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Abstract—This paper focuses on the exponential synchronization problem of T-S fuzzy reaction-diffusion neural networks (RDNNs) with additive time-varying delays (ATVDs). Two control strategies, namely, fuzzy time sampled-data control and fuzzy time-space sampled-data control are newly proposed. Compared with some existing control schemes, the two fuzzy sampled-data control schemes can not only tolerate some uncertainties but also save the limited communication resources for the considered systems. A new fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique is proposed. According to different fuzzy plant and controller rules, different adjustable matrices are introduced. In comparison with some traditional estimation techniques with a determined constant matrix, the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix approach is more flexible. Then, by constructing a suitable Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional (LKF) and using the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix approach, new exponential synchronization criteria are derived for T-S fuzzy RDNNs with ATVDs. Meanwhile, the desired fuzzy time and time-space sampled-data control gains are obtained by solving a set of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). In the end, some simulations are presented to verify the effectiveness and superiority of the obtained theoretical results.

Index Terms—Fuzzy time sampled-data control, Fuzzy time-space sampled-data control, Fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique, Exponential synchronization, T-S fuzzy reaction-diffusion neural networks (RDNNs), Additive time-varying delays (ATVDs).

I. INTRODUCTION

During the past decades, fuzzy control has provoked increasing interests of many researchers from various fields. Fuzzy control is regarded as a not only useful but simple method to control many nonlinear complex systems, especially for systems or control processes with uncertainties [1]–[9]. For example, fuzzy control has been used to control two-wheeled robots in [4]. In [5], fuzzy control has been applied to stabilize the Rossler chaotic systems. In [6], the determination of the optimal green period ratios and traffic light cycle times have been realized by fuzzy control. In [7], fuzzy control has been applied to nonlinear systems. In [8], fuzzy control has been considered to solve the guaranteed cost control problem of uncertain stochastic fuzzy systems. In [9], fuzzy control has been used to solve the output tracking problem for T-S fuzzy systems with saturating actuators. Among diverse fuzzy control models, the T-S fuzzy model is one of the most popular ways to analyze and design fuzzy systems. Based on the T-S fuzzy model method, many T-S fuzzy systems have been diffusely investigated since they have substantial applications such as a truck-trailer system [10], Mars entry vehicles [11], and so forth.

Recently, much attention has been paid to neural networks (NNs) due to their benefits in learning algorithms and handling data. As a result, extensive applications of NNs are found in a variety of areas including financial market, image decryption, fixed-point computations, and signal processing [12]–[15]. As one of the most important dynamical behaviors of NNs, synchronization is in the spotlight. Synchronization is a universal phenomenon in many real systems and has considerable engineering applications in secure communication, biological systems, and mechatronic systems [16]–[18]. Thus, it is far-reaching to study the synchronization of NNs.

In the existing literature, most of the NN models are built under the hypothesis that the interests of all neurons are evenly distributed. In fact, due to the influence of environmental factors, the reaction and diffusion phenomena inevitably exist in NNs. Therefore, it is meaningful to consider the spatial evolutions of NNs. Reaction-diffusion neural networks (RDNNs), in which the neuron states are dependent on both time and space, can perfectly describe the time and spatial evolutions. In comparison with traditional NNs, RDNNs could realize better approximations of actual systems. Until now, many interesting results on RDNNs are obtainable in the literature [19]–[23]. For instance, in [19], the impulsive synchronization problem of RDNNs has been investigated by an impulse-time-dependent LKF method. In [20], by constructing a new LKF with the neuron activation function information, stochastic synchronization has been considered for Markovian RDNNs with actuator failures. In [23], by fuzzy control, the stabilization problem has been studied for T-S fuzzy RDNNs.

In the meantime, the time delay is often encountered in RDNNs because of the finite switching speeds of amplifiers.
and the congestions of signal transmission. The existence of time delay may cause oscillation or instability to deteriorate the performance of RDNNs. It is, therefore, important to study RDNNs with time delay. Note that, in the existing works of RDNNs [19]–[23], the time delay is considered as a single component in the state variables. In implementation, due to the different transmission conditions in the different segments of RDNNs, signals transmitted from one point to another may lead to additive time-varying delays (ATVDs) with different properties. Thus, it is necessary to consider ATVDs for RDNNs. However, to our best knowledge, synchronization of T-S fuzzy RDNNs with ATVDs has not been considered, which is the first motivation of this note.

In order to realize the synchronization of RDNNs with time delays, various control strategies have been proposed such as quantized feedback control [24], pinning impulse control [25], and adaptive control [26]. With the development of communication and digital technologies, sampled-data control has stimulated increasing attention [27]–[29]. Based on sampled-data control, synchronization of RDNNs has been extensively investigated [30]–[33]. For example, in [30], by time sampled-data control, the exponential synchronization problem has been studied for RDNNs with sampled-data communications. In [31], by spatial sampled-data control, the exponential synchronization of RDNNs with time delays has been investigated. In [33], by proposing a time sampled-data controller and a discontinuous LKF, synchronization criteria have been established for RDNNs with time delays. Although some new results for synchronization of RDNNs with sampled-data control have been presented in [33], a mistake occurs in $V_1(t)$ of the constructed LKF. The matrix dimensions of $V_1(t)$ are not matched because of $D_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\left[ \frac{2\alpha(t,x)}{4\pi} \right]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$. Moreover, in the existing works of RDNNs [30]–[33], all the sampled-data control schemes are designed with the assumption that there is no uncertainty in control processes. In practice, due to the impact of environment and restrictions of equipment, uncertainties commonly exist in the control processes of RDNNs. Hence, it is profound in both theory and application to design a fuzzy sampled-data control scheme for RDNNs. However, few works have considered such a control scheme for synchronization of T-S fuzzy RDNNs with ATVDs.

Motivated by the above-mentioned discussions, by designing fuzzy time and time-space sampled-data control, we intend to study the exponential synchronization of T-S fuzzy RDNNs with ATVDs. The main contributions are highlighted below.

1) Two control strategies, which are fuzzy time sampled-data control and fuzzy time-space sampled-data control, are proposed for T-S fuzzy RDNNs. The two fuzzy sampled-data control schemes can not only tolerate some uncertainties but also save the limited communication resources of T-S fuzzy RDNNs.

2) A fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique is firstly proposed. Compared with some traditional estimation techniques with a determined constant matrix, the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique is more flexible and helpful to reduce the conservatism.

3) The ATVDs are considered for T-S fuzzy RDNNs, which generalize the existing models of RDNNs with a single time-varying delay. So the present model here can satisfy broader application requirements.

**Notations:** Let $\text{col}\{\cdots\}$ denote a column vector, $\text{diag}\{\cdots\}$ a block-diagonal matrix, $\mathbb{R}^m$ the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space, and $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ the set of $n \times n$ real matrices. $I_n, 0_n$ and $0_{n,m}$ represent $n \times n$ identity matrix, $n \times n$ and $n \times m$ zero matrices, respectively. $\text{Sym}\{\mathcal{S}\} = \mathcal{S}^T + \mathcal{S}$. $C([-\infty, 0] \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ represents all continuous functions from $[-\infty, 0] \times \Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$. For $\phi(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the norm is denoted by $\|\phi(s, x)\| = \left( \int_0^s \phi^2(t, x) dt dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

**II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND PRELIMINARIES**

Based on T-S fuzzy model method [34], the T-S fuzzy RDNN with two ATVDs is described as:

**Plant Rule** $i$: IF $\varsigma_1(t)$ is $\vartheta_1^m$ and \ldots and $\varsigma_i(t)$ is $\vartheta_i^p$, THEN

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t, x)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A_m \varphi(t, x) + B_m^{(1)} f(\varphi(t, x)) + B_m^{(2)} (f(\varphi(t - \nu_1(t) - \omega_2(t), x)) + \gamma(t),$$

$$\varphi(t, \alpha) = \varphi(t, \bar{\alpha}) = 0, t \in [t_0, +\infty),$$

$$\varphi(s + t_0, x) = \phi(s, x) \in C([-\infty, 0] \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}^m),$$

where $m \in \mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, $r$ is the number of fuzzy rules; $\varsigma_1(t), \ldots, \varsigma_r(t)$ are the premise variables, and $\vartheta_1^m, \ldots, \vartheta_r^p$ are the fuzzy sets. $x$ is the space variable belonging to $\Omega = \{|x|, \alpha \}$ and $\alpha$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ are constants. $\varphi(t, x) = \text{col}\{\varphi_1(t, x), \varphi_2(t, x), \ldots, \varphi_n(t, x)\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the state vector with $\varphi_i(t, x)$ being the $i$th neuron at time $t$ and in space $x$. $f(\varphi(t, x)) = \text{col}\{f_1(\varphi_1(t, x)), \ldots, f_n(\varphi_n(t, x))\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ stands for the neuron activation function. $D = \text{diag}\{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, in which $d_i \geq 0$ represents the transmission diffusion coefficient along the $i$th neuron. $A_m = \text{diag}\{a_{m1}, a_{m2}, \ldots, a_{mn}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $a_{mi} > 0$, $B_m^{(k)} = (t_{mj})_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ($k = 1, 2$) are the connection weight matrices. $\gamma(t) = \text{col}\{\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \ldots, \gamma_n(t)\} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the external input. The second and third equations are the Dirichlet boundary condition and initial condition, respectively. $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$ are time-varying delays and satisfy $0 \leq \omega_1(t) \leq \omega_1^\gamma, \omega_1(t) \leq \mu_1, 0 \leq \omega_2(t) \leq \omega_2^\gamma, \omega_2(t) \leq \mu_2$, and $\omega_1(t) \notin \omega_1(t) + \omega_2(t), \omega_1^\gamma \notin \omega_1^\gamma + \omega_2^\gamma, \mu_1 \notin \mu_1 + \mu_2$. By employing the weighted average fuzzy blending approach, the overall T-S fuzzy RDNN with ATVDs can be described as

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t, x)}{\partial t} = \sum_{m=1}^r \theta_m(\varsigma(t)) \left\{ D \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A_m \varphi(t, x)ight.$$

$$+ B_m^{(2)} f(\varphi(t - \omega_1(t) - \omega_2(t), x)) + \gamma(t),$$

$$\varphi(t, \alpha) = \varphi(t, \bar{\alpha}) = 0, t \in [t_0, +\infty),$$

$$\varphi(s + t_0, x) = \phi(s, x) \in C([-\infty, 0] \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}^m),$$

(2)
where $\varsigma(t) = \text{col}\{\varsigma_1(t), \ldots, \varsigma_p(t)\}$, $\theta_m(\varsigma(t))$ is the normalized membership function with:

$$
\theta_m(\varsigma(t)) = \frac{\theta^m(\varsigma(t))}{\sum_{i=1}^p \theta^m(\varsigma(t))} \geq 0,
$$

$$
\theta^m(\varsigma(t)) = \prod_{i=1}^p \theta_i^m(\varsigma(t)), \quad \sum_{m=1}^r \theta^m(\varsigma(t)) = 1,
$$

and $\theta_i^m(\varsigma(t))$ means the membership grade of $\varsigma_i(t)$ in $\theta_i^m$.

Viewing system (2) as the drive system, we introduce the response system as

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma(t, x)}{\partial t} = \sum_{m=1}^r \theta_m(\varsigma(t)) \left\{ D \frac{\partial^2 \sigma(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A_m \sigma(t, x) + B_m^{(2)} f(\sigma(t - \psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t), x)) + B_m^{(1)} f(\sigma(t, x)) + \eta(t) + U(t, x) \right\},
$$

where $\sigma(\varsigma, \alpha) = \sigma(t, \alpha) = 0$, $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, $\sigma(s + t_0, x) = \phi(s, x) \in C([-\omega, 0] \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and $U(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the control input signal.

Denote the error signal $\eta(t, x) = \sigma(t, x) - \varphi(t, x) = \text{col}\{\eta_1(t, x), \eta_2(t, x), \ldots, \eta_n(t, x)\}$. From (2) and (3), one gets the following error system as

$$
\frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} = \sum_{m=1}^r \theta_m(\varsigma(t)) \left\{ D \frac{\partial^2 \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A_m \eta(t, x) + B_m^{(2)} \eta(t - \psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t), x)) + B_m^{(1)} \eta(t, x)) + U(t, x) \right\},
$$

where $\eta(t, \alpha) = \eta(t, \tilde{\alpha}) = 0$, $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, $\eta(s + t_0, x) = \phi^*(s, x) \in C([-\omega, 0] \times \Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and $f(\eta(t, x)) = f(\sigma(t, x)) - f(\varphi(t, x))$.

The following assumption and lemmas are needed to derive the main results.

**Assumption 1:** For any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist scalars $l_i^-$ and $l_i^+$ such that $f_i(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$
l_i^- \leq \frac{f_i(z_1) - f_i(z_2)}{z_1 - z_2} \leq l_i^+, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
$$

**Lemma 1 [35]:** For appropriate dimensional matrix $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ and vector $g(z)$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\int_{y_0}^{y_1} \mathcal{Y}(z)g(z)dz \leq \left( y_1 - y_0 \right) \chi^T(t) \mathcal{Y} \chi(t) + 2 \chi^T(t) \mathcal{Y} \chi(t) \int_{y_0}^{y_1} g(z)dz,
$$

where the appropriate dimensional matrix $\mathcal{Y}$ and vector $\chi$ are independent on the integral variable.

**Lemma 2 [36]:** For $\mathcal{C} > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and all functions $y \in C([\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}], \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $y(\alpha) = 0$ or $y(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$, the following inequality is true

$$
\frac{(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)^2}{\pi^2} \int_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \frac{dy}{dx} dy dx \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \int_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \mathcal{Y}(y) dy dx.
$$

Moreover, if $y(\alpha) = y(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0$, one finds

$$
\frac{(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)^2}{\pi^2} \int_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \frac{dy}{dx} dy dx \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \int_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \mathcal{Y}(y) dy dx.
$$

**Lemma 3 [37]:** Let scalars $0 < \delta_i < 2\delta$. If there exists an absolutely continuous function $\mathcal{Y} : [t_0 - \epsilon, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying $\dot{\mathcal{Y}}(t) \leq -2\delta \mathcal{Y}(t) + \delta_1 \sup_{-\epsilon \leq \theta < 0} \mathcal{Y}(t + \theta), \quad t \geq t_0$, then $\mathcal{Y}(t) \leq e^{-2\delta(t-t_0)} \sup_{-\epsilon \leq \theta < 0} \mathcal{Y}(t_0 + \theta), \quad t \geq t_0$, where $\delta^* > 0$ is a unique positive solution of $\delta^* = \delta - \frac{\delta_1 e^{2\delta \delta^*}}{2}$.

### III. Main Results

In this section, we will investigate the exponential synchronization of T-S fuzzy RDNN with ATVDs via two different control schemes. First, by proposing a fuzzy time sampled-data control scheme and a new fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique, a novel exponential synchronization criterion is derived for the T-S fuzzy RDNNs (2) and (3). To show the superiority of the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix approach, an exponential synchronization criterion by traditional method is given for comparison. Then, in order to further save the limited network communication resources, we design a fuzzy time-space sampled-data controller. Based on the fuzzy time-space sampled-data control scheme, new sufficient conditions are further derived to exponentially synchronize the T-S fuzzy RDNNs with ATVDs.

**A. Fuzzy Time Sampled-Data Control for Exponential Synchronization of RDNNs with ATVDs**

Let the time sampling sequence be $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_p < \cdots$. The time sampling interval $h_p$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
0 < h_p \leq t_{p+1} - t_p = h, \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
$$

where $h$ is positive constant.

In order to save the limited communication resources of RDNNs and tolerate some uncertainties in the designing process of the controller, according to parallel-distributed compensation method [10], the fuzzy time sampled-data controller for rule $j$ is given by:

Controller Rule $j$: IF $\varsigma_j(t) = \partial^j_1$ and ... and $\varsigma_p(t) = \partial^j_p$, THEN

$$
U(t, x) = K_j \eta_j(t, x), \quad j \in \mathcal{J}, \quad t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}),
$$

where $K_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ($j \in \mathcal{J}$) are the gains to be designed. Then, the overall fuzzy time sampled-data controller is inferred by

$$
U(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_j(\varsigma(t_p)) K_j \eta_j(t, x), \quad j \in \mathcal{J}, \quad t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}).
$$

Substituting (7) into (4), we find

$$
\frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} = \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_j(\varsigma(t_p)) \theta_m(\varsigma(t)) \left\{ D \frac{\partial^2 \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A_m \eta(t, x) + B_m^{(2)} \eta(t - \psi_1(t) - \psi_2(t), x)) + B_m^{(1)} \eta(t, x)) + U(t, x) \right\}.
$$

**Remark 1:** In implementation, due to the impact of environment and restrictions of equipment, uncertainties ubiquitously
exist in the designing process of the controller. Note that the existing control methodologies in [24]–[26], [30]–[33] are designed with an ideal hypothesis that there is no uncertainty in the designing process. Fuzzy control is commonly known as a useful method to present the control processes with uncertainties. Meanwhile, sampled-data control is more effective to save the communication resources of RDNNs in comparison with the control methods in [24]–[26]. Thus, the fuzzy time sampled-data controller (7) is more effective to save the limited communication resources of RDNNs.

**Lemma 4:** Let $y(t)$ be a different function: $[c_1, c_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\forall_{\epsilon_p(t) \in \theta_{p}^m}$ and $\delta \in [0, 1]$, there exists a symmetric matrix $\mathcal{Y}_{mj} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying

$$\Lambda_{mj} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 + \mathcal{Y}_{mj} \\ \ast & S_3 \end{bmatrix} > 0, \ m, j \in \mathbb{I},$$

then the following inequality holds:

$$-\int_{c_1}^{c_2} w^T(s) \Lambda w(s) ds \leq \sum_{m=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_{m}(\varsigma(s)) \theta_{j}(\varsigma(t_p)) \left[ 2 \chi^T(t) \mathcal{F}_{mj} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} w(s) ds + (c_2 - c_1) \chi^T(t) \mathcal{F}_{mj} \Lambda_{mj}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{mj} \chi(t) + y^T(c_2) \mathcal{Y}_{mj} y(c_2) - y^T(c_1) \mathcal{Y}_{mj} y(c_1) \right],$$

where $w(t) = \text{col}(\hat{y}(t), y(t))$, $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ \ast & S_3 \end{bmatrix}$, $S_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} (i = 1, 3)$, and any matrix $S_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Matrices $\mathcal{F}_{mj} (m, j \in \mathbb{I})$ and vector $\chi(t)$ are with appropriate dimensions.

**Proof:** For symmetric matrices $\mathcal{Y}_{mj} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} (m, j \in \mathbb{I})$, one finds the following zero equality:

$$0 = \sum_{m=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_{m}(\varsigma(s)) \theta_{j}(\varsigma(t_p)) \left[ y^T(c_2) \mathcal{Y}_{mj} y(c_2) - y^T(c_1) \mathcal{Y}_{mj} y(c_1) - 2 \int_{c_1}^{c_2} y^T(s) \mathcal{Y}_{mj} \dot{y}(s) ds \right].$$

From (10) and Lemma 1, we have

$$-\int_{c_1}^{c_2} w^T(s) \Lambda w(s) ds = \sum_{m=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_{m}(\varsigma(s)) \theta_{j}(\varsigma(t_p)) \left[ 2 \chi^T(t) \mathcal{F}_{mj} \int_{c_1}^{c_2} w(s) ds + (c_2 - c_1) \chi^T(t) \mathcal{F}_{mj} \Lambda_{mj}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{mj} \chi(t) + y^T(c_2) \mathcal{Y}_{mj} y(c_2) - y^T(c_1) \mathcal{Y}_{mj} y(c_1) \right].$$

This completes the proof.

**Remark 2:** It is worth mentioning that the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique in Lemma 4 is firstly proposed. According to different fuzzy plant rule $m$ and controller rule $j$, different adjustable matrices $\mathcal{F}_{mj}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{mj}$ are introduced in (9). Thus, compared with the traditional estimation technique in Lemma 1 [35] with a determined constant matrix, the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique is more flexible.

Next, by constructing an appropriate LKF and using the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique, a new synchronization criterion is derived for T-S fuzzy RDNNs (2) and (3). For simplicity, we denote $h(t) = t - t_p$, $\mathcal{L}^- = \text{diag}(\tau_1^-, \tau_2^-, \ldots, \tau_n^-)$, $\mathcal{L}^+ = \text{diag}(\tau_1^+, \tau_2^+, \ldots, \tau_n^+)$, $\mathcal{I}_i = [0_n, (i-1)n, i n, \ldots, 0_n]$ (i = 1, 10), $\xi_1(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$, $\xi_2(t, x) = \eta(t, x) - \eta(t, x)$, $\xi_3(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$, $\xi_4(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$, $\xi_5(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$, $\xi_6(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$, $\xi_7(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$, $\xi_8(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$, $\xi_9(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$, $\xi_{10}(t, x) = \text{col}(\eta(t, x), f(\eta(t, x)))$.

**Theorem 1:** Let scalars $\omega_i^* \geq 0, \mu_i (i = 1, 2), h > 0$, and $0 < \delta_1 < 2\kappa < 2\gamma$ be given. If there exist symmetric matrices $\mathcal{P} > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\mathcal{Q}_1 > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\mathcal{Q}_2 > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$, $\mathcal{H}_i > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (i = 1, 2), $\mathcal{R}_i > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (i = 1, 2), $\mathcal{W} > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$, $\mathcal{S} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ \ast & S_3 \end{bmatrix} > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$, diagonal matrices $\mathcal{G}_{mj}(i) > 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (i = 1, 2), and any matrices...
\[\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{B}^{n \times n}, \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{B}^{n \times n} (i = 1, 2, 3), \mathcal{F}_{m_j} \in \mathcal{B}^{2n \times 4n},
K_j^* \in \mathcal{B}^{n \times n}, \text{ for any } m, j \in \mathcal{T} \text{ satisfying } \mathcal{MD} \geq 0 \text{ and }\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_{m_j}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_1 & \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} \\ - \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} & \mathcal{R}_1 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad (12)
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_{m_j}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_2 & \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} \\ - \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} & \mathcal{R}_2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad (13)
\]

\[
\mathcal{S}_{m_j} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_1 & \mathcal{S}_2 + \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} \\ - \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} & \mathcal{S}_3 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad (14)
\]

\[
\Psi(0; h, 0) < 0,
\]

\[
\left[ \Psi(0; h, h) - \frac{e^{-2\kappa h}}{\hat{c}^{-2 \kappa h} \mathcal{S}_{m_j}} \right] < 0, \quad (16)
\]

then the T-S fuzzy RDNNs (2) and (3) can achieve exponential synchronization under the fuzzy time sampled-data controller (7), where \(\Psi(h; p, h(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{b} \Psi_i(h; p, h(t))\) with

\[
\Psi_1(h; p, h(t)) = \text{Sym} \{ T^2 \mathcal{P}_1 \} + 2\kappa T^2 \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{T}_1 - \delta_1 T^2 \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 + T^2 \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{I}_1
\]

\[
- (1 - \mu_1) e^{-2\kappa_t} \mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{I}_8 + [T^2, T^2] \mathcal{Q}_2 [T^2, T^2]^T
\]

\[
- (1 - \mu_1) e^{-2\kappa_t} \mathcal{Q}_1 [T^2, T^2]^T
\]

\[
+ T^2 (\mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2) \mathcal{I}_1 - e^{-2\kappa_t} T^2 \mathcal{H}_9 \mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_0
\]

\[
- e^{-2\kappa_t} \mathcal{I}_1^T \mathcal{I}_0 \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{I}_1 h(t),
\]

\[
\Psi_2(h; p, h(t)) = \omega^2 \frac{e^{-2\kappa_t}}{\omega^1} \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} + \omega^2 \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)}
\]

\[
- \frac{e^{-2\kappa_t}}{\omega^2} \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} \mathcal{R}_{m_j}^{(i)} \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)},
\]

\[
\Psi_3(h; p, h(t)) = (\frac{\kappa_1 h}{2} + p - 2h(t)) [T^2, T^2] \mathcal{W}[T^2, T^2]^T
\]

\[
+ (h_p - h(t)) \text{Sym} \{ T^2, T^2 \} \mathcal{W} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ - \mathcal{I}_5 + \mathcal{I}_1 \end{array} \right],
\]

\[
\Psi_4(h; p, h(t)) = (h_p - h(t)) [T^2, T^2] \mathcal{S}[T^2, T^2]^T + e^{-2\kappa_t} T^2 \mathcal{X}_{m_j}^{(i)} \mathcal{I}_1
\]

\[
e 2\kappa_t \text{Sym} \left\{ \mathcal{Y}_{m_j}^{(i)} \mathcal{F}_{m_j} \right\} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2 \\ h(t) \mathcal{I}_5 \end{array} \right]
\]

\[
+ e^{-2\kappa_t} h(t) \mathcal{X}_{m_j}^{(i)} \mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_3 - e^{-2\kappa_t} T^2 \mathcal{X}_{m_j}^{(i)} \mathcal{I}_3,
\]

\[
\Psi_5(h; p, h(t)) = \text{Sym} \{ (\mathcal{I}_3 - \mathcal{L}^+ \mathcal{I}_3) \} \mathcal{G}_{m_j}^{(i)} (\mathcal{L}^+ \mathcal{I}_3 - \mathcal{I}_3)
\]

\[
+ \text{Sym} \{ (\mathcal{I}_7 - \mathcal{L}^+ \mathcal{I}_6) \} \mathcal{G}_{m_j}^{(i)} (\mathcal{L}^+ \mathcal{I}_6 - \mathcal{I}_7),
\]

\[
\Psi_6(h; p, h(t)) = \text{Sym} \{ (\mathcal{I}_9 + \gamma \mathcal{I}_9) \} \mathcal{M} (- \mathcal{I}_4 - \mathcal{A}_m \mathcal{I}_4 + \mathcal{B}_m (\mathcal{L}^+ \mathcal{I}_3 - \mathcal{I}_3))
\]

\[
+ \text{Sym} \{ (\mathcal{I}_9 + \gamma \mathcal{I}_9) \} \mathcal{K}_j \mathcal{I}_2 - \frac{2(\gamma - \kappa) \pi^2}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \mathcal{I}_7 \mathcal{M} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{I}_1,
\]

and \(\mathcal{Y}_1 = [(\mathcal{I}_4 - \mathcal{I}_8)^T, (\mathcal{I}_4 - \mathcal{I}_9)^T]^T, \mathcal{Y}_2 = [(\mathcal{I}_9 - \mathcal{I}_6)^T, (\mathcal{I}_9 - \mathcal{I}_{10})]^T, \) and \(\mathcal{Y}_3 = [(\mathcal{I}_7, \mathcal{I}_7, \mathcal{I}_7, \mathcal{I}_7)]^T\). In the meantime, the desired fuzzy time sampled-data controller gains are given as:

\[
\mathcal{K}_j = \mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_j^*, \quad (17)
\]

\[
\dot{\mathcal{Y}}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} \mathcal{Y}_i(t), \quad (18)
\]

\textbf{Proof:} For \(t \in [t_p, t_{p+1})\), choose the following LKF for error T-S fuzzy RDNN (8):

\[
\mathcal{Y}_1(t) = \int_{t_p}^{\alpha_t} \eta(t, x) \mathcal{P}_1 \eta(t, x) dx,
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y}_2(t) = \int_{t_p}^{\alpha_t} \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x} \mathcal{MD} \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x} dx,
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y}_3(t) = \int_{t_p}^{\alpha_t} e^{2\kappa(t-s)} \eta(t, x) \mathcal{Q}_1 \eta(t, x) ds dx,
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y}_4(t) = \int_{t_p}^{\alpha_t} e^{2\kappa(t-s)} \xi_1(t, x) \mathcal{Q}_2 \xi_1(t, x) ds dx,
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y}_5(t) = \int_{t_p}^{\alpha_t} e^{2\kappa(t-s)} \eta(t, x) \mathcal{H}_1 \eta(t, x) ds dx,
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y}_6(t) = \int_{t_p}^{\alpha_t} e^{2\kappa(t-s)} \eta(t, x) \mathcal{H}_2 \eta(t, x) ds dx,
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y}_7(t) = \int_{t_p}^{\alpha_t} \int_{s}^{t} e^{2\kappa(s-t)} \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial s} \mathcal{R}_1 \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial s} ds dx ds,
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y}_8(t) = \int_{t_p}^{\alpha_t} \int_{s}^{t} e^{2\kappa(s-t)} \eta(t, x) \mathcal{Q}_1 \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial s} ds dx ds,
\]

\[
\mathcal{Y}_9(t) = (h_p - h(t)) \mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{I}_7 \mathcal{X}_4(t, x) \mathcal{S}_4(t, x) ds dx ds dx.
\]

It is noted that \(\mathcal{Y}_i(t) (i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8)\) is continuous and \(\mathcal{Y}_i(t) (i = 9, 10)\) vanish before and after \(t_p\). Then, \(\lim_{t \to t_{p+1}} \mathcal{Y}(t) = \mathcal{Y}(t_p)\), from which one derives that \(\dot{\mathcal{Y}}(t)\) is continuous in time.
According to Jensen’s inequality [38] and reciprocally con-
ve inequality [39], one has from (12) and (25) that
\[ -e^{-2\kappa \gamma_1} \int_a^\alpha t \int_{t-\gamma_1}^t \frac{\partial \eta(s, x)}{\partial s} R_1 \frac{\partial \eta(s, x)}{\partial s} ds dx \]
\[ = -e^{-2\kappa \gamma_1} \int_a^\alpha t \int_{t-\gamma_1}^t \frac{\partial \eta(s, x)}{\partial s} R_1 \frac{\partial \eta(s, x)}{\partial s} ds dx \]
\[ \leq -e^{-2\kappa \gamma_1} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_m(s(t)) \theta_j(s(t_p)) \int_a^\alpha \left[ \xi_6(t, x) \right]^T \xi_7(t, x) \]
For any matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, one has from system (8) that

$$0 = 2 \int_0^\alpha \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_m(\zeta(t)) \theta_j(\zeta(t_p)) \left( \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + \gamma_j \eta(t, x) \right)^T$$

$$\times \left[ M \left( -\frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + D \frac{\partial^2 \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A \eta(t, x) \right) + B_{(1)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t, x)) + B_{(2)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t - \varpi(t, x))) \right] \, dx,$$

where $K_j = M \lambda_j$.

By the Dirichlet boundary condition in (8), integration by parts, and (34), one finds

$$2 \int_0^\alpha \frac{\partial \eta^T(t, x)}{\partial t} M D \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \, dx = 2 \int_0^\alpha \frac{\partial \eta^T(t, x)}{\partial t} M D \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=\alpha} \bigg|_{x=\alpha} - 2 \int_0^\alpha \frac{\partial^2 \eta^T(t, x)}{\partial x \partial t} M D \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x} \, dx$$

$$- 2 \int_0^\alpha \frac{\partial \eta^T(t, x)}{\partial x} M D \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x} \, dx,$$

and

$$2 \gamma_j \int_0^\alpha \eta^T(t, x) M D \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \, dx = -2 \gamma_j \int_0^\alpha \frac{\partial \eta^T(t, x)}{\partial x} M D \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x} \, dx.$$

From (36) and Lemma 2, one finds that

$$2 \kappa \gamma_2(t) - 2 \gamma_j \int_0^\alpha \frac{\partial \eta^T(t, x)}{\partial x} M D \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x} \, dx$$

$$= -2 (\gamma_j - \kappa) \int_0^\alpha \frac{\partial \eta^T(t, x)}{\partial x} M D \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial x} \, dx$$

$$\leq - \frac{2 (\gamma_j - \kappa) \pi^2}{(\alpha - \gamma_j)^2} \int_0^\alpha \eta^T(t, x) M D \eta(t, x) \, dx.$$

Combining (19)–(37), we have for $t_p \leq t < t_{p+1}$

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) + 2 \kappa \gamma(t) - \delta_1 \sup_{-\varpi \leq s \leq 0} \gamma(t + s)$$

$$\leq \int_0^\alpha \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_m(\zeta(t)) \theta_j(\zeta(t_p)) \right\{ \left( \frac{\partial \eta^T(t, x)}{\partial t} + \gamma_j \eta(t, x) \right)^T$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + D \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A \eta(t, x) \right) + B_{(1)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t, x)) + B_{(2)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t - \varpi(t, x))) \right] \, dx$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + D \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A \eta(t, x) \right) + B_{(1)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t, x)) + B_{(2)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t - \varpi(t, x))) \right] \right\} \left[ \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + D \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A \eta(t, x) \right) + B_{(1)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t, x)) + B_{(2)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t - \varpi(t, x))) \right] \left( \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + D \left( \frac{\partial^2 \eta(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - A \eta(t, x) \right) + B_{(1)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t, x)) + B_{(2)} \tilde{f}(\eta(t - \varpi(t, x))) \right) \right\} \right\} \dot{\gamma}(t) \times$$

$$\chi^T(t) F T S^{-1} F \chi(t) + 2 \chi^T(t) F T \left[ \xi(2, t), h(t) \right] \right\} \right\}.$$
then the T-S fuzzy RDNNs (2) and (3) can achieve exponential synchronization under the fuzzy time sampled-data controller (7), where \( \Psi^*(g; h_p, h(t)) = \sum_{i=1,3,6} \Psi_i(g; h_p, h(t)) \) for \( i = 2, 4, 5 \) and \( \Psi_i^*(g; h_p, h(t)) \) with

\[
\Psi_i^*(g; h, h(t)) = \sum_{j=2,4,5} \Psi_i^*(g; h_p, h(t)) \frac{e^{-2\kappa h^T} Y_j^T T}{\kappa h} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Psi^*(0; h, h) \\
\end{array} \right] < 0, \tag{44}
\]

Substituting (48) into (4), we have

\[
\frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} = \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_m(\zeta(t)) \theta_j(\zeta(t_p)) \left\{ D^2 \eta(t, x) - A_m \eta(t, x) + B_m^T \tilde{f}(\eta(t, x)) + \tilde{K}_{m} \eta(t_p, \tilde{x}_q) \right\} + \Gamma_j \eta(t_p, \tilde{x}_q), \tag{50}
\]

Theorem 2: Let scalars \( \omega^* > 0 \), \( \mu_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, h > 0, \bar{\Delta} \), and \( 0 < \delta_i < 2\kappa < 2\gamma_i \) be given. If there exist symmetric matrices \( Z > 0 \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \), \( P > 0 \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \), \( Q_i > 0 \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \), \( Q_2 > 0 \in \mathcal{S}^{2n \times 2n} \), \( Q_i > 0 \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \) \( (i = 1, 2) \), \( R_i > 0 \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \) \( (i = 1, 2) \), \( W > 0 \in \mathcal{S}^{2n \times 2n} \), \( S = \left\{ S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N \right\} \), and any matrices \( M \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \), \( \gamma_1(j) \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \) \( (j = 1, 2, 3) \), \( \bar{M}_j \in \mathcal{S}^{2n \times 2n} \), \( \gamma_j \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \) \( (j = 1, 2) \), \( \gamma_j \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \), for any \( m, j \in J \) satisfying \( MD \geq 0 \). (12)-(14) and

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} -\delta_i M \hspace{2cm} \gamma_j^T \hfill \\
\end{array} \right] < 0, \tag{50}
\]

where \( \bar{\Delta} \) is a positive constant.

Consider the fuzzy time-space sampled-data controller for rule \( j \) as:

\[
U(t, x) = K_j \eta(t_p, \tilde{x}_q), \quad \tilde{x}_q = \frac{x_q + x_{q+1}}{2},
\]

\[
j \in J, \ t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}), \ x \in [x_q, x_{q+1}),
\]

where \( K_j \in \mathbb{S}^{n \times n} \) \( (j \in J) \) are the gains to be designed. Then, the overall fuzzy time-space sampled-data controller is represented by

\[
U(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_j(\zeta(t_p)) K_j \eta(t_p, \tilde{x}_q),
\]

\[
j \in J, \ t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}), \ x \in [x_q, x_{q+1}),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + A \eta(t, x) + B^T \tilde{f}(\eta(t, x)) + \tilde{K} \eta(t_p, \tilde{x}_q) < 0, \tag{51}
\]

where \( \eta(t_p, \tilde{x}_q) = \eta(t, \tilde{x}_q) \) is defined in (48). For any matrix \( M \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n} \), one has from system (49) that

\[
0 = 2 \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \theta_m(\zeta(t)) \theta_j(\zeta(t_p)) \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_q}^{x_{q+1}} \Omega^T(t, x) \times \left[ M \left( -\frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + D^2 \eta(t, x) - A_m \eta(t, x) + B_m^T \tilde{f}(\eta(t, x)) + \tilde{K} \eta(t_p, \tilde{x}_q) \right) \right] dx,
\]

where \( \Omega(t, x) = \frac{\partial \eta(t, x)}{\partial t} + \gamma_j \eta(t, x) \) \( j \in J \), \( \gamma_j = MK_j \).
Based on Lemma 2, for any \( Z > 0 \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times n} \), we have from (53) that

\[
-2 \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_q}^{x_{q+1}} \Omega^T(t, x) K_j^* \int_{x_q}^{x} \frac{\partial y(t_p, \beta)}{\partial \beta} d\beta dx \\
\leq \int_{a}^{b} \Omega^T(t, x) Z \Omega(t, x) dx + \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \left( \int_{x_q}^{x_{q+1}} \right) \\
\times \left[ \int_{x_q}^{x} \frac{\partial y(t_p, \beta)}{\partial \beta}^T K_j^* T Z^{-1} K_j^* \int_{x_q}^{x} \frac{\partial y(t_p, \beta)}{\partial \beta} d\beta \right] dx \\
\leq \int_{a}^{b} \Omega^T(t, x) Z \Omega(t, x) dx \\
+ \frac{\Delta^2}{\pi^2} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial y^T(t_p, x)}{\partial x} K_j^* T Z^{-1} K_j^* \frac{\partial y(t_p, x)}{\partial x} dx. \tag{54}
\]

Combining (19)–(33), (35)–(37), (53) and (54), we get for \( t_p \leq t < t_{p+1} \) that

\[
\dot{y}(t) + 2 \kappa \mathbb{Y}(t) - \delta_1 - \sup_{-\omega \leq s \leq 0} \mathbb{Y}(t + s) \\
\leq \int_{a}^{b} \sum_{m=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_m(\varsigma(t)) \theta_j(\varsigma(t_p)) \zeta^T(t, x) \\
\times \left( \dot{\Psi}(1; h_p, h(t)) + \delta_1 I_j^* \mathcal{P} I_2 \right) \zeta(t, x) dx \\
- \delta_1 \mathcal{Y}_1(t_p) - \delta_1 \mathcal{Y}_2(t_p) + \frac{\Delta^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_j(\varsigma(t_p)) \\
\times \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial y^T(t_p, x)}{\partial x} K_j^* T Z^{-1} K_j^* \frac{\partial y(t_p, x)}{\partial x} dx \\
= \int_{a}^{b} \sum_{m=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_m(\varsigma(t)) \theta_j(\varsigma(t_p)) \zeta^T(t, x) \\
\times \dot{\Psi}(1; h_p, h(t)) \zeta(t, x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_j(\varsigma(t_p)) \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial y^T(t_p, x)}{\partial x} dx \\
\times \left( -\delta_1 M D + \frac{\Delta^2}{\pi^2} K_j^* T Z^{-1} K_j^* \right) \frac{\partial y(t_p, x)}{\partial x}. \tag{55}
\]

Then, using Schur complement to (50) and (52), from Lemma 3, (51) and (55), the T-S fuzzy RDNNs (2) and (3) can achieve exponential synchronization under the fuzzy time-space sampled-data controller (48). The proof is completed. ■

Remark 5: By sampling the time \( t \), we design the time sampled-data controller (7). Note that the state vector \( \varphi(t, x) \) of RDNN (1) is related to both time \( t \) and space \( x \). When sampling both \( t \) and \( x \), we design the time-space sampled-data controller (48). Compared with time sampled-data controller (7), the time-space sampled-data controller (48) uses less sampling signals, which can further save the communication resources of RDNNs.

Remark 6: In LMI-based conditions, the number of decision variables (NDVs) and the dimensions of the LMINs is two key factors for computational complexity [40]. In general, NDV is used as an index of computational complexity. By computation, the NDVs of the fuzzy time sampled-data control approach in Theorem 1 and the fuzzy time-space sampled-data control approach in Theorem 2 are \( 11 n^2 r^2 + n^2 r + 2 n r^2 + 10 n^2 + 6 n \) and \( 11 n^2 r^2 + n^2 r + 2 n^2 r + 10.5 n^2 + 6.5 n \), respectively. Note that, in order to derive less conservative synchronization criteria, the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique in Lemma 4 is used to estimate the derivative of the constructed LKF (18). By introducing more adjustable matrices, the conservatism of the obtained synchronization criteria can effectively be reduced, which will be verified in the next section. The limitation of the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique is that it reduces the conservatism but increases the NDVs, which will increase the computational complexity to some extent. How to weigh the conservatism and computational complexity will be considered in our future work.

IV. SIMULATION EXAMPLES

In this section, some simulations are presented to verify the effectiveness and superiority of the theoretical results. In order to show how the theory results from the previous sections are applied in this section, Algorithm 1 is given to find the maximum allowable sampling period (MASP) \( h \) and controller gains \( K_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, r) \).

Algorithm 1:

Step 1: For given \( 0 < \delta_1 < \kappa < 2 \gamma_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, r) \), specify the ranges \( h \) with increments \( \Delta h > 0 \). Set \( h = \Delta h \).

Step 2: Use MATLAB LMI Toolbox to solve LMI in Theorem 1 with specified \( h \).

Step 3: If there exists a feasible solution, then let \( h = h + \Delta h \), and go to Step 2. Otherwise, go to Step 4.

Step 4: If \( h = \Delta h \), output “No feasible solution satisfying Theorem 1”. Then reselect values of \( 0 < \delta_1 < \kappa < 2 \gamma_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, r) \), go to Step 1. Otherwise, go to Step 5.

Step 5: Output \( h = h - \Delta h \), which is the MASP. With the output MASP \( h \), and using MATLAB LMI Toolbox to solve the LMI in Theorem 1, we get the corresponding feasible matrices. Then, from (17), we find the controller gains \( K_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, r) \).

Example 1: Consider the T-S fuzzy RDNN (1) with the membership functions \( \theta_1(\varsigma(t)) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sin t) \) and \( \theta_2(\varsigma(t)) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sin t) \) for Rules 1 and 2, respectively, and the following parameters:

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -0.1 \\ -5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
B_1^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.5 & -0.1 \\ -0.2 & -2.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
B_2^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.6 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 \\ -0.6 \end{bmatrix}, \\
D = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Theta = \{ x \mid -1 \leq x \leq 5 \}, \\
\varphi_1(t) = |\sin(0.1 t)|, \quad \varphi_2(t) = |\cos(0.1 t)|, \\
\gamma(t) = 0, \quad f_i(\theta_i(t, x)) = \tanh(\varphi_i(t, x)) \quad (i = 1, 2),
\]

from which we get \( \varpi_1^* = 1, \mu_1 = 0.1 (i = 1, 2), \) and \( \tilde{l}_1 = l_2 = 0 \), and \( \tilde{l}_1^* = l_2^* = 1 \). Take the initial conditions of the drive system (1) as \( \phi_1(s, x) = 1.5 \nu(x), \) \( \phi_2(s, x) = -2 \nu(x), \) and the response system (3) as \( \phi_1(s, x) = 1.425 \nu(x), \) \( \phi_2(s, x) = -2.1 \nu(x) \) where \( \nu(x) = \cos(\frac{\pi x}{6}) \).

Next, we shall discuss the following two cases.

- Case 1: \( T = 0.01 \) and \( \nu(t) = \sin t \) with initial conditions \( \phi_1(s, x) = 1.5 \nu(x), \phi_2(s, x) = -2 \nu(x), \phi_1(s, x) = 1.425 \nu(x), \phi_2(s, x) = -2.1 \nu(x) \) with initial conditions. The simulation results are shown in Figure 1.

- Case 2: \( T = 0.001 \) and \( \nu(t) = \sin t \) with initial conditions \( \phi_1(s, x) = 1.5 \nu(x), \phi_2(s, x) = -2 \nu(x), \phi_1(s, x) = 1.425 \nu(x), \phi_2(s, x) = -2.1 \nu(x) \) with initial conditions. The simulation results are shown in Figure 2.


For Case 1: Fuzzy time sampled-data control for exponential synchronization of RDNNs (2) and (3).

Case 2: Fuzzy time-space sampled-data control for exponential synchronization of RDNNs (2) and (3).

When $\dot{u}(t, x) = 0$, the trajectories of states $\eta_i(t, x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and $||\eta(t, x)||$ are depicted in Fig. 1. From Fig. 1, we find, the synchronization of drive-response systems (2) and (3) cannot be realized if there is no control input.

For Case 1: we first verify the effectiveness of Theorem 1. Choosing $\kappa = 0.03$, $\delta_1 = 0.04$, $\gamma_1 = 7$, $\gamma_2 = 9$, by Algorithm 1, we can find the MASP $h = 0.0683$. The corresponding fuzzy time sampled-data controller gains are $K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -14.9429 & 0.3877 \\ 0.2703 & -17.8713 \end{bmatrix}$ and $K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -13.4753 & 0.3461 \\ 0.2506 & -17.3788 \end{bmatrix}$. With the above controller gains, Figs. 2 shows the controlled trajectories of states $\eta_i(t, x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and the corresponding fuzzy time sampled-data controller (7). The evolution of the controlled $||\eta(t, x)||$ is plotted in Fig. 3. From Fig. 3 one finds the exponential synchronization of the drive-response systems (2) and (3) is realized, which illustrates the effectiveness of Theorem 1 and the fuzzy time sampled-data controller (7).

Then, we show the superiority of the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix approach. For various $\kappa$, the MASPs $h$ by Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 are given in Table I. From Table I, we find, for various $\kappa$, the MASPs $h$ by Theorem 1 are all bigger than those by Corollary 1. It is noted that Theorem 1 is obtained by the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix approach, and Corollary 1 is obtained by the traditional estimation technique in Lemma 1 [35]. Thus, compared with the traditional estimation technique in Lemma 1 [35], the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix approach is more effective to reduce the conservatism.

For Case 2: take $\kappa = 0.35$, $\delta_1 = 0.6799$, $\bar{\Delta} = 0.06$, $\gamma_1 = 15$, $\gamma_2 = 16$. By Theorem 2, one obtains the MASP $h = 0.0206$ and the fuzzy time-space sampled-data controller gains as $K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -21.5495 & 0.1697 \\ 0.5273 & -27.5542 \end{bmatrix}$ and $K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -21.6003 & 0.1625 \\ 0.8195 & -27.5568 \end{bmatrix}$. With the above parameters, the trajectories of states $\eta_i(t, x)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and the corresponding fuzzy time-space sampled-data controller (48) are displayed in Figs. 4. Fig. 5 displays the evolution of error signal $||\eta(t, x)||$. From Fig. 5, it is clear that the trajectory of $||\eta(t, x)||$ converges to zero, which shows the effectiveness of Theorem 2 and the fuzzy time-space sampled-data controller (48).

Example 2: This example presents the application of the obtained results to image encryption, which is based on the following algorithm.

Algorithm 2:

Step 1: Process the original image. Read the pixel values of the original image with size $m \times n$. The pixel value is denoted by $p_{ij}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

Step 2: Generate a chaotic sequence by the drive system after time $t_0$, where $t_0$ is the time after the synchronization realized. Select $\hat{m}$ instants of time $t_0, \hat{t}_1 < \hat{t}_2 < \cdots < \hat{t}_{\hat{m}}$ and $\hat{n}$ spatial points $\alpha \leq \hat{x}_1 < \hat{x}_2 < \cdots < \hat{x}_\hat{n} \leq \hat{\alpha}$ such that $\hat{m} \times \hat{n} = m \times n$. Then the data $\varphi(t_i, x_j)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ is the generated chaotic sequence. Reshape the chaotic sequence to a matrix with size $m \times n$. The matrix elements are denoted by $c_{ij}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

Step 3: The encrypted signals are derived as follows.

$$e_{ij} = \mod (||c_{ij}|| \times 10^8, 256) \oplus p_{ij},$$

where $\oplus$ is the XOR operation.

Step 4: The encrypted image is derived by writing the $e_{ij}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

The decryption process is the reverse of the encryption process, which is omitted here.

Consider the T-S fuzzy RDNN (1) with the following parameters:

$$A_1 = I_3, \ B_1^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{7}{2} & 20 & 0.001 \\ 0.1 & 1 + \frac{7}{2} & 0.001 \\ 3 & -0.56 & -0.12 \end{bmatrix},$$

Fig. 1. Trajectories of states with $\dot{u}(t, x) = 0$ (a) $\eta_1(t, x)$, (b) $\eta_2(t, x)$, (c) $||\eta(t, x)||$. Table I: MASP $h$ for various $\kappa$ in Case 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>0.03</th>
<th>0.05</th>
<th>0.07</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theorem 1</td>
<td>0.0883</td>
<td>0.0663</td>
<td>0.0643</td>
<td>0.0613</td>
<td>0.0512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corollary 1</td>
<td>0.0564</td>
<td>0.0549</td>
<td>0.0535</td>
<td>0.0513</td>
<td>0.0439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: MASP $h$ for various $\kappa$ in Case 1
Then, according to Algorithm 2, the Lena grayscale original image, encrypted image, and decrypted image are shown in Fig. 8(a), and their corresponding histograms are given in Fig. 8(b). From Fig. 8, one finds that our obtained results can successfully solve the image encryption problem of secure communication.

V. CONCLUSION

In this note, we have studied the exponential synchronization problem of T-S fuzzy RDNNs with ATVDs. By proposing a fuzzy time and time-space sampled-data control schemes, the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique, and constructing the suitable LKF, we have obtained some new exponential synchronization criteria for T-S fuzzy RDNNs with ATVDs. The two fuzzy sampled-data control schemes are more applicable, since they can not only tolerate some uncertainties but save the limited communication resources for T-S fuzzy RDNNs with ATVDs. The fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique is firstly proposed. Compared with some traditional estimation techniques with a determined constant matrix, the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix approach is more flexible and helpful to reduce the conservatism. Finally, we have discussed some simulations to verify the effectiveness and superiority of the obtained theoretical results. It is noted that a new time-dependent fuzzy LKF approach has been proposed in [41], which can effectively capture the information of membership functions. In our future work, the time-dependent fuzzy LKF approach will be considered for T-S fuzzy RDNNs and the fuzzy-dependent adjustable matrix inequality technique can be extended to other T-S fuzzy systems.
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