King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.sbi.2020.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2020.01.002)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Berta, D., Buigues, P. J., Badaoui, M., & Rosta, E. (2020). Cations in motion: QM/MM studies of the dynamic and electrostatic roles of H⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions in enzyme reactions. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 61, 198-206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2020.01.002>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Cations in Motion: QM/MM studies of the dynamic and electrostatic roles of H⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions in enzyme reactions

Dénes Berta^a, Pedro J. Buigues^a, Magd Badaoui^a, Edina Rosta^{a*}

^a *Department of Chemistry, King's College London, London, SE1 1DB*

* *E-mail: edina.rosta@kcl.ac.uk*

Abstract

Here we discuss current trends in the simulations of enzymatic reactions focusing on phosphate catalysis. The mechanistic details of the proton transfers coupled to the phosphate cleavage is one of the key challenges in QM/MM calculations of these and other enzyme catalysed reactions. The lack of experimental information offers both an opportunity for computations as well as often unresolved controversies. We discuss the example of small GTPases including the important human Ras protein. The high dimensionality and chemical complexity of these reactions demand carefully chosen computational techniques both in terms of the underlying quantum chemical theory and the sampling of the conformational ensemble. We also point out the important role of Mg²⁺ ions, and recent advances in their transient involvement in the catalytic mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Computational techniques enable us to investigate systems of chemical and biological interest to a level of detail unavailable for most experimental methods. Biomolecular complexes, including enzymes, are one of the most challenging subjects due to their complexity in structure and function. Describing both the quantum chemistry required for accurate description of reactions as well as the complex structural/dynamical aspects of the protein/nucleic acid environment, hybrid quantum/classical QM/MM methods have a unique, unprecedented capability to examine reaction mechanisms at sub-atomic details. An extensive amount of work has been done toward understanding various enzymatic systems such as lipoxygenases [1,2], cofactor-free oxidases [3,4], Kemp eliminase [5,6], serine hydroxymethyltransferase [7] and many others [8–13]. Here we focus on phosphate catalytic reactions, which contribute probably the most important catalytic reactions in living organisms [14–19].

One of the key questions concerns the position of protons during reactions. In many organic reactions proton transfer (PT) is naturally part of the mechanism. Enzymes have evolved efficient catalytic mechanisms not to eliminate such PT events, but instead to include them, often in a concerted fashion. The positions of the protons, however, are usually not observed experimentally even in local reactant or product minima, not to mention transition states (TSs) or intermediates. Using QM/MM methods, we can study PT events together with the electron density changes leading to bond cleavage and formation at not only the local minima, but along the reaction path, at the rate limiting TS structures.

2. Phosphate catalytic reaction mechanisms

Phosphate cleavage and transfer is often coupled to PT as well (Figure 1): the attacking nucleophile has to be deprotonated, whereas the leaving group needs protonation to complete the reaction. Enzymes evolved not to deprotonate the incoming nucleophile *a priori*, (e.g. the lytic water is bound

in its neutral form instead of a hydroxide anion in the reactant complexes), but the deprotonation takes place together with the nucleophilic substitution [20,21]. To facilitate such cooperativity, the pK_a of the participating groups at the enzyme active sites is tuned in an optimal way using charged residues and metal ions, particularly Mg^{2+} . This cooperativity therefore is sensitive to mutations resulting in diminishing activity [21]. These details of the mechanism can be extended to most enzymes and experimental evidence in numerous examples shows that proton transfer is part of the rate limiting step [22–25].

Figure 1. Illustration of coupled proton transfer events in phosphate hydrolysis. *Left*: dots and line represent a typical concerted path for (wild type) enzymes (example from ref [20]); dashed lines depict sub-optimal pathways: ‘PT first’ requires strongly basic conditions, while ‘cleavage first’ entail a more polarized phosphate. *Right*: Natural Bonding Orbitals (NBOs) before (A) and after (B) the coupled phosphate hydrolysis transition states in wild type dUTPase [21]. Once the new P-O bond is formed, the proton transfer to the carboxylate also took place.

3. Methodological Challenges

Describing the electronic structures of phosphate species requires accurate quantum chemical treatment. Most simple semiempirical methods fail to obtain the correct molecular structures in the active site, especially considering Mg-coordination geometries for the ligating phosphate groups. Density Functional Theory (DFT) is the sweet spot in terms of accuracy *versus* cost and therefore a frequently used QM method in these calculations. Alternative, more affordable methods use bespoke semiempirical algorithms such as DFTB [26–28] where standard semiempirical methods fail. In an effort to optimize accuracy and costs, mixed QM algorithms can also be used such as ONIOM [7,18,29]. Cluster QM calculations offer another approach, simplifying the problem by eliminating the MM degrees of freedom [30].

Exhaustive sampling of all conformational states is unavailable in larger systems using QM/MM calculations, therefore posing a key problem for determining accurate free energies. Works relying on minimizations from selected structures limit the conformational sampling available from the enzyme residues nearby the active site and a harmonic approximation to the free energy. When experimental structural information is not fully available, or inactive structures are used as the starting point, the sampling is key to bring the system into the catalytically competent conformation. Many studies that

lack proper sampling have an incorrect/inactive structure as starting points, which may limit the conclusions reached [31,32]. This is particularly important in terms of the binding modes of metal ions.

Force field-based methods (*e.g.* EVB) are more cost-effective, enable longer simulation times, hence offer better sampling. However, they require parameterization for each possible intermediate, and can thus only probe specific pre-selected mechanisms, *e.g.*, novel PT events cannot take place automatically. Generally, there is no golden standard method for studying enzymatic mechanisms [33], as one needs to find a balance of effective sampling and computational cost/accuracy. Nevertheless, QM/MM is conceptually more appropriate to explore mechanistic possibilities, combined with an effective sampling algorithm, while other alternatives can still provide sufficient insight examining a selected mechanism.

Free energy calculations can help overcome conformational issues. Unbiased molecular dynamics (MD) simulations would require prohibitively long simulation times to escape from local minima, especially related to metal ion binding modes, large conformational changes and reaction barriers. Therefore, enhanced sampling simulations are required where bias is used along relevant collective variables. Umbrella sampling [34], metadynamics [35] and other adaptive biasing algorithms [36] are very efficient and often used successfully, particularly when a single reaction coordinate can be identified. However, in complex reaction mechanisms where several PT events need to be considered together with phosphate bond formation and breaking, these methods do not efficiently handle the high dimensional problem. Here, we and others developed string-type methods, which take the biasing problem to high dimensional space of collective variables in an efficient way [37–43]. Umbrella sampling type methods scale exponentially with the dimensionality of the collective variable, however, string methods define a one dimensional path that can be mapped onto high dimensional spaces efficiently. These paths are optimized iteratively, either using series of minimizations, which often have convergence problems, or, at finite temperatures, using molecular dynamics simulations in the string windows [38]. To further enhance sampling in orthogonal degrees of freedom, Hamiltonian replica exchange can be employed between the string images at virtually no added cost [40]. The obtained trajectories over all iterations can be unbiased using statistically optimal algorithms, such as the binless WHAM, to obtain reliable free energy landscapes [44–47].

4. Phosphate Hydrolysis

Despite the efforts to understand phosphate hydrolysis as an enzyme catalysed reaction, there are still several different reaction mechanisms promoted based on different computational methods/studies. Here we summarize potential mechanistic pathways for the gamma phosphate hydrolysis of nucleoside triphosphates as depicted in Figure 2. These differ in the key feature: how and when the PTs occur from the nucleophile water to the γ -phosphate with respect to the phosphate cleavage. Table 1 showcases that many studies obtain similar barriers to ones derived from kinetic experiments, although current methods are not expected to be more accurate than a few kcal/mol, heavily depending on the level of theory and sampling efficiency. Additionally, experimental measurements are also expected to have an error bar of 1-2 kcal/mol, not even considering changes due to the cellular environment.

Figure 2. Different mechanisms of phosphate hydrolysis shown on the example of GTP. Schematic transition states are depicted on the arrows. We define five different mechanistic pathways: **A**: two-water mechanism; **B**: one-step substrate assisted mechanism; **C**: two-step substrate assisted mechanism; **D**: base-catalysed mechanism; **E**: imide mediated mechanism. Calculated barriers for these variations are summarized in **Table 1** with various enzyme/substrate complexes.

Table 1. Recent computational results on triphosphate hydrolysis for several enzymes (wild type, WT, or mutation as specified) and/or their respective reactions in water. The corresponding mechanisms (**A-E**) are depicted in **Figure 2**. Experimental barriers are also shown (when available) as comparison with the computational work. Density functional is specified when applicable. Energetic data is given in kcal/mol.

Mechanism	Enzyme [†]	Mutation	Substrate	Calc. barrier	Exp. barrier	Method	Ref
A	EF-G ^a	WT	GTP	14.2	14.1	EVB	[48]
	EF-G ^a	H87A		24.7	>22.0		
	EF-Tu ^b	WT		13.8	<14.0		
	EF-Tu ^b	D21A		18.3	18.4		
	EF-Tu ^b	H84A		21.4	21.2		
	EF-Tu ^b	H84 ^{NP}		17.1			
	EF-Tu ^b	H84Q		18.5	~18.4		
	FeoB ^c	E66A E67A		18		DFT PBE/Amber metadynamics	[49]
	MeTP	-	MeTP	33		DFT BLYP	[50]
	Mg ²⁺	-	AcP	15.3 (13.4)	23.9	DFT ω-B97X-D (M11L)	[51]
	Mg ²⁺	-	MeTP	29.2 (22.6)			
	MMD ^d	WT	ATP	19	15-17	DFTB/CHARMM MFEP	[27]

	None	-	AcP	23.9 (21.9)	24.3	DFT ω -B97X-D (M11L)	[51]
	None	-	GTP	27	27	EVB	[48]
	None	-	MeTP	26.7 (33.0)	27.9	DFT ω -B97X-D (M11L)	[51]
	RasGAP ^e	WT	GTP	11		DFT PBE /CHARMM	[52,53]
A*	MMD ^d	WT	ATP	22	15-17	DFTB/CHARMM MFEP	[27]
B	Mg ²⁺	-	AcP	31.4 (32.2)	23.9	DFT ω -B97X-D (M11L)	[51]
	Mg ²⁺	-	MeTP	32.5 (23.9)	27.9		
	None	-	AcP	31.3 (31.2)	24.3		
	None	-	GTP	37.2		EVB	[54]
	None	-	MeTP	34.9 (35.6)	27.9	DFT ω -B97X-D (M11L)	[51]
	Ras ^f	WT	GTP	30.8		EVB	[54]
	RasGAP ^e	WT		28.5		DFT PBE/CHARMM	[52,53]
	RasGAP ^e	WT		28.7		EVB	[54]
C	EF-Tu ^b	WT	GTP	14.8		EVB	[48]
	FeoB ^c	WT		33		DFT PBE/Amber metadynamics	[49]
	None	-		29.7		EVB	[48]
	None	-		27.9			[54]
	Ras ^f	WT		23.9			
	RasGAP ^e	WT		14.9			
D	FeoB ^c	WT	GTP	17		DFT PBE/Amber metadynamics	[49]
	FeoB ^c	E66A		20			
	FeoB ^c	E67A		19			
	hGBP1 ^g	WT		18		DFT BLYP	[50]
	MMD ^d	WT	ATP	16	15-17	DFTB/CHARMM MFEP	[27]
	RasGAP ^e	Q61E	GTP	7		DFT PBE/CHARMM	[52,53]
E	RasGAP ^e	WT	GTP	14		DFT PBE/CHARMM	[52,53]

[†]None and Mg²⁺ stands for simulation in bulk water in the absence or presence of Mg²⁺, respectively.

*Involves a Ser sidechain.

^aElongation Factor G from *Thermus thermophilus* (4V90)

^bElongation Factor Tu from *Thermus thermophilus* (2XQD)

^cFerrous iron transport protein B from *Streptococcus thermophilus* (3SS8)

^dMyosin II Motor Domain from *D. discoideum* (1VOM)

^eComplex of human Ras and p120 GTPase activation protein (1WQ1)

^fHuman Ras (1QRA)

^gHuman guanine nucleotide binding protein-1 (2BC9 and 2B8W)

Path **A** is often termed as "two-water" mechanism as the proton transfer is facilitated by a second water molecule. It is likely to be the primary mechanism in bulk water [51]. This pathway was also found feasible in a number of studies [48,49], but it is not certain that the active site pocket can accommodate a second water, as these are not observed in crystallographic structures [53].

Pathways **B** and **C** both feature a PT via a four-membered ring, either in a concerted way, with the nucleophilic substitution on the phosphorus (**B**) or step-wise, via an intermediate (**C**). The strained ring structure does not provide an optimal arrangement for the basic moiety (γ -phosphate here) to donate electron density to the antibonding orbital of the breaking O-H bond. Thus, the activation barrier is likely to be underestimated by EVB or semi-empirical methods. In fact, similar barriers are obtained for both mechanisms **A** and **C** using EVB. Sometimes, these mechanisms are also called "substrate-assisted" as phosphate takes the proton directly from the water.

Path **D** covers all scenarios when the PT is facilitated by a Bronsted base, a residue that serves as the proton acceptor, resulting in a short-lived intermediate. This mechanism was found to be superior to

others if an obvious base is present in close proximity using available crystallographic structures [27,49,50]. The identity of such residues is not immediately obvious in some cases, and await more accurate active site geometries [55].

Another emerging possibility in specific systems, such as Ras, is to transfer the proton by an amide-imide tautomerization of e.g., a nearby glutamine (path **E**) [52,53]. This scenario requires an imide formation that is known to be highly endergonic, which itself does not rule the option out to stabilize even higher energy TSs. However, Grigorenko and co-workers [52,53] suggest a barrier of only *ca.* 4 kcal/mol for the nucleophilic substitution step, which is much lower than any other mechanistic alternatives.

5. Role of Magnesium Ions

Metal ions are essential in most phosphate catalytic reactions contributing to the fine-tuned pre-organization typical in enzyme catalysis [56]. Their roles are in not just coordinating ligands, but in altering the pKa of their coordinated groups [57,58]. In one-metal ion catalytic enzymes, the phosphate leaving group is always coordinated by the ion in the active conformation of the enzyme, thereby stabilizing the product. Many enzymes use the so-called two-metal ion catalytic mechanism [59], where a second metal ion coordinates the incoming nucleophile thereby activating them for easier deprotonation [60]. Additionally, two ions may also be present to activate the leaving group, such as for kinases, and occasionally even three ions are required for activity in some phosphate catalytic enzymes such as alkaline phosphatases [61,62], aminoacyl ligases [63] and nudix hydrolases [64,65]. Polarization can also be achieved with the help of positively charged active site residues. In ATPases and GTPases, an Arg residue, the so-called “Arginine finger” has an essential TS-stabilizing electrostatic effect, ensuring the correct catalytically active assembly by bringing the Arg residue from a separate subunit to contact the γ -phosphate [66]. Consequently, to correctly describe the enzyme mechanism, the metal ion with its coordination sphere must be modelled in the QM region together with all the active site residues contributing to the coupled phosphate bond breaking and formation as well as the proton transfer steps.

Magnesium ions are the most frequently occurring ions in the catalytic pockets of phosphate-related enzymes [51,67–69]. Accordingly, they also play a role in drug discovery to bind ligands directly (Figure 3). Less commonly, Mn^{2+} and Zn^{2+} , occasionally even Cu^{2+} or Co^{2+} can also act as the catalytic ion [65,70]. Importantly, Ca^{2+} ions typically inhibit phosphate catalytic enzymes, which also underlines the key role of Mg^{2+} as a Lewis acid. We have previously shown that Ca^{2+} has a lower polarization effect in its first coordination shell, which results in less polarization and decoupled proton transfer in phosphate cleavage mechanisms, leading to the loss of activity [71]. As Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} are the most abundant divalent metal ions in seawater, their specific roles in activating and inhibiting phosphate catalysis likely evolved to play key signalling biological roles by fine-tuning the enzyme catalytic activities [58]. This is supported by the inhibitory role of Ca^{2+} ions replacing Mg^{2+} , despite that they are structurally similar, underlining their key role as a Lewis acid. The reason behind that is that Ca^{2+} being a softer Lewis acid due mainly to its size, has a lower polarization effect in its first coordination shell [71].

Figure 3. Structural representation of three different inhibitors in complex with three important pharmaceutical phosphate catalytic enzyme targets: HIV-integrase **(a)**, RNase H **(b)** and DDL **(c)**. All ligands directly coordinate the active site Mg ions. PDB IDs used are **3OYA**, **3HYF** and **4C5A**, respectively.

Divalent metal ions have a slow exchange of their tightly-bound first-coordination shell ligands. This results in much longer timescales to identify the optimal coordination geometries, restricting simulations to local minima used at the initial starting geometries in simulations, including QM/MM but also MD studies. Remarkable efforts in sampling enhancement of metal coordination are on the way [72], but there is still room for developing generally applicable methods. Therefore, due to the lack of reliable experimental information regarding the precise active site structure in many cases, metal ion placement may render computational mechanistic studies inconclusive and awaiting further validation [31,32].

6. Transient Third Metal Ion

Polymerases have been long known as the prototype examples of two-metal ion catalytic enzymes. Intriguingly, recent experimental evidence from Gao and Yang on DNA polymerase η has demonstrated the possibility of a third metal ion transiently entering the active site during catalysis using time-resolved crystallography [73,74]. The same phenomenon was observed in DNA polymerase β [75], RNase H [76] and HIV RNase [77]. The question arises whether or not the role of these transient ions is essential, and if they are required for the catalytic step [78–81] or only to support product release [15,55,77,82]. Clarifying this role is particularly difficult due to the movements of the ions that occur on timescales inaccessible to unbiased QM/MM simulations. A current major challenge therefore remains: how to sample the slow catalytic steps discovering the precise reaction mechanism and the concurrently occurring conformational changes, including the transient conformational changes of ions and their coordinating ligands at the active site pockets.

7. Chemomechanical coupling

We can computationally decouple chemical steps from the conformational changes [83,84], which allows us to sample the latter using faster classical MD calculations. However, in some systems these events may not be independent, and thus this assumption of modelling molecular motions separately from the bond formation/cleavage might require further validation. Currently, tackling these complex conformational changes coupled to chemical activity are not routinely handled, but developments are underway. Particularly promising are novel machine learning based force fields that offer the speed of MD simulations, but with the possibility of bond formation/breakage, trained using accurate *ab initio* calculations [85,86].

8. Conclusions

Currently, QM/MM is the best approach to describe reactivity yet the complexity of the protein environment. Accurate QM DFT-based methods can reliably describe the reaction centres, including phosphates and metal ions with their coordination spheres. Molecular dynamics with a QM/MM level allow us to use enhanced sampling methods, which, if carefully designed, can be used to describe complex reaction mechanisms and the cooperativity of coupled reactions. If incorrect local minima were used as starting points often sampling is not sufficient to obtain catalytically competent structures computationally.

Additional challenges include the coupling of dynamical events with the slow chemical step. For example, it is currently still under debate, whether the transient role of a third Mg^{2+} ion in DNA/RNA polymerases is required for the catalytic step or for the subsequent product release. Even more challenging applications include RNA-based systems such as ribozymes and large protein/nucleic acid complexes. Novel approaches are also underway using machine learning for force fields coupled with enhanced sampling algorithms that might also be based on machine learning.

QM/MM methods also offer important applications. In drug discovery, structural roles of the metal ions can also play fundamental roles in the development of specific inhibitors. Traditional computational design tools were often unreliable to model the ligand interactions with metal ions, therefore ions were omitted in docking studies [87–89]. Nonetheless, there are several examples of successful drugs that interact strongly with active site-bound metal ions. Current inhibitors act on already different classes of targets, such as HIV integrase [90], RNase H [91], D-Ala-D-Ala Ligase [92], carbonic anhydrases [93], carboxypeptidase B [94] or adenosine deaminase [95] (Figure 3). However, there is still room for development of these types of inhibitors, as several major classes of drug targets currently lack such ligands, including kinases, polymerases, GTPases, ATPases, etc. QM/MM offers an accurate method to study these ion-bound ligands and to rationally design and engineer systems, transforming computations from a descriptive to a predictive tool.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

ER acknowledges funding from EPSRC (EP/R013012/1) and ERC (project 757850 BioNet).

Annotated Papers

- Special interest

- Outstanding interest

- [15] Yoon H, Warshel A: **Simulating the fidelity and the three Mg mechanism of pol η and clarifying the validity of transition state theory in enzyme catalysis.** *Proteins Struct Funct Bioinforma* 2017, **85**:1446–1453.

By performing empirical valence bond (EVB) calculations, the authors study the DNA polymerase η three metal ion mechanism and explain the fidelity of the polymerase while discussing the role of this third Mg^{2+} . Free energy profiles are obtained for the catalytic reaction with different base pairs and in presence and absence of the third Mg^{2+} suggesting its role in product stabilization rather than catalysis.

- [27] Lu X, Ovchinnikov V, Demapan D, Roston D, Cui Q: **Regulation and Plasticity of Catalysis in**

Enzymes: Insights from Analysis of Mechanochemical Coupling in Myosin *Biochemistry* 2017, **56**:1482–1497.

Studying different states of the myosin motor domain, the authors utilize advanced sampling techniques to evaluate the mechanism of ATP hydrolysis. The string calculations outline several possibilities for the proton transfer, favouring a base mediated two-step mechanism (path **D**).

•[49] Vithani N, Batra S, Prakash B, Nair NN: **Elucidating the GTP Hydrolysis Mechanism in FeoB: A Hydrophobic Amino-Acid Substituted GTPase**. *ACS Catal* 2017, **7**:902–906.

On the example of FeoB, this paper studies GTP hydrolysis using QM/MM metadynamics, exhibiting a good practice of mechanistic research. The work concludes that the base catalysed mechanism (path **D** in this review) is prominent involving a proton shuttle and removing the basic residues by mutagenesis, the two-water pathway (**A**) becomes the preferred mechanism.

•[66] Nagy GN, Suardíaz R, Lopata A, Ozohanics O, Vékey K, Brooks BR, Leveles I, Tóth J, Vértessy BG, Rosta E: **Structural Characterization of Arginine Fingers: Identification of an Arginine Finger for the Pyrophosphatase dUTPases**. *J Am Chem Soc* 2016, **138**:15035–15045.

This paper focuses on the structural characterization of an “Arginine Finger”, common among GTPases and ATPases, not seen with its essential function before in pyrophosphatases. Having a similar role as a Mg^{2+} ion, the electrostatic effect of this Arg enables the catalytically competent conformations of a P-loop and has a secondary role on catalysis for the dUTPases family. A structural comparison of this motif for NTP cleaving enzymes is also provided, pointing to an ancient structural origin.

••[77] Dürr SL, Bohuszewicz O, Suardiaz R, Jambrina PG, Peter C, Shao Y, Rosta E: **The Dual Role of Histidine as General Base and Recruiter of a Third Metal Ion in HIV-1 RNase H**. 2019, doi:10.26434/CHEMRXIV.8224538.V1.

In this work novel proton transfer pathways are proposed for RNase H enzyme reactions using the HIV-1 RNase H structures. Accurate DFT-based QM/MM calculations are coupled with Hamiltonian Replica Exchange and the string method. Conserved residues in the DEDDh motif are shown to play key roles, suggesting a general mechanism for this family of enzymes via the Glu as proton donor and the conserved histidine as a base for the proton transfer pathway. The His is also likely to play an important role in product release being involved with the third metal ion.

••[55] Stevens DR, Hammes-Schiffer S: **Exploring the Role of the Third Active Site Metal Ion in DNA Polymerase η with QM/MM Free Energy Simulations**. *J Am Chem Soc* 2018, **140**:8965–8969.

To obtain the free energy path of the system with three Mg^{2+} ions included in the active site, the authors utilized a finite temperature string method with a QM/MM treatment. They suggest that the third metal ion may play a secondary role, stabilizing the product through electrostatic interactions, while in a two-metal ion system supports a two-step mechanism, with the phosphate cleavage preceding the proton transfer.

1. Suardíaz R, Jambrina PG, Masgrau L, González-Lafont À, Rosta E, Lluch JJ: **Understanding the Mechanism of the Hydrogen Abstraction from Arachidonic Acid Catalyzed by the Human Enzyme 15-Lipoxygenase-2. A Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics Free Energy Simulation**. *J Chem Theory Comput* 2016, **12**:2079–2090.
2. Saura P, Suardíaz R, Masgrau L, González-Lafont À, Rosta E, Lluch JM: **Understanding the Molecular Mechanism of the Ala-versus-Gly Concept Controlling the Product Specificity in Reactions Catalyzed by Lipoxygenases: A Combined Molecular Dynamics and QM/MM Study of Coral 8R-Lipoxygenase**. *ACS Catal* 2017, **7**:4854–4866.

3. Bui S, von Stetten D, Jambrina PG, Prangé T, Colloc'h N, de Sanctis D, Royant A, Rosta E, Steiner RA: **Direct Evidence for a Peroxide Intermediate and a Reactive Enzyme-Substrate-Dioxygen Configuration in a Cofactor-free Oxidase.** *Angew Chemie* 2014, **126**:13930–13934.
4. Wei D, Huang X, Qiao Y, Rao J, Wang L, Liao F, Zhan C-G: **Catalytic Mechanisms for Cofactor-Free Oxidase-Catalyzed Reactions: Reaction Pathways of Uricase-Catalyzed Oxidation and Hydration of Uric Acid.** *ACS Catal* 2017, **7**:4623–4636.
5. Jindal G, Ramachandran B, Prasad Bora R, Warshel A: **Exploring the Development of Ground-State Destabilization and Transition-State Stabilization in Two Directed Evolution Paths of Kemp Eliminases.** *ACS Catal* 2017, **7**:3301–3305.
6. Hong N-S, Petrović D, Lee R, Gryn'ova G, Purg M, Saunders J, Bauer P, Carr PD, Lin C-Y, Mabbitt PD, et al.: **The evolution of multiple active site configurations in a designed enzyme.** *Nat Commun* 2018, **9**:3900.
7. Fernandes HS, Ramos JM, F S A Cerqueira NM: **Catalytic Mechanism of the Serine Hydroxymethyltransferase: A Computational ONIOM QM/MM Study.** *ACS Catal* 2018, **8**:10096–10110.
8. Elsässer B, Zauner FB, Messner J, Soh WT, Dall E, Brandstetter H: **Distinct Roles of Catalytic Cysteine and Histidine in the Protease and Ligase Mechanisms of Human Legumain As Revealed by DFT-Based QM/MM Simulations.** *ACS Catal* 2017, **141**:5585–5593.
9. Sharma V, Jambrina PG, Kaukonen M, Rosta E, Rich PR: **Insights into functions of the H channel of cytochrome c oxidase from atomistic molecular dynamics simulations.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2017, **114**:E10339–E10348.
10. Świderek K, Tuñón I, H. Williams I, Moliner V: **Insights on the Origin of Catalysis on Glycine N-Methyltransferase from Computational Modeling.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2018, **140**:4327–4334.
11. Krzemińska A, Świderek K: **Molecular Insights into the Substrate-Assisted Mechanism of Viral DNA 3'-End Processing in Intasome of Prototype Foamy Virus Integrase from Molecular Dynamic and QM/MM Studies.** *J Chem Inf Model* 2019, **59**:2995–3005.
12. A. Nichols D, C. Hargis J, Sanishvili R, Jaishankar P, Defrees K, W. Smith E, K. Wang K, Prati F, R. Renslo A, Lee Woodcock H, et al.: **Ligand-Induced Proton Transfer and Low-Barrier Hydrogen Bond Revealed by X-ray Crystallography.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2015, **137**:8086–8095.
13. White JK, Handa S, Vankayala SL, Merkler DJ, Woodcock HL: **Thiamin Diphosphate Activation in 1-Deoxy- d -xylulose 5-Phosphate Synthase: Insights into the Mechanism and Underlying Intermolecular Interactions.** *J Phys Chem B* 2016, **120**:9922–9934.
14. Walker AR, Andrés G, Cisneros A: **Computational Simulations of DNA Polymerases: Detailed Insights on Structure/Function/Mechanism from Native Proteins to Cancer Variants.** *Chem Res Toxicol* 2017, **30**:1922–1935.
15. Yoon H, Warshel A: **Simulating the fidelity and the three Mg mechanism of pol η and clarifying the validity of transition state theory in enzyme catalysis.** *Proteins Struct Funct Bioinforma* 2017, **85**:1446–1453.
16. Yoon H, Na Zhao L, Warshel A: **Exploring the Catalytic Mechanism of Cas9 Using Information Inferred from Endonuclease VII.** *ACS Catal* 2018, **9**:1329–1336.
17. Rukhlenko OS, Khorsand F, Krstic A, Rozanc J, Alexopoulos LG, Rauch N, Erickson KE, Hlavacek WS, Posner RG, Gómez-Coca S, et al.: **Dissecting RAF Inhibitor Resistance by Structure-based Modeling Reveals Ways to Overcome Oncogenic RAS Signaling.** *Cell Syst* 2018, **7**:161–179.

18. Wilson KA, Fernandes PA, Ramos MJ, Wetmore SD: **Exploring the Identity of the General Base for a DNA Polymerase Catalyzed Reaction Using QM/MM: The Case Study of Human Translesion Synthesis Polymerase η .** *ACS Catal* 2019, **9**:2543–2551.
19. Chen H, Giese TJ, Golden BL, York DM, J. Giese T, L. Golden B, M. York D: **Divalent Metal Ion Activation of a Guanine General Base in the Hammerhead Ribozyme: Insights from Molecular Simulations.** *Biochemistry* 2017, **56**:2985–2994.
20. Ganguly A, Thaplyal P, Rosta E, C. Bevilacqua P, Hammes-Schiffer S: **Quantum Mechanical/Molecular Mechanical Free Energy Simulations of the Self-Cleavage Reaction in the Hepatitis Delta Virus Ribozyme.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2014, **136**:1483–1496.
21. Lopata A, Jambrina PG, Sharma PK, Brooks BR, Toth J, Vertessy BG, Rosta E: **Mutations Decouple Proton Transfer from Phosphate Cleavage in the dUTPase Catalytic Reaction.** *ACS Catal* 2015, **5**:3225–3237.
22. Lott WB, Pontius BW, von Hippel PH: **A two-metal ion mechanism operates in the hammerhead ribozyme-mediated cleavage of an RNA substrate.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998, **95**:542–547.
23. Reyes AC, L. Amyes T, P. Richard J: **Primary Deuterium Kinetic Isotope Effects: A Probe for the Origin of the Rate Acceleration for Hydride Transfer Catalyzed by Glycerol-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase.** *Biochemistry* 2018, **57**:4338–4348.
24. W. Howe G, A. van der Donk W: **^{18}O Kinetic Isotope Effects Reveal an Associative Transition State for Phosphite Dehydrogenase Catalyzed Phosphoryl Transfer.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2018, **140**:17820–17824.
25. Deng H, Brian Dyer R, Callender R: **Active-Site Glu165 Activation in Triosephosphate Isomerase and Its Deprotonation Kinetics.** *J Phys Chem B* 2019, **123**:4230–4241.
26. Roston D, Cui Q: **Substrate and Transition State Binding in Alkaline Phosphatase Analyzed by Computation of Oxygen Isotope Effects.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2016, **138**:11946–11957.
27. Lu X, Ovchinnikov V, Demapan D, Roston D, Cui Q: **Regulation and Plasticity of Catalysis in Enzymes: Insights from Analysis of Mechanochemical Coupling in Myosin.** *Biochemistry* 2017, **56**:1482–1497.
28. Roston D, Lu X, Fang D, Demapan D, Cui Q: **Analysis of Phosphoryl-Transfer Enzymes with QM/MM Free Energy Simulations.** *Methods Enzymol* 2018, **607**:53–90.
29. Calixto AR, Ramos MJ, Fernandes PA: **Influence of Frozen Residues on the Exploration of the PES of Enzyme Reaction Mechanisms.** *J Chem Theory Comput* 2017, **13**:5486–5495.
30. Planas F, J. McLeish M, Himo F: **Computational Study of Enantioselective Carboligation Catalyzed by Benzoylformate Decarboxylase.** *ACS Catal* 2019, **9**:5657–5667.
31. Ogrizek M, Konc J, Bren U, Hodošček M, Janežič D: **Role of magnesium ions in the reaction mechanism at the interface between Tm1631 protein and its DNA ligand.** *Chem Cent J* 2016, **10**:41.
32. Aboelnga M, M., Wetmore SD: **Unveiling a Single-Metal-Mediated Phosphodiester Bond Cleavage Mechanism for Nucleic Acids: A Multiscale Computational Investigation of a Human DNA Repair Enzyme.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2019, **141**:8646–8656.
33. Sousa SF, Ribeiro AJM, Neves RPP, Brás NF, Cerqueira NMFS, Fernandes PA, Ramos MJ: **Application of quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics methods in the study of enzymatic reaction mechanisms.** *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Mol Sci* 2017, **7**:e1281.

34. Torrie GM, Valleau JP: **Nonphysical sampling distributions in Monte Carlo free-energy estimation: Umbrella sampling.** *J Comput Phys* 1977, **23**:187–199.
35. Laio A, Parrinello M: **Escaping free-energy minima.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002, **99**:12562–12566.
36. Mezei M: **Adaptive umbrella sampling: Self-consistent determination of the non-Boltzmann bias.** *J Comput Phys* 1987, **68**:237–248.
37. Branduardi D, Faraldo-Gómez J: **String Method for Calculation of Minimum Free-Energy Paths in Cartesian Space in Freely Tumbling Systems.** *J Chem Theory Comput* 2013, **9**:4140–4154.
38. Weinan E, Ren W, Vanden-Eijnden E: **Finite Temperature String Method for the Study of Rare Events.** *J Phys Chem B* 2005, **109**:6688–6693.
39. Zhu F, Hummer G: **Pore opening and closing of a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010, **107**:19814–19819.
40. Rosta E, Nowotny M, Yang W, Hummer G: **Catalytic Mechanism of RNA Backbone Cleavage by Ribonuclease H from Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics Simulations.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2011, **133**:8934–8941.
41. Henkelman G, Jónsson H: **A dimer method for finding saddle points on high dimensional potential surfaces using only first derivatives.** *J Chem Phys* 1999, **111**:7010–7022.
42. Chu JW, Trout BL, Brooks BR: **A super-linear minimization scheme for the nudged elastic band method.** *J Chem Phys* 2003, **119**:12708–12717.
43. Cisneros GA, Liu H, Lu Z, Yang W: **Reaction path determination for quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical modeling of enzyme reactions by combining first order and second order “chain-of-replicas” methods.** *J Chem Phys* 2005, **122**:114502.
44. Shirts MR, Chodera JD: **Statistically optimal analysis of samples from multiple equilibrium states.** *J Chem Phys* 2008, **129**:124105.
45. Bennett CH: **Efficient estimation of free energy differences from Monte Carlo data.** *J Comput Phys* 1976, **22**:245–268.
46. Ferrenberg AM, Swendsen RH: **Optimized Monte Carlo data analysis.** *Phys Rev Lett* 1989, **63**:1195–1198.
47. Kumar S, Rosenberg JM, Bouzida D, Swendsen RH, Kollman PA: **The weighted histogram analysis method for free-energy calculations on biomolecules. I. The method.** *J Comput Chem* 1992, **13**:1011–1021.
48. Mondal D, Warshel A: **EF-Tu and EF-G are activated by allosteric effects.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2018, **115**:3386–3391.
49. Vithani N, Batra S, Prakash B, Nair NN: **Elucidating the GTP Hydrolysis Mechanism in FeoB: A Hydrophobic Amino-Acid Substituted GTPase.** *ACS Catal* 2017, **7**:902–906.
50. Tripathi R, Glaves R, Marx D: **The GTPase hGBP1 converts GTP to GMP in two steps via proton shuttle mechanisms.** *Chem Sci* 2017, **8**:371–380.
51. Barrozo A, Blaha-Nelson D, Williams NH, Kamerlin SCL: **The effect of magnesium ions on triphosphate hydrolysis.** *Pure Appl Chem* 2017, **89**:715–727.
52. Grigorenko BL, Khrenova MG, Nemukhin A V.: **Amide–imide tautomerization in the**

- glutamine side chain in enzymatic and photochemical reactions in proteins. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2018, **20**:23827–23836.
53. Grigorenko BL, Kots ED, Nemukhin A V.: **Diversity of mechanisms in Ras–GAP catalysis of guanosine triphosphate hydrolysis revealed by molecular modeling.** *Org Biomol Chem* 2019, **17**:4879–4891.
 54. Calixto AR, Moreira C, Pabis A, Kötting C, Gerwert K, Rudack T, Kamerlin SCLL: **GTP Hydrolysis Without an Active Site Base: A Unifying Mechanism for Ras and Related GTPases.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2019, **141**:10684–10701.
 55. Stevens DR, Hammes-Schiffer S: **Exploring the Role of the Third Active Site Metal Ion in DNA Polymerase η with QM/MM Free Energy Simulations.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2018, **140**:8965–8969.
 56. Jindal G, Warshel A: **Misunderstanding the preorganization concept can lead to confusions about the origin of enzyme catalysis.** *Proteins Struct Funct Bioinforma* 2017, **85**:2157–2161.
 57. Sigel RKO, Pyle AM: **Alternative Roles for Metal Ions in Enzyme Catalysis and the Implications for Ribozyme Chemistry.** *Chem Rev* 2007, **107**:97–113.
 58. Knape MJ, Ahuja LG, Bertinetti D, Burghardt NCG, Zimmermann B, Taylor SS, Herberg FW: **Divalent Metal Ions Mg 2+ and Ca 2+ Have Distinct Effects on Protein Kinase A Activity and Regulation.** *ACS Chem Biol* 2015, **10**:2303–2315.
 59. Steitz TA, Steitz JA: **A general two-metal-ion mechanism for catalytic RNA.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1993, **90**:6498–6502.
 60. Tichauer RH, Favre G, Cabantous S, Landa G, Hemeryck A, Brut M: **Water Distribution within Wild-Type NRas Protein and Q61 Mutants during Unrestrained QM/MM Dynamics.** *Biophys J* 2018, **115**:1417–1430.
 61. Stec B, Holtz KM, Kantrowitz ER: **A revised mechanism for the alkaline phosphatase reaction involving three metal ions.** *J Mol Biol* 2000, **299**:1303–1311.
 62. Holtz KM, Kantrowitz ER: **The mechanism of the alkaline phosphatase reaction: Insights from NMR, crystallography and site-specific mutagenesis.** *FEBS Lett* 1999, **462**:7–11.
 63. Desogus G, Todone F, Brick P, Onesti S: **Active Site of Lysyl-tRNA Synthetase: Structural Studies of the Adenylation Reaction.** *Biochemistry* 2000, **39**:8418–8425.
 64. Bessman MJ, Frick DN, O’Handley SF: **The MutT proteins or “Nudix” hydrolases, a family of versatile, widely distributed, “housecleaning” enzymes.** *J Biol Chem* 1996, **271**:25059–25062.
 65. Hill SE, Nguyen E, Ukachukwu CU, Freeman DM, Quirk S, Lieberman RL: **Metal ion coordination in the E. coli Nudix hydrolase dihydroneopterin triphosphate pyrophosphatase: New clues into catalytic mechanism.** *PLoS One* 2017, **12**:e0180241.
 66. Nagy GN, Suardíaz R, Lopata A, Ozohanics O, Vékey K, Brooks BR, Leveles I, Tóth J, Vértessy BG, Rosta E: **Structural Characterization of Arginine Fingers: Identification of an Arginine Finger for the Pyrophosphatase dUTPases.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2016, **138**:15035–15045.
 67. Cowan JA: **Structural and catalytic chemistry of magnesium-dependent enzymes.** *Biometals* 2002, **15**:225–235.
 68. Piovesan D, Profiti G, Martelli PL, Casadio R: **The human “magnesome”: detecting magnesium binding sites on human proteins.** *BMC Bioinformatics* 2012, **13**:S10.

69. Dudev T, Lim C: **Principles Governing Mg, Ca, and Zn Binding and Selectivity in Proteins.** *Chem Rev* 2003, **102**:773–788.
70. Wang J, Stieglitz KA, Kantrowitz ER: **Metal specificity is correlated with two crucial active site residues in Escherichia coli alkaline phosphatase.** *Biochemistry* 2005, **44**:8378–8386.
71. Rosta E, Yang W, Hummer G: **Calcium Inhibition of Ribonuclease H1 Two-Metal Ion Catalysis.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2014, **136**:3137–3144.
72. Lemke T, Peter C, Kukharensko O: **Efficient Sampling and Characterization of Free Energy Landscapes of Ion–Peptide Systems.** *J Chem Theory Comput* 2018, **14**:5476–5488.
73. Jamsen JA, Beard WA, Pedersen LC, Shock DD, Moon AF, Krahn JM, Bebenek K, Kunkel TA, Wilson SH: **Time-lapse crystallography snapshots of a double-strand break repair polymerase in action.** *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:253.
74. Gao Y, Yang W: **Capture of a third Mg²⁺ is essential for catalyzing DNA synthesis.** *Science* 2016, **352**:1334–1337.
75. Freudenthal BD, Beard WA, Perera L, Shock DD, Kim T, Schlick T, Wilson SH: **Uncovering the polymerase-induced cytotoxicity of an oxidized nucleotide.** *Nature* 2015, **517**:635–639.
76. Samara NL, Yang W: **Cation trafficking propels RNA hydrolysis.** *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2018, **25**:715–721.
77. Dürr SL, Bohuszewicz O, Suardiaz R, Jambrina PG, Peter C, Shao Y, Rosta E: **The Dual Role of Histidine as General Base and Recruiter of a Third Metal Ion in HIV-1 RNase H.** 2019, doi:10.26434/CHEMRXIV.8224538.V1.
78. Yang W, Weng PJ, Gao Y: **A new paradigm of DNA synthesis: Three-metal-ion catalysis.** *Cell Biosci* 2016, **6**:51.
79. Vyas R, Reed AJ, Tokarsky EJ, Suo Z: **Viewing Human DNA Polymerase β Faithfully and Unfaithfully Bypass an Oxidative Lesion by Time-Dependent Crystallography.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2015, **137**:5225–5230.
80. Reed AJ, Suo Z: **Time-Dependent Extension from an 8-Oxoguanine Lesion by Human DNA Polymerase Beta.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2017, **139**:9684–9690.
81. Raper AT, Reed AJ, Suo Z: **Kinetic Mechanism of DNA Polymerases: Contributions of Conformational Dynamics and a Third Divalent Metal Ion.** *Chem Rev* 2018, **118**:6000–6025.
82. Perera L, Freudenthal BD, Beard WA, Pedersen LG, Wilson SH: **Revealing the role of the product metal in DNA polymerase β catalysis.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017, **45**:2736–2745.
83. Pisiakov A V, Cao J, Kamerlin SCL, Warshel A: **Enzyme millisecond conformational dynamics do not catalyze the chemical step.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:17359–17364.
84. Okazaki K, Wöhlert D, Warnau J, Jung H, Yildiz Ö, Kühlbrandt W, Hummer G: **Mechanism of the electroneutral sodium/proton antiporter PaNhaP from transition-path shooting.** *Nat Commun* 2019, **10**:1742.
85. Smith JS, Isayev O, Roitberg AE: **ANI-1: an extensible neural network potential with DFT accuracy at force field computational cost.** *Chem Sci* 2017, **8**:3192–3203.
86. Chmiela S, Sauceda HE, Müller K-R, Tkatchenko A: **Towards exact molecular dynamics simulations with machine-learned force fields.** *Nat Commun* 2018, **9**:3887.
87. Riccardi L, Genna V, De Vivo M: **Metal–ligand interactions in drug design.** *Nat Rev Chem*

- 2018, **2**:100–112.
88. Pantsar T, Poso A: **Binding Affinity via Docking: Fact and Fiction.** *Molecules* 2018, **23**:1899.
 89. Khandelwal A, Lukacova V, Comez D, Kroll DM, Raha S, Balaz S: **A combination of docking, QM/MM methods, and MD simulation for binding affinity estimation of metalloprotein ligands.** *J Med Chem* 2005, **48**:5437–5447.
 90. Hare S, Gupta SS, Valkov E, Engelman A, Cherepanov P: **Retroviral intasome assembly and inhibition of DNA strand transfer.** *Nature* 2010, **464**:232–236.
 91. Kirschberg TA, Balakrishnan M, Squires NH, Barnes T, Brendza KM, Chen X, Eisenberg EJ, Jin W, Kutty N, Leavitt S, et al.: **RNase H active site inhibitors of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase: Design, biochemical activity, and structural information.** *J Med Chem* 2009, **52**:5781–5784.
 92. Batson S, De Chiara C, Majce V, Lloyd AJ, Gobec S, Rea D, Fülöp V, Thoroughgood CW, Simmons KJ, Dowson CG, et al.: **Inhibition of D-Ala:D-Ala ligase through a phosphorylated form of the antibiotic D-cycloserine.** *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:1939.
 93. Lesburg CA, Huang C, Christianson DW, Fierke CA: **Histidine - carboxamide ligand substitutions in the zinc binding site of carbonic anhydrase II alter metal coordination geometry but retain catalytic activity.** *Biochemistry* 1997, **36**:15780–15791.
 94. Yoshimoto N, Itoh T, Inaba Y, Ishii H, Yamamoto K: **Structural basis for inhibition of carboxypeptidase B by selenium-containing inhibitor: selenium coordinates to zinc in enzyme.** *J Med Chem* 2013, **56**:7527–7535.
 95. Larson ET, Deng W, Krumm BE, Napuli A, Mueller N, Van Voorhis WC, Buckner FS, Fan E, Lauricella A, DeTitta G, et al.: **Structures of substrate- and inhibitor-bound adenosine deaminase from a human malaria parasite show a dramatic conformational change and shed light on drug selectivity.** *J Mol Biol* 2008, **381**:975–988.