Basophil activation test in cancer patient blood evaluating potential hypersensitivity to an anti-tumor IgE therapeutic candidate

To the Editor,

Monoclonal anti-tumor IgG antibodies are used widely to treat malignancies. Studies in the field of AllergoOncology, focusing on the interactions between IgE, allergy, and cancer, point to biological characteristics of IgE that may engender potent anti-tumor functions.\(^1\) These include superior affinity of IgE for cognate Fc receptors and the presence in tumors of effector cell populations (eg, macrophages and mast cells) known to exert anti-tumor activities when activated by IgE.\(^2,3\) Following promising preclinical findings,\(^2,4\) MOv18 IgE, specific for the tumor-associated antigen folate receptor alpha (FR\(\alpha\)) MOv18 IgE, overexpressed in ovarian and basal breast cancers and other solid tumors,\(^5\) is the first anti-cancer IgE antibody studied in a first-in-class, first-in-human clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02546921).

One of the potential concerns associated with application of IgE as a therapy in the clinic relates to the perceived risk of IgE-mediated anaphylaxis. Safety evaluation of such a novel agent mandated the development of bespoke methods to monitor potential hypersensitivity reactions following intravenous infusion and ideally also to help in predicting such a reaction when selecting patients for treatment. Over the past 15 years, the basophil activation test (BAT) has been developed and widely employed to study and predict type 1 hypersensitivity reactions following intravenous infusion and ideally also to help in predicting such a reaction when selecting patients for treatment. The BAT has not been well-studied.\(^6,7\) Thus far, its use in the context of cancer is limited to a small number of studies for the detection of allergic reactions to chemotherapeutic agents.\(^8\) Basophil activation in the context of tumor-associated immunomodulation and in often heavily treated patients has not been well-studied.

Employing the BAT in whole blood of 42 ovarian cancer patients with diverse treatment histories and tumor histologies, we examined the propensity of human basophils to be activated by anti-cancer IgE ex vivo. We first identified circulating basophils (CCR3\(^+\)HLA-DR\(^++\)SSC\(^\text{low}\); gating strategy in Figure S1A) from patients with cancer. Basophils were activated (up-regulation of CD63 expression) ex vivo by IgE and non-IgE-mediated triggers (anti-Fc\(\alpha\)RI, anti-IgE, and fMLP, Figure 1A, Figure S1B). Consistent with previously reported findings in allergic cohorts,\(^6\) levels of basophil activation varied among individuals. We detected no basophil activation following addition of the hapten-specific NIP (4-hydroxy-3-iodo-5-nitrophenylacetic acid) IgE alone or its multivalent antigen (NIP-BSA) alone. However, we detected basophil activation by exogenous stimulation of the hapten-specific NIP IgE in combination with multimeric NIP-BSA (Figure 1A). This suggested that IgE could recognize unoccupied cell surface Fc\(\alpha\)RI on basophils ex vivo and basophils could be activated by exogenous Fc\(\alpha\)RI receptor engagement and formation of cross-linking immune complexes.

We then examined whether stimulation with the anti-cancer mouse/human chimeric IgE antibody (MOv18) could trigger ex vivo basophil activation (Figure 1B, C). As expected in this cohort (n = 42), stimulation with anti-Fc\(\alpha\)RI, anti-IgE, and fMLP (positive controls) triggered CD63 up-regulation. In all but one patient sample, no basophil activation was measured following incubation of ovarian cancer patient blood with MOv18 IgE or control non-FR\(\alpha\)-reactive IgE in the absence of any additional exogenous cross-linking stimulus (mean fold change in %CD63: 1.4 for MOv18 IgE, 1.3 for control IgE; 7.5 and 10.6, respectively, in the positive responder) (Figure 1D). Activation, or lack thereof, was irrespective of different patient tumor histologies and treatment histories, that is, (a) treatment-naive patients (n = 7), (b) following primary debulking surgery (n = 8), (c) following surgery and chemotherapy (n = 21), or (d) following treatment with bevacizumab (n = 7) (Figure 1E, F). Neither MOv18 IgE nor control non-FR\(\alpha\)-reactive IgE triggered basophil activation in the blood of a patient with already raised serum tryptase, a marker which could indicate mastocytosis (although this clinical information was not available) and may have potentially predisposed this individual to an increased risk of hypersensitivity to IgE stimulation, including to MOv18 IgE (Figure 1G).

Since MOv18 IgE recognizes the tumor-associated antigen, FR\(\alpha\), it is possible that FR\(\alpha\)s shed from cancer cells in tissues and anti-FR\(\alpha\) autoantibodies (autoAbs), if present in patient circulation, could form immune complexes with MOv18 IgE. This may result in Fc\(\alpha\)RI cross-linking and basophil activation (Figure 2A). No CD63 up-regulation on basophils was measured following ex vivo stimulation with either MOv18 IgE or control IgE in any sample from patients with known tumor FR\(\alpha\) expression status, as determined by immunohistochemistry (Figure 2B, C, Table S1).
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**FIGURE 1** Anti-cancer IgE does not trigger basophil activation in 98% of cancer patient blood samples studied. Basophil activation (fold change in % CD63 expression) was evaluated following stimulation with anti-FcεRI antibody, anti-IgE antibody, and fMLP (positive controls) and cross-linking of NIP IgE by multimeric NIP-BSA (A). No basophil activation (<3.0 fold change of % CD63-positive basophils, dotted cutoff line) was triggered by MOv18 or control IgE in all but one specimen, despite activation by positive controls (B-D), and irrespective of previous standard treatments received (E, F), nor when measured in the blood of a patient with already raised serum tryptase (G).

**FIGURE 2** FRα-positivity in blood or tumor does not influence basophil activation by anti-cancer IgE. Circulating FRα and anti-FRα autoantibodies may form immune complexes with MOv18 IgE, triggering basophil activation (A). No basophil activation was measured following MOv18 IgE stimulation in blood from the 71% of patients with FRα-positive tumor (B) (representative FRα-stained paraffin-embedded tumor, C). Despite detectable FRα or anti-FRα IgG autoantibodies in a proportion of patients, MOv18 IgE triggered basophil activation in one blood sample (D-G). In the 9% of patients with both FRα and anti-FRα IgG autoantibodies, no basophil activation by MOv18 IgE or control IgE was observed (H).
Anti-FRα IgE autoAbs were not detectable in patient serum (Table S1). Although serum FRα and anti-FRα IgG autoAbs were measurable in 44% and 21% of patients, respectively (Figure 2D, F, Table S1), basophils in 41 of 42 matched unfraccionated blood samples were not activated by incubation with MOv18 or control IgE (Figure 2E, G). MOv18 IgE combined with monovalent recombination FRα did not trigger activation (Figure S1C). Moreover, no MOv18 IgE-mediated activation was measured in those 9% of patients with both measurable serum FRα and IgG autoAbs against FRα, or in the blood from 2 of the 3 patients who additionally had FRα-positive tumor (Figure 2H, Table S1). Basophil activation by MOv18 IgE was observed in only one patient. In this patient’s blood sample, we measured circulating FRα but no anti-FRα autoAbs. The patient’s tumor FRα expression status was unknown, and serum tryptase levels were not elevated (7 ng/mL; Table S1). In the same patient, CD63 up-regulation was also triggered by the control non-FRα-reactive IgE. Together, these suggested that basophil activation in this specimen may involve a non-FRα-specific mechanism, potentially through a humoral response directed toward the antibody’s structural components. The prevalence of such a propensity to activate basophils in ovarian cancer and other patient cohorts and its potential clinical significance require further in-depth investigations. Such studies may consider the possible cross-linking by autoAbs, such as those recognizing alpha-gal (galactose-α-1,3-galactose) previously associated with hypersensitivity to cetuximab, an anti-EGFR IgG antibody, or by anti-drug antibodies (ADAs) that may develop following MOv18 IgE treatment.

In conclusion, the basophil activation test showed no reactivity with MOv18 or control IgE in 41 of 42 ovarian cancer patients’ samples. Combined with measurements of other clinical and biological parameters, application of BAT to the clinical study of a first-in-class IgE in cancer patients (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02546921) may allow correlations with clinical observations, to help monitor and potentially predict patient safety.
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