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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate enzymatic total serum bile acid quantification as a monitoring strategy for women with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) treated with ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA).

Design: Cohort

Setting: One UK university hospital

Population: 29 ICP cases treated with UDCA

Methods: Serial samples were collected prospectively throughout gestation. Total serum bile acids were measured enzymatically and individual bile acids by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Data were log-transformed and analysed with random effects generalised least square regression.

Main outcome measures: The relationship between enzymatic total bile acid measurements and individual bile acid concentrations after UDCA treatment.

Results: In untreated women cholic acid was the principal bile acid (51%) and UDCA concentrations were <0.5%, whereas UDCA comprised 60% (IQR 43-69) of serum bile acids following treatment and cholic acid fell to <20%. Changes in the total bile acid measurement reflected similar alterations in the concentrations of the pathologically elevated bile acids, e.g. a two-fold increase in enzymatic total bile acids is accompanied by approximately a two-fold increase in cholic acid and chenodeoxycholic acid at most UDCA doses (p<0.001). Most of the effects of UDCA on cholic acid occur in the first week of treatment (60% relative reduction, p=0.025, 95% CI 0.2-0.9, from 10µmol/L (4.7-17.6) to 3.5µmol/L (1.4-7.5).

Conclusion: UDCA becomes the main component of the bile acid measurement after treatment. Enzymatic total bile acid assays are good predictors of both cholic acid and chenodeoxycholic acid, the primary bile acids that are raised prior to treatment.

Funding: Supported by the Wellcome Trust (grant P30874); Tommy’s Charity, ICP Support, the National Institute of Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Guy’s and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust and King’s College London.
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Tweetable Abstract: UDCA comprises approximately 60% of the bile acid measurement and reduces pathological cholic acid in treated women.

INTRODUCTION

Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) is a liver disease characterised by pruritus and abnormal liver function\(^1,2\) that affects approximately 0.7% of pregnancies. Increased serum bile acid (BA) concentrations are diagnostic\(^3\), with cholic acid (CA) and chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) being the main BA species to rise in maternal serum. Liver enzymes are also often elevated\(^1,2\).

ICP is a relatively benign condition for the mother, as it typically resolves rapidly after delivery\(^1\). However, ICP is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes including spontaneous preterm birth, meconium-stained amniotic fluid, neonatal unit admission and stillbirth\(^4,5\).

The aetiology of ICP is complex, with genetic, environmental and hormonal factors\(^6\). Poor fetal outcomes are thought to occur due to the accumulation of maternal BA in the fetal compartment\(^4,7\). A large, prospective cohort study showed that fetal complications occurred when maternal serum BA levels (measured enzymatically) were ≥40µmol/L, increasing by 1-2% for each additional µmol/L elevation\(^5\). A recent meta-analysis confirmed that the risk of spontaneous preterm birth increases when maternal serum BA concentrations are ≥40µmol/L, and the risk of stillbirth rises with concentrations ≥100µmol/L\(^9\). With the prognostic importance of serum BA concentrations becoming established, UK guidelines recommend that they should be monitored weekly after ICP is diagnosed\(^10\).
Several techniques exist for serum BA quantification\textsuperscript{11}. Commercially available enzymatic assays based on 3-α-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase are commonly used due to their convenience\textsuperscript{11,12}. However, there is debate about the utility of enzymatic assays as they also measure UDCA in women receiving treatment, possibly due to \textit{in vivo} conversion to iso-UDCA. UDCA is recommended as the first-line treatment for ICP by European guidelines\textsuperscript{10,13}, and is commonly used by UK obstetricians\textsuperscript{14}. Its use is associated with improvement of maternal symptoms\textsuperscript{15–18}, as well as reduction of BA, transaminase\textsuperscript{5,16,17} and CA concentrations\textsuperscript{16}.

This study aimed to assess whether enzymatic assays for total BA quantification can be used for ICP monitoring during UDCA treatment. Using serial samples from opportunistically recruited women at a UK university hospital, we first analysed how serum BAs respond to UDCA treatment by investigating the proportion of individual BA in the total BA measurement before and after UDCA administration. We then assessed whether total BA concentrations measured by an enzymatic method correlate with changes in CA and CDCA concentrations, the principal BAs to rise in ICP. Finally, we investigated whether any adjustments to the total BA measurements could be applied to account for UDCA enrichment and accurately reflect changes in CA and CDCA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Serial blood samples were prospectively collected at timed intervals throughout pregnancy from 51 women diagnosed with ICP, and opportunistically recruited from a UK hospital (Queen Charlotte’s and Chelsea Hospital). Only women who were recruited prior to commencing UDCA treatment, and therefore provided serum samples before and after UDCA treatment were included in the study (n=29). ICP was diagnosed in women with pruritus with no other identifiable cause and serum BA of 14\textmu mol/L or greater. The majority of women also had elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT), but this was not required for
UDCA treatment was commenced after diagnosis according to the preference of the woman and her practitioner. Between two and eight samples were taken from each woman at varied intervals. A summary of the study design can be found in Figure S1. Women were excluded from the study if they had other causes of hepatic dysfunction such as haemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low platelets (HELLP) syndrome; preeclampsia; acute fatty liver of pregnancy; acute viral hepatitis; primary biliary cirrhosis; multiple pregnancy or any cause of biliary obstruction on ultrasound. Patients were not directly involved in the development of the study, but the patient charity (ICP Support) is supportive of the work and the Chief Executive Officer of the charity is a co-author of the study and helped with patient recruitment and acquisition of data. Pregnancy and fetal outcomes were not investigated in this study, therefore no core outcome sets were used.

Biochemical analysis

All total serum BA were measured using a commercially available enzymatic assay at Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust (Total Bile Acids Assay Kit, Diazyme, Diazyme Laboratories, USA). Concentrations of individual BA species were measured by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) as previously described(19). The proportions of CA, chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA), deoxycholic acid (DCA), lithocholic acid (LCA) and UDCA were calculated with reference to the sum of all individual BA concentrations.

Statistics

Log transformations were used in all data sets due to non-normally distributed data and results are presented as ratios of the geometric mean values. Log base 2 was used for total bile acids. Results were adjusted for repeated measures (clustering by patient) by using Random-Effects Generalised Least Squares (RE-GLS) regression. Trend tests were performed with RE-GLS with all standard errors adjusted for clustering by patient (repeated measures). Statistical significance was taken at \( p \leq 0.05 \).
RESULTS

Proportion of main bile acid species in treated and untreated women with ICP

To establish the contribution of UDCA to total BA concentrations in maternal serum after UDCA treatment, the proportion of each individual BA was compared in serum samples collected before and after UDCA commencement. The sums of conjugated and unconjugated forms of each BA species were used (Table 1).

The proportion of CA in serum decreased to 42% of the pre-treatment value (95% CI 34% to 52%, P<0.001) following UDCA treatment; with the median falling from 51% to 19%. CDCA similarly decreased by 55% (P<0.001, 95% CI 46% to 65%); with the median falling from 25% to 13%. The proportion of DCA also decreased by 45% with UDCA treatment (p<0.001, 95%CI 34% to 60%); with the median reducing from 18% to 5%. In contrast, the proportion of UDCA increased by 97% (p<0.001, 95%CI 64% to 146%); with the median increasing from 0.3% to 60%. The proportion of LCA was not significantly changed and remained at very low levels.

Relationship between enzymatic total bile acids, CA and CDCA during UDCA treatment

We investigated whether enzymatic total BA measurements in UDCA-treated women can reflect changes in CA and CDCA, the principal BAs to rise in ICP. A two-fold increase in total BAs is associated with 2.3 times (p<0.001, 95% CI 2.0 – 2.6) increase in CA and 1.8 times (p<0.001, 95% CI 1.7 to 2.0) increase in CDCA. This change is consistent across most UDCA doses, except in the range between 1.25 and 1.5g of UDCA, when this relationship is non-significant for both CA (change in CA of 1.2, p=0.528, 95% CI 0.6 to 2.8) and CDCA (change in CDCA of 1.2, p=0.604, 95% CI 0.6 to 2.6) (Table S1).
Enzymatic total BA measurements can be adjusted during UDCA treatment to reflect changes in CA and CDCA.

We investigated whether any adjustments could be applied to enzymatic total BA measurements during UDCA treatment, so that values could reflect CA and CDCA concentrations in serum despite UDCA enrichment. We first applied random-effects generalised least squares regression to determine the relationship between CA and log values of total BA. This was followed by a calculation to predict how much this estimate should be adjusted in samples treated with UDCA. The same model was applied to CDCA.

We found that 0.805 should be subtracted from the log of total BA when women are receiving UDCA (Table 2) in order to more accurately reflect CA concentrations. Arithmetic calculations showed that this would be the equivalent to multiplying total BA results by 0.45 \((p<0.001, \text{ 95\% CI 0.3 to 0.6})\). For CDCA, a subtraction of 0.6 from log of TBA should be applied, which is equivalent to multiplying results by 0.57 \((p<0.001, \text{ 95\% CI 0.5 to 0.7})\).

Temporal analysis of total and individual bile acids during UDCA treatment

A temporal analysis of BA concentrations was performed in 23 women who had data relating to when UDCA was commenced. Figure 1 shows the concentrations of total BA and individual BA for each week after commencement of treatment. No evidence of change over time could be established for total BA (change 1.0, \(p=0.895, \text{ 95\% CI 0.6 to 1.6}\)), CDCA (change 0.6, \(p=0.079, \text{ 95\% CI 0.4 to 1.0}\)) and DCA (change 0.6, \(p=0.183, \text{ 95\% CI 0.3 to 1.2}\)). CA reduced by 65\% in the first week of treatment \((p=0.025, \text{ 95\% CI 0.2 to 0.9})\), whereas UDCA increased by 144 times \((p<0.001, \text{ 95\% CI 64.4 to 324.9})\). LCA concentrations also increased (change 2.3, \(p<0.001, \text{ 95\% CI 1.5 to 3.7}\)) but remained at very low levels (Table 3).
DISCUSSION

MAIN FINDINGS

We have demonstrated that UDCA is the predominant BA in the serum of women with ICP receiving UDCA treatment, representing approximately 60% of the total BA measurement. UDCA enrichment is accompanied by a significant decrease in CA and CDCA proportions. We also demonstrate that enzymatic quantification of total BAs is a good predictor of both CA and CDCA concentrations, as a two-fold increase in total BAs corresponds to approximately a two-fold increase in both species. Therefore, if a clinician would like to estimate combined CA and CDCA concentrations in a total bile acid measurement while accounting for UDCA enrichment, it is reasonable to reduce the total BA concentrations by 50-60%. A temporal analysis showed that although no predictions can be made for total BA concentrations during UDCA treatment, CA is expected to significantly decrease by approximately 65% in the first week of treatment.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

This study will be of value to clinicians managing women with ICP as it provides data that allow a better interpretation of enzymatic total BAs during UDCA treatment, which is currently the method most widely used in clinical practice.

A limitation in our study is the lack of data on whether samples were obtained in the fasting or post-prandial state. Total BA measured by enzymatic assay can rise 2-5-fold, peaking around 90 minutes after a meal. However, given that maternal serum BA measurements are often performed using random samples in antenatal clinics, our results offer a realistic representation of most clinical settings. Another limitation is the lack of a replication resource. It will be important for the data to be repeated in another cohort to confirm the
results, in particular to refine the finding that the total BA assay can be reduced by 50-60% to estimate the impact of UDCA treatment on the pathological bile acids, CA and CDCA.

INTERPRETATION
The establishment of an optimal surveillance strategy for ICP, with the aim of predicting and preventing poor fetal outcomes is an ongoing challenge. The active management of ICP, characterised by increased surveillance towards the end of pregnancy and induction of labour at 37 weeks has become common practice, particularly for women with severe disease, although the merits of this approach have been debated. The RCOG Green Top Guideline suggests that elective delivery should be discussed with women affected by ICP, but does not define this as a management strategy. Nevertheless, a UK survey has shown that 88% of obstetricians induce labour at 37 weeks or earlier, despite the lack of substantial evidence supporting this practice. Some authors propose even earlier delivery at 36 weeks’ gestation.

BA, in particular CA (the principal BA to rise in ICP), have been repeatedly implicated in the pathogenesis of fetal complications. CA has been shown to stimulate myometrial oxytocin receptor expression and to induce preterm labour when infused into sheep. Furthermore, addition of CA to the culture medium of rodent and human in vitro models of the fetal heart resulted in arrhythmia, suggesting that this BA causes potentially fatal fetal arrhythmia. Therefore, the finding of an association between severe ICP (with maternal serum BA ≥40µmol/L) and fetal complications has influenced clinical practice and increased the focus on monitoring of BA concentrations. If maternal serum BA concentrations are to become established as a decision tool for obstetric interventions, reliable and practical measurement techniques must be used.
Uncertainty lies as to whether enzymatic methods of BA measurement can be used in women receiving UDCA treatment. Manufacturers of commercial kits advise against this practice, as this technique quantifies not only endogenous BA, but also the ingested UDCA. This leads to the clinical dilemma of whether rises in total BA concentrations after UDCA commencement should be interpreted as a consequence of the drug, or due to a true worsening of ICP.

This study has provided data that will assist interpretation of enzymatic total BA assays in UDCA-treated women. First, we show that UDCA comprises approximately 60% of the total BA measurement. Moreover, we show that changes in enzymatic total BA measurements have approximately a 1:1 relationship with changes in CA and CDCA, which indicates that this technique is a good predictor of both BAs. Further calculations show that in order to use enzymatic total BAs as a predictor of CA and CDCA concentrations in serum following commencement of UDCA treatment, total BA concentrations can be reduced by 50-60%.

Furthermore, our temporal analysis provides data on when UDCA effects should be expected. Although no predictions can be made regarding total BAs during treatment, reinforcing the heterogeneous nature of ICP, CA typically reduces by 65% in the first week after starting UDCA treatment. Therefore, even during the initial period of UDCA enrichment, a sharp or persistent increase in total BAs most likely indicates deteriorating disease.

CONCLUSION

UDCA comprises the majority of the BA measurement of women with ICP on UDCA treatment. Total BAs measured enzymatically are good predictors of underlying changes in CA and CDCA. An adjustment of 50-60% can be applied to total BA concentrations in order to reflect both of these BA species.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BA (%)</th>
<th>Not on UDCA</th>
<th>On UDCA</th>
<th>Fold change in proportion</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>51.4 (36.1 - 63.0)</td>
<td>18.7 (12.6 - 26.2)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.34 - 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCA</td>
<td>24.9 (20.2 - 34.7)</td>
<td>13.2 (9.8 - 19.1)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.46 - 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA</td>
<td>17.5 (4.0 - 26.4)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.9 - 10.1)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.34 - 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2 - 1.6)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.4 - 1.6)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.99 - 1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDCA</td>
<td>0.3 (0.0 - 0.9)</td>
<td>60.0 (42.8 - 69.0)</td>
<td>96.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>64.18 - 145.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The proportion of individual bile acids measured by HPLC-MS/MS in women with ICP. Results shown as median (IQR). Values represent percentages of the total bile acid pool. Concentrations of individual BA species were measured by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) and proportions calculated with reference to the sum of all individual BA concentrations. Both conjugated and unconjugated bile acid species were used for calculations. BA: bile acid; CA: cholic acid; CDCA: chenodeoxycholic acid; DCA: deoxycholic acid; LCA: lithocholic acid; UDCA: ursodeoxycholic acid; CI: confidence interval.
Table 2: Adjustment to total bile acid concentrations to reflect CA and CDCA concentrations in maternal serum in UDCA-treated women with ICP. Adjustments to log of total bile acids and their corresponding adjustments to total bile acid results are shown. CA: cholic acid; CDCA: chenodeoxycholic acid; BA bile acids; CI: confidence interval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtraction</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCA</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Concentrations of individual bile acids in maternal serum before UDCA treatment and each week after commencing treatment. Week zero corresponds to the last sample taken before UDCA was commenced. Results shown as median (IQR) and concentrations in µmol/L. TBA: total bile acids; CA: cholic acid; CDCA: chenodeoxycholic acid; DCA: deoxycholic acid; LCA: lithocholic acid; UDCA: ursodeoxycholic acid.
Figure 1: Temporal analysis of total and individual bile acids per week of UDCA treatment. All data were log-transformed. Week zero of treatment corresponds to the last sample before treatment was commenced. Tables show predicted changes in concentrations, corresponding p values and 95% confidence interval (CI). TBA: total bile acids; CA: cholic acid; CDCA: chenodeoxycholic acid; DCA: deoxycholic acid; LCA: lithocholic acid; UDCA: ursodeoxycholic acid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total BA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.2 – 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.4 – 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.3 – 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.5 – 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDCA</td>
<td>144.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>64.4 – 324.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>