King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1038/s41380-019-0474-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0474-5)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Liu, J. J., Wei, Y. B., Strawbridge, R., Bao, Y., Chang, S., Shi, L., Que, J., Gadad, B., Trivedi, M., Kelsoe, J., & Lu, L. (2020). Peripheral cytokine levels and response to antidepressant treatment in depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 25(2), 339-350. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0474-5>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Peripheral cytokine levels and response to antidepressant treatment in depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Jia Jia Liu^{1,2#} PhD, Ya Bin Wei^{3,4,5#} PhD, Rebecca Strawbridge⁶ PhD, Yanping Bao² PhD, Suhua Chang¹ PhD, Le Shi¹ PhD, Jianyu Que¹, Bharathi S. Gadad^{7,8} PhD, Madhukar H Trivedi⁸ MD, John R. Kelsoe^{5,9,10*} MD, Lin Lu^{1,2,11*} MD, PhD

¹ Institute of Mental Health, National Clinical Research Center for Mental Disorders, Key Laboratory of Mental Health and Peking University Sixth Hospital, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China.

² National Institute on Drug Dependence, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China.

³ Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, 17176, Sweden.

⁴ Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, 17176, Sweden.

⁵ Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA.

⁶ Affective Disorders Research Group, Centre for Affective Disorders, Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, UK.

⁷ Department of Psychiatry, Texas Tech University Health Science Center, El Paso, Texas, 79905, USA

⁸ Center for Depression Research and Clinical Care, Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Texas, 75235, USA.

⁹ Department of Psychiatry, VA San Diego Healthcare System, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA.

¹⁰ Institute for Genomic Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA.

¹¹ Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences and PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

Equal contribution

*Correspondence

John R. Kelsoe
Department of Psychiatry, 0689
University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093
Tel: 858-534-5927
Fax: 858-408-3523
Email: jkelsoe@ucsd.edu

Lin Lu
Peking University Sixth Hospital, Peking University
Beijing 100191, China
Tel: 86-010-82805308
Fax: 86-10-62032624
Email: linlu@bjmu.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

Predicting antidepressant treatment response has been a clinical challenge for major depressive disorder (MDD). The inflammation hypothesis of depression suggests that cytokines play a key role in the pathophysiology of MDD and alterations in peripheral cytokine levels are associated with antidepressant treatment outcome. Present meta-analysis aimed to examine the association between baseline peripheral cytokine levels and the response to antidepressant treatment and to evaluate whether changes of cytokine levels were associated with the response to antidepressant treatment in patients with MDD. Human-based studies published in any language in peer-reviewed journals were systematically searched from the PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases, from inception up to October 2018. The search terms included cytokine, depressive disorder and antidepressant and their synonyms. Case-control or case-case studies reporting on levels of IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12, CRP, TNF- α , IFN- γ , GM-CSF, MIP-1 α and Eotaxin-1 in patients with MDD based on validated depression scales both before and after antidepressant treatment were included. Of 7408 identified records, 44 studies met inclusion. Standardized mean differences in each cytokine were evaluated and random-effects meta-analyses were performed. MDD patients who responded to antidepressant treatment had lower baseline IL-8 levels than the non-responders (Hedge's $g = -0.28$; 95% CI, -0.43 to -0.13; $P = 0.0003$; FDR = 0.004). Antidepressant treatment significantly decreased levels of TNF- α (Hedge's $g = 0.60$; 95% CI, 0.26 to 0.94; $P = 0.0006$; FDR = 0.004) only in responders and responders showed significantly more decreased TNF- α levels compared to non-responders ($P = 0.046$). These findings suggested that alterations in peripheral cytokine levels were associated with antidepressant treatment outcomes in MDD. Further investigations are warranted to elucidate sources of heterogeneity and examine the potentiality of using inflammatory cytokines as novel predictive markers for the pharmacological treatment of MDD.

Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is the most prevalent psychiatric disorder with an estimated life-time prevalence of 10-20% ¹. Second-generation antidepressants, e.g. selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), are the most commonly used pharmacological treatments for MDD, however only ~30% of the patients achieve remission with the first prescribed antidepressant ². A challenge in treating MDD is the heterogeneity among patient response.

The monoaminergic theory has been the dominant hypothesis of MDD, and most antidepressants are considered to primarily modulate monoaminergic neurotransmission. However, emerging evidence has suggested that aberrant inflammatory processes are involved in the development of MDD and also in mediating the response to antidepressant treatment ^{3,4}. Cytokines are key messengers between immune cells, mediating the initiation and cascade of inflammatory response and can have both pro- and anti-inflammatory properties ^{4,5}. The cytokine hypothesis of depression posits that cytokines play a key role in the pathophysiology of MDD ^{4,5}. Much attention has been devoted to the study of interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), which have been found to be elevated in serum/plasma and cerebrospinal fluid of depressed patients in the absence of comorbid somatic diseases ⁶. Anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-4 and IL-10, were shown to have lower levels in depressed individuals ^{7,8}. Antidepressant treatments also influence inflammatory processes, specifically reduction of peripheral IL-6, IL-10, TNF- α and the monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1) were reported in MDD patients after antidepressant treatment in recent meta-analyses ⁹. However, by evaluating the MDD patients as a whole group, those studies cannot differentiate the reduction in cytokine levels between patients who are antidepressant responders and non-responders. Studies have suggested that decrease in certain cytokine levels, e.g. TNF- α , were seen only in SSRI responders ^{10,11}. Interestingly, baseline cytokine levels have been reported to be involved in the response to antidepressant treatment. For example, higher levels of IL-6 were associated with treatment refractory depression ¹¹.

The association between MDD and disturbed peripheral cytokine levels has been examined in various meta-analyses^{6, 12}, however very few meta-analyses have evaluated the association between baseline cytokine levels and treatment response. Strawbridge et al conducted the first such meta-analysis, however due to the limited number of studies available at that time, only three cytokines (IL-6, TNF- α and CRP) were subject to small meta-analyses from which no clear picture emerged¹³. These reported effects should be interpreted with caution because of possible publication bias. With emerging studies investigating the effect of inflammatory cytokine levels on antidepressant treatment, it is important to perform an updated, methodologically rigorous meta-analysis on the association between peripheral cytokine levels and response to antidepressant treatment in MDD.

Materials and Methods

Inclusion criteria

Records were screened regarding the following inclusionary criteria: 1) adult patients suffering from any depressive disorder (i.e., MDD, persistent depressive disorder) diagnosed according to the international diagnosis tools, e.g. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), or the International Classification of Diseases (ICD); 2) any kind of pre-treatment assessment of inflammatory cytokine/chemokine, including IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12, CRP, TNF- α , IFN- γ , granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), MIP-1 α (a.k.a CCL3) or Eotaxin-1 (a.k.a. CCL11); 3) treatment including at least 4 weeks of continuous administration of any antidepressant; 4) standardized post-treatment symptom measure with a reported cut-off value dividing patients into non-responders and responders. Exclusive criteria include: 1) Studies conducted in bipolar patients, unless separate data for unipolar patients could be extracted; 2) Studies which included a psychological or physiological stressor, or anti-inflammatory treatment either by a targeted agent or by specific immunomodulatory drugs (e.g. non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were excluded, but not psychotropic medications).

Search strategy

A systematic search was conducted in the PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science databases from inception up to October 2018 (JJL and YBW). Key words and subject headings were combined in accordance with the thesaurus of each database. The search string consisted of three components all of which were required: 1) “cytokine” and synonyms, including its components (e.g., IL-1); 2) “depressive disorder” and synonyms; and 3) “antidepressant” and synonyms. All the included studies were conducted in humans and written in English. The details of the strategy are available in the **Supplementary Table 1**.

Data extraction

We extracted the means, standard deviation (SD) and sample sizes for both responders and non-responders in addition to methodological and participant characteristics. In studies that provided log-transformed values, the raw scale was transformed based on Higgins et al’s method one¹⁴. In studies that provided comparison results between responders and non-responders, or before and after treatments, measures of *z*-score, *t*-score and *P*-values were extracted. If the data were missing from the original study, we contacted the corresponding authors. Data was also extracted from bar chart using Engauge Digitizer when the authors could not provide the original data¹⁵.

Quality assessment of included studies

We referred to the New-castle-Ottawa scale for observational studies¹⁶, together with Cochrane common classification scheme for bias, and adapted a quality tool containing following parameters to assess the methodological quality of included studies: 1) Was the sample size ≥ 40 at baseline (Yes = 1, No = 0); 2) Whether the severity of depression were reported at baseline (Yes = 1, No = 0); 3) Whether potential confounders were assessed for both responders and non-responders (age, gender, smoking, body mass index, and time of blood draw) (for each confounder: Yes = 1, No = 0; the maximum of this parameter is 5); 4) Whether the patients were drug-naïve or experienced a washout period (Yes = 1, No = 0); 5)

Whether the attrition rate $\leq 20\%$ (Yes = 1, No = 0); 6) Whether we used data approximation or data extraction software (Yes = 0, No = 1); 7) Whether the manufacturer or parameters of the test were reported (Yes = 1, No = 0). Accordingly, the total score may vary from 0 to 11, with a higher score indicating a higher research quality.

Statistical analysis

Meta-analyses were conducted only when each cytokine was investigated with at least three individual datasets. Standardized mean difference and 95% CI were estimated for each cytokine, providing an unbiased effect size (ES) ¹⁷. Heterogeneity across studies was assessed using the Cochran Q test and was quantified with the I^2 statistic ¹⁸. $I^2 < 25\%$ was deemed to have low heterogeneity, 25% to 75%, medium heterogeneity and $I^2 \geq 75\%$ high heterogeneity. We anticipated a high degree of heterogeneity therefore pooled ES using a random-effects model. An ES of 0.2 was considered low, 0.5 moderate, and 0.8 large ¹⁹. Sensitivity analyses were performed for statistically significant ES estimates by excluding one study from analyses at a time to verify whether a single study turned results non-significant or otherwise changed the direction of the ES. Publication bias was inspected using funnel plot for asymmetry and examined using Egger's test. A P-value < 0.05 was considered the presence of small-study effect ²⁰. The trim-and-fill test was used to estimate the ES adjusting for publication bias ²¹. To further investigate sources of heterogeneity across studies, subgroup or random-effects meta-regression analyses were conducted. The potential confounders were considered: sex (%), age, sample type (serum/plasma), medication status on study-entry, length of treatment, cytokine detection methods (ELISA or other), study quality, publication year, the source of the patients (inpatient/outpatient/mixed). All analyses were performed using R ²² metafor package ²³. P value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of included studies

In total, 7408 potentially eligible studies were identified, of which 44 original studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in our meta-analysis (**Figure 1, Supplementary Table 2**). All studies were in longitudinal design, measuring the inflammatory cytokines pre- and post-treatment. Most studies dichotomized patients into responders and non-responders at the end of the study based on a $\geq 50\%$ reduction of the score from the selected depression severity rating scale. Eight studies considered all patients to be responders^{8, 24-30}. Forty-two studies were able to provide data of response to exclusively pharmacological treatment and two studies provided the cytokine values by combining both pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments^{24, 31}. Three studies pre-selected treatment-resistant patients to study the effect of augmentation treatments³²⁻³⁴.

Baseline inflammatory cytokine levels and its association with antidepressant treatment response

IL-8 levels were investigated in nine studies comprising 397 responders and 311 non-responders. MDD patients who showed better treatment response at the endpoint had significantly lower baseline IL-8 levels compared to the non-responders, with a moderate ES (Hedge's $g = -0.28$, $P = 0.0003$, $FDR = 0.004$, **Table 1, Figure 2a**,^{32, 33, 35-41}). Neither small-study effect (Egger's test for publication bias: $P = 0.20$) nor heterogeneity was observed ($Q = 3.44$, $P = 0.90$; $I^2 = 0$). In sensitivity analysis, the exclusion of any single study one-at-a-time did not alter the direction or statistical significance of the ES.

CRP levels were numerically lower in those who subsequently became responders, though the difference between responders and non-responders was not statistically significant (Hedge's $g = -0.13$, $P = 0.07$, **Table 1, Figure 2b**,^{11, 31, 34, 37, 38, 42-48}). No small-study effect was observed (Egger's test: $P = 0.87$) and the heterogeneity was small ($Q = 20.47$, $P = 0.04$; $I^2 = 15.59$). Subgroup analyses showed that studies recruiting inpatient subjects were associated with lower heterogeneity compared to studies with outpatient patient source (**Supplementary Table 3**).

Baseline IL-6 levels did not significantly differ between responders ($n = 565$) and non-responders ($n = 561$) across 19 included studies (Hedge's $g = -0.91$, $P = 0.36$, **Figure 2c**,^{10, 11, 31, 33-38, 41, 42, 49-57}). No small-

study effects were observed (Egger's test: $P = 0.10$). The ES remained insignificant after adjustment for publication bias. The heterogeneity was large ($I^2 = 97.49\%$). Subgroup analyses suggested that using ELISA-based detection method, plasma sample type and inpatient subject source were associated with higher heterogeneity between studies, compared to other types of assay (e.g. multiplex beads array or flow cytometry etc), serum sample type and outpatient/mixed patient source, respectively (**Supplementary Table 3**). Meta-regression did not identify any significant study-specific covariate that could explain the heterogeneity (**Supplementary Table 4**).

No differences of baseline IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-10, IL-12 TNF- α , IFN- γ , GM-CSF, MIP-1 α and Eotaxin-1 levels were found between patients who were subsequent responders and non-responders (all $P > 0.05$). The forest plots for these estimates were provided in **Supplementary Figure 1-11**. The comparisons of log-scale transformed data were provided in **Supplementary Table 5**.

Effects of treatment and outcome of treatment response

Levels of TNF- α were significantly decreased after antidepressant treatment only in responders with a moderate ES (Hedge's $g = 0.60$, $P = 0.0006$, FDR = 0.004, **Table 2, Figure 3a**,^{8, 10, 11, 24-26, 32, 33, 35-42, 44, 46, 49, 50, 52, 56, 58-63}) but not in non-responders (Hedge's $g = 0.14$, $P = 0.18$, **Supplementary Figure 12**). In responders, there was evidence of small-study effects (Egger's test: $P = 0.03$). The ES did not change after adjustment for publication bias. The heterogeneity in the responder group was large ($Q = 221.78$, $P < 0.001$; $I^2 = 90.98\%$). Subgroup analyses suggested that the measurement of TNF- α with ELISA method was associated with higher heterogeneity compared to other types of assay (**Supplementary Table 6**). Meta-regression analysis showed that the reduction of TNF- α levels in responders was significantly negatively correlated with ELISA based methods (**Supplementary Table 7**). Sensitivity analysis showed that the exclusion of any single study one-at-a-time did not alter the direction or statistical significance of the ES. We also compared the changes of TNF- α levels (Δ TNF- α = endpoint - baseline) between responders and non-responders and found that after antidepressant treatment responders had significantly more decreased TNF- α levels compared to non-responders ($P = 0.046$).

Levels of IL-5 were significantly reduced after antidepressant treatment only in responders with a moderate ES (Hedge's $g = 0.67$, $P < 0.0001$, FDR = 0.0014, **Table 2, Figure 3b**,^{36,37,44}) but only nominally significant in non-responders (Hedge's $g = 0.65$, $P = 0.04$, FDR = 0.56, **Supplementary Figure 13**). There was no evidence of publication bias in both groups but the heterogeneity was large in non-responders ($Q = 6.34$, $P = 0.04$, $I^2 = 80.71\%$). Sensitivity analysis showed that the exclusion of any single study one-at-a-time did not alter the direction or statistical significance of the ES in responders. No significant difference in changes of IL-5 (Δ IL-5) levels were found between responders and non-responders.

Levels of GM-CSF were significantly decreased after antidepressant treatment only in responders with a moderate ES (Hedge's $g = 0.33$, $P = 0.007$, FDR = 0.03, **Table 2, Figure 3c**,^{33,36,44}) but not in non-responders (Hedge's $g = 0.16$, $P = 0.47$, **Supplementary Figure 14**). In the responders, there was no evidence of publication bias (Egger's test: $P = 0.75$) or significant heterogeneity ($Q = 3.86$, $P = 0.28$, $I^2 = 3.58\%$). Sensitivity analysis showed that the exclusion of two of the three studies one-at-a-time changed the statistical significance of the ES. No significant difference in changes of GM-CSF (Δ GM-CSF) levels were found between responders and non-responders.

No significant treatment effects were observed on levels of IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12, IFN- γ , CRP, MIP-1 α , and Eotaxin-1 in either responders or non-responders (all $P > 0.05$, **Supplementary Figure 15-36**). The log scaled data comparison was provided in **Supplementary Table 8**.

Discussion

This meta-analysis investigated the relationship between peripheral cytokines levels and response to antidepressants treatment in depression. Our results suggested that MDD patients who showed better response to antidepressant treatment had lower baseline IL-8 levels compared to the non-responders, while antidepressant treatment significantly decreased TNF- α , IL-5 and GM-CSF levels only in responders.

Our meta-analysis for the first-time suggested IL-8 was associated with antidepressant treatment response in MDD. IL-8 is a well-documented chemotactic factor for the recruitment of neutrophils to the sites of infection and damage ⁶⁴. Later it was shown to activate neutrophil function and may serve as a secondary mediator of inflammation. In peripheral tissues, IL-8 can be secreted by monocytes, lymphocytes and endothelia, and can infiltrate neutrophils through the blood-brain barrier ⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶. In the central nervous system (CNS), activated microglia is the main secretory source of IL-8 and expresses CXCR2 receptor for the chemokine, providing a positive feedback mechanism for a sustained amplification of inflammatory response ⁶⁷. However, the detailed functions of IL-8 are still not clear. IL-8 may play both pro- or anti-inflammatory roles depending on the concentration, which may in part explain the inconsistent association between IL-8 levels and depression. For example, high circulating levels of IL-8 have been shown to decrease the infiltration of neutrophils to the inflammatory site ⁶⁸. A recent study reported higher baseline IL-8 levels predict poor antidepressant response in bipolar disorder ⁶⁹, suggesting antidepressant response may share common mechanisms between MDD and bipolar depression. We should mention that we can not exclude the possibility that the association between a lower baseline IL-8 level and better antidepressant treatment outcome is due to the improvement in patients' depressive symptoms over time, since very few studies have examined the levels of inflammatory cytokines in a control group both at baseline and endpoint.

Compared to Strawbridge et al's study, more cytokines (IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12, MIP-1 α , Eotaxin-1 and IFN γ) were included in our study which complemented the results of previous study. Kohler and colleagues ⁷⁰ performed currently the most comprehensive meta-analysis in the field and it is one of the landmark studies investigating peripheral alterations in cytokine and chemokine levels after antidepressant treatment in major depressive disorder. Based on Kohler et al's study, we complemented the evaluation of the association between levels of baseline cytokine and response to antidepressant treatment and we hypothesized that responders and non-responders may change differently in cytokine levels in response to the treatment. Therefore, the search strategy was different between the

two studies resulting in different studies included in the analysis. The primary focus of Kohler et al's study was to investigate the changes of cytokine levels before and after antidepressant treatment in all depression patients while we focused on the changes in responders and non-responders separately. Several cytokines, including TNF- α and IL-10, were reported in their study to be decreased after antidepressant treatment, however the results should be cautiously interpreted because the changes may only be a reflection from the responders. The maintenance of heightened cytokine levels, e.g. TNF- α , possibly underscores a lack of clinical improvement from the non-responders. Consistently, we found levels of TNF- α were decreased only in responders and responders had significantly more decreased TNF- α levels (Δ TNF- α) compared to non-responders. Although antidepressants may decrease TNF- α levels, we should note that both our study and previous meta-analyses have found a high degree of heterogeneity across studies, suggesting TNF- α levels may be sensitive to outside signals thus subject to dynamic changes^{13, 70}. Antidepressants have been shown to have anti-inflammatory effects both in peripheral immune cells and microglia in the CNS, the latter being the main cells responsible for inflammatory process in the brain⁷¹⁻⁷³. Peripheral cytokines can also exert effects on CNS by entering the brain through volume diffusion or via active cytokine transporters at the blood-brain barrier⁶⁷.

The mechanism underlying antidepressant response is complicated and much of what is known came from pharmacogenetic studies. Interestingly, some genetic markers that survived genome-wide association significance were reported to locate in genes involved in inflammatory process. E.g. studies from GENDEP cohort reported markers in *IL-11* gene and on a lower level of significance, *IL-6*⁷⁴, which support the role of inflammatory pathways in antidepressants efficacy. IL-5 gene is located on human chromosome 5, which is in close proximity to the genes encoding for GM-CSF, IL-3 and IL-4. We found antidepressant decreased IL-5 and GM-CSF levels only in responders, suggesting the responders may have different genetic background compared to the non-responders and that these two genes may be modulated by the same genetic loci in responders. We should note that the results of decreased IL-5 and GM-CSF levels in responders were generated from only 3 studies, providing limited power to draw a

definite conclusion. Besides, we did not find significant difference in changes of IL-5 (Δ IL-5) and GM-CSF (Δ GM-CSF) levels between responders and non-responders. IL-10 is considered an anti-inflammatory cytokine, however we found that it had a decreased trend after antidepressant treatment in responders. We speculate that both IL-5 and IL-10 belong to the T helper 2 (Th2)-derived cytokines, therefore may share common pathways that can be affected by antidepressant treatment. Similarly, previous reports have shown that long-term treatment with SSRIs increased Th1-derived cytokines (e.g. IL-1 β , IL-2, and IFN γ) while decreased Th2-derived cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, IL-6 and IL-10) ⁷⁵.

There are several limitations in our study. We only searched three widely used database, nevertheless, the search strategy was carefully developed as all the relevant studies were identified and no study was added by manual search. Conference papers were included if data were available after we contacted with the authors. Future progress will be facilitated by the comprehensive concern of all the possible gray literature. Due to the small number of studies included in each analysis, we should mention that we could not exclude the possibility of publication bias and small study-effects even though Egger's test has been performed. The methodological quality of included studies varied significantly. The quality assessment showed that many of the original studies were at moderate to high risk of bias. Eighteen in forty-four studies had a sample size lower than 40. We only dichotomized the patients into responders and non-responders due to the difficulty to retrieve depressive severity data that measured as a continuous outcome, which may limit our statistical power in the analysis. Similarly, we were unable to get enough data to control variables such as smoking status and body mass index, which may affect cytokine levels. Future studies will benefit from the strict study design and increased sample size. There was substantial heterogeneity across studies, which may be only partially explained by meta-regression analyses, thus the results should be interpreted with caution. Although all studies included pharmacological treatment, the mechanisms of action varied between different drugs, limiting the conclusiveness of our findings. Two studies included non-pharmacological treatment which may present alternative mechanisms of cytokine action, thus weaken the associations we observed.

Conclusion remark

Our study is to date the most comprehensive meta-analysis investigating the association of levels of circulating cytokines and antidepressant treatment response. Although so many cytokines have been investigated by researchers, our meta-analysis demonstrated that most of the associations were not significant. Our results showed that MDD patients with lower baseline circulating IL-8 levels were associated with better response to antidepressant treatment, suggesting levels of IL-8 may be useful for identifying subjects that will fail to respond to current antidepressant therapies and for determining novel treatment strategy. The mechanisms of IL-8 in antidepressant response in CNS warrants further studies, e.g. induced pluripotent stem cell technology can be used as an *in vitro* model. In addition to measuring circulating IL-8 levels, genetic polymorphisms in IL-8 and genes interact with or involved in IL-8 pathways are also potential candidates that can predict treatment response, which warrants future investigations.

Acknowledgements

JJL was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81801344) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 189826). YBW was supported by the Swedish Research Council (Reg no. 2015-06372). RS was supported by the UK's National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust (SLaM) and King's College London (KCL). JK was supported by grants from the NIMH (U01 MH92758) and the Department of Veterans Affairs. LL was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81761128036, 81821092), and 973 Program (No. 2015CB856400, 2015CB553503). We thank all the authors who contributed their data to this study. We also appreciate Prof. Peng Guan and Naixue Cui for their suggestions on improving the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

1. Kessler RC, Bromet EJ. The epidemiology of depression across cultures. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2013; **34**: 119-138.
2. Trivedi MH, Rush AJ, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Warden D, Ritz L *et al.* Evaluation of outcomes with citalopram for depression using measurement-based care in STAR*D: implications for clinical practice. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006; **163**(1): 28-40.
3. Maes M. Evidence for an immune response in major depression: a review and hypothesis. *Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry* 1995; **19**(1): 11-38.
4. Raison CL, Capuron L, Miller AH. Cytokines sing the blues: inflammation and the pathogenesis of depression. *Trends Immunol* 2006; **27**(1): 24-31.
5. Miller AH, Maletic V, Raison CL. Inflammation and its discontents: the role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2009; **65**(9): 732-741.
6. Dowlati Y, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, Liu H, Sham L, Reim EK *et al.* A meta-analysis of cytokines in major depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; **67**(5): 446-457.
7. Hiles SA, Baker AL, de Malmanche T, Attia J. A meta-analysis of differences in IL-6 and IL-10 between people with and without depression: exploring the causes of heterogeneity. *Brain Behav Immun* 2012; **26**(7): 1180-1188.
8. Sutcgil L, Oktenli C, Musabak U, Bozkurt A, Cansever A, Uzun O *et al.* Pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine balance in major depression: effect of sertraline therapy. *Clin Dev Immunol* 2007; **2007**: 76396.
9. Hannestad J, DellaGioia N, Bloch M. The effect of antidepressant medication treatment on serum levels of inflammatory cytokines: a meta-analysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2011; **36**(12): 2452-2459.
10. Yoshimura R, Hori H, Ikenouchi-Sugita A, Umene-Nakano W, Ueda N, Nakamura J. Higher plasma interleukin-6 (IL-6) level is associated with SSRI- or SNRI-refractory depression. *Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry* 2009; **33**(4): 722-726.
11. Lanquillon S, Krieg JC, Bening-Abu-Shach U, Vedder H. Cytokine production and treatment response in major depressive disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2000; **22**(4): 370-379.
12. Kohler CA, Freitas TH, Maes M, de Andrade NQ, Liu CS, Fernandes BS *et al.* Peripheral cytokine and chemokine alterations in depression: a meta-analysis of 82 studies. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2017; **135**(5): 373-387.
13. Strawbridge R, Arnone D, Danese A, Papadopoulos A, Herane Vives A, Cleare AJ. Inflammation and clinical response to treatment in depression: A meta-analysis. *European*

- neuropsychopharmacology : the journal of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology* 2015; **25**(10): 1532-1543.
14. Higgins JP, White IR, Anzués-Cabrera J. Meta-analysis of skewed data: combining results reported on log-transformed or raw scales. *Stat Med* 2008; **27**(29): 6072-6092.
 15. Engauge Digitizer Software. <https://markummitcheil.github.io/engauge-digitizer/>, 2018, Accessed Date Accessed 2018 Accessed.
 16. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2010; **25**(9): 603-605.
 17. Hedges LV. Distribution theory for Glass's estimator of effect size and related estimators. *Journal of Educational Statistics* 1981; **6**: 107-128.
 18. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003; **327**(7414): 557-560.
 19. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychol Bull* 1992; **112**(1): 155-159.
 20. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997; **315**(7109): 629-634.
 21. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 2000; **56**(2): 455-463.
 22. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, 2014.
 23. Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in {R} with the {metafor} package. *Journal of Statistical Software* 2010; **36**(3): 1-48.
 24. Zoga M, Oulis P, Chatzipanagiotou S, Masdrakis VG, Pliatsika P, Boufidou F *et al*. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase and immune changes under antidepressive treatment in major depression in females. *In vivo (Athens, Greece)* 2014; **28**(4): 633-638.
 25. Piletz JE, Halaris A, Iqbal O, Hoppensteadt D, Fareed J, Zhu H *et al*. Pro-inflammatory biomarkers in depression: treatment with venlafaxine. *The world journal of biological psychiatry : the official journal of the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry* 2009; **10**(4): 313-323.
 26. Tuglu C, Kara SH, Caliyurt O, Vardar E, Abay E. Increased serum tumor necrosis factor-alpha levels and treatment response in major depressive disorder. *Psychopharmacology* 2003; **170**(4): 429-433.
 27. Hernandez ME, Mendieta D, Martinez-Fong D, Loria F, Moreno J, Estrada I *et al*. Variations in circulating cytokine levels during 52 week course of treatment with SSRI for major depressive

- disorder. *European neuropsychopharmacology : the journal of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology* 2008; **18**(12): 917-924.
28. Frommberger UH, Bauer J, Haselbauer P, Fraulin A, Riemann D, Berger M. Interleukin-6-(IL-6) plasma levels in depression and schizophrenia: comparison between the acute state and after remission. *European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience* 1997; **247**(4): 228-233.
 29. Hernandez ME, Mendieta D, Perez-Tapia M, Bojalil R, Estrada-Garcia I, Estrada-Parra S *et al.* Effect of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and immunomodulator on cytokines levels: an alternative therapy for patients with major depressive disorder. *Clin Dev Immunol* 2013; **2013**: 267871.
 30. Marques-Deak AH, Neto FL, Dominguez WV, Solis AC, Kurcgant D, Sato F *et al.* Cytokine profiles in women with different subtypes of major depressive disorder. *Journal of psychiatric research* 2007; **41**(1-2): 152-159.
 31. Reininghaus B, Riedrich K, Dalkner N, Bengesser SA, Birner A, Platzer M *et al.* Changes in the tryptophan-kynurenine axis in association to therapeutic response in clinically depressed patients undergoing psychiatric rehabilitation. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2018; **94**: 25-30.
 32. Eller T, Vasar V, Shlik J, Maron E. Pro-inflammatory cytokines and treatment response to escitalopram in major depressive disorder. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 2008; **32**(2): 445-450.
 33. Ricken R, Busche M, Schlattmann P, Himmerich H, Bopp S, Bschor T *et al.* Cytokine serum levels remain unchanged during lithium augmentation of antidepressants in major depression. *Journal of psychiatric research* 2018; **96**: 203-208.
 34. Hasebe K, Gray L, Bortolasci C, Panizzutti B, Mohebbi M, Kidnapillai S *et al.* Adjunctive N-acetylcysteine in depression: exploration of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein and brain-derived neurotrophic factor. *Acta Neuropsychiatr* 2017; **29**(6): 337-346.
 35. Brunoni AR, Padberg F, Vieira ELM, Teixeira AL, Carvalho AF, Lotufo PA *et al.* Plasma biomarkers in a placebo-controlled trial comparing tDCS and escitalopram efficacy in major depression. *Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry* 2018; **86**: 211-217.
 36. Chen CY, Yeh YW, Kuo SC, Liang CS, Ho PS, Huang CC *et al.* Differences in immunomodulatory properties between venlafaxine and paroxetine in patients with major depressive disorder. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2018; **87**: 108-118.
 37. Gadad BS, Jha MK, Grannemann BD, Mayes TL, Trivedi MH. Proteomics profiling reveals inflammatory biomarkers of antidepressant treatment response: Findings from the CO-MED trial. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 2017; **94**: 1-6.

38. Ormstad H, Dahl J, Verkerk R, Andreassen OA, Maes M. Increased plasma levels of competing amino acids, rather than lowered plasma tryptophan levels, are associated with a non-response to treatment in major depression. *European Neuropsychopharmacology* 2016; **26**(8): 1286-1296.
39. Myung W, Lim SW, Woo HI, Park JH, Shim S, Lee SY *et al.* Serum Cytokine Levels in Major Depressive Disorder and Its Role in Antidepressant Response. *Psychiatry investigation* 2016; **13**(6): 644-651.
40. Eller T, Vasar V, Shlik J, Maron E. Effects of bupropion augmentation on pro-inflammatory cytokines in escitalopram-resistant patients with major depressive disorder. *Journal of psychopharmacology (Oxford, England)* 2009; **23**(7): 854-858.
41. Mikova O, Yakimova R, Bosmans E, Kenis G, Maes M. Increased serum tumor necrosis factor alpha concentrations in major depression and multiple sclerosis. *European neuropsychopharmacology : the journal of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology* 2001; **11**(3): 203-208.
42. Lindqvist D, Dhabhar FS, James SJ, Hough CM, Jain FA, Bersani FS *et al.* Oxidative stress, inflammation and treatment response in major depression. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2017; **76**: 197-205.
43. Mocking RJT, Nap TS, Westerink AM, Assies J, Vaz FM, Koeter MWJ *et al.* Biological profiling of prospective antidepressant response in major depressive disorder: Associations with (neuro)inflammation, fatty acid metabolism, and amygdala-reactivity. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2017; **79**: 84-92.
44. Schmidt FM, Schroder T, Kirkby KC, Sander C, Suslow T, Holdt LM *et al.* Pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines, but not CRP, are inversely correlated with severity and symptoms of major depression. *Psychiatry research* 2016; **239**: 85-91.
45. Uher R, Tansey KE, Dew T, Maier W, Mors O, Hauser J *et al.* An inflammatory biomarker as a differential predictor of outcome of depression treatment with escitalopram and nortriptyline. *The American journal of psychiatry* 2014; **171**(12): 1278-1286.
46. Dome P, Halmai Z, Dobos J, Lazary J, Gonda X, Kenessey I *et al.* Investigation of circulating endothelial progenitor cells and angiogenic and inflammatory cytokines during recovery from an episode of major depression. *Journal of affective disorders* 2012; **136**(3): 1159-1163.
47. Chang HH, Lee IH, Gean PW, Lee SY, Chi MH, Yang YK *et al.* Treatment response and cognitive impairment in major depression: association with C-reactive protein. *Brain Behav Immun* 2012; **26**(1): 90-95.

48. Harley J, Luty S, Carter J, Mulder R, Joyce P. Elevated C-reactive protein in depression: a predictor of good long-term outcome with antidepressants and poor outcome with psychotherapy. *Journal of psychopharmacology (Oxford, England)* 2010; **24**(4): 625-626.
49. El-Haggar SM, Eissa MA, Mostafa TM, El-Attar KS, Abdallah MS. The Phosphodiesterase Inhibitor Pentoxifylline as a Novel Adjunct to Antidepressants in Major Depressive Disorder Patients: A Proof-of-Concept, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Psychother Psychosom* 2018; 1-9.
50. Muthuramalingam A, Menon V, Rajkumar RP, Negi VS. Effect of Fluoxetine on Inflammatory Cytokines in Drug-Naive Major Depression: A Short-Term Prospective Study from South India. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology* 2016; **36**(6): 726-728.
51. Manoharan A, Rajkumar RP, Shewade DG, Sundaram R, Muthuramalingam A, Paul A. Evaluation of interleukin-6 and serotonin as biomarkers to predict response to fluoxetine. *Human psychopharmacology* 2016; **31**(3): 178-184.
52. Brunoni AR, Machado-Vieira R, Zarate CA, Valiengo L, Vieira EL, Benseñor IM *et al.* Cytokines plasma levels during antidepressant treatment with sertraline and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS): Results from a factorial, randomized, controlled trial. *Psychopharmacology* 2014; **231**(7): 1315-1323.
53. Fornaro M, Martino M, Battaglia F, Colicchio S, Perugi G. Increase in IL-6 levels among major depressive disorder patients after a 6-week treatment with duloxetine 60 mg/day: A preliminary observation. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment* 2011; **7**(1): 51-56.
54. Basterzi AD, Aydemir C, Kisa C, Aksaray S, Tuzer V, Yazici K *et al.* IL-6 levels decrease with SSRI treatment in patients with major depression. *Human psychopharmacology* 2005; **20**(7): 473-476.
55. Yoshimura R, Hori H, Ikenouchi-Sugita A, Umene-Nakano W, Katsuki A, Atake K *et al.* Plasma levels of interleukin-6 and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor response in patients with major depressive disorder. *Human psychopharmacology* 2013; **28**(5): 466-470.
56. Sun H, Zhao H, Zhang J, Bao F, Wei J, Wang DH *et al.* [Effect of acupuncture at Baihui (GV 20) and Zusanli (ST 36) on the level of serum inflammatory cytokines in patients with depression]. *Zhongguo zhen jiu = Chinese acupuncture & moxibustion* 2010; **30**(3): 195-199.
57. Liu Y, Feng H, Mao H, Mo Y, Yin Y, Liu W *et al.* [Impact on serum 5-HT and TH1/TH2 in patients of depressive disorder at acute stage treated with acupuncture and western medication]. *Zhongguo zhen jiu = Chinese acupuncture & moxibustion* 2015; **35**(6): 539-543.
58. Gupta K, Gupta R, Bhatia MS, Tripathi AK, Gupta LK. Effect of Agomelatine and Fluoxetine on HAM-D Score, Serum Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor, and Tumor Necrosis Factor- Level in

- Patients With Major Depressive Disorder With Severe Depression. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 2017; **57**(12): 1519-1526.
59. Gupta R, Gupta K, Tripathi AK, Bhatia MS, Gupta LK. Effect of Mirtazapine Treatment on Serum Levels of Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor and Tumor Necrosis Factor- α in Patients of Major Depressive Disorder with Severe Depression. *Pharmacology* 2016; **97**(3-4): 184-188.
 60. Fornaro M, Rocchi G, Escelsior A, Contini P, Martino M. Might different cytokine trends in depressed patients receiving duloxetine indicate differential biological backgrounds. *Journal of affective disorders* 2013; **145**(3): 300-307.
 61. Li Z, Qi D, Chen J, Zhang C, Yi Z, Yuan C *et al.* Venlafaxine inhibits the upregulation of plasma tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) in the Chinese patients with major depressive disorder: A prospective longitudinal study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2013; **38**(1): 107-114.
 62. Himmerich H, Binder EB, Künzel HE, Schuld A, Lucae S, Uhr M *et al.* Successful Antidepressant Therapy Restores the Disturbed Interplay Between TNF- α System and HPA Axis. *Biological Psychiatry* 2006; **60**(8): 882-888.
 63. Huang R, Xu S, Fang Q, Wu X, Guan C, Qiao H *et al.* The changes of serum levels of neuropeptide and cytokines before and after citalopram treatment in the depressive patients with the first episode. *Journal of Nanjing Medical University Natural Sciences Edition* 2014; **34**(5): 641-644.
 64. Yoshimura T, Matsushima K, Oppenheim JJ, Leonard EJ. Neutrophil chemotactic factor produced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated human blood mononuclear leukocytes: partial characterization and separation from interleukin 1 (IL 1). *J Immunol* 1987; **139**(3): 788-793.
 65. Garin EH, Blanchard DK, Matsushima K, Djeu JY. IL-8 production by peripheral blood mononuclear cells in nephrotic patients. *Kidney Int* 1994; **45**(5): 1311-1317.
 66. Smyth MJ, Zachariae CO, Norihisa Y, Ortaldo JR, Hishinuma A, Matsushima K. IL-8 gene expression and production in human peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets. *J Immunol* 1991; **146**(11): 3815-3823.
 67. Dantzer R, O'Connor JC, Freund GG, Johnson RW, Kelley KW. From inflammation to sickness and depression: when the immune system subjugates the brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2008; **9**(1): 46-56.
 68. Kronfol Z, Remick DG. Cytokines and the brain: implications for clinical psychiatry. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; **157**(5): 683-694.
 69. Benedetti F, Poletti S, Hoogenboezem TA, Locatelli C, de Wit H, Wijkhuijs AJM *et al.* Higher Baseline Proinflammatory Cytokines Mark Poor Antidepressant Response in Bipolar Disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2017; **78**(8): e986-e993.

70. Kohler CA, Freitas TH, Stubbs B, Maes M, Solmi M, Veronese N *et al.* Peripheral Alterations in Cytokine and Chemokine Levels After Antidepressant Drug Treatment for Major Depressive Disorder: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Mol Neurobiol* 2018; **55**(5): 4195-4206.
71. Martensson U, Nassberger L. Influence of antidepressants on mitogen stimulation of human lymphocytes. *Toxicol In Vitro* 1993; **7**(3): 241-245.
72. Xia Z, DePierre JW, Nassberger L. Tricyclic antidepressants inhibit IL-6, IL-1 beta and TNF-alpha release in human blood monocytes and IL-2 and interferon-gamma in T cells. *Immunopharmacology* 1996; **34**(1): 27-37.
73. Tynan RJ, Weidenhofer J, Hinwood M, Cairns MJ, Day TA, Walker FR. A comparative examination of the anti-inflammatory effects of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants on LPS stimulated microglia. *Brain Behav Immun* 2012; **26**(3): 469-479.
74. Uher R, Perroud N, Ng MY, Hauser J, Henigsberg N, Maier W *et al.* Genome-wide pharmacogenetics of antidepressant response in the GENDEP project. *Am J Psychiatry* 2010; **167**(5): 555-564.
75. Levite M. Neurotransmitters activate T-cells and elicit crucial functions via neurotransmitter receptors. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2008; **8**(4): 460-471.

Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram of study selection.

Figure 2. MDD patients who showed better treatment response at the endpoint had significantly lower baseline **2a)** IL-8 levels compared to the non-responders. Although **2b)** CRP and **2c)** IL-6 were numerically lower in the responders, the difference was not statically significant from non-responder patients. Each square shows the effect size for a single study, with the horizontal line running through each square demonstrating the width of the 95% CI. The size of the square is proportional to the weight attributed to each study. The diamond represents the summary effect size with the middle equaling the summary effect size and the width depicting the width of the overall 95% CI.

Figure 3. Antidepressant treatment significantly decreased levels of **3a)** TNF- α , **3b)** IL-5, **3c)** GM-CSF only in treatment responders, but not in non-responders. Each square shows the effect size for a single study, with the horizontal line running through each square demonstrating the width of the 95% CI. The size of the square is proportional to the weight attributed to each study. The diamond represents the summary effect size with the middle equaling the summary effect size and the width depicting the width of the overall 95% CI.

Identification

7408 records identified from
database searching
Pubmed: 1132
Embase: 5046
Web of science: 1230

1355 excluded
because of duplicates

Records after duplicates removed
(n=6053)

Screening

Records screened
(n=6053)

Records excluded
(n=5559)

full-text articles assessed
for eligibility (n=494)

Full-text articles excluded
with reasons
(n= 450)

Eligibility

Studies included in
qualitative synthesis
(n=44)

Included

Studies included in
quantitative synthesis
(meta-analysis)
(n=44)

a

Baseline IL-8 levels and treatment response

b

Baseline CRP levels and treatment response

c

Baseline IL-6 levels and treatment response

a Antidepressant treatment effect on TNF- α level changes in responders

b Antidepressant treatment effect on IL-5 level changes in responders

c Antidepressant treatment effect on GM-CSF level changes in responders

Table 1. Primary meta-analyses of studies measuring baseline peripheral cytokines in antidepressant responders and non-responders.

Cytokines	Responders (N)	Non-responders (N)	ES (95% CI)	P-value	I ² (%)	P-value Egger's test
IL-1 β	227	239	-0.08 (-0.46, 0.30)	0.67	70.25	0.09
IL-2	168	186	0.08 (-0.29, 0.45)	0.67	56.01	0.28
IL-4	232	260	-0.15 (-0.34, 0.02)	0.09	0	0.30
IL-5	163	143	-0.13 (-0.55, 0.29)	0.54	62.46	0.43
IL-6	565	561	-0.91 (-1.15, 0.42)	0.36	97.49	0.10
IL-8	397	311	-0.28 (-0.43, -0.13)	0.0003	0	0.20
IL-10	237	283	-0.05 (-0.36, 0.27)	0.78	61.37	0.87
IL-12	68	79	0.09 (-0.32, 0.50)	0.67	29.30	0.69
TNF- α	738	517	-0.06 (-0.19, 0.06)	0.33	11.97	0.06
IFN γ	223	221	-0.10 (-0.29, 0.09)	0.29	0	0.13
GM-CSF	148	158	0.03 (-0.23, 0.29)	0.84	17.05	0.03
CRP	518	454	-0.13 (-0.28, 0.01)	0.07	15.59	0.96
MIP-1 α	112	72	-0.16 (-0.75, 0.43)	0.59	71.76	0.60
Eotaxin-1	128	79	-0.77 (-2.15, 0.61)	0.27	94.99	0.46

Table 2. Primary meta-analyses of studies measuring peripheral cytokines in MDD patients before and after antidepressant treatment.

Cytokines	Responders					Non-responders				
	N studies	ES (95% CI)	P-value	I ² (%)	P-value Egger's test	N studies	ES (95% CI)	P-value	I ² (%)	P-value Egger's test
TNF- α	28	0.60 (0.26, 0.94)	0.0006	90.98	0.03	24	0.14 (-0.07, 0.35)	0.18	59.09	0.32
IL-6	21	0.09 (-0.38, 0.56)	0.71	93.20	0.80	19	0.35 (-0.13, 0.83)	0.15	92.73	0.14
CRP	13	-0.06 (-0.34, 0.23)	0.70	75.59	0.46	11	0.04 (-0.47, 0.55)	0.88	88.01	0.10
IFN γ	10	-0.60 (-1.41, 0.21)	0.15	95.79	0.15	6	-0.002 (-0.42, 0.42)	0.99	75.07	0.20
IL-10	10	0.62 (-0.14, 1.38)	0.11	94.19	0.54	8	0.02 (-0.46, 0.50)	0.93	86.11	0.72
IL-1 β	10	0.20 (-0.50, 0.91)	0.57	94.09	0.64	6	0.43 (-0.29, 1.15)	0.24	92.50	0.92
IL-8	9	-0.21 (-0.69, 0.26)	0.38	90.38	0.12	9	-0.08(-0.47, 0.31)	0.69	80.41	0.15
IL-4	9	-0.82 (-2.11, 0.48)	0.22	97.91	0.02	7	-0.57 (-1.98, 0.84)	0.42	98.02	0.03
IL-2	8	-0.11 (-1.19, 0.96)	0.84	96.38	0.55	5	-0.14 (-1.01, 0.73)	0.75	92.83	0.09
IL-12	4	0.28 (-0.05, 0.62)	0.10	0	0.40	3	-0.12 (-0.43, 0.19)	0.45	0	0.93
GM-CSF	3	0.33 (0.09, 0.56)	0.007	3.58	0.75	3	0.16 (-0.25, 0.54)	0.47	59.66	0.64
IL-5	3	0.67 (0.44, 0.90)	<0.0001	1.55	0.71	3	0.65 (0.02, 1.28)	0.04	80.71	0.35
MIP-1 α	3	0.08 (-1.84, 0.34)	0.56	0	0.12	3	-0.65 (-1.73, 0.43)	0.24	88.78	0.41
Eotaxin-1	3	0.06 (-0.68, 0.81)	0.87	88.45	0.08	3	0.05 (-0.27, 0.36)	0.77	0	0.99