[\textsuperscript{68}Ga]Ga-THP-Pam: A Bisphosphonate PET tracer with Facile Radiolabelling and Broad Calcium Mineral Affinity
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Abstract

Calcium minerals such as hydroxyapatite (HAp) can be detected non-invasively *in vivo* using nuclear imaging agents such as $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ (available from cyclotrons), for positron emission tomography (PET) and $^{99}\text{mTc}$-radionlabelled bisphosphonates (BP; available from $^{99}\text{mTc}$ generators for single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) or scintigraphy). These two types of imaging agents allow detection of bone metastases (based on the presence of HAp) and vascular calcification lesions (that contain HAp and other calcium minerals). With the aim of developing a cyclotron-independent PET radiotracer for these lesions, with broad calcium mineral affinity and simple one-step radiolabelling, we developed $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$. Radiolabelling with $^{68}\text{Ga}$ is achieved using a mild single-step kit (5 min, room temperature, pH 7) to high radiochemical yield and purity (>95%). NMR studies demonstrate that Ga binds *via* the THP chelator, leaving the BP free to bind to its biological target. $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ shows high stability in human serum. The calcium mineral binding of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ was compared *in vitro* to two other $^{68}\text{Ga}$-BPs which have been successfully evaluated in humans, $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}^{\text{BP}}$ and $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-BPAMD}$, as well as $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$. Interestingly, we found that all $^{68}\text{Ga}$-BPs have a high affinity for a broad range of calcium minerals implicated in vascular calcification disease, while $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ is selective for HAp. Using healthy young mice as a model of metabolically active growing calcium mineral *in vivo*, we compared the pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ with $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ as well as $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{NO2AP}^{\text{BP}}$. These studies revealed that $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ has high *in vivo* affinity for bone tissue (high bone/muscle and bone/blood ratios) and fast blood clearance ($t_{1/2} < 10$ min) comparable to both $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{NO2AP}^{\text{BP}}$ and $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$. Overall, $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ shows high potential for clinical translation as a cyclotron-independent calcium mineral PET radiotracer, with simple and efficient radiochemistry that can be easily implemented in any radiopharmacy.
**Introduction**

Calcium is an essential element in human biology and the most abundant metallic element in the body by weight.\(^1,2\) The majority of body calcium is in the form of a solid mineral, hydroxyapatite (HAp; \(\text{Ca}_5(\text{PO}_4)_3(\text{OH})\)) in bones.\(^3\) This calcium mineral can be targeted *in vivo* using bisphosphonates (BPs, Scheme 1A), which led to the development of BP-based radiotracers such as \(^{99m}\text{Tc}\)-methylene diphosphonate (MDP) \((^{99m}\text{Tc}\); \(t_{1/2} = 6.02\) h). Introduced half a century ago, \(^{99m}\text{Tc}\)-MDP is still widely used in nuclear medicine to image bone disease, particularly metastatic cancer in the bones using single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging (Scheme 1A).\(^4-7\) Its chemical structure, however, remains unknown.\(^8\)

In recent years there has been increasing interest in performing bone scans using positron emission tomography (PET) imaging. This is due to its better sensitivity and spatial resolution, compared to SPECT, which allows the detection of smaller lesions as well as improved image quantification. The radiotracer of choice in clinical PET imaging of bone lesions is the sodium salt of \(^{18}\text{F}\)fluoride \((^{18}\text{F}; \ t_{1/2} = 110\) min; \(\beta^+ = 97\%\)).\(^9-11\) Interestingly, \(^{18}\text{F}\)NaF binds HAp not by interacting with calcium but by displacement of the hydroxide in the HAp lattice (Scheme 1A).\(^12\)

**Scheme 1.** (A) Schematic showing the proposed binding of bisphosphonate (BP)-based imaging agents and \(^{18}\text{F}\)NaF to hydroxyapatite (HAp); (B) Formulae of the different calcium salts discussed in this work.

Besides HAp, other calcium minerals are implicated in a number of health conditions in which a sensitive, non-invasive imaging method such as PET would be of great use. One
such example is vascular calcification, a clinical marker of atherosclerosis in which soft vascular tissue forms plaques that can occlude the flow of blood through the affected vessel and can rupture, causing potentially fatal downstream effects.\textsuperscript{13} Atherosclerosis can lead to cardiovascular disease (CVD), the leading cause of death globally with \textit{ca.} 18 million deaths in 2016 and an upward trend expected over the next decade.\textsuperscript{14} The primary composition of vascular calcification lesions is disputed in the literature, with not only HAp, but also calcium oxalate monohydrate, and $\beta$-tricalcium phosphate partially substituted with magnesium (whitlockite) being reported as the main components.\textsuperscript{15-20} Other calcium minerals such as calcium carbonate, calcium pyrophosphate and amorphous calcium phosphate have also been reported, as well as heterogeneous composition between patients.\textsuperscript{15,18,21} Thus, it seems that calcium in these lesions is present as a mixture that may contain several non-HAp materials, summarised in Scheme 1B. The role that these non-HAp calcium minerals may have in different stages of CVD, and their potential as imaging biomarkers, remain to be elucidated.

Despite the advantages of $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ as a PET radiotracer, its binding mechanism and \textit{in vitro/vivo} data indicate that its target \textit{in vivo} is HAp (Scheme 1A) and not other calcium minerals that have also been identified in vascular calcification lesions.\textsuperscript{22,23} BPs on the other hand are not selective for HAp, showing broader calcium mineral affinity.\textsuperscript{24,25} Hence, there is considerable interest in the development of BP-based PET radiotracers. These should allow the detection of bone lesions, as recently demonstrated in patients,\textsuperscript{5,26-30} but also calcified vasculature which we have discussed above may contain different types of calcium minerals besides HAp and thus may benefit from the broader calcium mineral affinity of BPs.

From the radiopharmacy and clinical translation perspective, a disadvantage of current BP-based PET radiotracers is a relatively complex radiochemistry. $^{18}\text{F}$ is produced by cyclotrons, which are expensive and complex instruments, but can produce $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ in large quantities without any further need for complicated radiosynthetic procedures.\textsuperscript{10} On the other hand, PET BPs rely on the use of radiometals and hence are bifunctional chelators that generally involve multi-step syntheses and radiosynthetic procedures, which may require an automated synthesis module for clinical translation.\textsuperscript{5,26-28,31-40} The most promising radionuclide in the development of PET BPs is $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ($t_{1/2} = 68$ min; $\beta^+ = 89\%$). This radionuclide not only benefits from a short radiation decay half-life that minimises radiation dose to patients, but also availability from both cyclotrons and benchtop generators that can be installed in any radiopharmacy, allowing cyclotron-independent PET radiotracers on-site.\textsuperscript{41} Having a variety
of such cyclotron-independent radiotracers is attractive as it would not only provide an alternative supply of some PET radiotracers in case of cyclotron failures, but also potentially open the door to cyclotron-independent PET scanners, widening access to PET for patients geographically distant from cyclotron centres. Notable examples of $^{68}$Ga radiotracers that are increasingly being exploited for clinical PET today include those based on PSMA for imaging prostate cancer, $^{42-44}$ octreotide analogues for neuroendocrine tumours, $^{45}$ and recently FAP inhibitors, which shows exciting prospects as a pan-cancer PET imaging agent. $^{46}$

We set out to create a BP-based “cold kit” radiopharmaceutical for PET imaging of calcium minerals, in which $^{68}$Ga generator eluate could be added directly to a vial containing pre-formulated reagents and used without any further steps. Our motivation was not only to explore the broad calcium mineral affinity of BPs, but also to combine the superior performance of PET imaging with the simplicity of radionuclide generator kit-based synthesis and availability, that has been largely responsible for the long-term success of $^{99m}$Tc radiochemistry and the nuclear imaging field. Here, we describe the development of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$, a $^{68}$Ga complex of the efficient gallium chelator (tris)hydroxypyridinone (THP), $^{44, 47-57}$ conjugated with the clinically used BP pamidronate (Pam) (Figure 1A) and its comparison with $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ and two other $^{68}$Ga-labelled BPs—BPAMD (Figure 1B) and NO2APBP (Figure 1C)—which have undergone extensive evaluation including first in human studies. $^{5, 26-28, 31-33, 58}$
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**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of the bifunctional bisphosphonate chelators discussed in this work. (A) THP-Pam; (B) BPAMD; (C) NO2APBP.
Results and discussion

Synthesis of THP-Pam. The bifunctional chelator THP-Pam was designed to include a \((tris)\)hydroxypyridinone group for efficient gallium chelation, as well as the bone-targeting moiety pamidronate (Pam), a clinically-used aminobisphosphonate with known high affinity for bone mineral.\(^{59}\) Pamidronate was synthesised according to a literature procedure (Scheme 2A),\(^{60}\) leading to a pure white solid with a shelf-life at room temperature of at least 4485 days, as measured by NMR, MS and elemental analysis. Among the clinically used second generation amino-BPs, pamidronate has the shorter chain between the BP and the amine groups, keeping the overall size of the molecule as small as possible, which generally leads to faster pharmacokinetics (PK).

In order to form the conjugate, the primary amine group of pamidronate was reacted with THP-isothiocyanate\(^{50}\) in a single step (Scheme 2B). Water was chosen as the solvent for this reaction due to the poor solubility of amino-BPs in organic solvents.\(^{24}\) This however opened the possibility of the slow degradation of the isothiocyanate in water. To increase the speed of reaction and reduce the likelihood of degradation, a minimal volume of solvent was used and the reaction was performed at 90°C in a sealed vial with a large excess of pamidronate to help drive the desired reaction. Triethylamine was used to achieve a reaction pH of ~11 in order to deprotonate the amine of pamidronate (pK\(_a\) = 10.40–13.06),\(^{61}\) and allow the reaction to proceed. The high pH increased the water solubility of both pamidronate and THP-NCS. Monitoring the progress of the reaction by LC/MS indicated that 2 h was the optimal reaction time. These reaction conditions were successful in reducing the number of by-products; the only products observed by LC/MS, aside from excess pamidronate, were >90% THP-Pam (\(m/z \ [M + 2H]^{2+} = 598\)) and <10 % THP-NCS hydrolysed to a primary amine (\(m/z \ [M + 2H]^{2+} = 460\)).

The resulting thiourea bond has been reported to be less stable than amide bonds,\(^{62,63}\) however thiourea bonds have also been shown to be stable in vivo over timescales of up to 24 h.\(^{64}\) Due to the short circulation time of BPs and short half-life of \(^{68}\)Ga, the risk of hydrolysis of the thiourea bond during imaging was considered to be minimal. An amide bond formation would have been an alternative bioconjugation strategy, using N-hydroxysuccinimide esters. However, the relatively fast hydrolysis of the activated esters would have made water an unsuitable solvent for the required bioconjugation conditions (high pH and T) and the
commercial availability of THP-isothiocyanate allowed for a more straightforward synthetic approach.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of THP-Pam. (A) Synthesis of the amino-BP pamidronate; (B) Thiourea bond formation between pamidronate and THP-NCS yields THP-Pam in 71% yield.

To remove the unreacted pamidronate, the reaction solution was loaded onto a Sep-Pak tC18 cartridge, from which pamidronate could selectively be eluted in water (0.1% TFA), while the other products were subsequently eluted in 1:1 water:acetonitrile (0.1% TFA). Collecting small volume fractions, those with the most THP-Pam and least hydrolysed THP-NCS, as measured using LC/MS, were collected. LC/MS analysis of the collated fractions confirmed the success of this purification step, with a final purity of >95% THP-Pam. After evaporation, THP-Pam was obtained as a white powder in 71% yield and no further attempts were made to optimise reaction conditions. The product can also be purified by semi-preparative HPLC (HPLC method 1 (Table S1); t_R = 20.9 min).

Characterisation of THP-Pam. Analytical C18 reverse-phase HPLC analysis showed a single peak (Figure 2A) with some tailing, indicating a pure product with multiple ionisation states or different modes of retention on the stationary phase, as previously seen for other BP compounds. THP-Pam eluted at t_R = 10.4 minutes (HPLC method 2 (Table S2)), at which point the mobile phase is ~70% water, as confirmed by LC/MS analysis (Figure 2B). Pamidronate, on the other hand, elutes at t_R = 1.7 minutes under the same conditions. After purification, LC/MS analyses occasionally indicated the presence of THP-Pam + Fe (m/z [M + 2H]^2+ = 625). This highlights the tendency for both THP and BPs to bind to metals ions,
especially iron(III), and the importance of avoiding metal contamination at any stage of reaction. Upon binding to iron, THP-Pam changes from a white powder to a pink or red powder, providing an extremely sensitive indicator of the presence of iron. As this was not the case with our purified product, it was assumed that the iron was bound during transition through the LC/MS system and was not present in the product.

The $^{31}P\{{}^1H\}$ NMR spectrum of THP-Pam showed a single peak at 17.86 ppm, and consistent with the chemical shift for a BP (Figure 2C). The $^1H$ NMR spectrum also showed the expected resonances for THP-Pam, however all peaks were rather broad (Figure 2D). This is likely due to different ionisation states of the molecule causing different conformations or small degrees of iron binding from solvent impurities. $^{19}F$ NMR revealed the presence of TFA salts in the final product (Figure 2E), even after low-vacuum evaporation. This was further confirmed by elemental analysis and is due to the presence of TFA in the mobile phases used during purification of THP-Pam.
Figure 2. Characterisation of THP-Pam. (A) Reverse-phase C<sub>18</sub> HPLC UV (254 nm); (B) ESI-MS spectrum (+ve mode) from LC/MS; (C) $^{31}$P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR in D<sub>2</sub>O; (D) $^{1}$H NMR in D<sub>2</sub>O; (E) $^{19}$F NMR in D<sub>2</sub>O confirming the presence of TFA salts.

As both pamidronate and THP are known metal chelators, we conducted NMR studies in order to determine whether gallium will preferentially bind to THP, as expected due to its high affinity towards this metal, or if the BP could be involved. This is an important factor as competing of the BP with the chelator for the binding of gallium-68 has been noted in previous BP-based tracers. To investigate this possibility, an aqueous solution (D<sub>2</sub>O) of THP-Pam of known concentration and adjusted to pH 9 by addition of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was prepared at room temperature. To this solution, known amounts of non-radioactive gallium nitrate were
added incrementally and the binding process monitored by $^{31}$P{${}^1$H} NMR to monitor the BP moiety, and the aromatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum to monitor the hydroxypyridinone moieties responsible for the chelating properties of THP (Figure 3). As Ga(III) ions were added, a loss of symmetry and a change in chemical shift was observed in the aromatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum (Figure 3A), indicating a change in the chemical environment of the hydroxypyridinone arms of THP and hence supportive of direct metal binding, as seen in previous THP studies. Meanwhile the bisphosphonate peak in the $^{31}$P{${}^1$H} NMR spectrum (Figure 3B) did not change, apart from minor peak broadening likely caused by slowing of the movement of the THP arms in response to metal binding, and a minor chemical shift from 17.90 to 17.97 ppm as gallium was added. As a control, gallium nitrate was added to a solution of pamidronate under the same conditions and monitored by $^{31}$P{${}^1$H} NMR and, as expected, a distinct loss of symmetry and homogeneity was observed upon addition of gallium to the solution, with several new species with chemical shifts in the range of 22.4–3.0 ppm being formed (Figure 3C). Altogether, these data indicate that THP-Pam—at equimolar and sub-equimolar concentrations of gallium—will bind gallium in the THP chelator, and not the BP.

Figure 3. NMR titration experiments (D$_2$O and pH 9) of THP-Pam and pamidronate upon [natGa]gallium nitrate addition demonstrating the lack of involvement of the bisphosphonate of THP-Pam in gallium binding. Each spectrum is scaled according to the largest peak shown for the sake of clarity. (A) $^1$H NMR 4.0–7.8 ppm window showing aromatic protons of THP-Pam corresponding to hydroxypyridinone arms, which show changes in chemical shift and loss of symmetry upon gallium binding, indicating a change in chemical environment; (B) $^{31}$P{${}^1$H} NMR showing that BP phosphorus atoms exhibit no chemical shift or loss of symmetry or homogeneity upon gallium binding, consistent with lack of involvement; (C) $^{31}$P{${}^1$H} NMR showing pamidronate binding to gallium for comparative purposes, which shows a clear loss of symmetry and homogeneity upon gallium binding.
Radiochemistry. Having established that when reacting THP-Pam with gallium the metal ion binds to the THP chelator, and not the BP group, the radiolabelling reaction with $^{68}$Ga was evaluated. It was found that $^{68}$Ga radiolabelling is fast and efficient. In a typical reaction, a 250 μL aliquot of gallium-68 eluted from an Eckert and Ziegler $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga generator in 0.1 M HCl was added to 4.18 nmol THP-Pam in water (5 μg) and neutralised with an aqueous 1 M solution of sodium bicarbonate. Both stock solutions were prepared using Chelex-treated water to minimise the presence of iron(III). After incubating the reaction for 5 min at room temperature, radio-ITLC and radio-HPLC indicated quantitative (>97%) complexation of $^{68}$Ga (vide infra, Figure 4). We measured non-optimised molar activities up to 17.3 MBq nmol$^{-1}$. In order to simplify this further to a pre-prepared kit, it is possible to freeze-dry the THP-Pam and sodium bicarbonate prior to reaction and elute the generator directly into a vial containing the dried reactants, scaling quantities as required. This opens up the possibility of GMP kit-based production of THP-Pam by simple addition of $^{68}$Ga generator eluate into a sealed vial.

Radio-ITLC was performed using glass microfibre chromatography paper (ITLC) impregnated with silicic acid in order to retain [$^{68}$Ga]Ga-THP-Pam as well as possible. By developing the ITLC paper in 0.5 M citrate buffer, unbound $^{68}$Ga moved with the solvent front, giving a clear distinction between [$^{68}$Ga]Ga-THP-Pam on the baseline (Figure 4A). This is in contrast to unbound $^{68}$Ga, which primarily moves with the solvent front (Figure 4C). Radio-HPLC (C18-RP) was performed under the same conditions as analytical HPLC (method 2 (Table S2)). [$^{68}$Ga]Ga-THP-Pam eluted as a single peak with a retention time that is approximately 30 seconds later compared to THP-Pam, consistent with the expectation that the binding of the metal to the hydroxyl and ketone groups of THP leads to a small increase in lipophilicity and hence a slightly longer retention on reverse-phase HPLC (Figure 4B). Figure 4C–D shows the ITLC and radio-HPLC chromatograms of neutralised [$^{68}$Ga]GaCl$_3$ for comparison. Measurement of logP in water/octanol (-2.69 ± 0.03) and logD$_{7.4}$ in PBS/octanol (-2.72 ± 0.07) showed that [$^{68}$Ga]Ga-THP-Pam was highly hydrophilic as expected for a BP, as well as existing gallium-68 labelled conjugates of THP.$^{44}$
Figure 4. Radioanalysis of $^{68}$Ga-Ga-THP-Pam and unbound $^{68}$Ga as a comparison. (A) ITLC of $^{68}$Ga-Ga-THP-Pam in 0.5 M citrate buffer pH 5.5. (B) Reverse-phase C$_{18}$ radio-HPLC of $^{68}$Ga-Ga-THP-Pam. (C) ITLC of unbound $^{68}$Ga, showing migration to the solvent front other than colloidal $^{68}$Ga. (D) Reverse-phase C$_{18}$ radio-HPLC of unchelated $^{68}$Ga.

The different radiochemical labelling conditions and purification steps required for the in vivo use of the different PET radiotracers discussed in this work ($^{68}$Ga-BPs and $^{18}$FNaF) have been outlined in Table 1. $^{18}$FNaF is received directly from the cyclotron and no radiochemistry is necessary, apart from two ion exchange cartridges prior to injection into patients.$^{10}$ The radiolabelling processes of $^{68}$Ga-Ga-BPAMD and $^{68}$GaNO2AP$^\text{BP}$ take advantage of the well-established radiochemistry associated with their chelators. In both cases, heating to 95°C is required for radiolabelling.$^{31,32}$ Heating is a requirement for NO2AP$^\text{BP}$ radiolabelling, despite the similarity between this chelator and NOTA, which can be labelled at room temperature.$^{70}$ In NO2AP$^\text{BP}$, however, the presence of the phosphonates allows the formation of an out-of-cage complex and so $^{68}$Ga-Ga-NO2AP$^\text{BP}$ cannot be prepared at room temperature.$^{32}$ The generator eluate may be processed prior to labelling of NO2AP$^\text{BP}$ to allow milder heat to be used over a shorter time and to concentrate the eluate for greater molar activity,$^{71}$ however this increases the number of steps during the radiolabelling process.
Cooling and neutralisation of the radiolabelling reactions are also required for $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}$ and $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-BPAMD}$. $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-BPAMD}$ also requires purification post-reaction.\textsuperscript{31} THP-Pam on the other hand can be labelled in a single step at room temperature at neutral pH in 5 minutes. The THP chelator is selective for gallium(III) over other metals and the labelling is not affected by metallic impurities at the levels found in typical $^{68}\text{Ge}/^{68}\text{Ga}$ generator elutions.\textsuperscript{34,35} The efficient labelling of THP also allows for labelling at low concentrations and obviates the need for concentration of the generator eluate prior to labelling.\textsuperscript{49}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiotracer</th>
<th>Radiolabelling conditions</th>
<th>Other processing for in vivo use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ | Radiouclide: $^{68}\text{Ge}/^{68}\text{Ga}$ generator  
Reaction conditions: 5 min, room temperature, pH 7 | – None.                                                                 |
| $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-BPAMD}$     | Radiouclide: $^{68}\text{Ge}/^{68}\text{Ga}$ generator  
Reaction conditions: 15 min, 95°C, pH 4 | – Post-processing of $^{68}\text{Ge}/^{68}\text{Ga}$ generator eluate prior to radiolabelling.*  
– Cooling.  
– Cation exchange column.  
– Neutralisation of reaction. |
| $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}$     | Radiouclide: $^{68}\text{Ge}/^{68}\text{Ga}$ generator  
Reaction conditions: 10 min, 95°C, pH 4 | – Post-processing of $^{68}\text{Ge}/^{68}\text{Ga}$ generator eluate prior to radiolabelling.*  
– Cooling.  
– Neutralisation of reaction. |
| $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$             | Cyclotron production                                                                      | – 2 ion exchange columns.                                               |

* Not essential; allows milder radiolabelling conditions and high molar activities

**In vitro stability.** To evaluate the stability of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ towards transchelation to plasma proteins, it was incubated in human serum at 37°C for 3 h and the transchelation of $^{68}$Ga monitored by size-exclusion HPLC. This was monitored for 180 min, which was judged sufficient due to the short half-life of $^{68}$Ga and fast pharmacokinetics commonly found with BPs (and hence imaging patients no later than 3 h post-injection). In this timeframe, no transchelation of $^{68}$Ga from $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ was observed and the complex remained intact and chemically unmodified. To further evaluate the stability of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$, it was incubated in 1 mM EDTA solution in PBS (>1000-fold excess EDTA) at 37°C for 3 h. After 3 h, the stability of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ was
98.7 ± 1.2 %. (Figure S1). These results indicate not only the radiochemical stability of the 
\(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-THP-Pam complex, but also the stability of the thiourea bonds between the chelator and the BP under these conditions.

In comparison, Fellner et al. reported \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-BPAMD showed 4.2 ± 0.8% degradation over this timescale in PBS at 37°C. Serum stability has not been reported for \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-NO2AP\(^{\text{BP}}\), but previous in vivo data both for small animals and humans demonstrated high stability for \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-BPAMD and \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-NO2AP\(^{\text{BP}}\). In support of this, the in vivo data reported here with \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-NO2AP\(^{\text{BP}}\) (vide infra) are in agreement with in vivo stability for this radiotracer.

**In vitro calcium mineral binding.** To assess the affinity and selectivity of different \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)-BPs and \(^{18}\text{F}\)NaF towards several calcium minerals that are relevant to human disease, an in vitro binding assay was performed. The binding of \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-THP-Pam, \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-BPAMD, \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-NO2AP\(^{\text{BP}}\) and \(^{18}\text{F}\)NaF to a range of calcium salts was compared, including blocking studies in which the calcium salts were preincubated with an excess of relevant blocking agents (non-radioactive fluoride or bisphosphonate) (Figure 5). All the tested agents showed high binding to HAp (mean binding values in the range 78–93 %) which could be blocked (mean values in the range 1–6 %). \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)THP-Pam exhibited specific binding to all the tested salts, with the lowest value being the binding to calcium oxalate (mean value 31%). \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-NO2AP\(^{\text{BP}}\) generally exhibited the highest binding across the salts tested but with different trends to \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-THP-Pam, showing lower binding to calcium pyrophosphate (mean binding values of 45% vs. 60%) but higher binding to calcium oxalate (mean binding 60%). \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-BPAMD generally showed the lowest binding of the \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)-BPs, although it bound more to HAp than \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-THP-Pam (mean binding 87% vs. 78%), but lower than \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-NO2AP\(^{\text{BP}}\) (mean binding 93%) and showed similar calcium pyrophosphate binding (mean binding 60%) to that of \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)Ga-THP-Pam. Contrary to expectation, the blocking experiments of \(^{18}\text{F}\)NaF with calcium carbonate, calcium phosphate and calcium oxalate showed higher binding than the non-blocking experiments. The reason for this is unknown and may be due to the formation of an intermediate partially fluoridated salt due to the presence of higher concentrations of sodium fluoride in the blocking study, which can more readily bind \(^{18}\text{F}\)F\(^{-}\) than the original salt, although this hypothesis remains untested. Overall, it was found that \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)-BPs and \(^{18}\text{F}\)NaF have high binding affinity towards HAp (mean binding of \(^{68}\text{Ga}\)-BPs = 85.8 ± 4.3%, \(^{18}\text{F}\)NaF = 88.4 ± 4.6%), which could be blocked by using excess unlabelled BP or fluoride,
respectively (mean blocked binding of $^{68}$Ga-BPs = 2.6 ± 1.6%, $[^{18}$F]NaF = 2.2 ± 0.2%). For non-HAp calcium minerals, however, only $^{68}$Ga-BPs showed significant binding (mean binding of $^{68}$Ga-BPs across non-HAp minerals = 51.7 ± 18.0%) whereas binding of $[^{18}$F]NaF was negligible (mean binding of $[^{18}$F]NaF across non-HAp minerals = 8.4 ± 4.6%). Thus, these results are in agreement with the binding mechanisms outlined in Scheme 1, whereby BPs are able to bind to calcium ions on the surface of all these minerals. In the case of HAp, the only mineral with available hydroxyl groups within its lattice, $[^{18}$F]F$^{-}$ substitution results in binding to the salt. This confers HAp selectivity to $[^{18}$F]NaF. However, affinity to other calcium minerals may be advantageous in imaging ectopic or pathological calcification as previously discussed. In this regard, BP-based tracers may be of interest, in addition to their cyclotron-independent availability, in the imaging of vascular calcification in comparison to $[^{18}$F]NaF. The targeting of different calcium materials may also mean that combining $^{68}$Ga-BPs and $[^{18}$F]NaF could provide complementary information which may be diagnostically useful in identifying non-HAp calcified deposits.
Figure 5. Binding of each radiotracer to an array of calcium salts in saline and room temperature. (A) $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-THP-Pam binding, blocking with pamidronate. (B) $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-BPAMD binding, blocking with alendronate. (C) $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-NO2AP$^\text{BP}$ binding, blocking with pamidronate. (D) $[^{18}\text{F}]$NaF binding, blocking with sodium fluoride. (E) Comparison of the mean binding to HAp of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-BPs and $[^{18}\text{F}]$NaF. (F) Comparison of the mean binding to non-HAp calcium salts of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-BPs and $[^{18}\text{F}]$NaF. HAp = hydroxyapatite; CC = calcium carbonate; CP = calcium phosphate dibasic; CPy = calcium pyrophosphate; β-TCP = β-tricalcium phosphate; CO = calcium oxalate monohydrate.

**In vivo evaluation.** To evaluate the *in vivo* pharmacokinetics (PK), biodistribution, and calcium mineral capabilities of these radiotracers, we compared $[^{68}\text{Ga}]$Ga-THP-Pam with
[\text{[^{68}\text{Ga}]NO2AP}\text{BP}]$ and $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ in an in vivo PET imaging study in 6–8 weeks old healthy immunocompetent mice. This is a good model for the in vivo evaluation of calcium mineral-seeking radiotracers as young mice have metabolically active growing bones. It is worth noting that $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}\text{BP}$ has previously been tested in patients, including a direct comparison to both $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ and $[^{99}\text{Tc}]\text{Tc-MDP}$.\textsuperscript{5,28} Thus, it is a leading candidate for gallium-68 labelled BPs, and hence it was chosen as a BP standard against which $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ could be compared. $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$, due to the widespread use and its comparable results to $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}\text{BP}$ in patients with bone metastases,\textsuperscript{5} was also included in our comparative in vivo study.

The in vivo PET-CT imaging results are shown in Figures 6 and 7. All radiotracers were injected intravenously and imaged dynamically for 60 min, showing fast PK profiles and high binding to bone, as expected. The main differences were related to kidney clearance and excretion. Both $^{68}\text{Ga}$-BPs showed fast renal excretion, but $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}\text{BP}$ cleared faster from the kidneys than $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$, as demonstrated in Figure 7 by the visible kidney uptake at 40–59 min for $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$, which was barely visible after 20–40 min in the case of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}\text{BP}$. Interestingly, we found a lack of renal clearance with $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ (Figure 6A). This was surprising as $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$ renal clearance/bladder accumulation is commonly observed in rodent studies, as well as in human clinical PET scans.\textsuperscript{5,33} We believe this to be an experimental artefact due to the use of isoflurane anaesthesia, but this hypothesis was not evaluated further. PK data were generated from regions of interest (ROI) within the PET images to calculate the fractions of injected dose per volume (mL) of tissue (Figure 6A). Using the knees as areas with known metabolically active new bone formation, the ROI image-analysis data showed a similar level of binding for both $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ ($14.9 \pm 1.0 \%\text{ID mL}^{-1}$ at 60 min) and $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}\text{BP}$ ($15.7 \pm 2.1 \%\text{ID mL}^{-1}$ at 60 min) (Figure 6B). Each plateaued at approximately 20 minutes post-injection. In the case of the animals injected with $[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}$, knee uptake increased continuously 55 min post-injection, reaching $54.5 \pm 5.1 \%\text{ID mL}^{-1}$ after 1 h. This significantly higher knee binding of fluoride vs. BPs found may be attributable to the lack of excretion discussed above. To determine blood clearance, we used a ROI over the heart as a proxy and the data were fitted to a two-compartment PK model (Figure 6C). All radiotracers showed a two-stage blood clearance with a remarkably similar fast first half life ($t_{1/2}(\text{fast}) = 1.33 \pm 0.12$ min; mean ± SD for all three radiotracers), followed by a slower clearance stage ($t_{1/2}(\text{slow}) <12$ min for all tracers), with the fastest being $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}\text{BP}$ ($t_{1/2}(\text{slow}) = 6.6$ min). Accordingly, the area under the curve from 3–59 min (AUC\textsubscript{3–59}) for
[^58Ga]Ga-NO2APBP (98.6 ± 3.4 %ID min mL⁻¹) was lower than that of [^58Ga]Ga-THP-Pam (126.4 ± 7.5 %ID min mL⁻¹), and [^18F]NaF (122.3 ± 5.1 %ID min mL⁻¹). Thus, whilst both ^68Ga-BPs show comparable high bone uptake and fast PK profiles, [^58Ga]Ga-NO2APBP shows slightly faster circulation/renal clearance compared to [^58Ga]Ga-THP-Pam.

Figure 6. Pharmacokinetic data for the three PET tracers evaluated in vivo (n = 4 for each tracer). (A) Activity (%ID/mL) over time (min) in selected organs of interest. (B) Tracer uptake in knee, used as a representative ROI of active bone. (C) Blood clearance fitted to two-compartment pharmacokinetic model using the heart as a representative ROI for blood.

At the end of the imaging session mice were allowed to recover from anaesthesia for 1 h to allow for further radiotracer clearance, and subsequently culled for ex vivo biodistribution studies using gamma-counting (Figure 8; values are tabulated in Table S4). Despite the time lag of one hour, the in vivo PET imaging analyses results were in agreement with the ex vivo biodistribution, with [^68Ga]Ga-THP-Pam and [^58Ga]Ga-NO2APBP showing similarly high femur uptake values (14.1 ± 3.7 %ID/g vs. 20.1 ± 5.2 % ID/g respectively; p = 0.101) and [^18F]NaF having the highest of the three (47.1 ± 8.3 % ID/g) (Figure 8). [^58Ga]Ga-THP-Pam showed higher accumulation in kidney and small intestines (p < 0.001), although these uptake values were very low (1.4–2.5 % ID/g). These levels of kidney uptake are unlikely to interfere with the imaging of bone metastases or vascular calcification but may be problematic for
imaging calcification in this organ. The bone-to-muscle ratios were similarly high (ca. 40) for all three radiotracers (Figure 8).

**Figure 7.** PET-CT study showing representative maximum intensity projection (MIP) images of each radiotracer over three windows of time in healthy BALB/c mice.
Figure 8. Ex vivo biodistribution of $^{68}$Ga-Ga-THP-Pam, $^{68}$Ga-Ga-NO2AP$^{\text{BP}}$ and $^{18}$FNaF 120 min post-injection. Bone-to-muscle ratio inset. * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001.

Conclusions

$^{68}$Ga-Ga-THP-Pam, a new calcium-mineral targeted radiotracer has been synthesised, characterised and evaluated in vitro and in vivo. Using NMR studies, we demonstrate that gallium binds THP-Pam via the THP chelator, and not the bisphosphonate moiety. The THP chelator allows high specific activity $^{68}$Ga radiopharmaceuticals with high radiochemical purities (>95%) at room temperature and within minutes. These properties avoid the need for expensive/complicated instrumentation that is common in PET chemistry and allow simple and efficient kit-based radiosynthesis in any radiopharmacy. In vitro studies demonstrate that, in contrast to $^{18}$FNaF which is selective to hydroxyapatite, $^{68}$Ga-bisphosphonates have high binding affinity to several calcium salts that are present in human calcified vessels, including hydroxyapatite, with the binding of $^{68}$Ga-Ga-THP-Pam generally found to be between those of $^{68}$Ga-Ga-BPAMD and $^{68}$Ga-Ga-NO2AP$^{\text{BP}}$. In vivo studies in healthy mice showed that both $^{68}$Ga-Ga-THP-Pam and $^{68}$Ga-Ga-NO2AP$^{\text{BP}}$ (which has been successfully evaluated in humans, in comparison with $^{18}$FNaF) have a comparable high and rapid uptake in bone tissue, as well as fast blood clearance and urinary excretion. All these results highlight the potential of $^{68}$Ga-bisphosphonates as cyclotron-independent alternatives to $^{18}$FNaF, as well as for the imaging of vascular calcification lesions. In addition, the fast, simple and efficient
radiochemistry required to synthesise \[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}\ makes\ this\ radiotracer\ an\ appealing\ candidate\ for\ clinical translation.

**Materials and Methods**

Nomenclature of radiochemical species has been written in accordance with the conventions outlined by Coenen et al.\(^72\)

**Materials**

THP-NCS was obtained from CheMatech, France. All other reagents were purchased from commercial sources unless stated otherwise. NO2AP\(^BB\) was synthesised according to reference 32. BPAMD was synthesised according to reference 58. Gallium-68 was eluted as \[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{GaCl}_3\ from\ an\ Eckert\ &\ Ziegler ~^{68}\text{Ge}^{68}\text{Ga}\ generator\ in\ ultra-pure\ \text{HCl}\ (5\ \text{mL},\ 0.1\ \text{M})\ manufactured to\ GMP\ requirements\ (ABX,\ Germany). \[^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}\ in\ \text{H}_2\text{O}\ was\ purchased\ from\ Alliance\ Medical,\ U.K. ~^1\text{H}\ and\ ^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}\ NMR\ data\ were\ acquired\ on\ a\ Bruker\ 400\ MHz\ and\ analysed\ using\ MestReNova\ software. HPLC\ was\ performed\ on\ an\ Agilent\ 1260\ Infinity\ instrument\ with\ UV\ spectroscopic\ detection\ at\ 254\ \text{nm}\ and\ Lablogic\ Flow-Count\ detector\ with\ Bioscan\ Inc.\ B-FC-3200\ photomultiplier\ tube\ detector\ and\ analysed\ using\ Laura\ software.\ The\ mobile\ phase\ used\ for\ analytical\ and\ semi-preparative\ reverse-phase\ HPLC\ was\ composed\ of\ A:\ \text{water}\ with\ 0.1\%\ \text{TFA}\ and\ B:\ \text{MeCN}\ with\ 0.1\%\ \text{TFA}.\ The\ mobile\ phase\ used\ for\ size-exclusion\ HPLC\ was\ PBS.\ LC/MS\ data\ were\ acquired\ on\ an\ Agilent\ 1200\ Series\ Liquid\ Chromatograph\ with\ UV\ spectroscopic\ detection\ at\ 254\ \text{nm}\ and\ same\ column\ details\ as\ in\ reverse-phase\ HPLC,\ interfaced\ with\ an\ Advion\ Expression\ CMS\ mass\ spectrometer\ with\ electrospray\ ionisation\ source.\ The\ mobile\ phase\ used\ for\ LC/MS\ was\ composed\ of\ A:\ \text{water}\ with\ 0.1\%\ formic\ acid;\ and\ B:\ \text{MeCN}\ with\ 0.1\%\ formic\ acid.\ Cartridge\ purification\ was\ performed\ using\ Sep-Pak\ tC18\ Long\ Cartridges\ with\ 900\ mg\ sorbent\ per\ cartridge\ with\ a\ particle\ size\ of\ 37–55\ \mu m.\ Radio\ instant\ thin\ layer\ chromatography\ (ITLC)\ was\ developed\ on\ Agilent\ Technologies\ glass\ microfibre\ chromatography\ paper\ impregnated\ with\ silicic\ acid\ and\ analysed\ using\ a\ Lablogic\ Flow-count\ TLC\ scanner\ and\ a\ BioScan\ B-FC-3200\ PMT\ detector\ using\ Laura\ software.\ The\ ITLC\ mobile\ phase\ was\ composed\ of\ 0.175\ M\ citric\ acid\ and\ 0.325\ M\ trisodium\ citrate\ in\ water\ unless\ stated\ otherwise.\ Radioactive\ samples\ were\ measured\ using\ a\ Capintec\ CRC-25R\ or\ an\ LKB\ Wallac\ 1282\ Compugamma\ CS\ for\ which\ data\ were\ collected\ using\ EdenTerm\ software.\ PET/CT\ images\ were\ acquired\ using\ a\ NanoPET/CT\ scanner\ (Mediso\ Ltd.,
Budapest, Hungary), reconstructed using Nucline v.0.21 software and images were analysed using VivoQuant® software (version 3.5, InviCRO Inc.). The centrifuge used was a Hettich MIKRO 20. Lyophilisation was performed using an Edwards Freeze Dryer Modulyo.

**Synthesis of disodium pamidronate (Pam)**

3-aminopropionic acid (15 g, 0.168 mol) and phosphorous acid (20.7 g, 0.252 mol) were suspended in sulfolane (54 mL) and heated to 75°C for 30 min. The mixture was allowed to cool to 35°C and phosphorus trichloride (50 mL, 0.569 mol) was added gradually in aliquots. The solution was heated to 65°C for 3 h with stirring and white precipitate began to form. The suspension was cooled to 0°C and quenched by the slow addition of ice-cold water over 1 h to give a clear solution. The solution was heated to 100°C for 3 h and then cooled to room temperature. Pamidronic acid was precipitated by the addition of ethanol, followed by filtration. The pamidronic acid was suspended in water (100 mL) and aqueous sodium hydroxide (20% w/w) was added with stirring until pH 8 was reached. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The solvents were evaporated and the residue was recrystallized from water/ethanol to give pamidronate disodium dihydrate as a white powder (12.55 g, 39.8 mmol, 24%). ESI-MS: [M + H]^+ m/z = 235.0; calc. for C₃H₁₂NO₇P₂ = 235.0. ¹H NMR (D₂O, 400 MHz): δ 3.00–2.90 (2H, t), 2.00–1.88 (2H, m). ³¹P NMR (D₂O, 162 MHz): δ 17.28. HPLC: 254 nm, tᵣ = 1.7 min, >99% purity (HPLC method 2). Elemental analysis for disodium pamidronate + 2 H₂O: C = 11.84, H = 4.37, N = 4.45; calc.: C = 11.44, H = 4.16, N = 4.45.

**Synthesis of THP-Pam**

Pamidronate (14.5 mg, 52 μmol) was dissolved in a mixture of chelex-treated water (200 μL) and triethylamine (21.2 μL, 15.4 mg, 0.15 mmol) and heated to 90°C. Separately, THP-NCS (5 mg, 5.2 μmol) was added to triethylamine (21.2 μL, 15.4 mg, 0.15 mmol) immediately followed by the addition of chelex-treated water (200 μL), and agitated to dissolve the THP-NCS. As soon as the THP-NCS had completely dissolved, the THP-NCS solution was added to the pamidronate solution and stirred in a sealed vial at 90°C for 2 h. The crude mixture was loaded onto a pre-washed Sep-Pak tC18 cartridge and the excess pamidronate was eluted in 5 mL water + 0.1% TFA. The product was eluted in 5 mL 50% water + 0.1% TFA/50% acetonitrile + 0.1% TFA and collected in 0.5 mL fractions. Fractions were analysed by LCMS
and the relevant fractions were combined and lyophilised to yield a white powder (4.40 mg, 3.68 μmol, 71%). ESI-MS: [M + 2H]^{2+} m/z = 598.7; calc. for C_{48}H_{69}N_{11}O_{17}P_{2}S_{2} = 598.7. 1H NMR (D_{2}O, 400 MHz): δ 7.30–7.05 (4H, m), 6.30–6.20 (3H, m), 4.48 (7H, s), 3.85–3.60 (6H, m), 3.49 (10H, m), 2.43–2.35 (4H, s), 2.23 (11H, m), 2.17–2.09 (8H, m), 1.95–1.82 (6H, m). 31P NMR (D_{2}O, 162 MHz): δ 17.86. HPLC: 254 nm, t_R = 10.4 min, >99% purity (HPLC method 2).

**NMR studies of gallium binding**

**THP-Pam gallium binding**

THP-Pam (2.25 mg, 1.88 μmol) was dissolved in D_{2}O (600 μL), and sodium carbonate in D_{2}O (1 M) was added to keep the pH in the range 9 and increase solubility of THP-Pam. 1H and 31P NMR spectra were recorded. Gallium nitrate in D_{2}O (10 μL, 0.627 μmol, 62.7 mM) was added to the NMR tube and more sodium carbonate was added to maintain a pH of 9 with shaking for 5 min. NMR spectra were recorded. This process was repeated two more times until 1 equivalent of gallium had been added.

**Pamidronate gallium binding**

Pam (3.05 mg, 10.9 μmol) was dissolved in D_{2}O (600 μL), and sodium carbonate in D_{2}O (1 M) was added to keep the pH in the range 9 and increase solubility of THP-Pam. The 31P NMR spectrum was recorded. Gallium nitrate in D_{2}O (43.67 μL, 2.75 μmol, 62.7 mM) was added to the NMR tube and more sodium carbonate was added to maintain a pH of 9, with shaking for 5 min. The 31P NMR spectrum was recorded. This process was repeated three more times until 1 equivalent of gallium had been added.

**Synthesis of[^{68}Ga]Ga-THP-Pam**

A 1 mg mL^{-1} aqueous solution of THP-Pam in water was prepared. THP-Pam solution (5 μL, 5 μg, 4.18 nmol) was added to[^{68}Ga]GaCl_{3} (250 μL, 15–90 MBq). Sodium bicarbonate solution in water (26 μL, 1 M) was added immediately. The mixture was agitated and the pH was checked to ensure it was in the range 6.5–7.5. Radiochemical yield and purity were evaluated after 5 min by ITLC (unbound 68Ga R_f = 0.8–1;[^{68}Ga]Ga-THP-Pam R_f = 0–0.3) and after 10 min by HPLC, method 2 (unbound 68Ga t_R = 1.9 min;[^{68}Ga]Ga-THP-Pam t_R = 10.8 min).
Synthesis of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-NO2AP}^{\text{BP}}$

Gallium-68 (140–200 MBq) eluted from a generator was passed through a Phenomenex Strata-X-C 33 μm SPE cartridge. The cartridge was washed with acetone/0.1 M hydrochloric acid (80:20 v/v, 5 mL) to remove trace metal impurities. The gallium-68 was recovered from the cartridge by washing slowly with acetone/0.05 M hydrochloric acid (98:2 v/v, 700 μL) and added to aqueous HEPES buffer (pH 4, 0.125 M, 400 μL). Aqueous 1 mg mL$^{-1}$ NO2AP$^{\text{BP}}$ (8.69 μL, 8.69 μg, 17 nmol) was added to the gallium-68/HEPES solution followed by heating to 95°C for 10 min with venting to allow the acetone to evaporate. After cooling, pH was adjusted to 7 by adding aqueous sodium hydroxide (∼10 μL, 1 M).

**Determination of LogP and Log D$_{7.4}$ values**

For logP measurement, aliquots of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ (10 μL, ~700 kBq) were added to vials containing a mixture of octanol pre-saturated with water (500 μL) and water pre-saturated with octanol (500 μL). The tubes were shaken for 3 min and the mixture was centrifuged for 5 min to separate the octanol and PBS phases. Aliquots (50 μL) of each phase were taken and transferred to separate vials for counting of radioactivity. For measurement of logD$_{7.4}$ the same procedure was performed, using PBS in place of water.

**Serum stability**

Freshly filtered human serum (200 μL) was added to a tube containing normal saline (100 μL) followed by incubation at 37°C. $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ (100 μL, ∼7 MBq) was added. Size exclusion HPLC (using method 3 (Table S3) was run prior to incubation, and after 60 and 180 min of incubation. Serum proteins eluted at 5.0–9.0 min. $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ eluted at 11.0 min.

**Stability to EDTA competition**

An aliquot of EDTA solution in PBS (950 μL, 1 mM) was incubated at 37°C. $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$ (50 μL, ∼20 MBq) was added to the EDTA solution and incubated with stirring. Samples were analysed by ITLC (mobile phase: 1 M ammonium acetate in 1:1 water/methanol) after 60 min and 180 min. Controls were performed using $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{GaCl}_3$ neutralised according to the method used in the synthesis of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam}$, in the absence of the THP-Pam ligand. Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$. 

Unbound $^{68}$Ga $R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-THP-Pam} R_f = 0–0.3$; $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{Ga-EDTA} R_f = 0.7–1$.
Binding to calcium salts

A suspension of HAp (1 mg) in saline (1 mL) was prepared. For the blocking study this was prepared in advance with pamidronate (27.9 mg, 100 μmol) and incubated overnight prior to reaction. Once the suspensions were prepared, an aliquot of the reaction mixture containing the radiotracer (10 μL, ~700 kBq) was added to the suspension and incubated at room temperature for 1 h with continuous shaking. The suspensions were centrifuged at 9677 g for 5 min and the supernatant was removed to a new tube and the activities of both fractions were measured. All the above steps were also repeated with other calcium salts (CC, CP dibasic, CPy, β-TCP, CO). These measurements were repeated for [68Ga]Ga-BPAMD (blocking with alendronate), [68Ga]Ga-NO2APBP (blocking with pamidronate) and [18F]NaF (blocking with sodium fluoride).

PET-CT imaging

Animal imaging studies were ethically reviewed and carried out in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (ASPA) U.K. Home Office regulations governing animal experimentation. Each mouse (5 per tracer, of which 4 were imaged and 1 was used only for biodistribution studies, normal BALB/c, female, aged 6–8 weeks, 17–20 g body weight) was anaesthetised by inhalation of isoflurane (2–3% in oxygen), the tail vein was cannulated. Then the mouse was placed in a preclinical PET/CT scanner, where anaesthesia was maintained and the bed was heated to maintain normal body temperature. CT was performed followed by 1 hour PET acquisition (1:5 coincidence mode; 5-ns coincidence time window). After the first minute, the radiopharmaceutical (100 ± 15 μL, 2.2–16.2 MBq) was injected and the animal was scanned. At the end of the scan, the animal was removed and allowed to recover for a further hour and culled at 2 h post-injection for biodistribution studies. Organs were harvested, weighed and counted with a gamma counter along with standards prepared from injected material. Dynamic PET/CT images were reconstructed using TeraTomo 3D reconstruction (400–600 keV energy window, 1–3 coincidence mode, 4 iterations and subsets) at a voxel size of (0.4 × 0.4 × 0.4) mm³ and corrected for attenuation, scatter and decay. The data were binned into 17 frames (1 × 1, 10 × 3, 5 × 5 and 1 × 4 min) for dynamic analysis. Regions of interest were drawn over the knees as an area of growing bone, heart as an indication of blood, kidneys, bladder and liver.
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