Specification of GnRH-1 neurons by antagonistic FGF and retinoic acid signaling
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ABSTRACT

A small population of neuroendocrine cells in the rostral hypothalamus and basal forebrain is the key regulator of vertebrate reproduction. They secrete gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH-1), communicate with many areas of the brain and integrate multiple inputs to control gonad maturation, puberty and sexual behavior. In humans, disruption of the GnRH-1 system leads to hypogonadotropic gonadism and Kallmann syndrome. Unlike other neurons in the central nervous system, GnRH-1 neurons arise in the periphery, however their embryonic origin is controversial, and the molecular mechanisms that control their initial specification are not clear. Here, we provide evidence that in chick GnRH-1 neurons originate in the olfactory placode, where they are specified shortly after olfactory sensory neurons. FGF signaling is required and sufficient to induce GnRH-1 neurons, while retinoic acid represses their formation. Both pathways regulate and antagonize each other and our results suggest that the timing of signaling is critical for normal GnRH-1 neuron formation. While Kallmann’s syndrome has generally been attributed to a failure of GnRH-1 neuron migration due to impaired FGF signaling, our findings suggest that in at least some Kallmann patients these neurons may never be specified. In addition, this study highlights the intimate embryonic relationship between GnRH-1 neurons and their targets and modulators in the adult.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The olfactory system is not only critical for odor detection, but also for controlling reproductive behavior (Dulac and Torello, 2003; Meredith, 1998). This function is mediated by hypothalamic gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH-1) neurons (Gore, 2002; Jennes and Conn, 2002; Silverman et al., 1994; Sisk and Foster, 2004), which integrate multiple inputs and modulate brain functions essential for odor-processing, reproductive physiology and gender-specific sexual behavior (Boehm et al., 2005; Yoon et al., 2005). Projecting to the median eminence, they secrete GnRH-1 into the portal system of the pituitary controlling gonadotropin release. Failure of this system results in hypogonadism as in the hypogonadal mouse or in human Kallmann syndrome (KS), where GnRH-1 neurons do not reach their final position (Cariboni and Maggi, 2006; Livne et al., 1992a, 1992b; Mason et al., 1986; Wray, 2002).

Unlike most central nervous system neurons, GnRH-1 neurons arise peripherally, migrate along the olfactory/vomeronal nerve into the telencephalon and turn caudally into the hypothalamus (Abraham et al., 2009; Mulrenin et al., 1999; Schwanefalku and Pfaff, 1989; Whitlock et al., 2003; Wray et al., 1989a). However, their precise developmental origin remains controversial: the olfactory placode, the anterior pituitary, neural crest cells and the respiratory epithelium have all been reported to generate GnRH-1 neurons (Daikoku and Koido, 1998; Daikoku-Ishido et al., 1990; Delhove et al., 1998; el Amraoui and Dubois, 1993; Forni et al., 2011; Metz and Wray, 2010; Mulrenin et al., 1999; Muramaki and Arai, 1994a; Palevitch et al., 2007; Suter et al., 2000; Whitlock, 2004; Whitlock et al., 2003, 2006). Either a placode or neural crest cell origin for GnRH-1 neurons is plausible from a developmental perspective: both tissues generate a variety of migratory progeny including neuroendocrine cells (Baker and Bronner-Fraser, 2001; Le Douarin and Kalcheim, 1999; Schlosser, 2006; Streit, 2007).

Irrespective of their origin, the transcription factors and the signals that impart GnRH-1 identity to neuronal precursors are currently unknown. In mouse, GnRH-1 neurogenesis appears to follow a pathway distinct from most other neurons that is independent of canonical bHLH neuronal determination genes (Kramer and Wray, 2000). While several factors are known to regulate GnRH-1 transcription (Givens et al., 2005; Kelley et al., 2000; Lawson and Mellon, 1998; Lawson et al., 1996; Rave-Harel et al., 2005), their role in GnRH-1 neuron specification has not been investigated in detail. Mutation in fibroblast growth factor 8 (FGF8) and its receptor FGFR1 are associated with human KS (Cariboni and Maggi, 2006; Dode et al., 2003; Falardeau et al., 2008). However, FGFs act repeatedly during forebrain, olfactory and anterior pituitary development controlling the formation of both placodes, olfactory neuroblast proliferation, cell fate specification in the anterior pituitary and GnRH-1 neuron migration (Bailey et al., 2006; Chung et al., 2008; Falardeau et al., 2008; Gill et al., 2004; Guner et al., 2008; Herzog et al., 2004; Kawauchi et al., 2005; Tsai et al., 2005). It is therefore difficult to assess the precise
role of FGF signaling in GnRH-1 neuron development. A second signaling pathway, retinoic acid (RA) acts through two distinct enhancers to repress or induce GnRH-1 (Cho et al., 2001a, 2001b), but its function in GnRH-1 neuron formation is unknown. Thus, despite their importance as integrators of reproduction, the molecular mechanisms that specify GnRH-1 neurons remain unclear.

Here, we identify the olfactory placode as the embryonic source of GnRH-1 neurons in the chick. Following olfactory sensory neuron specification, FGF signaling induces GnRH-1 precursors in a brief window of competence, while RA represses them. Both pathways act mutually antagonistic to determine the time and location of GnRH-1 neuron formation.

Materials and methods

Embryos and bead grafts

Fertile hens' eggs (Stewart Farm, UK) and GFP transgenic hens' eggs (Roslin Institute; McGrew et al., 2008) were incubated at 38 °C in a humidified incubator to the desired stage (HH, Hamburger and Hamilton, 1951). AgtX2 beads were coated with 25 μM all-trans retinoic acid or 100 μM SU5402 in DMSO for 15–30 min at room temperature or treated with DMSO (controls), washed in Tyrode's saline and grafted next to the medial edge of the olfactory placode of HH16 or HH18 chick embryos. Embryos were grown for 12–16 h before being fixed and processed for in situ hybridization.

In situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry

For in situ hybridization on paraffin sections, embryos and explants were fixed in modified Carnoy's solution (60% ethanol, 11.1% formaldehyde, 10% acetic acid), dehydrated into 100% ethanol, embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 8–12 μm. In situ hybridization was carried out as previously described (Xu et al., 2008) using digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense RNA probes for Eya2 (Mishima and Tomarev, 1998), RALDH2 (Bleinctic et al., 2003), GnRH-1 (a gift from Dr. Ian Dunn), FGR8 (a gift from J.C. Izpisúa-Belmonte), Ebf1 (ChEST910a18) and NeuroD (Bell et al., 2008).

Immunohistochemistry was performed on cryosections (Bhattacharyya et al., 2004) using polyclonal antibodies against GnRH-1 (Abcam, 1:100), phospho-histone H3 (Upstate, 1:100) and GFP (FITC-conjugated, Abcam, 1:250 and Invitrogen, 1:2000), monoclonal antibodies against the neuronal markers HuC/D (Invitrogen, 1:100) and neuronal III tubulin (TuJ1, Covance; 1:250), washed in Tyrode's saline and grafted next to the medial edge of the olfactory placode of HH16 or HH18 chick embryos. Embryos were grown for 12–16 h before being fixed and processed for in situ hybridization.

Explant cultures

Embryos from HH15-20 were harvested in Tyrode's saline and olfactory placodes dissected from underlying mesendoderm using 0.05% dispase. Explants were kept on ice before being cultured in collagen (Streit et al., 1997) prepared in medium 199 containing N2 supplement (Invitrogen). Explants were cultured for 60–72 h in the presence or absence of 1 μg/ml FGFR8 (R&D), 5 mM SU5402 (Bailey et al., 2006; Calbiochem), 30 μM Citral (Song et al., 2004; Sigma) or 10−6 (M all-trans RA (Song et al., 2004; Sigma) before being processed for immunohistochemistry or in situ hybridization on sections. To quantify the number of GnRH-1+ cells in each explant, images of each section were taken and a z-stack was reconstructed with ImageJ software available from http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/ (Abramoff et al., 2004). The 3D object counter was used to count positive cells. An unpaired Student's t-test was performed to determine the significance between control and treated conditions.

Results

The olfactory placode gives rise to GnRH-1 neurons

Although GnRH-1 expressing cells are first detected in the olfactory placode (Mulrenin et al., 1999; Schwanzel-Fukuda and Pfaff, 1989; Wray, 2002; Wray et al., 1989a) various origins for GnRH-1 neurons have been proposed in amniotes and teleosts including the olfactory placode, the anterior pituitary and neural crest cells (Daikoku and Koide, 1998; Daikoku-Ishido et al., 1990; Dellovade et al., 1998; el Amraoui and Dubois, 1993; Foroni et al., 2011; Metz and Wray, 2010; Mulrenin et al., 1999; Murakami and Arai, 1994a; Suter et al., 2000; Whitlock, 2004; Whitlock et al., 2003, 2006). We took advantage of the chick where existing, accurate fate maps (Bhattacharyya et al., 2004; Couly and Le Douarin, 1985, 1988; Le Douarin, 1986; Le Douarin and Kalcheim, 1999; Streit, 2002; Xu et al., 2008) allow temporally and spatially controlled lineage labeling and transplantation experiments.

To investigate whether cranial neural crest cells contribute to GnRH-1 neurons, the neural folds (future neural crest) of GFP transgenic chick embryos were grafted into the same position of a wild type host at HH8 (n = 7; Fig. 1a–c′′); at HH30, when GnRH-1 neuron production is prominent, GFP+ neural crest cells fill the frontalonasal mass and surround the olfactory nerve, but are never found in the olfactory epithelium (Fig. 1a–c′′); see also Barraud et al., 2010). GnRH-1+ cells are located along the nerve but not in the olfactory epithelium as observed earlier (HH25), however they never express GFP (Fig. 1a–c, c′′; in 3 specimen 0/595 GnRH-1+ neurons are GFP+; 0% +/− 0%). Likewise, GFP+ neural crest-derived cells never express the neuronal markers TuJ1 (Fig. 1a–a′, c′′) or Hu (not shown; see also: Barraud et al., 2010). These findings show that chick neural crest cells do not contribute to the olfactory epithelium, and do not generate any neurons within the olfactory system including GnRH-1 neurons.
placode precursors populate the dorsal part of the olfactory pit, are found along the olfactory nerve and express GnRH-1 (Fig. 1e–i′′′; n=18). To confirm these results using a different approach we grafted the future olfactory placode from HH8 GFP transgenic chick embryos into the same position of stage-matched hosts (n=4; Fig. 1f–h′). At HH30, the olfactory placode and nerve are GFP+ as expected, in 4 specimens 333/417 GnRH-1+ cells are also GFP+; 79.8% ± 19.5%. In summary, our results show that in the chick GnRH-1 neurons are derived from the olfactory placode.

GnRH-1 neurons are specified prior to their emergence from the olfactory placode

In the olfactory placode, sensory neurogenesis is well underway at HH17, as evident from the expression of Ebf1 and NeuroD (Fig. 2Ab, c), however GnRH-1 transcripts are absent (Fig. 2Aa) and only detected from HH19 onwards (Mulrenin et al., 1999; Norgren and Lehman, 1991). At later stages (HH25/26), GnRH-1 neurons are present in the dorsal-medial aspect of the olfactory placode and migrate along the olfactory nerve (Fig. 1e′–i′′). To investigate when the olfactory placode is able to generate GnRH-1 neurons autonomously (i.e. the time of GnRH-1 neuron specification), we established an explant assay using serum-free conditions. To assess whether these conditions are suitable for GnRH-1 neuron culture, we explanted olfactory placodes from HH20, when GnRH-1 transcripts are already expressed. As expected, these explants are GnRH-1 positive after 60 hours culture (n=4; Fig. 2B). In contrast, olfactory explants from HH15 fail to generate GnRH-1+ cells even after prolonged culture (n=6; Fig. 2B), but do express the neuronal markers Hu, Ebf1 and NeuroD (n=6, n=10 and n=5, respectively; Fig. 2B). Thus, olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) are already specified at HH15 (see also: Maier et al., 2010), while GnRH-1 neurons are not. At stage HH16, olfactory explants produce very few GnRH-1+ cells (n=26) and their number increases in HH17 explants after 2.5 days culture (2.1 ± 0.8% of all cells in each explant; Fig. 3B). Over the entire culture period, explants continue to express the placode marker EyA2 and the neuronal markers Ebf1, NeuroD and Hu (Fig. 2B). These results show that the olfactory placode initiates the formation of sensory neurons prior to the production of neuroendocrine GnRH-1 cells. Remarkably, although GnRH-1 is only detected at HH19 in vivo, GnRH-1 precursors are already specified at HH16/17 indicating that at this stage the olfactory placode contains all factors required for their formation.

FGF signaling acts in a brief time window to specify GnRH-1 neurons in the olfactory placode

While olfactory sensory progenitors depend on FGF signaling and are negatively regulated by TGFβs (Beites et al., 2005; Calof et al., 2002; Kawauchi et al., 2005), the signals that initiate the formation of GnRH-1 neurons are currently unknown. At the time of GnRH-1 neuron specification (i.e., HH16/17), FGF8 and its target Sprouty2 are expressed at the dorsal-medial edge of the olfactory placode, close to where GnRH-1 neurons later delaminate from the placode (Fig. 2Ae, f; Fig. 4A). To test whether FGF signaling is required for their specification, olfactory placode explants from HH17 embryos, which consistently generate GnRH-1 neurons, were cultured in the presence or absence of the FGF receptor inhibitor SU5402. As expected, inhibition of the FGF pathway leads to a loss of GnRH-1 neurons (DMSO-treated, n=23; Fig. 3Aa, a′), inhibition of FGF signaling completely prevents their formation (n=23; Fig. 3Ag–h). While control explants generate GnRH-1 neurons (SU5402-treated, n=23), inhibition of FGF signaling also affects the placode (Fig. 3Ac, a′′), indicating that FGF signaling regulates both the placode and its target FGF signaling acts in a brief time window to specify GnRH-1 neurons in the olfactory placode.
cells) and no difference in cell death has been reported recently under similar conditions (Maier et al., 2010).

The above results show that FGF signaling is required for initial specification of GnRH-1 neurons at HH16/17. However, it takes 2–3 days until the first GnRH-1 positive neurons emerge in vivo and in vitro. To assess whether GnRH-1 neurons depend on FGF signaling after specification, we cultured HH20 olfactory placodes in the presence or absence of SU5402. In both conditions, GnRH-1 neurons are produced (DMSO controls: n=10; SU5402: n=8; Fig. 3f, f’, l, l’). These results show that FGF signaling is required for the early phase of GnRH-1 neuron production, but not for their maintenance. Thus, both GnRH-1 and OSNs are independent of sustained FGF signaling once they are specified.

We next tested whether FGF8 is sufficient to induce GnRH-1 neurons prior to their specification (i.e. before HH16). HH15 olfactory placode explants normally do not generate any GnRH-1+ cells (n=11), however they do so in the presence of FGF8 (Fig. 3Ba, a′, e, e′; C; n=15; 3.3 ± 2.3% of all cells in each explant), comparable to the numbers observed in explants from HH17 embryos. In contrast, FGF8 does not affect the number of Hu+ neurons (n=8; Fig. 3Ba′, a′′, e′, e′′) or the expression of Ebf1 (Fig. 3Bb; f; control: n=2; FGF8: n=3) and proliferation does not change (Fig. 3Bc, c′, g, g′; control: n=4, 3.3 ± 1.4% pH3+ cells; FGF8: n=4, 2.9 ± 1.7% pH3+ cells). After GnRH-1 neurons are specified, FGF8 treatment does not induce more cells to adopt a GnRH-1 fate: even in the presence of FGF8 the number of GnRH-1 neurons per HH17 explant does not increase (Fig. 3C; controls: n=6, 2 ± 1.4% GnRH-1+ cells/explant; FGF8 treated: n=11, 2.4 ± 1.5% GnRH-1+ cells/explant). These results suggest that FGF signaling only acts during a limited time period to induce GnRH-1 neuron precursors.

To investigate this further, we cultured HH15 olfactory placode explants in the presence of FGF8 for 24 h followed by another 36–48 hours’ culture without FGF8. Even when only exposed to FGF for a short time, explants generate GnRH-1 neurons (n=7; Fig. 3Bd, d′, h, h′) confirming that FGFs act during a brief window of competence.

Together, these results show that FGF signaling is necessary and sufficient to specify precursors for GnRH-1 neurons in the olfactory placode. FGFs act during a narrow window of competence and thereafter GnRH-1 neurons become independent of this pathway.

**Retinoic acid suppresses the production of GnRH-1 neurons**

Complementary to FGF8 and Sprouty2, the retinoic acid-producing enzyme RALDH3 is expressed at the ventro-lateral aspect of the olfactory placode, away from the region where GnRH-1 neurons form
FGF signaling specifies GnRH-1 neurons during a narrow time window, while RA inhibits their formation. A. Olfactory placode explants from HH17 generate GnRH-1 and Hu positive neurons (a–a′), express the FGF target Sprouty2 (b) and the neuronal markers NeuroD and Ebf1 (c, d) after 2.5 days in culture. When FGF signaling is inhibited (g–k′) by SU5402, GnRH-1 (g) and Sprouty2 (h) expression is lost, while all Hu (g′). NeuroD (i) and Ebf1 (j) are maintained. Proliferation as indicated by PH3 staining (e, k) is unaffected. When explants from HH20 are cultured for 2 days in vitro they express GnRH-1 (f; green) even when FGF signaling is inhibited (l). DAPI (a′, e′, g′, k′, l′) labels nuclei. B. After 3 days in culture, HH15 olfactory placode explants are GnRH-1 negative (a), but express Hu (a′) and Ebf1 (b). FGF8 induces GnRH-1 expression (e), while there is no change in Hu (e′) or Ebf1 (f) expression, or in proliferation as revealed by PH3 staining (c, f). GnRH-1 expression is induced when olfactory explants are exposed to FGF8 for 3 days continuously (f′) or when exposed to FGF8 for one day, followed by 2 days in its absence (f″). This suggests that short exposure to FGF8 is sufficient to initiate GnRH-1 production. DAPI (a′, c′, d′, e′, g′, h′) labels nuclei. C. Quantification of GnRH-1+ under different conditions; bar diagram shows average % of GnRH-1+ cells per explant. There is a significant difference between controls and Su5402 treated HH17 explants and between control and FGF8-treated HH15 explants (*). FGF8 does not increase the numbers of GnRH-1 neurons significantly at HH17. D. RA inhibits GnRH-1 neuron formation. Inhibition of RA signaling in HH17 olfactory placode explants using Citral does not affect GnRH-1 or Hu expression: there is no difference in control (a–a′) and Citral treated explants (b–b′). In contrast, all-trans RA inhibits the formation of GnRH-1+ and Hu+ cells; controls (c–c′) express both markers, but they are lost in the presence of RA (e–e′). After HH20, GnRH-1 neurons explants are not sensitive to all-trans RA treatment: both control (d, d′) and RA-treated explants (f, f′) express GnRH-1 (d, f).

(Fig. 3A–D; Fig. 4A, C). Do FGF and RA signaling antagonize each other to position GnRH-1 neurons? In the presence of the RA inhibitor Citral the production of GnRH-1+ and Hu+ cells is unaffected in olfactory placode explants (control: n = 6; Citral: n = 11; Fig. 3A–D; b–b′). In contrast, these cells do not form in the absence of all-trans RA (control: n = 5; RA: n = 6; Fig. 3A–D; c–c′). To assess whether GnRH-1 neurons continue to be sensitive to RA after their specification (i.e. after HH16/7), we cultured HH20 olfactory explants in the presence of RA: GnRH-1 neurons are still produced (n = 12; Fig. 3D; d, d′, f, f′). These results demonstrate that RA represses the formation of GnRH-1 precursors at the time of specification, but does not interfere with their subsequent development. Thus, both FGF and RA signaling act during a brief time window affecting GnRH-1 precursors, but not more mature GnRH-1 expressing cells.

This raises the possibility that at the time of GnRH-1 precursor specification, opposing functions of RA and FGF signaling may determine the site of GnRH-1 neuron production in the dorsal-medial aspect of the olfactory placode. To test whether RA and FGF signals indeed regulate each other, we manipulated both pathways in vivo. At HH16, RA or SU5402 coated or control beads were grafted next to the dorsal-medial edge of the olfactory placode, where FGF8 and Sprouty2 are expressed. When RA levels are elevated FGF8 (Fig. 4A; n = 6) and Sprouty2 (not shown) expression are reduced after 12 h, while RALDH3 expression expands when FGF signaling is inhibited (Fig. 4A; b, b′; n = 9). Control beads (n = 12) do not affect gene expression. Interestingly, just a few hours later (HH18) modulation of FGF and RA signaling does not affect the expression of these genes (not shown). This finding suggests that their antagonistic interaction is restricted to a very short time window corresponding to the time when GnRH-1 precursor specification takes place (HH16/17). We therefore propose a model in which FGF initiates GnRH-1 precursors (Fig. 4C; HH15/16), RA production begins slightly later (Fig. 4C; HH15/17) and inhibits their formation in the ventro-lateral olfactory placode. By HH16/18 FGF8 and RALDH3 expression domains abut, they negatively regulate each other and restrict GnRH-1 neuron production to the dorsal medial aspect of the olfactory placode (Fig. 4C; right).
In vertebrates, hypothalamic GnRH-1 neurons perform critical functions in reproductive physiology and behavior: their disruption in humans results in hypogonadotropic hypogonadism or Kallmann syndrome (KS) (Cariboni and Maggi, 2006; Wray, 2002). Here, we show that in the chick they arise in the olfactory placode, where they are specified through mutual antagonism of RA and FGF signaling. GnRH-1 precursor specification is under strict temporal control, being limited to a brief period after the formation of OSNs. Human KS has generally been attributed to a failure of GnRH-1 neuron migration, however our findings raise the possibility that at least in some patients these neurons may fail to be specified.

**Origin of GnRH-1 neurons**

The origin of GnRH-1 neurons remains controversial. Although numerous studies in amniotes indicate an olfactory placode origin (Daikoku and Koide, 1998; Daikoku-Ishido et al., 1990; Dellovade et al., 1998; el Amraoui and Dubois, 1993; Mulrenin et al., 1999; Murakami and Arai, 1994a; Suter et al., 2000) recent zebrafish data suggest that the anterior pituitary and neural crest, but not the...
olfactory placode, produces GnRH-1 neurons (Whitlock, 2004; Whitlock et al., 2003, 2006). While GnRH-1 neurons are normal in mice lacking the anterior pituitary (Metz and Wray, 2010), genetic lineage tracing of neural crest cells using Wnt1-Cre;R26RYFP/+ lines show contradictory results. One study reported no contribution of YFP+ cells to the olfactory epithelium or to neurons along the olfactory nerve (Barraud et al., 2010). The other showed variable, patchy YFP expression in the olfactory epithelium and in GnRH-1 neurons (Forni et al., 2011) suggesting that neural crest cells contribute to both. This is a very surprising finding, since a neural crest cell contribution to olfactory epithelial lineages has not been described previously (Coulby and Le Douarin, 1987; Ericsson et al., 2008; Gross and Hanken, 2004; Inoue et al., 2000; Iwao et al., 2008; Le Douarin and Dupin, 1993; Le Douarin and Kalcheim, 1999). Using the same transgenic line, we explored substantial variability of YFP expression including ectopic activity in the telencephalon and retina (unpublished observations), suggesting that YFP expression in Wnt1-Cre;R26RYFP/+ embryos should not be assumed to reflect neural crest origin. In contrast, our chick experiments yielded consistent results using two different fate-mapping methods: lineage labeling with vital dyes and tissue grafting from transgenic GFP donors to unlabelled hosts. In chick, neither the anterior pituitary nor neural crest cells generate GnRH-1 neurons, while the olfactory placode does. Although the olfactory nerve is surrounded by cells of neural crest origin, these cells do not invade the olfactory epithelium and have recently been identified as olfactory ensheathing cells (Barraud et al., 2010). We therefore conclude that GnRH-1 neurons arise in the olfactory placode.

Diversity of neuronal progenitors in the olfactory placode

The olfactory placode not only generates OSNs, but also migratory neurons including GnRH-1, somatostatin, calbindin, galanin and neuropeptide Y (NPY) neurons (Abe et al., 1992; Hilal et al., 1996; Key and Wray, 2000; Murakami and Ariai, 1994b; Tarozzo et al., 1994; Toba et al., 2001). Thus, modulators of the olfactory and reproductive system are already closely associated during development. In the adult, GnRH-1 neurons receive input from OSNs (Boehm et al., 2005; Yoon et al., 2005), while NPY neurons regulate GnRH-1 release in the nervous terminalis and gonadotropin secretion in the anterior pituitary gland (Kalra and Crowley, 1992). In the olfactory bulb, somatostatin interneurons influence gamma oscillation in the olfactory network to modulate odor discrimination (Lepousez et al., 2010). Thus, primary olfactory neurons and neurons that modulate olfactory processing share a common embryonic origin and continuously interact during development. Their intimate relationship throughout embryogenesis may provide critical guidance cues to assemble neuronal circuits as a prerequisite for functional integration (Cariboni et al., 2007). This is reminiscent of ear development, where neurons originate from the same site as the sensory hair cells they later innervate (Bell et al., 2008), which in turn may be crucial for axon path finding and tonotopic innervation (Fekete and Campero, 2007; Rubez and Fritzsch, 2002).

How do GnRH-1 and OSNs become different from each other? Both require FGF signaling albeit at different times (Maier et al., 2010; this study). They appear to arise from common Sox2+ and Ascl1+ progenitors, however OSNs formation requires proneural and neuronal determination factors (Burns and Vetter, 2002; Cau et al., 1997; Cau et al., 2000, 2002; Maier and Gunhaga, 2009; Manglapus et al., 2004) absent in GnRH-1 neurons (Kramer and Wray, 2000). Several transcription factors among them Gata4, Otx2, Oct1 and members of the Dlx family control GnRH-1 expression (Givens et al., 2005; Kelley et al., 2000; Lawson and Mellon, 1998; Lawson et al., 1996; Rave-Harel et al., 2005). Otx2 mutations are associated with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism in humans and its deletion in GnRH-1 neurons eliminates a considerable proportion of these neurons (Diazok et al., 2011). It is therefore possible that combinatorial expression of these factors specifies GnRH-1 neurons within the olfactory placode.

FGF signaling and GnRH-1 neurons

FGF signaling plays a crucial role throughout the development of GnRH-1 neurons. At early stages, FGFs mediate olfactory placode induction (Bailey et al., 2006) and later FGF8 is required for maintenance of the placode and its derivative, the vomeronasal organ (Kawauchi et al., 2005). In FGF8 hypomorphic mice, the olfactory epithelium is intact, but GnRH-1 neurons fail to emerge (Chung et al., 2008; Falaradeau et al., 2008) presumably due to the absence of the vomeronasal organ, which is the source of GnRH-1 neurons in mouse (Wray et al., 1989b). In humans, FGF8 and FGR1 mutations are associated with KS (Albuisson et al., 2005; Dode et al., 2003; Falaradeau et al., 2008; Pitteloud et al., 2006; Zenaty et al., 2006) and in FGR1 knockout mice GnRH-1 neurons are reduced (Chung et al., 2008; Gill et al., 2004; Tsai et al., 2005). Finally, FGF signaling plays a role in patterning the olfactory placode by promoting medial fates (Tucker et al., 2010). Thus, although FGFs have previously been implicated in GnRH-1 neuron development, our studies are the first to provide direct evidence that the FGF pathway is required for their initial specification during a very narrow time window. Human KS is generally attributed to a failure of GnRH-1 neuron migration, however our results indicate that KS may also be due to a lack of specification.

A complex interplay of FGF and RA signaling

To form a functional sensory nervous system peripheral and central components must develop in register. This is particularly apparent in the face where alignment of sensory structures with the corresponding parts of the brain is accompanied by complex morphogenetic events. In this context, FGF and RA pathways interact repeatedly to coordinate morphogenesis of the forebrain and the facial ectoderm, including the olfactory placode and bulb. At early stages, ectoderm-derived RA signals via neural crest cells to maintain the expression of FGF8 in the telencephalon and the cranial ectoderm (Schneider et al., 2001; Hu and Marcucio, 2009).

In contrast, RA and FGF pathways oppose each other slightly later to pattern the olfactory placode and to regulate the transition from self-renewing to neurogenic progenitor cells. At the lateral rim of the placode RA promotes lateral olfactory fates and maintains slowly dividing progenitors, while suppressing medial character and rapidly dividing progenitors. In contrast, medial FGF signaling has opposite effects (LaMantia et al., 1993, 2000; Rawson et al., 2010; Tucker et al., 2010). Here we show that GnRH-1 neurons emerging dorso-medially require active FGF signaling and are repressed by RA (Fig. 4C). Their antagonistic interaction may be due to negative cross-regulation: inhibition of FGF signaling expands RALDH3, while an excess of RA represses FGF activity. The olfactory nerve forms a substrate for migrating GnRH-1 neurons and projects to the anterior telencephalon; here it initiates olfactory bulb formation in an FGF-dependent manner together with continued RA signaling from the neural crest (Anchan et al., 1997; Crossley et al., 2001; Fukushima-Shimogori and Grove, 2001; Hebert et al., 2003; LaMantia et al., 1993; Meyers et al., 1998).

Finally, when the nasal pits are well invaginated, RA and FGF signaling appear to act in a positive feedback loop. FGF8 expression expands laterally and is now dependent on RA (Szabo-Rogers et al., 2008) and vice versa (unpublished observations). Thus, a complex interplay of RA and FGF signaling coordinates olfactory placode patterning and neurogenesis with the formation of its central target, the olfactory bulb.

The opposing action of RA and FGF signaling appears to emerge as a common theme in regulating neuronal differentiation. In the elongating spinal cord and in embryonic stem cells, FGF activity ensures continued proliferation of progenitor cells, while RA initiates neuronal differentiation (Diez del Corral and Storey, 2004; Diez del Corral et al., 2003; Sockanathan et al., 2003; Stavridis et al., 2010). In the
olfactory placode, the molecular interactions are conserved, while the processes they control differ. The FGF pathway maintains a pool of progenitors (Kawauchi et al., 2005) and promotes GnRH-1 neurons (Fig. 4C), while RA inhibits differentiation and maintains self-renewing progenitors before they commit to neurogenesis.
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