan for the prevention and control of non-communicable diseases, recognizing a need for the promotion of self-management to support musculoskeletal health [58, 59], and in 2018 published the global action plan to promote physical activity [60]. Patients are required to engage in self-management strategies that include exercise in order to adequately benefit from treatment. However, their ability and willingness to do so will greatly impact on this. Our participants reported that employing techniques such as reminders assisted in the recollection of accurate exercise movements. However, both patients and physiotherapists noted that there was often a lack of resources for patients to access between appointments to help with continued exercise. While patients noted feeling competent in performing the exercises initially, this feeling of competence declined between appointments. This was reported to be influenced by patients forgetting the subtle nuances of the movements, a lack of motivation, and the impact of negative affect. These experiences and feelings of uncertainty contributed to lower adherence to exercise. A recent
qualitative study exploring adherence beliefs in a population with low back pain identified increased knowledge and confidence regarding exercise performance greatly impacted long term adherence [61]. This suggests that adherence to exercise between appointments and after discharge from healthcare professional care, may require easily accessible, low cost support to aid in developing self-management strategies.

The role of physiotherapy and expected treatment outcomes elicited perhaps the most discordant views between the patient participants and the physiotherapists. Patient participants expressed their concern and frustration when their exercises did not produce results as quickly as hoped or expected. Many physiotherapists also reported that some patients expected more passive treatment and immediate changes to their symptoms. This misalignment between patient’s treatment and outcome expectations may impact the patient’s ability and willingness to engage in their prescribed exercises. Pre-treatment expectations are known to influence outcomes and, if these expectations are not met, they may contribute to greater pain perceptions and disability [62]. Reframing of patient treatment and outcome expectations may be needed to facilitate exercise adherence.

The fourth theme identified that the influence of pain and negative affect may also impact the engagement in self-management strategies and particularly exercise adherence. Increased pain following exercise, or perceived lack of improvement following the exercise programme influenced exercise adherence in some of our patient participants. Patients reported a lack of support for these issues in their current treatment. Pain is considered an experience that is tempered by social, environmental, and cognitive factors. Therefore targeting these secondary, biopsychosocial consequences of pain conditions may be important [63]. These may include fear of moment, pain catastrophizing, and anxiety [64]. Accounting for these secondary consequences during treatment may be the most effective approach [65], particularly when considered alongside the prescription of exercise [64]
Theoretical implications

There is a paucity of research exploring exercise adherence in a population with persistent musculoskeletal pain. Understanding the barriers and facilitators to exercise adherence assists in the identification of salient theoretical constructs which can be targeted in interventions to improve exercise adherence [66]. Pain perception, the expectations of physiotherapy treatment, engagement with self-management and the ability to plan and cope with barriers to exercise were evidenced in the results of this study. These findings align with the constructs proposed by the Health Action Process Approach to be influential in behaviour change and maintenance: risk perception, outcome expectations, action and coping planning and self-efficacy [29].

The two phases of the Health Action Process Approach (motivational phase and volitional phase) can be used to assess causality in behaviour change. It is suggested that the phase conceptualisation of the model provides a better way to predict behaviour [29]. However, it is proposed that the explicit stage model of the Health Action Process Approach is best utilised to inform intervention design by further identifying individuals who reside in the two phases as pre-intenders, intenders and actors (figure 1). The intervention then targets treatment efforts based on the stage that the individual resides in. The patient participants and physiotherapists in the current study recognized the importance of tailoring exercise to facilitate exercise adherence. The Health Action Process Approach thus enables the targeting of stage-specific constructs to direct efforts appropriately to support individuals to adhere to exercise (behavioural maintenance). Therefore, the Health Action Process Approach would support the tailoring of an intervention to the individual by targeting the appropriate constructs to support their exercise adherence.

The Health Action Process Approach also proposes that barriers and facilitators impact on all phases of the model. While broadly defined in the model, the findings from the current study may provide detail crucial to the development of an intervention. The physical and social
environment, the influence of pain and negative affect may act as barriers to exercise adherence, while fostering a collaborative therapeutic relationship and facilitating self-management may facilitate the behaviour. The barriers and facilitators identified in the current study could extend the model to include more specificity when applying the model to support adherence in a population with persistent musculoskeletal pain.

[Insert figure 1 here]

**Clinical and research implications**

This study provides insight into the factors that influence exercise adherence in people with persistent musculoskeletal pain. Healthcare professionals, specifically physiotherapists, should be aware of the factors which influence adherence when prescribing exercise, so strategies can be incorporated into their practice to target these factors.

This study included perspectives from both patients and physiotherapists. The similarities and disparate views may inform clinical care and the development of future interventions to facilitate exercise adherence. Both patient participants and physiotherapists recognized the importance of the physiotherapist in supporting exercise adherence. However, the patient participants largely reported that they were ill equipped to continue their exercises between appointments. Therefore, physiotherapists’ may need to recognize the barriers and facilitators to exercise and collaborate with their patients to identify appropriate coping strategies and provide further resources.

Future research should explore the development of a clinically applicable, theoretically informed behaviour change intervention to support exercise adherence. The Health Action Process Approach may provide an appropriate theoretical underpinning to inform an intervention to enhance exercise adherence in a population with persistent musculoskeletal pain. A phase specific intervention may target the constructs identified in the current study.
Both patients and physiotherapists working with people with persistent musculoskeletal pain were enrolled to explore a broad range of views. The framework analysis informed by the Theoretical Domains Framework ensured a rigorous and systematic method of data analysis. The use of this framework may inform clinical reasoning and practice by enabling clinicians to consider the multiple constructs that may impact on exercise adherence. Recruitment of participants continued until no new ideas emerged. These strengths allowed for a comprehensive analysis of the data.

There were several limitations to this study. Only physiotherapists were recruited to provide professional insight. Future research should explore the experiences of other professional groups, such as fitness trainers or general practitioners, who may prescribe exercise to this population. In addition, the patients and physiotherapy participants were recruited from central London institutions. Including participants with different cultural, socioeconomic status and lifestyles may generate new information.

The Theoretical Domains Framework was chosen to provide a framework for the data analysis. However, systematic frameworks such as these have been criticised for being too rigid to encompass the variability within health behaviours [67]. Developing analytical matrices structured around the 14 domains may have limited the ability for other ideas to emerge from the data. However, attempts were made to ameliorate this by allowing ideas to generate inductively.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this study identified four key themes which influence exercise adherence in people with persistent musculoskeletal pain; the environment, therapeutic relationship, facilitating engagement with self-management and the influence of pain and negative affect. This may inform the use and refinement of the Health Action Process Approach which can be
used in intervention development. Clinicians may wish to facilitate self-management strategies in their patients that emphasise coping strategies to overcome personal, social and environmental barriers.
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### TABLE 1

**THEORETICAL DOMAINS FRAMEWORK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>An awareness of the existence of something</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>An ability or proficiency acquired through practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/professional role and identity</td>
<td>A coherent set of behaviours and displayed personal qualities of an individual in a social or work setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beliefs about capabilities</td>
<td>Acceptance of the truth, reality, or validity about an ability, talent, or facility that a person can put to constructive use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimism</td>
<td>The confidence that things will happen for the best or that desired goals will be attained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beliefs about consequences</td>
<td>Acceptance of the truth, reality, or validity about outcomes of a behaviour in a given situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement</td>
<td>Increasing the probability of a response by arranging a dependent relationship, or contingency, between the response and a given stimulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intentions</td>
<td>A conscious decision to perform a behaviour or a resolve to act in a certain way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals</td>
<td>Mental representations of outcomes or end states that an individual wants to achieve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory, attention and decision processes</td>
<td>The ability to retain information, focus selectively on aspects of the environment and choose between two or more alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental context and resources</td>
<td>Any circumstance of a person’s situation or environment that discourages or encourages the development of skills and abilities, independence, social competence, and adaptive behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social influences</td>
<td>Those interpersonal processes that can cause individuals to change their thoughts, feelings, or behaviours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions</td>
<td>A complex reaction pattern, involving experiential, behavioural, and physiological elements, by which the individual attempts to deal with a personally significant matter or event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural regulation</td>
<td>Anything aimed at managing or changing objectively observed or measured actions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2

**PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladeshi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupational status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed full time</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed part time</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term disability</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low back</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain duration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 year</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 years</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physiotherapists</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years certified</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3

**Analytical Themes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>The role of environment</th>
<th>The therapeutic relationship</th>
<th>Facilitating engagement with self-management</th>
<th>The influence of pain and negative affect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It’s just helpful to have that additional support”</td>
<td>“Someone who considers me personally”</td>
<td>“It’s important to ‘buy in’ to the new lifestyle”</td>
<td>“I was really feeling like pain was a huge barrier...so I had quite low mood”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subthemes</td>
<td>Physical environment</td>
<td>Collaborative partnership</td>
<td>Support to overcome environmental barriers</td>
<td>Understanding pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social environment</td>
<td>Tailored exercise prescription</td>
<td>Establishing realistic treatment expectations</td>
<td>Impact of negative affect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>