Structural Connectivity in Down Syndrome and Alzheimer’s Disease
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Down syndrome (DS) arises from the triplication of chromosome 21, which leads to an atypical neurodevelopment and the overproduction of the amyloid precursor protein, predisposing to early Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Not surprisingly, trisomy 21 is widely considered a model to study predementia stages of AD. After decades, in which neural loss was the main focus, research in AD is now moving toward understanding the neurodegenerative aspects affecting white matter. Motivated by the development of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based diffusion techniques, this shift in focus has led to several exploratory studies on both young and older individuals with DS. In this review, we synthesise the initial efforts made by researchers in characterising in-vivo structural connectivity in DS, together with the AD footprint on top of such pre-existing connectivity related to atypical brain development. The white matter structures found to be affected in DS are the corpus callosum and all the main long-association fibres, namely the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, the inferior and superior longitudinal fasciculus, the uncinate fasciculus and the cingulum bundle. Furthermore, the cingulum bundle and the corpus callosum appear to be particularly sensitive to early AD changes in this population. Findings are discussed in terms of their functional significance, alongside methodological considerations and implications for future research.
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INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome (DS), also referred to as trisomy 21, is the most common genetic cause of intellectual disability, occurring in about 1 out of 700 live births worldwide (Parker et al., 2010). The aetiology of DS is well known and consists of a third copy of chromosome 21, with exceptions being mosaicism and translocation, which account for about 5% and 2% of the total cases, respectively (Antonarakis et al., 2020). Down syndrome is characterised by atypical development of motor (i.e., low muscle tone and poor postural control) and cognitive functions (mainly hippocampal-, fronto- and cerebellar-dependent functions).

The impact of trisomy 21 on the central nervous system unfolds throughout life, leading to mild-to-moderate intellectual disability in childhood and adolescence. Despite inter-individual variability, the late adulthood of most individuals with DS is characterised by a progressive loss of cognitive abilities associated with the development of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Indeed, due to the Aβ-amyloid precursor protein gene (APP; the same gene implicated in familial AD) triplication in chromosome 21, amyloid deposition can be found in virtually all individuals with DS by their third...
decade (Davidson et al., 2018), several years earlier than the pathology development in the general population (sporadic Alzheimer disease, sAD). Nevertheless, the AD clinical manifestation appears later in life, affecting about 88% of the population with DS by the age of 65 (McCarron et al., 2017).

Despite brain investigation on DS being a complicated task, several studies have explored the neural configuration in people with DS throughout development, giving rise to increasing, although far from conclusive, accumulation of knowledge. Whole-brain voxel-based morphometry analyses of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a consistent pattern of grey matter volume loss, involving mainly the fronto-temporal areas, structures of medial temporal lobes (e.g., hippocampus) and the cerebellar regions, in both children (Menghini et al., 2011; Carducci et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019) and adults with DS as compared to the age-matched healthy controls (White et al., 2003; Teipel et al., 2004; Annus et al., 2017; Bletsch et al., 2018; Pujol et al., 2018).

The development of MRI-based diffusion techniques over the past few decades has allowed researchers to consider the importance of white matter microstructural integrity in the characterisation of AD progression. This shift in focus is gradually being employed also in the DS research field, with several recently published studies. Therefore, the current work aims to provide a review of the structural white matter neuroimaging literature in DS. The aim is to synthesise the initial findings in characterising in-vivo structural connectivity in DS, together with the AD footprint on pre-existing atypical development of connectivity structures. The findings from other neuroimaging techniques, such as resting-state fMRI (rs-fMRI), will also be integrated to support hypotheses regarding functional mechanisms. Future directions for research will be discussed.

VOLUMETRIC MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING AND DIFFUSION MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING

Volumetric MRI techniques can provide the total brain's white matter volume which, in the population with DS, appears to be generally reduced (Pinter et al., 2001). Such white matter volume reduction is characterised by a frontal predominance, extending further to the temporal and parietal areas, as well as the cerebellum and cingulate cortex (Pinter et al., 2001; Menghini et al., 2011; Carducci et al., 2013; Bletsch et al., 2018). However, volumetric MRI techniques can provide only a measure of the gross degree of white matter degeneration, a phenomenon that is likely chronologically subsequent to more subtle aberrations in microstructural integrity.

To obtain a more detailed measure of white matter microstructural properties, diffusion imaging techniques can be employed. Diffusion imaging is an MRI-based technique that is used to study the brain's white matter microstructure integrity in-vivo. From the exploitation of water diffusion quantification in biological tissues, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) provides measures of magnitude, degree and orientation of diffusion anisotropy as output metrics (Basser et al., 1994). Diffusion parameters commonly used are fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), as well as axial and radial diffusivity (see Table 1). FA informs about the degree of diffusion directionality (i.e., diffusion being not uniform along every direction), while MD is a direction-independent measure of the magnitude of diffusion itself (i.e., the average degree of hindrance and restriction experienced by water molecules within the biological tissue). Finally, axial and radial diffusivity measure, respectively, the diffusion of water along and perpendicular to the main axis of the diffusion tensor, which approximates the main orientation of the underlying white matter (Pierpaoli and Basser, 1996; Solowij et al., 2017). Together these metrics can effectively probe the microstructural characteristics of white matter and extract information about axonal density, fibre coherency and myelinisation level (for a discussion about the diffusion metrics, see Jones et al., 2013). Therefore, in the case of the pathological processes associated with AD, where volumetric MRI measures the gross neural features that manifest late in the neurodegenerative process, diffusion imaging can potentially inform about the white matter microstructural integrity at earlier stages of AD.

To date, only a few studies have investigated white matter integrity in DS using different techniques, producing nine cross-sectional investigations (see Table 2); three studies have compared DS children, toddlers and young adults with age-matched healthy controls (Gunbey et al., 2017; Romano et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2020), while four studies concentrated on the same comparison in adults with DS (Fenoll et al., 2017; Koenig et al., 2019; Patrick et al., 2019; Bazydlo et al., 2021). Finally, three studies (Powell et al., 2014; Rosas et al., 2020; Bazydlo et al., 2021) have compared both DS adults without and with AD (DsAD) with healthy controls. These studies have been identified through a literature search using the following databases up to December 2021: MEDLINE, Embase and psycINFO. The search terms used were “Down syndrome,” “trisomy 21,” “white matter,” “structural connectivity,” “diffusion MRI,” “DTI,” “TBSS” and “fractional anisotropy.” Only studies that included individuals with DS and that employed diffusion-based MRI techniques were included. Finally, the bibliographies of included studies were searched for additional references.

Findings from these studies will be presented in the following sections and will be grouped based on the main white matter categorisation, which broadly divides the brain's tracts into three main groups based on the directionality of their connectivity: association, commissural and projection fibres. While association fibres connect cortical areas within the same hemisphere, commissures provide a structural connection between brain hemispheres. Finally, projection fibres connect cortical areas with the rest of the neuroaxis (Catani and De Schotten, 2008). The cingulum bundle (which belongs to the association fibres) will be discussed separately to highlight its relevance in DS.

THE LONG-ASSOCIATION FIBRES

Association fibres are white matter formations interconnecting brain regions that lie within the same hemisphere. Anatomically
speaking, association fibres are divided into two typologies: short-association fibres connecting adjacent cerebral gyri and long-association fibres connecting relatively distant brain areas and lobes (Catani and De Schotten, 2008). Reduced FA together with increased MD, axial and radial diffusivity of all the main long-association fibres have been reported in most diffusion imaging investigations in individuals with DS. Specifically, the structural loss affects the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF), inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF), uncinate fasciculus (UF) and the cingulum bundle (see Figures 1–4 and Table 2). These findings have been reported in almost all the possible comparisons; children (Gunbey et al., 2017) and adults with DS (Powell et al., 2014; Romano et al., 2018; Bazydlo et al., 2021) as compared to the age-matched controls from the general population, as well as additional reductions in DsAD as compared to healthy DS individuals (Patrick et al., 2019; Rosas et al., 2020). In terms of clinical significance, FA reductions in all these tracts were associated with poorer performance in verbal comprehension and motor coordination tasks (Powell et al., 2014), while reduced FA and increased MD in the ILF and SLF were associated with decreased episodic memory ability (Bazydlo et al., 2021).

Understanding the functional significance of such structural atypicality is a complicated task, as these bundles represent the entire inter-hemispheric wiring of the brain. The parieto-occipital regions are connected to the frontal lobes by means of the IFOF (Wu et al., 2016) and to the temporal poles via the ILF (Herbet et al., 2018). The IFOF has been associated with language processing and transmission (Duffau, 2008; Almairac et al., 2015), while the ILF plays a role in lexical and semantic processing (Herbet et al., 2018). The UF is a white matter bundle that connects the temporal poles with the orbitofrontal cortex (Catani et al., 2002) and is involved in episodic memory formation and retrieval (Squire and Zola-Morgan, 1991; Nestor et al., 2004). Finally, the SLF connects the inferior parietal lobe with the frontal cortex and is considered a key structure in the visuospatial integration and motor planning functions (Rushworth et al., 2006; De Schotten et al., 2011). The IFOF, ILF and UF (together with the middle longitudinal fasciculus) form the connective structure of the anterior temporal network, also referred to as the auditory ventral stream, one of the key networks involved in lexical and semantic processing (Duffau et al., 2013; Catani and Dawson, 2017). Therefore, the microstructural loss affecting these white matter bundles could be related to the language and verbal memory impairments commonly observed in DS (Grieco et al., 2015). Such a conclusion would also be supported by the association of FA reduction in these areas with measures of verbal comprehension (Powell et al., 2014). However, at present, this hypothesis has not been directly tested, therefore lacking empirical support.

The structural integrity loss of all the main long-association fibres potentially implies that the communication between distal brain regions (within the same hemisphere) would be impaired in this population. Consequently, the capacity to transmit information through the whole brain would be altered. Support for this idea comes from an rs-fMRI study, in which, decreased “global” functional connectivity (i.e., the functional connectivity between relatively distant brain regions) was observed in adults with DS as compared to healthy controls (Anderson et al., 2013). Direct associations between long-association fibres integrity and global functional connectivity have never been directly investigated; nevertheless, speculations can be made. When considered together, this evidence may suggest that long-association fibres’ integrity loss might contribute to the reduction in functional connectivity between the brain’s distal areas observed in DS. On the other hand, a heightened “local” functional connectivity (i.e., the functional connectivity between short-distance brain regions) was observed in the very same population (Anderson et al., 2013). This latter finding aligns with the observation that short-distance association fibres are spared in DS. This increase in local functional connectivity could be considered a compensatory mechanism, counterbalancing the decreased global connectivity. Finally, integrity loss of the long-association fibres could also explain the fragmented and overtly simplified brain network parcellation reported in DS (Anderson et al., 2013; Vega et al., 2015; Figueroa-Jiménez et al., 2021).

### Table 1 | Main characteristics and interpretations of diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) metrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Interpretations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fractional anisotropy | Rotational index of the degree of microstructural organisation and diffusion directionality | Reduced fractional anisotropy might reflect:  
- Damage or degeneration to axons or myelin  
- Reduced axonal packing density  
- Reduced axonal directional coherence |
| Mean diffusivity | Rotational index of the average diffusivity or water mobility within each image voxel | Increased mean diffusivity might reflect:  
- Reduced white matter density due axonal degeneration  
- Increased extracellular space due to myelin degeneration  
- Decreased axonal packing |
| Axial diffusivity | Rotational index of diffusivity computed along the direction of maximum diffusivity of the diffusion tensor | Reduced axial diffusivity might reflect:  
- Axonal injury/degeneration  
- Increased tortuosity  
- Less coherent axons orientation |
| Radial diffusivity | Rotational index of diffusivity computed perpendicularly to the direction of maximum diffusivity of the diffusion tensor | Increased radial diffusivity might reflect:  
- Myelin loss  
- Axons loss or decrease axonal density  
- Reduced axonal packing  
- Increased extra-axonal space |
### Table 2: Principal characteristics and main results of diffusion imaging studies in Down syndrome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sample n</th>
<th>Sample age (Mean; SD)</th>
<th>Main results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gunbey et al., 2017</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.6; ± 0.69</td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- FA decrease of IFOF, ILF, UF, right cerebral peduncle, corpus callosum body, right anterior limb of internal capsule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- MD increase of right IFOF, right ILF, UF, ATR, right cerebral peduncle, left external capsule, left anterior thalamic radiation, anterior corona radiata.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ROI analyses (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Lower FA in right cerebral peduncle, right ILF, UF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ROI analyses (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Voxel-wise volumetric reduction of corpus callosum and right cerebellar white matter in DS compared to HC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al., 2020</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.0; ± 5.5</td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- FA decrease in only 1% of total voxels: cerebellar (inferior and middle) and cerebral peduncles, external and internal capsule, anterior corona radiata, fornix, and medial lemniscus. No difference in MD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBM analyses (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Hypoplasia of several areas (cerebellar area, pons, and fornix).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ROI analysis (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Hypoplasia transverse pontine fibres, cerebellar and cerebral peduncles, fornix, frontal white matter, anterior limb of internal capsule, and cingulum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tractography analysis (passing through most affected ROIs) with continuous negative Ln-J voxel (DS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Hypoplasia of the fronto-pontine-cerebellar and temporo-occipito-parietal-cerebellar pathways, as well as of the fibres connecting the olives to the contralateral cerebellar cortex (thought he inferior cerebellar peduncles).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romano et al., 2018</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.7; (range 15–27)</td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- FA decrease of IFOF, ILF, ATR, CST.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ROI analyses (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- MD, Axial and Radial diffusivity increase of IFOF, ILF, UF, Cingulum, ATR, CST, Splenium of corpus callosum, as well as MD and Axial diffusivity increase of SLF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koenig et al., 2019</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29.4; (range 26–32)</td>
<td>Voxel-wise volumetric MRI analyses (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Reduced WM volume in bilateral ACC and PCC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diffusion ROI analysis (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Radial diffusivity in right IPL and right precuneus was related with attention scores in DS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Radial diffusivity in right rostral MFG, right ACC and left PCC was related with plasma inflammatory markers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenoll et al., 2017</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35.3; (range 18–52)</td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- FA reduction in frontal lobes, semiovale centrum, corpus callosum, external capsule, internal capsule, putamen, thalamus, pyramidal tracts, brainstem. Alterations were more severe in the frontal-subcortical circuits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ROI analyses (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Positive correlation between FA and semantic fluency in several regions (frontal lobes, corpus callosum, semioval centres, arcuate fasciculus, caudate nucleus, external capsule, thalamus, and hippocampus).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ROI analyses (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick et al., 2019</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis (DsAD &gt; DS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- MD increase of ILF, SLF, corona radiata, and fronto-occipital fasciculus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell et al., 2014</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39.1; ± 7.7</td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis and Pearson’s partial correlation analyses (across all groups)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- FA in SLF and ILF positively correlates with measures of episodic memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- MD in SLF and ILF negatively correlates with measures of episodic memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The results didn’t change after removing the participants with preclinical/prodromal AD and AD from the analyses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis (DS &gt; HC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- FA reduction of IFOF, ILF, UF, Cingulum, Splenium of corpus callosum, Thalamic Radiation. The largest number of significantly lower FA voxels were found in the frontal lobes. Positive correlation between FA and frontal executive function in several areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis (DsAD &gt; DS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- FA in SLF and ILF positively correlates with measures of episodic memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- MD in SLF and ILF negatively correlates with measures of episodic memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The results didn’t change after removing the participants with preclinical/prodromal AD and AD from the analyses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
TABLE 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sample n</th>
<th>Sample age (Mean; SD)</th>
<th>Main results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No statistically significant results after multiple comparison correction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saini et al.</td>
<td>HC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>51.7; ± 2.1</td>
<td>Voxel-wise TBSS analysis (DsAD &gt; Ds with preclinical/prodromal AD &gt; DS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>48.5; ± 6.1</td>
<td>Average FA values tend to decrease (as a trend) in the progression from DS to DS with preclinical/prodromal AD to DsAD in Genu, Splenium, Cingulum bundle, UN, and ILF. Diffusion metrics of Genu, Splenium and Cingulum bundle correlates with measure of general cognitive functioning and memory across groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosas et al. 2020</td>
<td>Ds</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>51.5; ± 5.2</td>
<td>Partial Spearman correlation analysis between ROI’s FA and amyloid SURV scaling (across all groups)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DsAD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>54.3; ± 7.7</td>
<td>Low Genu FA correlate with amyloid burden in frontal region and low Splenium FA correlate with amyloid burden in precuneus and parietal regions. Low Cingulum bundle FA correlate with amyloid burden in entorhinal cortex and parietal cortical region.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


Despite the different functional interpretations that might be drawn, it is noteworthy that all the findings regarding long-association fibres found to be involved in DS also appear to be affected in sAD (Stricker et al., 2009; Taoka et al., 2009; Bosch et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2012). The majority of diffusion imaging studies described so far employed DS individuals...
same result has been recently demonstrated in sAD as well (Jacobs et al., 2013; Badhwar et al., 2017). Interestingly, the posterior node functional connectivity is a common feature of Fox et al., 2005; Buckner et al., 2009). Reduction of the DMN displays high levels of metabolic activity during resting-state one of the most widely studied intrinsic brain networks, which (Greicius et al., 2003; Schmahmann et al., 2007). The DMN is network (DMN) with its frontal and temporal counterparts physical connection of the posterior node of the Default mode (Jacobs et al., 2013; Badhwar et al., 2017). One interpretation of the similarities between DS and sAD is that the white matter degeneration and demyelination processes may already begin in early adulthood and unfold gradually throughout adult life in the population with DS, meaning that MRI features associated with sAD may be noted in younger “healthy” individuals with DS. Diffusion imaging studies that compared DsAD with healthy DS reported FA reductions and MD increases in the IFOF, ILF, UN, SLF and cingulum in DsAD participants (Powell et al., 2014; Patrick et al., 2019; Rosas et al., 2020). These data suggest that long-association fibres might be affected in both pre- and post-AD life stages in this population, with further changes during the development of AD pathology.

**The Cingulum Bundle**

A cingulum bundle is a heterogeneous group of white matter fibres that forms a near-complete ring from the orbito-frontal cortex to the temporal lobe (see Figures 1, 3), encompassing the dorsal corpus callosum (Schmahmann et al., 2007). The cingulum bundle interconnects medial temporal, parietal and frontal cortices, while also connecting the cingulate gyrus with subcortical nuclei (Bubb et al., 2018). The reduced structural integrity of the cingulum bundle can be observed in toddlers and adults with DS as compared to healthy controls (Powell et al., 2014; Romano et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2020; Rosas et al., 2020) and in DsAD as compared to healthy DS (Rosas et al., 2020; see Table 2). This suggests the presence of an incremental microstructural alteration that affects the cingulum bundle, with its origins in the developmental stages of DS, which is further impacted by AD. Moreover, the cingulum bundle is one of the few white matter structures in which FA values appear to be sensitive during early neurodegenerative changes in DS, which is able to discriminate between DS and DS with preclinical/prodromal AD (referred to as DS with mild cognitive impairment by Rosas et al., 2020). Finally, FA reduction in the cingulum bundle has been associated with measures of memory and verbal learning, as well as with high-amyloid burden in parietal cortical regions and in the entorhinal cortex in DS (Rosas et al., 2020), one of the most sensitive regions in sAD (for a review see Pini et al., 2016).

By integrating the evidences from the rs-fMRI and volumetric MRI fields, the cingulum bundle provides the physical connection of the posterior node of the Default mode network (DMN) with its frontal and temporal counterparts (Greicius et al., 2003; Schmahmann et al., 2007). The DMN is one of the most widely studied intrinsic brain networks, which displays high levels of metabolic activity during resting-state conditions in healthy individuals (Gusnard and Raichle, 2001; Fox et al., 2005; Buckner et al., 2009). Reduction of the DMN posterior node functional connectivity is a common feature of sAD (Jacobs et al., 2013; Badhwar et al., 2017). Interestingly, the same result has been recently demonstrated in DsAD as well (Wilson et al., 2019). Therefore, it would be reasonable to assume that the integrity loss of the cingulum bundle, so frequently reported by diffusion studies in DS, could play an important role in the DMN connectivity alterations. However, more studies targeting this specific hypothesis are needed.

The importance of the cingulum bundle is further evincible when the rs-fMRI studies are interpreted in light of volumetric MRI literature results; the posterior cingulate/precuneus complex represents the neural substrate of the DMN posterior node (Fransson and Marrelec, 2008; Andrews-Hanna et al., 2014). The posterior cingulate/precuneus complex has been disproportionately represented as a site of sAD pathology in neuroimaging studies (Takahashi et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2007; Alves et al., 2012; Bosch et al., 2012; Hillary and Grafman, 2017), and might constitute a network-based biomarker in sAD (Jacobs et al., 2013). It is noticeable that when individuals with DS develop AD, the posterior cingulate/precuneus complex is one of the most affected brain areas in terms of volume loss (Beacher et al., 2009; Sabbagh et al., 2015; Annus et al., 2017; Lao et al., 2017). Furthermore, a longitudinal investigation of DS found the precuneus to be the only cortical volume negatively correlated
with global cortical amyloid deposition (Lao et al., 2017). It is therefore interesting to note how three different types of data (volumetric MRI, diffusion MRI and rs-fMRI) converge in suggesting a complex interaction of volumetric, functional and structural connectivity loss involving the DMN posterior node or posterior cingulate/precuneus and the cingulum bundle. In conclusion, these cross-methodological comparisons suggest that the cingulum bundle is a structure of primary importance when attempting to understand the link between sAD and DsAD and the development of AD in the context of the DS neurodevelopment.

**COMMISSURAL FIBRES**

The white matter structures mentioned so far are all long-association fibres supporting the brain’s intra-hemispheric communication. However, information transmission in the brain is also possible between hemispheres and is supported through the commissural system. The corpus callosum is the largest brain commissure, interconnecting several brain areas (Raybaud, 2010; see **Figure 1**), and although no clear boundaries are observable, it is commonly subdivided into genu, body and splenium (Witelson, 1989). Reduced FA has been observed in the corpus callosum of children and adults with DS as compared to the age-matched healthy controls (Powell et al., 2014; Fenoll et al., 2017; Gunbey et al., 2017; see **Table 2**). Teipel and Hampel (2006) hypothesised that the corpus callosum structural loss should be treated as a cognitive biomarker in DS. Indeed, they found an association of corpus callosum atrophy with general cognitive, as well as language, orientation, visuospatial and memory performance in adult participants with DS (Teipel S. J. et al., 2003). They also showed that the extent of corpus callosum atrophy in elderly individuals with DS (affecting mainly the splenium) is comparable to that of the hippocampus. Further support for this idea comes from a recent study by Rosas et al. (2020), in which a group of adults with DS was compared with both DsAD and DS with preclinical/prodromal AD. Their results showed a gradual progression of the diffusion metrics from healthy DS to DS with preclinical/prodromal AD and from DS preclinical/prodromal AD to DsAD in the genu and splenium of corpus callosum (together with the cingulum bundle, ILF and UN). Interestingly, not only the splenium was associated with memory performance, but it was the white matter tracts that differed significantly between DS with preclinical/prodromal AD and healthy DS, and therefore considered sensitive to AD-like early changes in this population. Given that the corpus callosum represents one of the biggest connective structures of the brain, it is difficult to precisely outline what could be the functional counterpart of the structural damages observed in all these studies. Once again, drawing from different research fields might prove helpful in disentangling the role of the corpus callosum in DS cognition and the advent of AD.

Resting-state functional connectivity studies have reported a reduction (or near-total absence) of anti-correlations in both young DS and DsAD as compared to the age-matched healthy controls (Anderson et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2019). The same phenomena can also be observed in the population with sAD (Wang et al., 2007; Weiler et al., 2017). While positive correlation indicates that two regions are comodulated (either during a task or at rest), a negative or anti-correlation between networks (or regions) means that the networks are temporally modulated in opposite directions (Fox et al., 2006). Anti-correlation in the brain could represent a “division of labour” between networks with seemingly opposite functions (Fransson, 2006), with failures in such processes being associated with negative cognitive and behavioural outcomes (Weissman et al., 2006; Kelly et al., 2008). In this context, the integrity loss of corpus callosum, commonly observed in DS and DsAD, might potentially be implicated. Although the precise processes involved are still a matter of debate (for a review, see van der Knaap and van der Ham, 2011), the corpus callosum is a fundamental structure for the brain’s functional specialisation (or lateralisation). It is therefore not surprising that individuals with DS exhibit atypical linguistic lateralisation, which might seemingly account for their idiosyncratic verbal profile (Heath et al., 2005; Shoji et al., 2009). Therefore, it could be hypothesised that the profound functional network organisation disruption in DS, evidenced by the lack of anti-correlations, could depend, at least in part, on callosum-driven mechanisms. However, studies targeting the association between corpus callosum integrity and functional anti-correlation are needed to support these speculations.

**PROJECTION FIBRES**

The third and last type of white matter tracts is projection fibres, a group of both ascending and descending tracts
that connect the cortex with subcortical structures, such as deep grey nuclei, brainstem, cerebellum, and spinal cord. Projection fibres mainly carry sensory and motor information and their anatomical nomenclature changes along their course throughout the nervous system. Within the cerebral hemisphere, the projection fibres assume a fan-like shape called corona radiata, which connects the cortical surface with the thalamus (Catani and De Schotten, 2012). Different studies reported reductions in FA and increases in MD of the anterior thalamic radiation in all age groups of individuals with DS, including DsAD, when compared to age matched controls (Powell et al., 2014; Gunbey et al., 2017; Romano et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2020; see Table 2). The anterior thalamic radiation represents the most anterior part of the corona radiata and connects the frontal and cingulate cortex to the thalamic nuclei (Mamah et al., 2010). These structural connectivity findings in DS have been interpreted as a potential sign of the attentional and general executive function deficits associated with DS (Romano et al., 2018). In addition, it has been hypothesised that alterations in the anterior thalamic radiation might disrupt the monoaminergic communication between frontal areas and memory limbic structures, such as the amygdala, resulting therefore in cognitive, personality and behavioural changes frequently observed in DS adults as early dementia symptoms (Ball et al., 2008; Dekker et al., 2015; Ismail et al., 2016).

Moving downward, the neural axis, the thalamic radiations and the corona radiata as a whole pass through the internal capsule and continue inferiorly in the midbrain forming compact bundles, commonly referred to as the cerebral peduncles. At this level, the cerebellar peduncles, connecting the cerebellum with the rest of the brain, can also be found. Finally, the cerebral peduncles of the brainstem converge inferiorly into the spinal cord (Catani and De Schotten, 2012). Interestingly, the internal capsule (especially the anterior limb) and the cerebral peduncles manifest lower FA values and volume reduction in children and toddlers with DS when compared to healthy controls (Gunbey et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2020). Specifically, remarkable hypoplasia was observed in the medial and lateral aspects of the cerebral peduncles, while the intermediate part did not differ from healthy controls. The intermediate aspect of the cerebral peduncles hosts the corticospinal tract (or pyramidal tract), a group of axons carrying motor information from the cerebral cortex to the spinal cord (Kwon et al., 2011). This finding led Lee et al. (2020) to conclude that the corticospinal tract is relatively spared in DS. They suggested that the motor impairment frequently observed in this population may be explained by the integrity loss observed in the afferent cerebellar peduncles and the cerebellum. This conclusion was further supported by the results of the tractography analyses. The authors employed a novel diffusion imaging technique called tensor-based morphometry, which identifies regional structural (volumetric) differences by measuring the degree of nonlinear deformation required to align each subject’s data to a common anatomical template (Lee et al., 2020). Seeding tractography in the hypoplastic cerebellar peduncles regions, the deterministic tractography was able to reconstruct white matter trajectories associated with volume loss. The results evidenced severe hypoplasia in motor-control relevant tracts, such as the pontine-cerebellar and olivo-cerebellar pathways in DS, suggesting cerebellar-driven mechanisms affecting mobility in DS (Lee et al., 2020).

However, these findings are in contrast with Romano et al. (2018), as well as with Fenoll et al. (2017), who reported microstructural integrity loss of the corticospinal tract in adults with DS as compared to healthy controls. Moreover, these authors observed integrity loss within the same sample and also in the SLF (see section “The Long-Association Fibres”), which is a key structure in the visuospatial integration and motor planning functions (Rushworth et al., 2006; De Schotten et al., 2011). These findings were interpreted by the authors as a neural sign of gait disturbance and motor deficits often reported in DS (Romano et al., 2018). To date, there is enough evidence to support both hypotheses made to account for the motor difficulties in DS (cerebellar-driven vs. corticospinal tract-driven mechanisms). However, it is important to note that Romano et al. (2018) used a more lenient threshold in their analysis, which could have potentially led to false positives. Future studies will shed light on the specific white matter-driven mechanism of motor impairments in young individuals with DS.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we reviewed all the available diffusion MRI investigations in populations with Down syndrome and discussed the implications of their findings in relation to Alzheimer’s disease. All these investigations represent a first attempt to characterise the in-vivo wiring of the brain in the population with DS. With this review, we aim to summarise and critically interpret the diffusion findings in DS to provide a useful starting point for future, hypothesis-driven, investigations using diffusion imaging in this DS.

What emerged from the examined studies is a picture of widespread integrity loss across a wide range of white matter tracts in DS (see Table 3). In particular, the frontal lobes appear to be the brain region with the most evident white matter differences as compared to controls in nearly all the investigations. This is in line with the structural MRI literature, in which volume loss of the frontal lobes is one of the most reported findings in DS and thought to be associated with the cognitive phenotype of poor executive function and working memory that is typical of this population (Grieco et al., 2015). Moreover, integrity loss of the frontal lobes is frequently observed in DsAD (for a review, see Fonseca et al., 2016). Indeed, personality and behavioural changes, which can potentially be traced back to the frontal domain, are amongst the first AD symptoms commonly reported in DS (Ball et al., 2006, 2008). These observations suggest a prominent frontal impact of AD neurodegeneration in DS. However, caution should be adopted in considering the frontal white matter integrity loss as a reliable indicator of the advent of AD in this population. More specifically, such frontally weighted neuron loss stands as a superimposition on a previously “less developed” brain area, in the context of which, even a relatively...
small additional loss due to AD will have a significant impact. Consequently, measures of neurodegeneration within the frontal lobes may possess low specificity in discriminating the AD from neurodevelopmental atypicalities.

The studies discussed in the present work examined individuals with DS belonging to several age groups, ranging from children with a mean age of 2.6 years (Gunbey et al., 2017) up to adults with DsAD aged 52 and above (Powell et al., 2014). While the prevalence of frontal lobes integrity loss appears to be common throughout the whole neurodevelopment in DS, some differences were observed at different development stages. Children and toddlers with DS tend to present with underdevelopment interesting mainly the projection fibres and cerebellar areas (Gunbey et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2020), while in adults and elderly individuals, the structural loss tends to affect the long association fibres and the corpus callosum (Powell et al., 2014; Fenoll et al., 2017; Romano et al., 2018; Rosas et al., 2020). However, these findings are preliminary given the few studies conducted so far.

A common finding observed virtually in all the investigations is the integrity loss of all the main long-association fibres in DS (see Figures 1, 2). The involvement of the long-association fibres is perhaps the main communality between sAD and DsAD. This group of bundles, also referred to as small-diameter fibres, myelinate much later during development (Yakovlev, 1967; Kinney et al., 1988) than the large-diameter fibre tracts, such as motor or sensory ones. According to the retrogenesis model, the progression of white matter degeneration in sAD reflects the reverse of the myelogenesis developmental order (Reisberg et al., 1999), with the late-myelination pathway being the first to be affected in sAD (Bartzokis, 2004). It is interesting to note that, despite the low number of DS diffusion examinations available, and despite most of these studies are on DS adults without AD, the white matter microstructure findings in DS seem to be in line with the retrogenesis model of sAD progression.

Finally, the cingulum bundle and the corpus callosum appear to be particularly relevant to the advent of AD in DS. FA of these two white matter structures (together with the ILF and UF) differed significantly between DS with preclinical/prodromal AD and healthy DS and were therefore considered sensitive to early AD-like changes (Rosas et al., 2020). The importance of the cingulum bundle is further highlighted by rs-fMRI studies, which point to a functional disconnection of the DMN posterior nodes in both sAD and DsAD (Badhwar et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2019). On the other hand, integrity loss of the corpus callosum has been found in individuals with DS of all age groups. The corpus callosum is considered by some as a potential cognitive biomarker in this population, given its strong association with the DS cognitive phenotype (Teipel J. et al., 2003; Teipel and Hampel, 2006). Therefore, the cingulum bundle and the corpus callosum should be investigated in future diffusion studies of AD effects in DS.

**LIMITATIONS OF CURRENT STUDIES OF WHITE MATTER INTEGRITY IN DOWN SYNDROME**

These preliminary studies represent a valuable starting point in understanding the white matter integrity in individuals with DS. However, data on the AD impact on white matter integrity are limited, because few studies included individuals with DsAD, and none employed a longitudinal design. Of these studies, Rosas et al. (2020) reported reduced FA of the SLF, UF, genu, splenium and cingulum bundle in DsAD, while Patrick et al. (2019) observed an increase in MD in IFOF and IFL. In contrast, no effect survived the correction for multiple comparisons in the study by Powell et al. (2014). Different issues may account for such heterogeneity in the results produced by these studies; first, it should be stressed that the population with DS manifests a wide degree of inter-individual heterogeneity of the syndrome's manifestations, which unfolds at every level of description (e.g., genetic, cellular, cognitive, behavioural and environmental; Karmiloff-Smith et al., 2016). The age onset of the AD clinical manifestations is also
would also overcome the lack of standard reference for brain characterise the population with DS. Longitudinal designs implemented to address the inter-individual variability that AD changes. Where possible, longitudinal designs should be metrics other than FA might prove sensitive in detecting early DS and the impact of AD on neural connectivity. Moreover, FA is a measure sensitive to noisy data (See et al., 2019), and evidence of DTI metrics other than FA having higher sensitivity in non-demented DS individuals has been reported (Romano et al., 2018; Patrick et al., 2019; Rosas et al., 2020). Axial diffusivity has been suggested to be a more suitable diffusion metric for discriminating MCI from healthy individuals in the general population (Agosta et al., 2011; Nir et al., 2013), and radial diffusivity can discriminate those brain regions in AD that were previously shown to be affected in MCI (Acosta-Cabronero et al., 2012).

Finally, Lee et al. (2020) suggested that FA may not be sensitive enough to capture subtle age-related white matter alterations. Moreover, FA is a measure sensitive to noisy data (See et al., 2019), and evidence of DTI metrics other than FA having higher sensitivity in non-demented DS individuals has been reported (Romano et al., 2018; Patrick et al., 2019; Rosas et al., 2020). Axial diffusivity has been suggested to be a more suitable diffusion metric for discriminating MCI from healthy individuals in the general population (Agosta et al., 2011; Nir et al., 2013), and radial diffusivity can discriminate those brain regions in AD that were previously shown to be affected in MCI (Acosta-Cabronero et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In conclusion, what emerges from the present work is that diffusion imaging techniques constitute promising methods for the investigation of in vivo white matter development in DS and the impact of AD on neural connectivity. Moreover, metrics other than FA might prove sensitive in detecting early AD changes. Where possible, longitudinal designs should be implemented to address the inter-individual variability that characterise the population with DS. Longitudinal designs would also overcome the lack of standard reference for brain structures. As mentioned earlier, the brain of individuals with DS develops in an atypical fashion and with a high degree of interindividual variability. Several brain areas commonly affected in AD (i.e., temporal lobes) tend to be hypoplastic throughout the DS adulthood, making it difficult to discriminate AD-like neurodegenerative processes. This creates a situation in which there is a lack of a standard reference against which brain volume changes can be ascertained, rendering the AD diagnosis even more complicated.

A further layer of complexity is added by the fact that gross brain alterations observed post-mortem in sAD are considered non-specific in nature, as they show an extensive overlap with what is considered to be the product of healthy-ageing neural loss processes (Terry, 1986). Nevertheless, if severe brain volume alterations are observed before 65 years of age, using in-vivo volumetric methods, a diagnosis of AD can be made with a reasonable amount of confidence. In contrast, when considering the population with DS, the picture is more complicated due to the lack of a standard reference against which brain volume changes can be ascertained, given the background of atypical development, as well as the significant heterogeneity in clinical manifestation (Karmiloff-Smith et al., 2016).

The diffusion studies in DS discussed here adopted an explorative approach, employing voxel-wise analyses using small sample sizes. Despite that, lower levels of FA, as well as increased levels of MD affecting the main long association fibres and the corpus callosum have been reported by all these investigations when comparing individuals with DS with healthy controls (see Table 3). Therefore, future studies would benefit from employing a tractography approach, focusing on specific white matter structures, to obtain more detailed information that would normally elude voxel-wise analyses. In conclusion, diffusion imaging has the potential as a technique to identify biomarkers for the identification of the early stages of AD-related brain changes in the population with DS, particularly within the cingulum bundle and the corpus callosum. However, more studies are needed to validate the sensitivity and specificity of such measures as potential AD biomarkers.
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