



King's Research Portal

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Bradbury, E. J., & Burnside, E. R. (Accepted/In press). Moving beyond the glial scar for spinal cord repair. *Nature Communications*.

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Moving beyond the **glial** scar for spinal cord repair**

2

3 Elizabeth J Bradbury* and Emily R Burnside

4

5

6 King's College London, Regeneration Group, The Wolfson Centre for Age-Related Diseases,
7 Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience (IoPPN), Guy's Campus, London Bridge,
8 London, SE1 1UL, UK

9

10

11

12 * Corresponding author

13

14

15 Contact details:

16 Elizabeth J. Bradbury

17 King's College London

18 The Wolfson Centre for Age-Related Diseases,

19 Wolfson Wing, Hodgkin Building, Guy's Campus,

20 London Bridge London SE1 1UL U.K.

21

22 Tel: +44 (0)207 8486183

23 Email: elizabeth.bradbury@kcl.ac.uk

24

25

26 **Abstract**

27

28 Traumatic spinal cord injury results in severe and irreversible loss of function. The injury
29 triggers a complex cascade of inflammatory and pathological processes, culminating in the
30 formation of a scar. While traditionally referred to as a glial scar, the spinal injury scar in fact
31 comprises multiple cellular and extracellular components. This multidimensional nature should
32 be considered when aiming to understand the role of scarring in limiting tissue repair and
33 recovery. In this Review we discuss recent advances in understanding the composition and
34 phenotypic characteristics of the spinal injury scar, the oversimplification of defining the scar
35 in binary terms as good or bad, and the development of therapeutic approaches to target scar
36 components to enable improved functional outcome after spinal cord injury.

37

38

39 **Introduction**

40

41 It is estimated that more than **27 million** people worldwide are living with long-term disability
42 following a spinal cord injury¹, 90% of which result from trauma and 10% as a secondary
43 consequence of disease. Following traumatic spinal cord injury, death of spinal neurons at the
44 injury level leads to paralysis of denervated musculature and the disruption of long spinal
45 tracts leads to loss of sensation and motor control — injured descending axonal projections
46 can no longer innervate motor neuron pools below the injury level, and injured ascending
47 axonal projections can no longer provide appropriate transmission of sensory information to
48 the brain. This results in the dysregulation of multiple organ systems throughout the body and
49 a devastating loss of function². **Despite recent progress in developing experimental**
50 **therapeutics aimed at enhancing tissue repair and neuroplasticity**, there are still no effective
51 pathology-modifying or regenerative treatments available to spinal injured individuals^{3,4}.
52 Following diagnosis and acute medical interventions to stabilize clinical status, the outcome
53 is largely determined by the management of resultant symptoms, and rehabilitation to
54 maximize residual neural function².

55

56 The lack of repair following spinal cord injury is due to both cell intrinsic factors and the
57 extrinsic injury environment. Neurons of the adult mammalian central nervous system (CNS)
58 have low intrinsic regenerative ability due to a lack of growth driving signals, and suboptimal
59 availability or arrangement of subcellular machinery to enable growth cone reformation and
60 axonal elongation⁵. Experimental efforts to unlock regeneration potential at the level of the
61 cell body of the neuron have focussed on growth signalling pathways, individual regeneration-

62 associated genes, and transcriptional and epigenetic networks⁶⁻⁹. Regenerative strategies
63 have also aimed to increase synthesis and transport of materials required for growth and
64 modulate axonal cytoskeletal dynamics to promote elongation or branching^{6,7,10-12}.

65

66 The injury microenvironment also plays a key role in limiting functional repair after spinal cord
67 injury, an important component of which is the formation of a scar. *As a healing response, the
68 scar acts to spatially contain and isolate damage. However, reactive injury processes fail to
69 restore spinal tissue architecture and composition, pathology continues to propagate and the
70 tissue within and around the scar remains dysfunctional. Moreover, within the scar there are
71 extracellular factors which themselves actively inhibit restoration of function. These act acutely
72 and chronically to prohibit compensatory changes in neurons which, perhaps if overcome,
73 could transform the scar into a more effective repair process which both isolates damage and
74 generates an environment in which injury could be surmountable.*

75

76 Here we review recent literature regarding the composition and role of the *spinal injury scar*,
77 including processes leading to scar formation and maintenance, the cellular and extracellular
78 components of the scar, and how these interact with other mediators of tissue pathology. *We
79 discuss the complexities of the scar and its seemingly opposing roles, often classified in an
80 overly simplistic manner as good or bad, and finally we discuss the potential for therapeutic
81 targeting of the scar to achieve functional repair of the injured spinal cord.*

82

83

84 **Scar formation and maintenance**

85 *Tissue scarring in periphery and CNS*

86 Injury to any tissue results in a healing response, the purpose of which is firstly to curtail
87 damage and restore homeostasis and then, where possible, to restore tissue and organ
88 function. Inflammation, tissue reformation (involving cell proliferation and/or migration) and
89 tissue remodelling are conserved repair processes, although their success in restoring
90 function varies across different tissues. *A scar consists of the cells and extracellular matrix
91 (ECM) formed as the result of attempted wound repair. In many organs, the formation of a
92 scar is associated with a resolution phase and restoration of key functions of the tissue. The
93 healed tissue may not directly recapitulate the pre-injury state but it regains some ability to
94 execute its original function¹³. However, the process of tissue scarring in the CNS is more
95 complex than for many other tissues, and is associated with chronic non-resolving pathology.*

96

97 *Disease and injury to the CNS is almost always accompanied by some degree of reactive
98 gliosis, inflammation and scarring. Scar tissue and associated deposition of ECM molecules*

99 such as chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs, discussed in more detail below) has been
100 reported in humans and experimental animal models following traumatic brain injury¹⁴ and
101 stroke¹⁵, as well in neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer's Disease¹⁶, and disorders
102 with a predominantly demyelinating and inflammatory pathology such as multiple sclerosis^{17,18}.
103 However, despite the occurrence of reactive tissue changes and scarring in several other CNS
104 pathologies, spinal cord injury represents a particularly striking example where wound repair
105 is inefficient and injury-induced pathological changes are insurmountable.

106

107 There are several likely contributing factors to these regional and injury-specific differences in
108 CNS scarring. Cell types involved in scarring in different CNS regions are phenotypically
109 different¹⁹. There are also differences in the levels of neuroinflammation and astrocyte
110 activation after brain and spinal cord trauma, with increased expression of inflammatory
111 cytokines and potentially damaging leukocytes²⁰⁻²² and more abundant and widespread
112 astrogliosis in spinal cord injuries compared to brain injuries^{14,20}. There are also differences
113 in ECM composition and distribution between brain and spinal cord pathologies²³⁻²⁵. In this
114 review we specifically focus on scarring following spinal cord injury, and discuss the dynamic
115 cellular and extracellular interactions that culminate in a hostile scar environment with limited
116 capacity for repair.

117

118 *Defining the spinal injury scar*

119 The scar that forms after a spinal cord injury is generally considered to have two distinct
120 components: the lesion core, which is primarily composed of stromal-derived fibroblasts and
121 inflammatory immune cells, and the lesion border, or penumbra, which surrounds the core
122 and is primarily composed of hypertrophic astrocytes²⁶⁻²⁸. The term glial scar has historically
123 been used to describe the astrocyte border component of the scar²⁹⁻³¹, although some
124 investigators use the term more widely to reflect the entire lesion including both glial and non-
125 neural components³². Other distinctions have been made between the glial scar and the
126 fibrotic scar³³, and specific components of the lesion (the core, the astrocyte border, and
127 surrounding tissue) have recently been referred to as lesion-related tissue compartments³¹.
128 While these distinctions are valid, and scar components undoubtedly become spatially
129 compartmentalized in a chronic scar, these components are nonetheless interlinked, and
130 evidence suggests that there may be temporal dependence and bi-directional cross talk
131 between them. **Furthermore, the scar should not be considered as an isolated component of**
132 **spinal injury pathology since it is spatio-temporally shaped by processes of inflammation and**
133 **tissue and matrix remodeling.** Here we use the term spinal injury scar, which encompasses
134 both cellular and extracellular components **across the lesion core, lesion border and**

135 **surrounding penumbra** (Figure 1). Below, we discuss the events after the initial spinal cord
136 trauma that contribute to spinal injury scar formation and maintenance

137

138 *Acute signaling events post-injury*

139

140 The majority of spinal cord injuries feature a contusive component, where compromised spinal
141 canal shape or volume causes physical deformation of spinal cord tissue² (rarer presentations
142 include sharp penetrating trauma to the spinal cord, or purely ischemic lesions following
143 vascular compromise). Tissue deformation transmits shearing and compressive forces on
144 axons and blood vessels and initiates a cascade of pathological processes. Below, we discuss
145 these processes, focusing on changes occurring immediately after the initial spinal cord
146 trauma up to one day post injury. **These acute post-injury events signal the beginning of the
147 injury cascade, which culminates in chronic pathology and scarring (summarized in Figure 2).**

148

149 Vascular trauma leads to hemorrhage, and accumulating blood sera increases tissue colloid
150 osmotic pressure, causing local edema and swelling³⁴. This damage, along with vasospasm
151 of spared vessels, leads to tissue ischemia. ATP released from damaged or metabolically-
152 compromised cells acts on purinergic receptors to induce microglial chemotaxis towards **the
153 injury zone** to protect against spread of damage³⁵⁻³⁸. **ATP gradients are also propagated via
154 connexins**³⁹. Oligodendrocytes, oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs), microglia and
155 astrocytes express a heterogeneous mix of P2 receptor subtypes, and respond reactively to
156 increasing levels of ATP following trauma^{40,41}.

157 Subsequently, tissue reperfusion induces further oxidative stress, glutamate release and
158 death of neighbouring neurons and glia via excitotoxicity⁴². ATP release, dramatic loss of
159 cellular and extracellular ionic homeostasis and excessive calcium levels results in the
160 activation of calpains, phospholipase A₂ and lipoxigenase. This is followed by the generation
161 of bioactive lipid mediators and free radicals⁴³. Progressive oxidation of fatty acids in cell
162 membranes and myelin (lipid peroxidation) occurs. Furthermore there is feed-forward
163 propagation of the injury and **cell reactivity**, as bioactive mediators potentiate ATP-mediated
164 calcium increases in glia⁴⁰ and additional blood vessel endothelial cell damage results in an
165 expanding zone of hemorrhagic necrosis⁴⁴.

166

167 Concomitantly, hemostasis is the first stage of wound healing: endothelial cell trauma results
168 in platelet adhesion and activation, the coagulation cascade, and thrombin-mediated
169 conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin, to form a clot. The onset of hemostasis⁴⁵ is associated with
170 reactive changes in resident glia and represents a potent inflammatory stimulus. Platelets
171 themselves are an abundant source of inflammatory peptides and protein mediators and

172 release cytokines, chemokines and eicosanoids which readily communicate with resident
173 spinal cord cells and non-resident leukocytes. These signals cause rapid neutrophil infiltration
174 within an hour (peaking within 24 hours), which secrete MMP9, a type IV collagenase which
175 acts on basement membranes to further permeabilise the blood brain barrier³⁴. Furthermore,
176 cellular and extracellular factors (which are rapidly induced as a result of trauma, injury
177 expansion and necrosis) constitute host-derived danger signals⁴⁶. Damage associate
178 molecular patterns (DAMPs) are sterile inflammatory stimuli (such as ATP, HMGB1, IL33)
179 which activate prototypical pathogen recognition receptors (TLRs, NLRs, signalling via MAPK,
180 NFkB) to induce secretion of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines from both neurons
181 and glia, compounding reactive gliosis and acting to recruit circulating immune responders<sup>47-
182 50</sup>.

183 184 *Non-resolving pathology*

185 Non-resolving pathology results in incomplete tissue repair and formation of a scar. Each cell
186 type that contributes to non-resolving pathology, and its respective phenotype, is inherently
187 linked to the environment it finds itself in. This environment constitutes a milieu of other
188 resident and non-resident cells, the signals they transmit and the biochemical and biophysical
189 properties of the extracellular environment in which they reside. Below, we first discuss cellular
190 and extracellular changes occurring in the sub-acute period, from days to several weeks after
191 the initial spinal cord trauma, and their contribution to the spinal injury scar. **Cellular and
192 extracellular components of the spinal injury scar in the acute and chronic phases of spinal
193 cord injury are depicted in Figure 1.**

194 195 **Cellular components of the scar**

196 ***Astrocytes***

197 **Astrocytes become reactive following spinal cord injury. The degree of reactivity is influenced
198 by a number of cell-surface receptors for DAMPS and proinflammatory cytokines and
199 chemokines⁵¹, and ranges from temporary changes in gene expression and cell morphology
200 to significant hypertrophy, spatial rearrangement and proliferation (collectively termed
201 astrogliosis)^{52,53}. Additionally, diverse astrocyte subsets and phenotypes may exist following
202 spinal cord injury (Box 1). Astrocytes are also known to dynamically switch from reactive to
203 quiescent when transposed from injured to naïve spinal cord⁵⁴. Reactive astrocytes densely
204 populate the borders of the injury epicentre, hypertrophy and strongly upregulate the
205 expression of intermediate filament proteins such as GFAP, nestin and vimentin^{53,55,56}. This
206 corresponds with elongation and extension of overlapping processes (unlike parallel and radial
207 processes found throughout normal CNS tissue architecture) and the organization of
208 astrocytes into a barrier-like structure⁵⁷.**

209

210 This barrier is reinforced by proliferation and organization of a local astrocyte population at the
211 injury border, thought to be mediated via STAT-3 dependent signalling^{58,59} and leucine zipper-
212 bearing kinase (LZK, MAP3K13) expression⁵⁶. Ependymal cell-derived astrocyte-like progeny
213 contribute to this population of astrocytes in certain spinal injury models⁶⁰, though evidence
214 suggests this is of minor contribution following contusive injuries⁶¹. Tight linking of astrocytes
215 at the injury borders is associated with reformation of the glia limitans and the containment of
216 immune cells and fibroblast-like cells within the injury epicenter, via ephrin-mediated cellular
217 adhesion^{62,63}. Thus, a population of reactive astrocytes act to spatially isolate damage and
218 fibrosis from spared tissue.

219

220 An overlapping population of astrocytes are further associated with the chronic maintenance
221 of this structure. These are referred to as scar forming astrocytes⁶⁴. Though astrocytes alone
222 are not responsible for the formation of a scar, they are major cellular players activated and
223 maintained during post-injury pathology, inflammation and tissue and matrix remodelling.
224 Alongside other cells and extracellular factors, astrocytes shape the scar cellular and
225 extracellular milieu sub-acutely and chronically.

226

227 *Fibroblast-like cells*

228 Fibroblasts are ubiquitous in peripheral connective tissues and organs and are the principal
229 generators of stroma, including the ECM. By contrast, under normal conditions within the CNS,
230 fibroblast-like cells are mostly associated with the vasculature, and contribute only to the basal
231 laminae. However, injury to the spinal cord induces a significant fibroblast response which
232 produces matrix components. These matrix components may inhibit neural regeneration
233 directly, and promote prolonged tissue remodelling via interaction with inflammatory cells
234 (detailed below). These stromal elements become spatially compartmentalised by
235 surrounding reactive astrocytes to form the fibrotic core of the spinal injury scar.

236

237 Fibroblasts proliferate from meningeal cells if the dura is compromised^{27,33} and can derive from
238 perivascular cells in rats⁶⁵ and mice⁶³ following contusion injury. There is some evidence that
239 fibroblast-like stromal cells derive from pericytes; the PDGFR β ⁺ Glast⁺ perivascular cell
240 population, termed type A pericytes, proliferate in response to injury and contribute to fibrotic
241 scarring⁶⁶. Preventing Glast1+ cell proliferation leads to failure of wound sealing, exacerbated
242 lesion volume and decreased matrix deposition⁶⁶, whereas moderate reduction of pericyte-
243 derived fibrosis was found to reduce scar pathology and confer functional recovery⁶⁷.
244 However, whether this is a truly separate population or whether it overlaps with known cell
245 types (such as Glast+ astrocytes in the glia limitans) is unclear.

246

247 An increase in type-1 pericytes (distinct from those described above) has also been described,
248 following a non-contusive dorsal funiculus lesion using a nestin-GFP/NG2-DsRed transgenic
249 mouse line⁶⁸. However, NG2 is also a marker of OPCs, Schwann cells and macrophages
250 following spinal cord injury⁶⁹. Thus, there is some ambiguity as to the response of pericytes
251 following injury, although collectively there is evidence that fibroblast-like cells are derived
252 from a perivascular PDGFR β + origin^{63,66,70}.

253

254 *Oligodendrocyte precursor cells*

255 NG2+ OPCs become reactive **after spinal cord injury**, have a significant proliferative capacity
256 **and are spatially intermingled with other reactive glia at the injury border^{71,72}**. Two main
257 **contributions of OPCs within the spinal injury scar environment have been described, with**
258 **seemingly opposing roles**. OPCs contribute to remyelination, either by oligodendrogenesis or
259 through differentiation into remyelinating Schwann cells⁷³⁻⁷⁵, and also hypertrophy and
260 increase expression of NG2, **a proteoglycan thought to mediate entrapment of neurons⁷⁶**.
261 **However, the roles of OPCs in the spinal injury scar have been obscured experimentally by**
262 **overlap of markers with other cells. Alongside NG2, PDGFR α , two ganglioside antigens, and**
263 **a cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase (also thought to be expressed in microglia), OPCs also**
264 **express the traditional astrocyte marker GFAP, and may differentiate into a de novo population**
265 **of astrocytes in the scar^{72,77}**. Additionally, both PDGFR α and NG2 are also thought to be
266 **expressed by at least one type of perivascular/pericyte-type cell⁷¹**. The use of fate mapping
267 transgenic mouse lines, such as those expressing Cre recombinase under control of the
268 **PDGFR α promoter/enhancers^{75,73,74,78}**, alongside inclusion/exclusion of specific markers, will
269 further define their contribution to remyelination **after spinal cord injury**.

270

271 *Resident microglia and innate and adaptive immune cells*

272 By 24 hours after spinal cord injury, blood-derived monocytes are recruited into the lesion.
273 Upon extravasation, DAMPs and the associated reactive and inflammatory environment,
274 shape their differentiation phenotype. Meanwhile, resident microglia retract cellular processes
275 and become morphologically indistinguishable from infiltrated monocyte-derived
276 macrophages. Until recently, microglia and macrophages were only distinguishable using
277 relative gene expression of CD45 (macrophages being defined as CD11b⁺, CD45^{high} and
278 microglia CD11b⁺, CD45^{low}) or chimeric models. Specific, or enriched, markers for microglia
279 have now been discovered, including transmembrane protein 119 (Tmem119)⁷⁹, P2ry12 and
280 Fc receptor-like S (FCRLS)⁸⁰. **Tracking resident microglia via a genetic strategy has shown**
281 **that spared microglia proliferate and repopulate the lesion core alongside monocyte-derived**

282 **macrophages**³⁸ and recent availability of Tmem119 reporter mice^{81,82} will further elucidate the
283 role of microglia in spinal injury scarring.

284

285 A monocyte-derived or microglia-derived macrophage phenotypic spectrum exists, from pro-
286 inflammatory (M1, secreting TNF α , IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12) to pro-repair (M2, secreting IL-10, IL13).
287 Following spinal cord injury, there is initially a mixed M1/M2 response⁸³. The release of pro-
288 inflammatory cytokines at the injury site further mobilizes resident and blood-derived cells to
289 phagocytose debris^{84,85} and affects the phenotype of other nearby resident cells..

290

291 The adaptive immune system also plays a role. After spinal cord injury, the recruitment of $\gamma\delta$ T
292 cells, and production of proinflammatory IFN γ occurs within 24 hours following injury⁸⁶. Other
293 adaptive immune system components are recruited by 7 days, and contribute to non-resolving
294 trauma-induced autoimmunity⁸⁷. Leukocytes present DAMP-derived antigens (such as MBP)
295 to T and B-cells. B cells, in turn, may present antigens to T-cells, triggering their expansion.
296 Furthermore, B-cells differentiate into plasma cells synthesizing auto-antibodies, further
297 fuelling a feed-forward immune response⁸⁸.

298

299 Unlike conditions in which successful wound healing occurs, there is no effective resolution to
300 cellular recruitment and inflammation after spinal cord injury. Monocyte or microglia-derived
301 macrophages remain in the injured spinal cord indefinitely⁸⁹. Macrophages maintain 45% of
302 peak activation months after injury⁹⁰ and their phenotype does not undergo the switch from
303 pro-inflammatory to pro-repair associated with the next phases of wound-healing in other
304 organ tissues⁹¹. The early arginase1+ (M2-like) differentiating infiltrating population is not
305 maintained⁸³ and the spectrum of innate immune cell activation phenotype is predominately
306 M1 polarized. Adaptive immunity is non-resolving, whereby lymphocytes remain indefinitely in
307 the spinal injury scar.

308

309 *Interaction between cell types*

310 The response of astrocytes, OPCs, microglia and infiltrating innate and adaptive immune cells
311 is continually influenced by one another and the tissue environment (Figure 2 depicts the time
312 course of reactive resident and non-resident cell recruitment and activation, and their cross-
313 linked interactions which lead to the chronic spinal injury scar). There are multiple direct and
314 indirect cellular interactions mediated by cytokines and chemokines which underlie this
315 (alongside interactions which occur via ECM components, discussed below). Perivascular
316 astrocyte endfeet are an integral part of the endothelial blood spinal cord barrier, and thus
317 astrocytes are in a position to regulate the magnitude of leukocyte recruitment. Astrocyte

318 expression of Socs3⁹² or NFκB⁹³ increases monocyte infiltration to the lesion epicentre. In
319 addition, resident and infiltrated microglia/macrophages express a number of receptors for
320 proinflammatory chemokines and cytokines released by reactive astrocytes (such as IL-6,
321 IL1β, CCL2), contributing to a cell signalling environment which potentiates M1 polarization.
322 In turn, astrocytes express receptors for a number of inflammatory mediators released by
323 immune cells (including IFNγ, IL6, IL1β, TNFα), inducing extensive astrocyte reactivity and
324 astrogliosis^{53,94}. Thus, there is an intimate link between astrocytes and resident and infiltrating
325 immune cells during formation of the spinal injury scar. Astrocyte-fibroblast interactions have
326 been shown to spatially compartmentalise the fibrotic core⁶² and recent evidence suggests
327 that microglia may provide an additional interface between these cells, which the authors term
328 the microglial scar³⁸.

329
330 In addition to direct cellular cross-talk, almost all parenchymal cells express receptors for a
331 multitude of signalling molecules present in the external injury microenvironment and indirectly
332 affect cell activation and phenotype of surrounding cells. Within this, there are canonical
333 regulators. For example, abolishing Wnt signalling in OPCs has been shown to reduce
334 monocyte accumulation and astrocyte hypertrophy⁹⁵. Furthermore, neurons themselves are
335 directly contacted by cells in a manner which inhibits reestablishment of neuronal connectivity.
336 Proinflammatory ED1/CD68+ macrophages induce axonal dieback upon contact^{96,97}, NG2+
337 OPCs mediate neuronal entrapment⁷⁶, and perivascular Glast 1+ cells are also directly
338 contacted by stalled axons⁶⁷.

339
340 Importantly, the reactive cellular responses after spinal cord injury are not effectively resolved
341 and many aspects are maintained chronically. Macrophages retain activity long-term with
342 maintained M1 like characteristics. Glia continue to be reactive in regions of tissue spared by
343 injury and in areas remote from the site of trauma⁹⁸, partly in response to Wallerian
344 Degeneration^{99,100}. Proximal to the lesion, glia remain hypertrophic, forming a compacted
345 astroglial scar border in which spared tissue is permanently isolated from a zone of unresolved
346 pathology, fibrosis and tissue loss. This zone is not effectively repopulated by neurons or glia
347 and, in most mammalian species, develops into a chronic cystic cavity (Figure 1).

348 349 **Extracellular components of the scar**

350 The CNS ECM is rich in glycoproteins and proteoglycans. Hyaluronan forms a backbone for
351 the attachment of tenascins and sulphated proteoglycans, stabilised by link proteins. This is
352 arranged either diffusely in the interstitial space or more densely assembled around the cell
353 soma of particular neuronal subtypes (as perineuronal nets), or around axonal nodes of
354 ranvier or synaptic boutons. These structures confer neural stability, localizing molecules such

355 as CSPGs, which effectively restrict large-scale plasticity following a critical period in
356 development¹⁰¹. Following injury, resident glia and also stromal cells, which do not normally
357 contribute parenchymal matrix, begin to contribute matrix components, and extracellular
358 DAMPs are present in both sub-acute and chronic phases.

359

360 A vast number of ECM molecules undergo differential regulation following spinal cord injury
361 (for a large scale validation see⁵⁰) and many of these play a role in neuroprotection or
362 spontaneous repair and are not refractory to recovery. Fibrous matrix forms a seal or tissue
363 bridge between retracting lesioned parenchyma. This is particularly apparent in injuries where
364 spinal tissue is rendered non-continuous, such is the case following hemisection or
365 transection. Fibroblast-derived collagenous matrix is a major component³³ (and *in vivo*
366 ablation of fibroblasts compromises tissue integrity following injury)⁶⁶. Basal laminae is
367 restored via matrix deposition of collagen VI, nidogen, fibronectin and laminin, which are
368 traditionally neuronal-growth permissive molecules. However, in the context of spinal cord
369 injury, such ECM molecules are also implicated in pathological tissue remodelling or
370 inflammation. For example, fibronectin, matrix glycoprotein tenascin C and hyaluronan
371 fragments are also endogenous TLR ligands and represent DAMPs⁴⁸.

372

373 *Extracellular components fuel fibrosis and scarring*

374 Initial and expanding secondary pathology generates a large amount of cellular debris,
375 sustained by longer-term Wallerian degeneration, oligodendrocyte apoptosis and
376 demyelination. The presence of debris, and its breakdown products, supports an ongoing
377 foam-cell-like macrophage phenotype¹⁰² where ineffective phagocytosis and lipid processing
378 means extracellular stimuli are maintained⁸⁵ and are presented to adaptive immune cells,
379 which contributes to a non-resolving auto-immune response to injury⁸⁷. Thus, the extracellular
380 environment is undergoing both chronic inflammation and glial reactivity, associated with
381 aberrant tissue remodelling and matrix deposition. There is increasing understanding as to
382 how these processes are intertwined.

383

384 A recent study finds that perivascular PDGFR β + cells (which the authors of the study term
385 pericytes) upregulate expression of the ECM molecule periostin, which in turn upregulates
386 TNF α expression from infiltrating monocyte-macrophages and leads to proliferation of
387 PDGFR β + cells, type-I collagen deposition and fibrosis⁷⁰. Perivascular-derived type-1
388 collagen has also recently been implicated in linking fibrosis and astrogliosis, where an N-
389 cadherin dependent interaction between extracellular type-1 collagen and astrocytes was
390 found to induce scar-forming astrogliosis in mice⁵⁴. Thus, there is an expanding role for

391 perivascular Col1 α 1-cell derived fibrotic matrix described following contusion injury in mice⁶³.
392 PDGFR β +, fibronectin-rich fibrotic matrix deposition is also observed in rats in the peripheral
393 rim of the cavity and outlining blood vessels¹⁰³, suggesting a somewhat conserved contribution
394 despite differences in cavity formation between mice and rats. Matrix deposition of type-1
395 collagen has also been described as a perivascular-fibroblast-derived scaffold for
396 neoangiogenesis⁶⁵. Therefore the role of collagen in fibrosis and angiogenesis in spinal cord
397 injury requires further study.

398

399 *Extracellular components are inhibitory to neural regeneration and plasticity*

400 In addition to an ongoing DAMP role for myelin debris, myelin-associated molecules confer
401 extrinsic inhibition to neurons. These include Nogo A, myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG)
402 and oligodendrocyte myelin glycoprotein (OMgp). Nogo-A is a potent inhibitor to neural
403 plasticity and regeneration following spinal cord injury¹⁰⁴, preventing axons from overcoming
404 the spinal injury scar environment. Transmembrane receptor complexes are identified,
405 converging on the canonical RhoA/ROCK signalling pathway, resulting in destabilization of
406 the actin cytoskeleton and local arrest and collapse of growth cones¹⁰⁵. Furthermore, CSPGs
407 (Box 2) are upregulated by reactive glia following spinal cord injury both perilesionally and at
408 distal spinal segments^{98,106} and are associated with decreased plasticity and abortive
409 regeneration¹⁰⁷. Recent evidence suggests that scar-forming astrocytes express brevican,
410 and NG2, though not aggrecan¹⁰⁸. There is some evidence that core CSPG proteins are
411 inhibitory to neuronal growth¹⁰⁹ but CS-GAG chains are known to confer significant inhibition
412 following injury as their removal promotes anatomical and functional recovery following spinal
413 cord injury¹¹⁰. Membrane-bound receptors to CS-GAGs, reported to mediate inhibition, include
414 RPTP σ ^{111,112}, leukocyte common antigen-related phosphatase (LAR)¹¹³, NgR1 and NgR3¹¹⁴.
415 Signalling pathways implicated have convergence with those of Nogo and other myelin
416 inhibitors and include the Rho/ROCK pathway, activation of which is partly via PKC¹¹⁵ and
417 EGFR¹¹⁶ and coupled to Akt/GSK-3 activation¹¹⁷. CSPGs are also thought to inactivate neural
418 integrins¹¹⁸ and localise upregulated inhibitory guidance molecules such as semaphorin
419 3A¹¹⁹. Thus, the injured spinal cord contains molecules which restrict neurite outgrowth and
420 plasticity, and these are further upregulated and concentrated in the spinal injury scar and
421 represent therapeutic targets¹²⁰ (discussed below).

422

423 **Biomechanical properties of the scar**

424 Relatively little attention has been given to how the biomechanical environment of the injured
425 spinal cord affects repair¹²¹. Cells are highly mechanosensitive and changes in the elastic
426 properties of the environment alone can induce differentiation and migration¹²², and during
427 development mechanical gradients guide axon pathfinding¹²³. Astrocytes that are cultured on

428 less compliant, stiff substrates anatomically resemble reactive astrocytes, displaying
429 hypertrophy and elongation, with stiffness of CNS implants correlating with induction of
430 reactive astrocytosis¹²⁴. Integrin-mediated links between fibrillar type 1 collagen (known to be
431 stiffer in other tissues) and astrocyte reactivity are emerging⁵⁴, which may be influenced by
432 mechanotransduction. Atomic force microscopy has been used to characterize the
433 spatiotemporal elastic stiffness properties of spinal cord tissue over 1 to 3 weeks following
434 dorsal column crush lesion¹²⁵. At these early post-injury time points, tissue softened in areas
435 corresponding to scarring and ECM deposition. This was somewhat surprising because scar
436 tissue outside the CNS is typically stiffer than surrounding healthy tissue¹²⁶, and was attributed
437 to a lack of collagen-1 and loss of CNS myelin in these types of injuries (both of which scale
438 with tissue stiffness)^{127,128}, as well as the cellular composition of the scar (glial cells are softer
439 than peripheral scar myofibroblasts). It will be important to further characterize these
440 properties in more clinically relevant contusion-type injuries and in chronic injuries with
441 established scar tissue, particularly given the increasing evidence of a role for collagen-1 in
442 chronic contusive injuries^{54,63,129}.

443

444 **The spinal injury scar: the good, the bad, and the false-dichotomy**

445 **There has been some recent debate in the field on whether the scar is good or bad in terms**
446 **of recovery from injury^{108,130-132}. We propose that these two different viewpoints reflect different**
447 **interpretations of data which is, in fact, largely in agreement. Both historical and newer findings**
448 **support a long-established principle, that the spinal injury scar performs dual, and seemingly**
449 **opposing, roles; to protect tissue, and to inhibit repair.**

450

451 **As previously introduced, the classical description of the spinal injury scar is one which**
452 **considered the astrocyte-rich injury border alone, termed the glial scar.** Early observations of
453 dense glial reactivity at the site of CNS lesions led to the hypothesis that the **astrocytic** scar
454 inhibits axon regeneration, perhaps by forming an impenetrable barrier to axonal extension¹³³.
455 An inhibitory role for the scar and scar-associated molecules has been well documented ever
456 since **(as discussed above and^{26,106,107,134,135})**. However, it has also long been acknowledged
457 that the **astrocytic** scar has an important protective role **in enabling the separation of healthy**
458 **tissue from pathology following injury¹³⁶⁻¹³⁸**. Thus, for decades the dual notions that the scar
459 is associated with failed axonal re-connectivity **(inhibitory)** and also involved in a wound-
460 healing response **(protective)**, have existed.

461

462 **In addition, as discussed above, there is now increased appreciation of the multiple cell types,**
463 **beyond astrocytes, which contribute to spinal injury scarring, together with extracellular and**

464 non-neural components. This renders the term glial scar an insufficient descriptor. The
465 multifaceted nature of the scar should be considered when interpreting experimental
466 approaches which prevent scar formation. For example, a number of transgenic loss of
467 function experiments have been performed to specifically investigate the role of astrocytes
468 following spinal cord injury, including formation of the glia limitans and continued presence in
469 the chronic scar. Early evidence suggested that double knockout mice for the intermediate
470 filament proteins GFAP and vimentin (but not either protein alone) develop a less dense glial
471 scar, with greater haemorrhaging, fibrosis and presence of debris following lesion to the dorsal
472 funiculus¹³⁹. Similarly, conditional ablation of proliferating (scar-forming) reactive astrocytes
473 following injury increases edema, inflammation, oligodendrocyte death, tissue loss,
474 demyelination and functional deficits^{140,141}. Furthermore, deletion of SOCS3 or STAT3 in
475 astrocytes results in lesion expansion, cell death and exacerbated functional outcome^{58,92}.
476 Thus, a number of studies have provided evidence for the importance of reactive astrocytes
477 in preventing expansion of pathology into spared peri-lesional regions of the spinal cord. A
478 recent extension of these studies utilized these deletion strategies to specifically assess the
479 effects of reactive astrocytes on axonal regeneration¹⁰⁸. The study revealed that spontaneous
480 regrowth of damaged axons does not occur across a spinal crush injury following attenuation
481 or ablation of scar forming astrocytes, despite boosting the regenerative state of these axons
482 with a conditioning lesion and neurotrophin delivery¹⁰⁸. This study claimed to reveal a new
483 (and controversial) role of the astrocytic scar as being pro-regenerative. Our interpretation of
484 these findings, however, is that they are largely consistent with previous studies^{58,92,141}. A lack
485 of axon regeneration after ablating reactive scar astrocytes does not necessarily mean that
486 the glial scar aids axon regeneration. An alternative interpretation is that the regenerative
487 boost afforded by conditioning lesions and neurotrophin delivery is not sufficient to overcome
488 the prior-established lesion-exacerbating effects of preventing astrocytic scar formation.
489 Instead, injured axons are presented with neural and non-neural components of the spinal
490 injury scar, including NG2+ OPCs, inflammatory cells and CSPGs, all of which are known
491 blockers of regeneration¹⁴². Indeed, greater dieback of axons from the injury site was observed
492 in this study¹⁰⁸, in line with an advancing wall of inhibitory factors no longer contained in the
493 fibrotic lesion core. Thus, rather than overturning an old dogma, this study used elegant
494 genetic tools to demonstrate an important role for scar-forming astrocytes in tissue protection
495 following traumatic spinal cord injury, supporting previous observations^{58,92,141} and confirming
496 the early hypothesis postulated by Gopal D. Das: "If, by some means, glial scar formation
497 could be completely eliminated, most of the atrophying axons still might not show
498 regeneration, and the spinal cord would be continuously invaded by loose connective tissue
499 and other foreign materials and organisms while undergoing a protracted degeneration"¹³⁶.

500

501 Although astrocyte components of the spinal injury scar are well evidenced to be beneficial
502 initially, they have been suggested to be detrimental at a chronic stage^{32,143,144}. Worsened
503 functional outcome at 10 weeks post injury following diphtheria toxin-mediated ablation of
504 astrocytes at 5 weeks has been suggested to support a beneficial role of chronic scarring¹⁰⁸.
505 However, axon regeneration in this study may have occurred early, prior to scar maturation,
506 since axons were in a growth-stimulated state¹³¹. It is possible that astrocyte ablation at both
507 early and chronic stages is detrimental, however further studies will be needed to establish
508 this. A recent proteomic analyses of subacute (2 week) and chronic (8 week) spinal injury scar
509 tissue revealed differential features in the different post-injury phases, with abundant
510 expression of growth factors observed subacutely but not chronically, where the signature
511 instead showed high expression of growth inhibitory ECM molecules including collagens and
512 CSPGs¹⁴⁵. This study also demonstrated increased axonal regeneration across a complete
513 spinal transection injury after surgical removal of chronic scar tissue. Taken together, this data
514 supports a beneficial role for early scarring and an inhibitory role for chronic scarring. It will be
515 important to further characterise the molecular profile of the spinal injury scar at precise time
516 points after injury, both for the cell types involved in scarring (e.g. Box 1) as well as features
517 of the environment.

518

519 Thus, the dual nature of the spinal injury scar has long been known, yet continues to be
520 reviewed, revisited and reinterpreted^{19,64,92,108,132,143,144,146}. Rather than focus on good versus
521 bad, perhaps efforts would be best directed at understanding and targeting specific aspects
522 of the scar to aid recovery. For example, it may be beneficial to target components of the scar
523 which are non-permissive to regeneration or plasticity rather than removal of the astrocytes
524 themselves, even at chronic time-points. Targeting diverse cell types and phenotypes, as well
525 as extracellular and non-neural components should also be considered, as well as the timings
526 of such interventions. These approaches will be discussed in the following section.

527

528

529 **Therapeutic strategies**

530 Current experimental approaches for targeting the spinal injury scar attempt to either reduce
531 scar formation, or to block inhibitory molecules associated with the scar, using a variety of
532 surgical, pharmacological and genetic approaches. Some of these show promise for
533 application in the clinic.

534

535 *Attenuating scar formation*

536 Although preventing formation of the astrocytic component of the spinal injury scar impacts
537 negatively on wound-healing (discussed above), as the mechanistic understanding of spinal

538 **injury scar** pathology increases, a number of studies in preclinical rodent models have targeted
539 mesenchymal or fibrotic-derived components in a bid to limit amplification of tissue damage.
540 On a gross tissue scale, if the dura is breached, dural apposition and/or patching with another
541 soft tissue material (duraplasty) is suggested to limit fibrotic and connective tissue deposition
542 from meningeal-derived fibroblasts¹⁴⁷. Decompressive durotomy followed by dural allograft
543 has been shown to reduce scar formation and lesion volume, but if the dura is not replaced,
544 lesion volume increases dramatically¹⁴⁷ and **indeed expansion duroplasty is performed**
545 **alongside decompressive durotomy in clinical evaluations**¹⁴⁸.

546

547 The **fibrotic components of the scar** can also be targeted **pharmacologically**. Systemic
548 administration of **the microtubule stabilizing antimetabolic agents taxol or Epothilone B** leads to
549 reduced migration of scar-forming fibroblasts and suppression of extensive scar formation,
550 **enabling axon regeneration and functional recovery**¹⁴⁹⁻¹⁵¹. This highlights the potential for
551 **repurposing of epothilones and taxanes that are already used in cancer treatment**¹⁵⁰. Inhibiting
552 **collagen synthesis using the iron chelators BPY-DCA (which inhibits prolyl 4-hydroxylase, a**
553 **key enzyme of collagen IV synthesis) and cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP, which**
554 **inhibits meningeal fibroblast proliferation)**^{151,152} **reduces fibrotic scarring and promotes**
555 **neuroprotection and long-distance axon regeneration**¹⁵¹. Treatment with clinically approved
556 ion chelator deferoxamine and inhibition of lysyl oxidase, another key collagen biosynthetic
557 enzyme, also improves outcome after partial spinal transection injuries in rodents^{153,154}.

558

559 **The gene expression profile or phenotype of astrocytes may also represent potential**
560 **therapeutic targets with modulating effects on scar formation. For example, selective inhibition**
561 **of NF κ B signalling in astrocytes reduces inflammation and is pro-reparatory in mice**
562 **expressing a dominant negative K β under the GFAP promoter**⁹³. However, this is difficult to
563 target therapeutically. If current studies of astrocyte phenotype in the mouse brain (**Box 1**)
564 translate experimentally to the injured spinal cord, newly identified gene/signalling targets and
565 matrix targets (below) could be manipulated to depress propagating scar pathology. *In vitro*,
566 application of human recombinant TGF β 3 (but not the removal of mediators IL1 α , TNF and
567 C1q) was able to rapidly reverse transformation from an A1 phenotype to an unreactive
568 status⁹⁴. Following optic nerve crush, this phenotypic conversion was demonstrated *in vivo* by
569 delivery of antibodies to IL1 α , TNF and C1q⁹⁴. Manipulation of TGF β 3 was not reported *in*
570 *vivo*, however multiple studies have targeted TGF β 1&2 following spinal cord injury¹⁵⁵ to reduce
571 spinal injury scar formation, so it would be interesting to know whether this is a TGF β 3-specific
572 effect. Indeed TGF β 1 and 2 are known to exert opposing effects to TGF β 3 on wound healing

573 outside of the CNS, and human recombinant TGF β 3 has been utilized in clinical trials to
574 promote dermal wound healing and scar reduction¹⁵⁶.

575

576

577 *Targeting the extracellular matrix*

578 Following spinal cord injury, the extracellular environment contains molecules which interact
579 directly with neurons and other cell types (discussed above). Some of these are thought to
580 augment pathology and degree of spinal injury scarring, while some directly inhibit the ability
581 of neurons to overcome a scar environment and generate novel connectivity. Both are
582 potential therapeutic targets.

583

584 Periostin is a secreted ECM protein which has recently been implicated in contributing to scar
585 formation, via propagating fibrosis and inflammatory signalling^{50,70}. Daily intraperitoneal
586 injections with a mouse monoclonal antibody against periostin from 4 days to 2 weeks after
587 injury was shown to reduce the extent of tissue pathology and scarring, which led to functional
588 improvements in sensorimotor tasks following contusion injury in mice⁷⁰. Generation of
589 recombinant anti-periostin will allow this promising strategy to be further tested. Similarly, a
590 recent study found that pathology could be attenuated within the first 2 weeks following spinal
591 cord injury in mice treated with an N-cadherin neutralizing antibody, which blocked an integrin
592 and N-cadherin dependent interaction between extracellular type-1 collagen and astrocytes
593 and significantly attenuated astrocytic scar formation⁵⁴. In this study the rapid behavioural
594 recovery observed supports a neuroprotective role for neutralising n-cadherin, though this was
595 not assessed directly. As above, these studies suggest that early fibrosis is an important
596 therapeutic target for improving outcome after spinal cord injury. Furthermore, if A1/A2
597 polarisation factors⁹⁴ are conserved following spinal cord injury, a known inhibitor of C1q is
598 chondroitin sulfate A¹⁵⁷, the mono CS-4 sulfated GAG, which raises interesting questions
599 regarding additional roles of ECM proteoglycans and their sulfation epitopes following injury.
600 Thus, matrix properties are a valuable means to tap into the plasticity of cell responses.

601

602 CSPGs (see Box 2) are known inhibitors of neuronal plasticity, present throughout the CNS
603 ECM and highly concentrated in the spinal injury scar (discussed above). A number of
604 experimental studies have reported functional improvement following spinal cord injury by
605 genetic removal of enzymes critical for CS-GAG biosynthesis. This includes deoxyribozyme-
606 mediated knockdown of xylosyltransferase-1 mRNA, the enzyme which catalyses GAG
607 addition to the CSPG core protein¹⁵⁸, conditional sox9 ablation¹⁵⁹ and knockout of N-
608 acetylgalactosaminyltransferase-1, the enzyme which catalyses the addition of the first
609 GalNAc residue onto the tetrasaccharide link between the core PG and GAG¹⁶⁰. Reports of

610 therapeutically-applicable pharmacological approaches which recapitulate these effects are
611 currently lacking.

612

613 Enzymatic strategies targeting CSPGs are a promising approach for spinal cord repair, due to
614 their ability to render the ECM more permissive to neuronal plasticity and connectivity.

615 Removal of CS-GAGs by the chondroitinase ABC (ChABC) enzyme has been widely
616 demonstrated to have beneficial effects in enhancing axonal regeneration and neuroplasticity

617 and promoting functional recovery following experimental spinal cord injury^{110,161,162-164}. This

618 effect has been replicated across multiple laboratories and in different species¹⁶⁵, including

619 mouse, rat, cat, and recently in primates¹⁶⁶ and in a canine clinical model¹⁶⁷. Furthermore, its

620 use as an adjunct therapy can augment the benefits of other experimental therapeutics¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰.

621 A gene therapy method of enzyme delivery, where host cells are themselves transduced to

622 express the ChABC gene leads to extensive CS-GAG digestion, which results in reduced

623 pathology and improved functional recovery following contusion injury to the thoracic¹⁷¹ and

624 cervical^{172,173} spinal cord. Furthermore, widespread CSPG modulation achieved by viral

625 delivery of ChABC promotes conversion of macrophages towards a pro-resolving M2

626 polarization state¹⁷¹ and drives an anti-inflammatory IL-10-mediated response¹⁷⁴, which is

627 likely to underlie reduced pathology. Thus, ChABC is a promising means to promote resolution

628 of pathology as well as overcoming the inhibitory environment of the spinal injury scar. A

629 recent study utilised a novel gene switch to enable controlled delivery of the ChABC gene and

630 revealed that long term ChABC gene expression was required to elicit recovery of skilled reach

631 and grasp functions, with recovery attributed to plasticity of descending systems¹⁷³. Whether

632 this viral ChABC approach will also have benefit when applied chronically is not yet

633 established. However, recent work has demonstrated that a single injection of ChABC enzyme

634 in the phrenic motor pool 1.5 years after unilateral cervical spinal cord injury was able to elicit

635 rapid and robust recovery of respiratory function, restoring the ventilatory response to the

636 paralysed hemidiaphragm¹⁷⁵. Furthermore, chronic application of ChABC prior to

637 transplantation of induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neural stem cells 7 weeks after a

638 spinal compression injury led to reduced chronic-injury scarring, increased graft survival and

639 improved limb function¹⁷⁶. These studies highlight the potential for ChABC to unmask latent

640 neuroplasticity and produce a microenvironment conducive to repair even within the chronic

641 spinal injury scar.

642

643 Another enzymatic strategy that has recently been exploited for reducing CSPG inhibition is

644 the mammalian enzyme Arylsulfatase B (ARSB, N-acetylgalactosamine-4-sulfatase), which

645 removes C4S moieties specifically from CS-GAGs. In addition to being utilized in enzyme-

646 replacement therapy for human mucopolysaccharidosis VI, ARSB administration has now

647 been shown in one study to promote increased axonal sprouting and functional locomotor
648 recovery following compression spinal cord injury in the mouse¹⁷⁷. ARSB perhaps represents
649 a more attractive, and more readily translatable, therapeutic prospect than a bacterial enzyme
650 such as ChABC and certainly warrants further investigation, particularly given recent findings
651 of eliciting enhanced axon growth in the injured optic nerve¹⁷⁸. However, whether ARSB could
652 elicit robust modulatory effects within the spinal injury scar microenvironment, comparable to
653 ChABC, remains to be determined. Given the specificity of ARSB for 4S motifs, it may not be
654 capable of the multi-modulatory effects that have been demonstrated for ChABC which include
655 immune modulation, neuroprotection and neuroplasticity^{165,179,180}.

656
657 Targeted modulation of CSPG receptor signalling via manipulation of the receptor PTP σ , has
658 also proved to be a promising therapeutic prospect. The activity of the intracellular
659 phosphatase domains of PTP σ are regulated via a conserved “wedge” structure which can
660 occlude the catalytic domain, thus reducing phosphorylation activity and ability to signal
661 downstream. Use of a membrane-permeable peptide mimetic of this wedge reduces PTP σ
662 signalling following activation by ligands such as CSPGs. Systemic delivery of this peptide
663 has been shown to enable recovery of locomotor and bladder function in rats following spinal
664 contusion injury¹⁸¹ and the non-invasive nature of this approach means it is a realistic
665 candidate for rapid translation. Thus, approaches to overcome the inhibitory actions of CSPGs
666 show collective promise in enabling beneficial alterations to the ECM associated with the
667 spinal injury scar with positive effects in eliciting some functional repair.

668
669 *Future directions for therapy*

670 The majority of approaches aimed at manipulating the spinal injury scar for therapeutic benefit
671 have focused on modifying scar-associated ECM and targeting the synthesis, production and
672 signalling of CSPGs. With the identification of cell subtypes that have opposing actions on
673 tissue pathology, such as A1 neurotoxic vs A2 reparatory astrocytes⁹⁴, there may be further
674 opportunity to modulate astrocyte function or phenotype following spinal cord injury. These
675 approaches will likely evolve as new markers are identified for delineating reactive astrocytes
676 and microglia in different phenotypic states¹⁸², with increasing availability of astrocyte and
677 microglia cell-specific sequencing data^{54,79,108} and with powerful emerging tissue sequencing
678 technology¹⁸³. Alternative approaches which may indirectly modulate astrocyte phenotype are
679 also emerging, such as grafting specific stem cell populations which can influence host tissue
680 cellular responses and drive astrocyte transformation to a permissive phenotype¹³².
681 Additionally, with increased appreciation for the role of ECM molecules in affecting pathology
682 and plasticity of cellular responses, novel targets may be identified with new matrix biology

683 technology¹⁸⁴. Conversely, the study of scarring mechanisms and ECM components in
684 organisms that are capable of CNS regeneration may lead to the identification of pro-repair
685 targets. For example, differential regulation of collagen XII within the scar matrix is one factor
686 contributing to the pro-regenerative phenotype in zebrafish, controlled by Wnt/B catenin
687 signalling¹⁸⁵. Whether Wnt/B-catenin-mediated collagen XII production can be harnessed to
688 render the mammalian spinal injury scar more permissive is not yet known. Finally,
689 consideration should be given to scar biomechanics^{121,125} when designing therapies. It may be
690 important to understand how pharmacological manipulations affect mechanobiology and
691 further provide appropriate mechanical signals to optimize repair.

692

693 **Conclusion**

694 The spinal injury scar is multifaceted. It contains more than just a reactive glial component
695 and should be considered as a whole, since there is a complex interplay between multiple
696 different cell types (glial cells, mesenchymal-derived cells, immune cells), their intracellular
697 and signalling changes, and the extracellular environment. These processes modulate and
698 feedback on each other. Altering the environment, for example using CSPG modulation with
699 chondroitinase, can increase neuronal regeneration-associated gene expression and
700 transcriptional changes^{110,174}. Conversely, altering intracellular mechanisms can alter the
701 inhibitory environment (for example microtubule stabilization with taxol or epothilone B leads
702 to reduced fibrotic scarring¹⁴⁹). Similarly, astrocyte-immune cell interactions are bidirectional,
703 where an increasingly proinflammatory environment induces extensive astrogliosis⁵³ and in
704 turn, activated astrocytes release pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and CSPGs, which
705 can influence the magnitude of the inflammatory response²². The spinal injury scar has both
706 beneficial properties (being essential for preventing spread of cellular damage) and
707 detrimental properties (limiting new growth and tissue repair). This may be attributable to
708 opposing phenotypes of reactive glial cells that form the scar border, given recent evidence in
709 other CNS pathologies⁹⁴. However, also important to note are the opposing roles of the scar
710 matrix which contains beneficial molecules, required for formation of the glia limitans (which if
711 not formed properly, can increase damage and worsen outcome) as well as molecules that
712 are potent inhibitors of growth and neuroplasticity, such as CSPGs. Therapeutic strategies
713 need to target detrimental aspects while preserving the beneficial properties of the spinal injury
714 scar. Increased mechanistic understanding of the biological processes that propagate the non-
715 resolving scar pathology is providing new therapeutic targets which may bring us closer to
716 improving functional outcome following traumatic spinal cord injury.

717

718

719 **Box 1: Phenotypic diversity and plasticity of astrocytes: emerging evidence from**
720 **brain and spinal cord injury**

721 There is increasing data available on the cellular profile and phenotypic diversity of astrocytes
722 after injury. Phenotypic nomenclature comparable to that adopted in characterization of
723 macrophages/microglia has been used for the transcriptional profiling of cultured astrocytes
724 isolated from the CNS under different injury conditions. Ischemic injury in the brain (modelling
725 stroke) leads to a trophic A2 polarization state. By contrast, activated microglia from models
726 of neurodegenerative and neuroinflammatory diseases and traumatic optic nerve crush injury
727 release factors such as IL1 α , TNF and complement component subunit 1q (C1q) which induce
728 a neurotoxic state in A1 astrocytes^{55,94}. In the optic nerve, there is evidence that axotomy-
729 induced A1 astrocytes, in turn, kill axotomized neurons. In these studies, microglial-derived
730 mediators were necessary and sufficient to induce an A1 phenotype. Whether this occurs
731 following spinal cord injury is, as yet, unreported.

732
733 Genetic profiling of reactive astrocytes and scar-forming astrocytes following spinal cord injury
734 (isolated by laser-capture microdissection at 7 and 14 days post injury, respectively) has
735 recently been reported. Reactive astrocytes were associated with selective upregulation of
736 *Nes*, *Ctnnb1*, *Axin2*, *Plaur*, *Mmp2*, and *Mmp13* whereas scar-forming astrocytes selectively
737 upregulated *Cdh2*, *Sox9*, *Xylt1*, *Chst11*, *Csgalnact1*, *Acan*, *Pcan* and *Slit2*⁵⁴. Furthermore,
738 using these genes as population markers, FACs isolated nestin-GFP+ reactive astrocytes
739 were found to convert to a naïve phenotype following transplantation into uninjured tissue but
740 become scar-forming when transplanted into injured tissue, an effect thought to be mediated
741 by a N-cadherin-dependent interaction with type 1 collagen⁵⁴. Thus, astrocytes are able to
742 display phenotypic plasticity, and tissue environment is a crucial influence over cellular
743 behaviour.

744
745 The GFAP-RiboTag mouse can be used to perform high-throughput RNA sequencing on
746 astrocytes following injury and probe the effect of particular genes in the astrocyte response¹⁰⁸.
747 Two weeks after a spinal crush injury RNA-seq revealed differential expression of over 6000
748 genes in astrocytes, changes described as congruent with prior transcriptomic analysis
749 following ischemic stroke lesion^{55,108}. However, whether the astrocytes sampled here
750 represent A2-like trophic astrocytes has not been ascertained.

751
752 There are some discrepancies between gene expression findings using these different
753 methodologies. For example, of the 8 genes associated with scar-forming astrocytes isolated
754 using laser capture microdissection⁵⁴, the RNA-seq dataset only supports increased
755 expression in one of these (*Xylt1*)¹⁰⁸, whereas 4 of the 6 genes ascribed to reactive

756 astrocytes⁵⁴, are increased (*Nes*, *Axin2*, *Plaur*, *Mmp2*)¹⁰⁸. Spatial differences in sample
757 selection may contribute to these disparities. Techniques such as 3D intact-tissue RNA
758 sequencing¹⁸³ may overcome this problem in the future. Indeed, further characterization of
759 spatio-temporal phenotypic diversity and plasticity would aid research into how astrocyte
760 phenotype and scar progression may be modified by changes to matrix components or
761 perturbation of the immune response. Such methods, alongside new purification techniques
762 for *in vitro* analysis, will likely provide increasingly nuanced understanding of the relationship
763 between astrocytes, microglia, non-resident immune cells and the tissue environment^{79,186}.

764

765

766 **Box 2: Structure of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs)**

767 CSPGs are proteoglycans (PGs) consisting of a core protein with at least one covalently
768 attached chondroitin sulfate glycosaminoglycan (CS-GAG) side chain. CSPG subtypes most
769 commonly studied with respect to the inhibitory CNS environment include lecticans (aggrecan,
770 versican, neurocan and brevican), the transmembrane protein NG2, phosphacan
771 (transmembrane or soluble) and the small leucine-rich proteoglycans decorin and biglycan.
772 There are also multiple less-well studied CSPGs revealed by proteomics analysis of scar
773 matrix⁵⁰. Lecticans are the most abundant CSPGs in the spinal injury scar and also feature
774 globular domains: the G1 N-terminal domain and G3 C-terminal domains are important in their
775 interaction via link-protein with hyaluronan (the backbone glycoprotein of the CNS matrix) and
776 also tenascin, thus they are involved in matrix crosslinking. Core PGs undergo post-
777 translational modification in the endoplasmic reticulum and golgi, catalysed by a number of
778 enzymes. At particular serine residues a tetrasaccharide linking region is formed by sequential
779 addition of xylose by xylosyl transferase, two galactose molecules by β 1,4-
780 Galactosyltransferase-I then β 1,3-Galactosyltransferase-II and a one GlcA residue via β 1,3-
781 glucuronyltransferase I to form the linker $\text{GlcA}\beta$ 1–3 $\text{Gal}\beta$ 1–3 $\text{Gal}\beta$ 1–4 $\text{Xyl}\beta$ 1–O-Ser. The
782 following addition of GalNac by a GalNac transferase I is crucial to initiate synthesis of the
783 chondroitin sulfate backbone. If, at this point, N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNac) is added rather
784 than GalNac, synthesis of the heparan sulfate backbone is initiated.¹⁸⁷ CS-GAG chain
785 polymerisation is the process by which alternating residues of GalNac and GlcA are then
786 added to the proteoglycan linker region by the alternating activity of a GlcA transferase II and
787 a GalNac transferase II. There are six actual enzymes identified which confer this
788 glycosyltransferase activity. Alongside CS-GalNac transferase I and II, combinations of
789 enzyme complexes of chondroitin synthase 1,2 and 3 and chondroitin polymerising factor
790 (ChPF) mediate GAG polymerization.

791

792

793 **Acknowledgements**

794 EJB receives funding from the U.K. Medical Research Council (SNCF G1002055;
795 MR/P012418/1; ERA-NET NEURON MR/R005532/1), the International Spinal Research Trust
796 (CHASE-IT II_02), the National Institutes of Health (2 R01 GM 093627-05) and the Rosetrees
797 Trust (A1384).

798

799 **Competing interests**

800 The authors declare no competing interests.

801

802 **Author contributions**

803 EJB and ERB wrote the Review; ERB prepared the figures, with input from EJB.

804

805 **Figure Legends**

806 **Figure 1.** *Cellular and extracellular composition of the spinal injury scar.*

807 Traumatic spinal cord injury triggers a complex cascade of events that culminate in the spinal
808 injury scar which consists of multiple cell types as well as extracellular and non-neural
809 components. **a)** in the acute post-injury phase (0-72 hours), cell death and damage lead to
810 release of a number of cellular and blood-derived DAMPs (damage associated molecular
811 patterns). These are powerful activating and inflammatory stimuli for stromal cells, astrocytes,
812 NG2+OPCs and microglia. Fibroblast-like cells proliferate from perivascular origin. Activated
813 cells increase deposition of extracellular matrix molecules such as chondroitin sulfate
814 proteoglycans (CSPGs) and stromal-derived matrix. Circulating immune-responders
815 (neutrophils, monocytes) are recruited, their relative expression of cytokines, chemokines and
816 matrix metalloproteinases becomes shaped by the early injury environment, and a mixed
817 immune cell phenotype (M1, pro-inflammatory; M2, pro-resolving) is initially adopted. This
818 becomes increasingly proinflammatory. **b)** in the chronic spinal injury scar, monocyte-derived
819 macrophages/microglia adopt a predominantly M1 phenotype. Rather than entering a phase
820 of resolution, responding innate immune cells present DAMPs to circulating adaptive immune
821 cells and pathology spreads. Reactive astrocytes hypertrophy, upregulate expression of
822 intermediate-filament associated proteins and secrete matrix CSPGs. Fibroblast-like cells
823 contribute to fibrotic tissue remodelling and deposition of stromal-derived matrix. Innate
824 immune cells become unable to process cellular and matrix debris effectively and become
825 synonymous with lipid-rich foam cells. Scar-forming reactive astrocytes organise into a barrier-
826 like structure which separates spared tissue from a central region of inflammation and fibrosis
827 where wound-healing fails to undergo resolution. In most mammalian species a chronic cystic
828 cavity develops. Wallerian degeneration of injured axonal projections contributes to continued
829 extracellular deposition of axonal and myelin debris, which is ineffectively processed by

830 immune cells, and along with CSPGs, acts to inhibit neuronal regeneration and neuroplasticity
831 long-term.

832

833 **Figure 2.** *From injury to scar: time course of progressive scar pathology showing interlinked*
834 *relationships between different components of the spinal injury scar.*

835 Following traumatic spinal cord injury, acute cell death and damage triggers release of cell-
836 derived and blood-derived DAMPs, ATP release, dysregulated ionic homeostasis oxidative
837 stress and excitotoxicity, which represent potent stimuli for triggering glial cell activation,
838 stromal cell proliferation, deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM), and recruitment of
839 circulating innate immune cells. Within a few days following injury, monocyte-derived
840 macrophage/microglia adopt a predominantly “M1” phenotype which do not favour resolution
841 and tissue remodelling becomes fibrotic. Proinflammatory innate responders also present
842 DAMP-derived antigens (such as MBP) to T and B-cells. B cells, in turn, may present antigens
843 to T-cells, triggering their expansion. During this time, reactive astrocytes proliferate,
844 hypertrophy and overlap in order to isolate this zone of nonresolving pathology from spared
845 tissue. They also secrete matrix CSPGs, which are known to downregulate neuronal plasticity.
846 Wallarian degeneration of degenerating axonal tracts contributes to continued deposition of
847 axonal and myelin debris, which is ineffectively processed by immune cells and leads to the
848 deposition of myelin-associated molecules (MAG, Nogo, OMgp) which are known inhibitors of
849 neuronal regrowth. Ongoing Wallerian degeneration at later post-injury stages further triggers
850 gliosis and neuroinflammation. Dashed grey arrows show cross talk between different
851 components of the spinal injury scar, which is usually bidirectional. For example, CSPGs
852 released by reactive astrocytes are thought to activate receptors on macrophages/microglia
853 to induce a proinflammatory phenotype and in turn increasing inflammation induces further
854 astrocytic reactivity and CSPG deposition. Fibroblast-like cells also synthesise type 1
855 collagen, implicated in the induction of astrogliosis and further deposition of matrix molecules.
856 Cross talk between the innate and adaptive immune response also propagates inflammatory
857 pathology and further influences glial activation and CSPG production. The dynamic
858 interactions between inflammation, dramatic tissue and ECM remodelling and reactive cellular
859 and extracellular changes drive the progressive, propagating pathology that culminates in the
860 spinal injury scar.

861

862

863 **References**

864

865 1 Collaborators, G. T. B. a. S. C. I. Global, regional, and national burden of traumatic
866 brain injury and spinal cord injury, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global

- 867 Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet neurology* **18**, 56-87, doi:10.1016/s1474-
868 4422(18)30415-0 (2019).
- 869 2 Ahuja, C. S. *et al.* Traumatic spinal cord injury. *Nature reviews. Disease primers* **3**,
870 17018, doi:10.1038/nrdp.2017.18 (2017).
- 871 3 Ramer, L. M., Ramer, M. S. & Bradbury, E. J. Restoring function after spinal cord
872 injury: towards clinical translation of experimental strategies. *Lancet neurology* **13**,
873 1241-1256, doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(14)70144-9 (2014).
- 874 4 Sofroniew, M. V. Dissecting spinal cord regeneration. *Nature* **557**, 343-350,
875 doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0068-4 (2018).
- 876 5 Bradke, F., Fawcett, J. W. & Spira, M. E. Assembly of a new growth cone after
877 axotomy: the precursor to axon regeneration. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience* **13**, 183-
878 193, doi:10.1038/nrn3176 (2012).
- 879 6 Puttagunta, R. *et al.* PCAF-dependent epigenetic changes promote axonal
880 regeneration in the central nervous system. *Nat Commun* **5**, 3527,
881 doi:10.1038/ncomms4527 (2014).
- 882 7 Hilton, B. J. & Bradke, F. Can injured adult CNS axons regenerate by recapitulating
883 development? *Development (Cambridge, England)* **144**, 3417-3429,
884 doi:10.1242/dev.148312 (2017).
- 885 8 Venkatesh, I., Mehra, V., Wang, Z., Califf, B. & Blackmore, M. G. Developmental
886 chromatin restriction of pro-growth gene networks acts as an epigenetic barrier to
887 axon regeneration in cortical neurons. *Dev Neurobiol*, doi:10.1002/dneu.22605
888 (2018).
- 889 9 Mahar, M. & Cavalli, V. Intrinsic mechanisms of neuronal axon regeneration. *Nature*
890 *reviews. Neuroscience* **19**, 323-337, doi:10.1038/s41583-018-0001-8 (2018).
- 891 10 Cheah, M. *et al.* Expression of an Activated Integrin Promotes Long-Distance Sensory
892 Axon Regeneration in the Spinal Cord. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official*
893 *journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **36**, 7283-7297, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.0901-
894 16.2016 (2016).
- 895 11 Hellal, F. *et al.* Microtubule stabilization reduces scarring and causes axon
896 regeneration after spinal cord injury. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **331**, 928-931,
897 doi:10.1126/science.1201148 (2011).
- 898 12 Koseki, H. *et al.* Selective rab11 transport and the intrinsic regenerative ability of CNS
899 axons. *eLife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.26956 (2017).
- 900 13 Wells, J. M. & Watt, F. M. Diverse mechanisms for endogenous regeneration and
901 repair in mammalian organs. *Nature* **557**, 322-328, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0073-7
902 (2018).
- 903 14 Burda, J. E., Bernstein, A. M. & Sofroniew, M. V. Astrocyte roles in traumatic brain
904 injury. *Exp Neurol* **275**, 305-315, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.03.020 (2016).
- 905 15 Sims, N. R. & Yew, W. P. Reactive astrogliosis in stroke: Contributions of astrocytes to
906 recovery of neurological function. *Neurochemistry international* **107**, 88-103,
907 doi:10.1016/j.neuint.2016.12.016 (2017).
- 908 16 Rodriguez, J. J., Butt, A. M., Gardenal, E., Parpura, V. & Verkhratsky, A. Complex and
909 differential glial responses in Alzheimer's disease and ageing. *Current Alzheimer*
910 *research* **13**, 343-358 (2016).
- 911 17 Ponath, G., Park, C. & Pitt, D. The Role of Astrocytes in Multiple Sclerosis. *Frontiers in*
912 *immunology* **9**, 217, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.00217 (2018).

- 913 18 Stephenson, E. L. *et al.* Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans as novel drivers of
914 leucocyte infiltration in multiple sclerosis. *Brain* **141**, 1094-1110,
915 doi:10.1093/brain/awy033 (2018).
- 916 19 Adams, K. L. & Gallo, V. The diversity and disparity of the glial scar. *Nature*
917 *neuroscience* **21**, 9-15, doi:10.1038/s41593-017-0033-9 (2018).
- 918 20 Schnell, L., Fearn, S., Klassen, H., Schwab, M. E. & Perry, V. H. Acute inflammatory
919 responses to mechanical lesions in the CNS: differences between brain and spinal
920 cord. *The European journal of neuroscience* **11**, 3648-3658 (1999).
- 921 21 Anthony, D. C., Couch, Y., Losey, P. & Evans, M. C. The systemic response to brain
922 injury and disease. *Brain, behavior, and immunity* **26**, 534-540,
923 doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2011.10.011 (2012).
- 924 22 Zhang, B. & Gensel, J. C. Is neuroinflammation in the injured spinal cord different
925 than in the brain? Examining intrinsic differences between the brain and spinal cord.
926 *Exp Neurol* **258**, 112-120, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.04.007 (2014).
- 927 23 Rowlands, D., Sugahara, K. & Kwok, J. C. Glycosaminoglycans and glycomimetics in
928 the central nervous system. *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)* **20**, 3527-3548,
929 doi:10.3390/molecules20033527 (2015).
- 930 24 Smith, P. D., Coulson-Thomas, V. J., Foscari, S., Kwok, J. C. & Fawcett, J. W. "GAG-
931 ing with the neuron": The role of glycosaminoglycan patterning in the central
932 nervous system. *Exp Neurol* **274**, 100-114, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.08.004
933 (2015).
- 934 25 Miller, G. M. & Hsieh-Wilson, L. C. Sugar-Dependent Modulation of Neuronal
935 Development, Regeneration, and Plasticity by Chondroitin Sulfate Proteoglycans.
936 *Experimental Neurology*, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.08.015 (2015).
- 937 26 Cregg, J. M. *et al.* Functional regeneration beyond the glial scar. *Exp Neurol* **253**, 197-
938 207, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2013.12.024 (2014).
- 939 27 Fawcett, J. W., Schwab, M. E., Montani, L., Brazda, N. & Muller, H. W. Defeating
940 inhibition of regeneration by scar and myelin components. *Handbook of clinical*
941 *neurology* **109**, 503-522, doi:10.1016/b978-0-444-52137-8.00031-0 (2012).
- 942 28 Busch, S. A. *et al.* Adult NG2+ cells are permissive to neurite outgrowth and stabilize
943 sensory axons during macrophage-induced axonal dieback after spinal cord injury.
944 *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **30**,
945 255-265, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3705-09.2010 (2010).
- 946 29 Ramón y Cajal, S. *Degeneration and regeneration in the nervous system.*, (Oxford
947 University Press, 1928).
- 948 30 P. J. Reier, L. J. S., L. Guth. in *Spinal Cord Reconstruction* (ed R.P. Bunge C. C. Kao, P.
949 J. Reier) Ch. The astrocytic scar as an impediment to regeneration in the central
950 nervous system. , 163-195 (Raven Press, 1983).
- 951 31 O'Shea, T. M., Burda, J. E. & Sofroniew, M. V. Cell biology of spinal cord injury and
952 repair. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* **127**, 3259-3270, doi:10.1172/jci90608
953 (2017).
- 954 32 Silver, J. & Miller, J. H. Regeneration beyond the glial scar. *Nature reviews.*
955 *Neuroscience* **5**, 146-156 (2004).
- 956 33 Klapka, N. & Muller, H. W. Collagen matrix in spinal cord injury. *Journal of*
957 *neurotrauma* **23**, 422-435, doi:10.1089/neu.2006.23.422 (2006).

958 34 Mautes, A. E., Weinzierl, M. R., Donovan, F. & Noble, L. J. Vascular events after spinal
959 cord injury: contribution to secondary pathogenesis. *Physical therapy* **80**, 673-687
960 (2000).

961 35 Davalos, D. *et al.* ATP mediates rapid microglial response to local brain injury in vivo.
962 *Nature neuroscience* **8**, 752-758, doi:10.1038/nn1472 (2005).

963 36 Haynes, S. E. *et al.* The P2Y₁₂ receptor regulates microglial activation by extracellular
964 nucleotides. *Nature neuroscience* **9**, 1512-1519, doi:10.1038/nn1805 (2006).

965 37 Wu, L. J., Vadakkan, K. I. & Zhuo, M. ATP-induced chemotaxis of microglial processes
966 requires P2Y receptor-activated initiation of outward potassium currents. *Glia* **55**,
967 810-821, doi:10.1002/glia.20500 (2007).

968 38 Bellver-Landete, V. *et al.* Microglia are an essential component of the
969 neuroprotective scar that forms after spinal cord injury. *Nat Commun* **10**, 518,
970 doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08446-0 (2019).

971 39 Huang, C. *et al.* Critical role of connexin 43 in secondary expansion of traumatic
972 spinal cord injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for*
973 *Neuroscience* **32**, 3333-3338, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1216-11.2012 (2012).

974 40 James, G. & Butt, A. M. P2Y and P2X purinoceptor mediated Ca²⁺ signalling in glial
975 cell pathology in the central nervous system. *Eur J Pharmacol* **447**, 247-260 (2002).

976 41 Franke, H. *et al.* P2 receptor-types involved in astrogliosis in vivo. *British journal of*
977 *pharmacology* **134**, 1180-1189, doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0704353 (2001).

978 42 Park, E., Velumian, A. A. & Fehlings, M. G. The role of excitotoxicity in secondary
979 mechanisms of spinal cord injury: a review with an emphasis on the implications for
980 white matter degeneration. *Journal of neurotrauma* **21**, 754-774,
981 doi:10.1089/0897715041269641 (2004).

982 43 David, S., Greenhalgh, A. D. & Lopez-Vales, R. Role of phospholipase A₂s and lipid
983 mediators in secondary damage after spinal cord injury. *Cell and tissue research* **349**,
984 249-267, doi:10.1007/s00441-012-1430-8 (2012).

985 44 Simard, J. M. *et al.* Endothelial sulfonylurea receptor 1-regulated NC Ca-ATP
986 channels mediate progressive hemorrhagic necrosis following spinal cord injury. *The*
987 *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **117**, 2105-2113, doi:10.1172/jci32041 (2007).

988 45 Goodman, J. H., Bingham, W. G., Jr. & Hunt, W. E. Platelet aggregation in
989 experimental spinal cord injury. Ultrastructural observations. *Archives of neurology*
990 **36**, 197-201 (1979).

991 46 Matzinger, P. Tolerance, danger, and the extended family. *Annual review of*
992 *immunology* **12**, 991-1045, doi:10.1146/annurev.iy.12.040194.005015 (1994).

993 47 Chen, G. Y. & Nunez, G. Sterile inflammation: sensing and reacting to damage. *Nat*
994 *Rev Immunol* **10**, 826-837, doi:10.1038/nri2873 (2010).

995 48 Gaudet, A. D. & Popovich, P. G. Extracellular matrix regulation of inflammation in the
996 healthy and injured spinal cord. *Exp Neurol* **0**, 24-34,
997 doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2013.11.020 (2014).

998 49 Pineau, I. & Lacroix, S. Proinflammatory cytokine synthesis in the injured mouse
999 spinal cord: multiphasic expression pattern and identification of the cell types
1000 involved. *The Journal of comparative neurology* **500**, 267-285,
1001 doi:10.1002/cne.21149 (2007).

1002 50 Didangelos, A. *et al.* High-throughput proteomics reveal alarmins as amplifiers of
1003 tissue pathology and inflammation after spinal cord injury. *Scientific reports* **6**,
1004 21607, doi:10.1038/srep21607 (2016).

1005 51 Sofroniew, M. V. Multiple roles for astrocytes as effectors of cytokines and
1006 inflammatory mediators. *The Neuroscientist : a review journal bringing neurobiology,*
1007 *neurology and psychiatry* **20**, 160-172, doi:10.1177/1073858413504466 (2014).

1008 52 Anderson, M. A., Ao, Y. & Sofroniew, M. V. Heterogeneity of reactive astrocytes.
1009 *Neurosci Lett* **565**, 23-29, doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2013.12.030 (2014).

1010 53 Sofroniew, M. V. Astrogliosis. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* **7**, a020420,
1011 doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a020420 (2014).

1012 54 Hara, M. *et al.* Interaction of reactive astrocytes with type I collagen induces
1013 astrocytic scar formation through the integrin-N-cadherin pathway after spinal cord
1014 injury. *Nature medicine*, doi:10.1038/nm.4354 (2017).

1015 55 Zamanian, J. L. *et al.* Genomic analysis of reactive astrogliosis. *The Journal of*
1016 *neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **32**, 6391-6410,
1017 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.6221-11.2012 (2012).

1018 56 Chen, M. *et al.* Leucine Zipper-Bearing Kinase Is a Critical Regulator of Astrocyte
1019 Reactivity in the Adult Mammalian CNS. *Cell reports* **22**, 3587-3597,
1020 doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.102 (2018).

1021 57 Sun, D. & Jakobs, T. C. Structural remodeling of astrocytes in the injured CNS. *The*
1022 *Neuroscientist : a review journal bringing neurobiology, neurology and psychiatry* **18**,
1023 567-588, doi:10.1177/1073858411423441 (2012).

1024 58 Herrmann, J. E. *et al.* STAT3 is a critical regulator of astrogliosis and scar formation
1025 after spinal cord injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the*
1026 *Society for Neuroscience* **28**, 7231-7243, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1709-08.2008 (2008).

1027 59 Wanner, I. B. *et al.* Glial Scar Borders Are Formed by Newly Proliferated, Elongated
1028 Astrocytes That Interact to Corral Inflammatory and Fibrotic Cells via STAT3-
1029 Dependent Mechanisms after Spinal Cord Injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the*
1030 *official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **33**, 12870-12886,
1031 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2121-13.2013 (2013).

1032 60 Sabelstrom, H. *et al.* Resident neural stem cells restrict tissue damage and neuronal
1033 loss after spinal cord injury in mice. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **342**, 637-640,
1034 doi:10.1126/science.1242576 (2013).

1035 61 Ren, Y. *et al.* Ependymal cell contribution to scar formation after spinal cord injury is
1036 minimal, local and dependent on direct ependymal injury. *Scientific reports* **7**, 41122,
1037 doi:10.1038/srep41122 (2017).

1038 62 Bundesen, L. Q., Scheel, T. A., Bregman, B. S. & Kromer, L. F. Ephrin-B2 and EphB2
1039 regulation of astrocyte-meningeal fibroblast interactions in response to spinal cord
1040 lesions in adult rats. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society*
1041 *for Neuroscience* **23**, 7789-7800 (2003).

1042 63 Soderblom, C. *et al.* Perivascular fibroblasts form the fibrotic scar after contusive
1043 spinal cord injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for*
1044 *Neuroscience* **33**, 13882-13887, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2524-13.2013 (2013).

1045 64 Sofroniew, M. V. Molecular dissection of reactive astrogliosis and glial scar
1046 formation. *Trends in neurosciences* **32**, 638-647, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2009.08.002
1047 (2009).

1048 65 Okada, M. *et al.* Expression and role of type I collagen in a rat spinal cord contusion
1049 injury model. *Neuroscience Research* **58**, 371-377, doi:10.1016/j.neures.2007.04.009
1050 (2007).

1051 66 Göritz, C. *et al.* A Pericyte Origin of Spinal Cord Scar Tissue. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*
1052 **333**, 238-242, doi:10.1126/science.1203165 (2011).

1053 67 Dias, D. O. *et al.* Reducing Pericyte-Derived Scarring Promotes Recovery after Spinal
1054 Cord Injury. *Cell* **173**, 153-165.e122, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.004 (2018).

1055 68 Birbrair, A. *et al.* Type-1 pericytes accumulate after tissue injury and produce
1056 collagen in an organ-dependent manner. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy* **5**, 122,
1057 doi:10.1186/scrt512 (2014).

1058 69 McTigue, D. M., Tripathi, R. & Wei, P. NG2 colocalizes with axons and is expressed by
1059 a mixed cell population in spinal cord lesions. *Journal of neuropathology and*
1060 *experimental neurology* **65**, 406-420, doi:10.1097/01.jnen.0000218447.32320.52
1061 (2006).

1062 70 Yokota, K. *et al.* Periostin Promotes Scar Formation through the Interaction between
1063 Pericytes and Infiltrating Monocytes/Macrophages after Spinal Cord Injury. *The*
1064 *American journal of pathology* **187**, 639-653, doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2016.11.010
1065 (2017).

1066 71 Hackett, A. R. & Lee, J. K. Understanding the NG2 Glial Scar after Spinal Cord Injury.
1067 *Frontiers in neurology* **7**, 199, doi:10.3389/fneur.2016.00199 (2016).

1068 72 Levine, J. The reactions and role of NG2 glia in spinal cord injury. *Brain Res* **1638**,
1069 199-208, doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2015.07.026 (2016).

1070 73 Assinck, P. *et al.* Myelinogenic Plasticity of Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells following
1071 Spinal Cord Contusion Injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the*
1072 *Society for Neuroscience* **37**, 8635-8654, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2409-16.2017 (2017).

1073 74 Bartus, K. *et al.* ErbB receptor signaling directly controls oligodendrocyte progenitor
1074 cell transformation and spontaneous remyelination after spinal cord injury. *Glia*,
1075 doi:10.1002/glia.23586 (2019).

1076 75 Hesp, Z. C., Goldstein, E. Z., Miranda, C. J., Kaspar, B. K. & McTigue, D. M. Chronic
1077 oligodendrogenesis and remyelination after spinal cord injury in mice and rats. *The*
1078 *Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **35**, 1274-
1079 1290, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2568-14.2015 (2015).

1080 76 Filous, A. R. *et al.* Entrapment via synaptic-like connections between NG2
1081 proteoglycan+ cells and dystrophic axons in the lesion plays a role in regeneration
1082 failure after spinal cord injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the*
1083 *Society for Neuroscience* **34**, 16369-16384, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1309-14.2014
1084 (2014).

1085 77 Hackett, A. R. *et al.* STAT3 and SOCS3 regulate NG2 cell proliferation and
1086 differentiation after contusive spinal cord injury. *Neurobiology of disease* **89**, 10-22,
1087 doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2016.01.017 (2016).

1088 78 Duncan, G. J. *et al.* Locomotor recovery following contusive spinal cord injury does
1089 not require oligodendrocyte remyelination. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3066,
1090 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05473-1 (2018).

1091 79 Bennett, M. L. *et al.* New tools for studying microglia in the mouse and human CNS.
1092 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*
1093 **113**, E1738-1746, doi:10.1073/pnas.1525528113 (2016).

1094 80 David, S., Kroner, A., Greenhalgh, A. D., Zarruk, J. G. & López-Vales, R. Myeloid cell
1095 responses after spinal cord injury. *Journal of neuroimmunology* **321**, 97-108,
1096 doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2018.06.003 (2018).

1097 81 Kaiser, T. & Feng, G. Tmem119-EGFP and Tmem119-CreERT2 transgenic mice for
1098 labeling and manipulating microglia. *bioRxiv*, 624825, doi:10.1101/624825 (2019).

1099 82 Ruan, C. *et al.* A Novel Tmem119-tdTomato Reporter Mouse Model for Studying
1100 Microglia in the Central Nervous System. *bioRxiv*, 665893, doi:10.1101/665893
1101 (2019).

1102 83 Kigerl, K. A. *et al.* Identification of two distinct macrophage subsets with divergent
1103 effects causing either neurotoxicity or regeneration in the injured mouse spinal cord.
1104 *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **29**,
1105 13435-13444, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3257-09.2009 (2009).

1106 84 Gensel, J. C. & Zhang, B. Macrophage activation and its role in repair and pathology
1107 after spinal cord injury. *Brain Res* **1619**, 1-11, doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2014.12.045
1108 (2015).

1109 85 Greenhalgh, A. D. & David, S. Differences in the phagocytic response of microglia and
1110 peripheral macrophages after spinal cord injury and its effects on cell death. *The*
1111 *Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **34**, 6316-
1112 6322, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.4912-13.2014 (2014).

1113 86 Sun, G. *et al.* gammadelta T cells provide the early source of IFN-gamma to aggravate
1114 lesions in spinal cord injury. *The Journal of experimental medicine* **215**, 521-535,
1115 doi:10.1084/jem.20170686 (2018).

1116 87 Popovich, P. G., Stokes, B. T. & Whitacre, C. C. Concept of autoimmunity following
1117 spinal cord injury: possible roles for T lymphocytes in the traumatized central
1118 nervous system. *Journal of neuroscience research* **45**, 349-363,
1119 doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4547(19960815)45:4<349::AID-JNR4>3.0.CO;2-9 (1996).

1120 88 Ankeny, D. P., Guan, Z. & Popovich, P. G. B cells produce pathogenic antibodies and
1121 impair recovery after spinal cord injury in mice. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*
1122 **119**, 2990-2999, doi:10.1172/jci39780 (2009).

1123 89 Donnelly, D. J. & Popovich, P. G. Inflammation and its role in neuroprotection, axonal
1124 regeneration and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *Exp Neurol* **209**, 378-
1125 388, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.06.009 (2008).

1126 90 Pruss, H. *et al.* Non-resolving aspects of acute inflammation after spinal cord injury
1127 (SCI): indices and resolution plateau. *Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland)* **21**, 652-
1128 660, doi:10.1111/j.1750-3639.2011.00488.x (2011).

1129 91 Vannella, K. M. & Wynn, T. A. Mechanisms of Organ Injury and Repair by
1130 Macrophages. *Annual review of physiology* **79**, 593-617, doi:10.1146/annurev-
1131 physiol-022516-034356 (2017).

1132 92 Okada, S. *et al.* Conditional ablation of Stat3 or Socs3 discloses a dual role for
1133 reactive astrocytes after spinal cord injury. *Nature medicine* **12**, 829-834,
1134 doi:10.1038/nm1425 (2006).

1135 93 Brambilla, R. *et al.* Inhibition of astroglial nuclear factor kappaB reduces
1136 inflammation and improves functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *The Journal*
1137 *of experimental medicine* **202**, 145-156, doi:10.1084/jem.20041918 (2005).

1138 94 Liddelow, S. A. *et al.* Neurotoxic reactive astrocytes are induced by activated
1139 microglia. *Nature* **541**, 481-487, doi:10.1038/nature21029 (2017).

1140 95 Rodriguez, J. P. *et al.* Abrogation of beta-catenin signaling in oligodendrocyte
1141 precursor cells reduces glial scarring and promotes axon regeneration after CNS
1142 injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for*
1143 *Neuroscience* **34**, 10285-10297, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.4915-13.2014 (2014).

- 1144 96 Horn, K. P., Busch, S. A., Hawthorne, A. L., van Rooijen, N. & Silver, J. Another barrier
 1145 to regeneration in the CNS: activated macrophages induce extensive retraction of
 1146 dystrophic axons through direct physical interactions. *The Journal of neuroscience :
 1147 the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **28**, 9330-9341,
 1148 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2488-08.2008 (2008).
- 1149 97 Evans, T. A. *et al.* High-resolution intravital imaging reveals that blood-derived
 1150 macrophages but not resident microglia facilitate secondary axonal dieback in
 1151 traumatic spinal cord injury. *Exp Neurol* **254**, 109-120,
 1152 doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.01.013 (2014).
- 1153 98 Andrews, E. M., Richards, R. J., Yin, F. Q., Viapiano, M. S. & Jakeman, L. B. Alterations
 1154 in chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan expression occur both at and far from the site of
 1155 spinal contusion injury. *Experimental Neurology* **235**, 174-187,
 1156 doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2011.09.008 (2012).
- 1157 99 Steward, O. & Trimmer, P. A. Genetic influences on cellular reactions to CNS injury:
 1158 the reactive response of astrocytes in denervated neuropil regions in mice carrying a
 1159 mutation (Wld(S)) that causes delayed Wallerian degeneration. *The Journal of
 1160 comparative neurology* **380**, 70-81 (1997).
- 1161 100 Buss, A. *et al.* Gradual loss of myelin and formation of an astrocytic scar during
 1162 Wallerian degeneration in the human spinal cord. *Brain* **127**, 34-44,
 1163 doi:10.1093/brain/awh001 (2004).
- 1164 101 Pizzorusso, T. *et al.* Reactivation of ocular dominance plasticity in the adult visual
 1165 cortex. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **298**, 1248-1251, doi:10.1126/science.1072699
 1166 (2002).
- 1167 102 Zhu, Y. *et al.* Macrophage Transcriptional Profile Identifies Lipid Catabolic Pathways
 1168 That Can Be Therapeutically Targeted after Spinal Cord Injury. *The Journal of
 1169 neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **37**, 2362-2376,
 1170 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2751-16.2017 (2017).
- 1171 103 Zhu, Y. *et al.* Hematogenous macrophage depletion reduces the fibrotic scar and
 1172 increases axonal growth after spinal cord injury. *Neurobiology of disease* **74**, 114-
 1173 125, doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2014.10.024 (2015).
- 1174 104 Schwab, M. E. & Strittmatter, S. M. Nogo limits neural plasticity and recovery from
 1175 injury. *Current opinion in neurobiology* **27**, 53-60, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2014.02.011
 1176 (2014).
- 1177 105 Niederost, B., Oertle, T., Fritsche, J., McKinney, R. A. & Bandtlow, C. E. Nogo-A and
 1178 myelin-associated glycoprotein mediate neurite growth inhibition by antagonistic
 1179 regulation of RhoA and Rac1. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the
 1180 Society for Neuroscience* **22**, 10368-10376 (2002).
- 1181 106 McKeon, R. J., Jurynek, M. J. & Buck, C. R. The chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans
 1182 neurocan and phosphacan are expressed by reactive astrocytes in the chronic CNS
 1183 glial scar. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for
 1184 Neuroscience* **19**, 10778-10788 (1999).
- 1185 107 Davies, S. J., Goucher, D. R., Doller, C. & Silver, J. Robust regeneration of adult
 1186 sensory axons in degenerating white matter of the adult rat spinal cord. *The Journal
 1187 of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **19**, 5810-5822
 1188 (1999).
- 1189 108 Anderson, M. A. *et al.* Astrocyte scar formation aids central nervous system axon
 1190 regeneration. *Nature* **532**, 195-200, doi:10.1038/nature17623 (2016).

1191 109 Ughrin, Y. M., Chen, Z. J. & Levine, J. M. Multiple regions of the NG2 proteoglycan
1192 inhibit neurite growth and induce growth cone collapse. *The Journal of neuroscience*
1193 : *the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **23**, 175-186 (2003).

1194 110 Bradbury, E. J. *et al.* Chondroitinase ABC promotes functional recovery after spinal
1195 cord injury. *Nature* **416**, 636-640, doi:10.1038/416636a (2002).

1196 111 Shen, Y. *et al.* PTPsigma is a receptor for chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan, an
1197 inhibitor of neural regeneration. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **326**, 592-596,
1198 doi:10.1126/science.1178310 (2009).

1199 112 Fry, E. J., Chagnon, M. J., Lopez-Vales, R., Tremblay, M. L. & David, S. Corticospinal
1200 tract regeneration after spinal cord injury in receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase
1201 sigma deficient mice. *Glia* **58**, 423-433, doi:10.1002/glia.20934 (2010).

1202 113 Fisher, D. *et al.* Leukocyte common antigen-related phosphatase is a functional
1203 receptor for chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan axon growth inhibitors. *The Journal of*
1204 *neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **31**, 14051-14066,
1205 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1737-11.2011 (2011).

1206 114 Dickendesher, T. L. *et al.* NgR1 and NgR3 are receptors for chondroitin sulfate
1207 proteoglycans. *Nature neuroscience* **15**, 703-712, doi:10.1038/nn.3070 (2012).

1208 115 Sivasankaran, R. *et al.* PKC mediates inhibitory effects of myelin and chondroitin
1209 sulfate proteoglycans on axonal regeneration. *Nature neuroscience* **7**, 261-268,
1210 doi:10.1038/nn1193 (2004).

1211 116 Koprivica, V. *et al.* EGFR Activation Mediates Inhibition of Axon Regeneration by
1212 Myelin and Chondroitin Sulfate Proteoglycans. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **310**, 106-
1213 110, doi:10.1126/science.1115462 (2005).

1214 117 Dill, J., Wang, H., Zhou, F. & Li, S. Inactivation of glycogen synthase kinase 3
1215 promotes axonal growth and recovery in the CNS. *The Journal of neuroscience : the*
1216 *official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **28**, 8914-8928,
1217 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1178-08.2008 (2008).

1218 118 Tan, C. L. *et al.* Integrin activation promotes axon growth on inhibitory chondroitin
1219 sulfate proteoglycans by enhancing integrin signaling. *The Journal of neuroscience :*
1220 *the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **31**, 6289-6295,
1221 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.0008-11.2011 (2011).

1222 119 De Winter, F. *et al.* Injury-induced class 3 semaphorin expression in the rat spinal
1223 cord. *Exp Neurol* **175**, 61-75, doi:10.1006/exnr.2002.7884 (2002).

1224 120 Bradbury, E. J., Burnside, E. R., in *AOSpine Masters Series AOSpine Master Series* (ed
1225 Luiz Roberto Vialle) (Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 2017).

1226 121 Franze, K., Janmey, P. A. & Guck, J. Mechanics in neuronal development and repair.
1227 *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* **15**, 227-251, doi:10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071811-150045
1228 (2013).

1229 122 Lo, C. M., Wang, H. B., Dembo, M. & Wang, Y. L. Cell movement is guided by the
1230 rigidity of the substrate. *Biophysical journal* **79**, 144-152, doi:10.1016/s0006-
1231 3495(00)76279-5 (2000).

1232 123 Koser, D. E. *et al.* Mechanosensing is critical for axon growth in the developing brain.
1233 *Nature neuroscience* **19**, 1592-1598, doi:10.1038/nn.4394 (2016).

1234 124 Moshayedi, P. *et al.* Mechanosensitivity of astrocytes on optimized polyacrylamide
1235 gels analyzed by quantitative morphometry. *Journal of physics. Condensed matter :*
1236 *an Institute of Physics journal* **22**, 194114, doi:10.1088/0953-8984/22/19/194114
1237 (2010).

1238 125 Moeendarbary, E. *et al.* The soft mechanical signature of glial scars in the central
1239 nervous system. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14787, doi:10.1038/ncomms14787 (2017).

1240 126 Grant, C. A., Twigg, P. C. & Tobin, D. J. Static and dynamic nanomechanical properties
1241 of human skin tissue using atomic force microscopy: effect of scarring in the upper
1242 dermis. *Acta biomaterialia* **8**, 4123-4129, doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2012.06.042 (2012).

1243 127 Swift, J. *et al.* Nuclear lamin-A scales with tissue stiffness and enhances matrix-
1244 directed differentiation. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **341**, 1240104,
1245 doi:10.1126/science.1240104 (2013).

1246 128 Weickenmeier, J. *et al.* Brain stiffness increases with myelin content. *Acta*
1247 *biomaterialia* **42**, 265-272, doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2016.07.040 (2016).

1248 129 Zhou, T. *et al.* Microvascular endothelial cells engulf myelin debris and promote
1249 macrophage recruitment and fibrosis after neural injury. *Nature neuroscience* **22**,
1250 421-435, doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0324-9 (2019).

1251 130 Liddelow, S. A. & Barres, B. A. Regeneration: Not everything is scary about a glial
1252 scar. *Nature* **532**, 182-183, doi:10.1038/nature17318 (2016).

1253 131 Silver, J. The glial scar is more than just astrocytes. *Exp Neurol*,
1254 doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2016.06.018 (2016).

1255 132 Lukovic, D. *et al.* Concise review: reactive astrocytes and stem cells in spinal cord
1256 injury: good guys or bad guys? *Stem cells (Dayton, Ohio)* **33**, 1036-1041,
1257 doi:10.1002/stem.1959 (2015).

1258 133 Reier, P. J., Stensaas, L. J. & Guth, L. in *Spinal Cord Reconstruction* (eds C.C. Kao, R.P.
1259 Bunge, & P.J. Reier) 163-195 (Raven Press, 1983).

1260 134 Davies, S. J. *et al.* Regeneration of adult axons in white matter tracts of the central
1261 nervous system. *Nature* **390**, 680-683, doi:10.1038/37776 (1997).

1262 135 Sandvig, A., Berry, M., Barrett, L. B., Butt, A. & Logan, A. Myelin-, reactive glia-, and
1263 scar-derived CNS axon growth inhibitors: expression, receptor signaling, and
1264 correlation with axon regeneration. *Glia* **46**, 225-251, doi:10.1002/glia.10315 (2004).

1265 136 Das, G. D. in *Neural Transplantation and Regeneration* (ed Gopal D. Das, Wallace,
1266 Robert. B) (Springer Verlag, 1986).

1267 137 Mathewson, A. J. & Berry, M. Observations on the astrocyte response to a cerebral
1268 stab wound in adult rats. *Brain Res* **327**, 61-69 (1985).

1269 138 Abnet, K., Fawcett, J. W. & Dunnett, S. B. Interactions between meningeal cells and
1270 astrocytes in vivo and in vitro. *Brain research. Developmental brain research* **59**, 187-
1271 196 (1991).

1272 139 Pekny, M. *et al.* Abnormal reaction to central nervous system injury in mice lacking
1273 glial fibrillary acidic protein and vimentin. *The Journal of cell biology* **145**, 503-514
1274 (1999).

1275 140 Bush, T. G. *et al.* Leukocyte Infiltration, Neuronal Degeneration, and Neurite
1276 Outgrowth after Ablation of Scar-Forming, Reactive Astrocytes in Adult Transgenic
1277 Mice. *Neuron* **23**, 297-308 (1999).

1278 141 Faulkner, J. R. *et al.* Reactive astrocytes protect tissue and preserve function after
1279 spinal cord injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for*
1280 *Neuroscience* **24**, 2143-2155, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3547-03.2004 (2004).

1281 142 Tran, A. P., Warren, P. M. & Silver, J. The Biology of Regeneration Failure and Success
1282 After Spinal Cord Injury. *Physiological reviews* **98**, 881-917,
1283 doi:10.1152/physrev.00017.2017 (2018).

1284 143 Rolls, A., Shechter, R. & Schwartz, M. The bright side of the glial scar in CNS repair.
1285 *Nature reviews. Neuroscience* **10**, 235-241, doi:10.1038/nrn2591 (2009).

1286 144 Karimi-Abdolrezaee, S. & Billakanti, R. Reactive astrogliosis after spinal cord injury-
1287 beneficial and detrimental effects. *Mol Neurobiol* **46**, 251-264, doi:10.1007/s12035-
1288 012-8287-4 (2012).

1289 145 Li, X. *et al.* Comparison of subacute and chronic scar tissues after complete spinal
1290 cord transection. *Exp Neurol* **306**, 132-137, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2018.05.008
1291 (2018).

1292 146 White, R. E. & Jakeman, L. B. Don't fence me in: harnessing the beneficial roles of
1293 astrocytes for spinal cord repair. *Restorative neurology and neuroscience* **26**, 197-
1294 214 (2008).

1295 147 Smith, J. S. *et al.* Role of Early Surgical Decompression of the Intradural Space After
1296 Cervical Spinal Cord Injury in an Animal Model. *The Journal of Bone and Joint*
1297 *Surgery. American volume.* **92**, 1206-1214, doi:10.2106/jbjs.i.00740 (2010).

1298 148 Phang, I. *et al.* Expansion duroplasty improves intraspinal pressure, spinal cord
1299 perfusion pressure, and vascular pressure reactivity index in patients with traumatic
1300 spinal cord injury: injured spinal cord pressure evaluation study. *Journal of*
1301 *neurotrauma* **32**, 865-874, doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3668 (2015).

1302 149 Ruschel, J. *et al.* Axonal regeneration. Systemic administration of epothilone B
1303 promotes axon regeneration after spinal cord injury. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **348**,
1304 347-352, doi:10.1126/science.aaa2958 (2015).

1305 150 Forli, S. Epothilones: From discovery to clinical trials. *Current topics in medicinal*
1306 *chemistry* **14**, 2312-2321 (2014).

1307 151 Klapka, N. *et al.* Suppression of fibrous scarring in spinal cord injury of rat promotes
1308 long-distance regeneration of corticospinal tract axons, rescue of primary
1309 motoneurons in somatosensory cortex and significant functional recovery. *European*
1310 *Journal of Neuroscience* **22**, 3047-3058, doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04495.x
1311 (2005).

1312 152 Brazda, N. & Muller, H. W. Pharmacological modification of the extracellular matrix
1313 to promote regeneration of the injured brain and spinal cord. *Progress in brain*
1314 *research* **175**, 269-281, doi:10.1016/s0079-6123(09)17518-0 (2009).

1315 153 Vogelaar, C. F. *et al.* Pharmacological Suppression of CNS Scarring by Deferoxamine
1316 Reduces Lesion Volume and Increases Regeneration in an In Vitro Model for
1317 Astroglial-Fibrotic Scarring and in Rat Spinal Cord Injury In Vivo. *PLoS One* **10**,
1318 e0134371, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134371 (2015).

1319 154 Gilad, G. M. & Gilad, V. H. β -Aminopropionitrile treatment can accelerate recovery of
1320 mice after spinal cord injury. *European Journal of Pharmacology* **430**, 69-72,
1321 doi:10.1016/S0014-2999(01)01354-1 (2001).

1322 155 Esmaili, M., Berry, M., Logan, A. & Ahmed, Z. Decorin treatment of spinal cord
1323 injury. *Neural Regeneration Research* **9**, 1653-1656, doi:10.4103/1673-5374.141797
1324 (2014).

1325 156 Occleston, N. L. *et al.* Discovery and development of avotermin (recombinant human
1326 transforming growth factor beta 3): a new class of prophylactic therapeutic for the
1327 improvement of scarring. *Wound repair and regeneration : official publication of the*
1328 *Wound Healing Society [and] the European Tissue Repair Society* **19 Suppl 1**, s38-48,
1329 doi:10.1111/j.1524-475X.2011.00711.x (2011).

1330 157 Silvestri, L., Baker, J. R., Roden, L. & Stroud, R. M. The C1q inhibitor in serum is a
1331 chondroitin 4-sulfate proteoglycan. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **256**, 7383-
1332 7387 (1981).

1333 158 Grimpe, B. & Silver, J. A novel DNA enzyme reduces glycosaminoglycan chains in the
1334 glial scar and allows microtransplanted dorsal root ganglia axons to regenerate
1335 beyond lesions in the spinal cord. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of*
1336 *the Society for Neuroscience* **24**, 1393-1397, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.4986-03.2004
1337 (2004).

1338 159 McKillop, W. M., Dragan, M., Schedl, A. & Brown, A. Conditional Sox9 ablation
1339 reduces chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan levels and improves motor function
1340 following spinal cord injury. *Glia* **61**, 164-177, doi:10.1002/glia.22424 (2013).

1341 160 Takeuchi, K. *et al.* Chondroitin sulphate N-acetylgalactosaminyl-transferase-1 inhibits
1342 recovery from neural injury. *Nat Commun* **4**, doi:10.1038/ncomms3740 (2013).

1343 161 Garcia-Alias, G., Barkhuysen, S., Buckle, M. & Fawcett, J. W. Chondroitinase ABC
1344 treatment opens a window of opportunity for task-specific rehabilitation. *Nature*
1345 *neuroscience* **12**, 1145-1151, doi:10.1038/nn.2377 (2009).

1346 162 Alilain, W. J., Horn, K. P., Hu, H., Dick, T. E. & Silver, J. Functional regeneration of
1347 respiratory pathways after spinal cord injury. *Nature* **475**, 196-200,
1348 doi:10.1038/nature10199 (2011).

1349 163 Cafferty, W. B., Yang, S. H., Duffy, P. J., Li, S. & Strittmatter, S. M. Functional axonal
1350 regeneration through astrocytic scar genetically modified to digest chondroitin
1351 sulfate proteoglycans. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society*
1352 *for Neuroscience* **27**, 2176-2185, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.5176-06.2007 (2007).

1353 164 Bowes, C., Massey, J. M., Burish, M., Cerkevich, C. M. & Kaas, J. H. Chondroitinase
1354 ABC promotes selective reactivation of somatosensory cortex in squirrel monkeys
1355 after a cervical dorsal column lesion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
1356 *Sciences* **109**, 2595-2600, doi:10.1073/pnas.1121604109 (2012).

1357 165 Bradbury, E. J. & Carter, L. M. Manipulating the glial scar: chondroitinase ABC as a
1358 therapy for spinal cord injury. *Brain research bulletin* **84**, 306-316,
1359 doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2010.06.015 (2011).

1360 166 Rosenzweig, E. S. *et al.* Chondroitinase improves anatomical and functional
1361 outcomes after primate spinal cord injury. *Nature neuroscience*,
1362 doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0424-1 (2019).

1363 167 Hu, H. Z., Granger, N., Pai, S. B., Bellamkonda, R. V. & Jeffery, N. D. Therapeutic
1364 efficacy of microtube-embedded chondroitinase ABC in a canine clinical model of
1365 spinal cord injury. *Brain* **141**, 1017-1027, doi:10.1093/brain/awy007 (2018).

1366 168 Wu, D. *et al.* Expressing Constitutively Active Rheb in Adult Neurons after a Complete
1367 Spinal Cord Injury Enhances Axonal Regeneration beyond a Chondroitinase-Treated
1368 Glial Scar. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for*
1369 *Neuroscience* **35**, 11068-11080, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.0719-15.2015 (2015).

1370 169 Tom, V. J. *et al.* Combining peripheral nerve grafts and chondroitinase promotes
1371 functional axonal regeneration in the chronically injured spinal cord. *The Journal of*
1372 *neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **29**, 14881-14890,
1373 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3641-09.2009 (2009).

1374 170 Alluin, O. *et al.* Examination of the combined effects of chondroitinase ABC, growth
1375 factors and locomotor training following compressive spinal cord injury on

1376 neuroanatomical plasticity and kinematics. *PLoS One* **9**, e111072,
1377 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111072 (2014).

1378 171 Bartus, K. *et al.* Large-scale chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan digestion with
1379 chondroitinase gene therapy leads to reduced pathology and modulates
1380 macrophage phenotype following spinal cord contusion injury. *The Journal of*
1381 *neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **34**, 4822-4836,
1382 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.4369-13.2014 (2014).

1383 172 James, N. D. *et al.* Chondroitinase gene therapy improves upper limb function
1384 following cervical contusion injury. *Experimental Neurology* **271**, 131-135,
1385 doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.05.022 (2015).

1386 173 Burnside, E. R. *et al.* Immune-evasive gene switch enables regulated delivery of
1387 chondroitinase after spinal cord injury. *Brain*, doi:10.1093/brain/awy158 (2018).

1388 174 Didangelos, A., Iberl, M., Vinsland, E., Bartus, K. & Bradbury, E. J. Regulation of IL-10
1389 by chondroitinase ABC promotes a distinct immune response following spinal cord
1390 injury. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for*
1391 *Neuroscience* **34**, 16424-16432, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2927-14.2014 (2014).

1392 175 Warren, P. M. *et al.* Rapid and robust restoration of breathing long after spinal cord
1393 injury. *Nat Commun* **9**, 4843, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06937-0 (2018).

1394 176 Suzuki, H. *et al.* Neural stem cell mediated recovery is enhanced by Chondroitinase
1395 ABC pretreatment in chronic cervical spinal cord injury. *PLoS One* **12**, e0182339,
1396 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0182339 (2017).

1397 177 Yoo, M. *et al.* Arylsulfatase B Improves Locomotor Function after Mouse Spinal Cord
1398 Injury. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e57415, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057415 (2013).

1399 178 Pearson, C. S., Mencio, C. P., Barber, A. C., Martin, K. R. & Geller, H. M. Identification
1400 of a critical sulfation in chondroitin that inhibits axonal regeneration. *eLife* **7**,
1401 doi:10.7554/eLife.37139 (2018).

1402 179 Hryciw, T., Geremia, N. M., Walker, M. A., Xu, X. & Brown, A. Anti-Chondroitin
1403 Sulfate Proteoglycan Strategies in Spinal Cord Injury: Temporal and Spatial
1404 Considerations Explain the Balance between Neuroplasticity and Neuroprotection.
1405 *Journal of neurotrauma* **35**, 1958-1969, doi:10.1089/neu.2018.5928 (2018).

1406 180 Crespo, D., Asher, R. A., Lin, R., Rhodes, K. E. & Fawcett, J. W. How does
1407 chondroitinase promote functional recovery in the damaged CNS? *Exp Neurol* **206**,
1408 159-171, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.05.001 (2007).

1409 181 Lang, B. T. *et al.* Modulation of the proteoglycan receptor PTPsigma promotes
1410 recovery after spinal cord injury. *Nature* **518**, 404-408, doi:10.1038/nature13974
1411 (2015).

1412 182 Liddelow, S. A. & Barres, B. A. Reactive Astrocytes: Production, Function, and
1413 Therapeutic Potential. *Immunity* **46**, 957-967, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2017.06.006
1414 (2017).

1415 183 Wang, X. *et al.* Three-dimensional intact-tissue sequencing of single-cell
1416 transcriptional states. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, doi:10.1126/science.aat5691 (2018).

1417 184 Thompson, J. W., Sorum, A. W. & Hsieh-Wilson, L. C. Deciphering the functions of O-
1418 GlcNAc glycosylation in the brain: The role of site-specific quantitative O-
1419 GlcNAcomics. *Biochemistry*, doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00516 (2018).

1420 185 Tsarouchas, T. M. *et al.* Dynamic control of proinflammatory cytokines Il-1beta and
1421 Tnf-alpha by macrophages in zebrafish spinal cord regeneration. *Nat Commun* **9**,
1422 4670, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07036-w (2018).

1423 186 Bohlen, C. J. *et al.* Diverse Requirements for Microglial Survival, Specification, and
1424 Function Revealed by Defined-Medium Cultures. *Neuron* **94**, 759-773.e758,
1425 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.04.043 (2017).
1426 187 Mikami, T. & Kitagawa, H. Biosynthesis and function of chondroitin sulfate.
1427 *Biochimica et biophysica acta* **1830**, 4719-4733, doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.06.006
1428 (2013).
1429