King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.ccs.2014.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccs.2014.05.009)

Document Version

Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Comunian, R., Chapain, C., & Clifton, N. (2014). Creative industries & creative policies: A European perspective? *City, Culture and Society*, 5(2), 51-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccs.2014.05.009>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This is a pre-print version of the article. It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite this work:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877916614000228>

Correct Reference: Comunian, R., Chapain, C., & Clifton, N. (2014). Creative industries & creative policies: A European perspective? *City, Culture and Society*, 5(2), 51-53. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccs.2014.05.009>

Introduction

Creative industries & creative policies: a European perspective?

Dr Roberta Comunian*, Department of Culture, Media and Creative Industries, King's College London Roberta.Comunian@kcl.ac.uk

Dr Caroline Chapain, Birmingham Business School, University of Birmingham
c.a.chapain@bham.ac.uk

Prof. Nick Clifton, Cardiff School of Management, Cardiff Metropolitan University
nclifton@cardiffmet.ac.uk

*Corresponding author

Introduced in the UK in 1998 (DCMS 1998) the creative industries discourse has now become a truly global phenomenon that has left no country immune from the need to take action in defining, measuring or promoting their creative and cultural production (Wang 2004; Cunningham 2009; UN 2013) .

While the global dimension of this phenomenon is currently under scrutiny in a variety of contexts, from East Asia (Yusuf and Nabeshima 2005) to the global south (Barrowclough and

Kozul-Wright 2008, UN 2013), this special issue aims to bring the focus back to Europe, where despite a decade of European policy discourses (EC 1998, 2010; CEU 2007; EP and CEU, 2008), projects and platforms designed to support and develop the creative and cultural industries, including the latest Creative Europe programme (EU, 2013), much can still be questioned in reference to a truly European perspective

It is acknowledged that creative and cultural industries (CCIs), broadly defined, are now considered by many policy makers across Europe at the heart of their national innovation and economic development agenda (Chapain, Clifton and Comunian, 2013). Similarly, many cities and regions in Europe have adopted policies to support and develop creative industries and their local creative production and consumption (Pratt 2009; Musterd and Murie, 2010; Musterd and Kovács, 2013). While there has been a growing debate on the role of these industries in local and regional development, there is still a limited understanding of their actual interconnectedness within different contexts and localities, and the related public and private initiatives and governance arrangements in place to support them (Comunian, Chapain, and Clifton 2010). It is likely that different spatial and institutional forces are at work across the variety of coordinated, federal and transition states within Europe as highlighted by recent contributions (Andres and Chapain, 2013; Clifton, Cooke and Hansen, 2013). In addition, the European Commission is playing an increasing role in supporting the development of these industries as demonstrated by the introduction of the Creative Europe programme mentioned above.

There is therefore a growing need to reconcile the global discourse around the creative industries with European local and regional development dynamics to better take into account the specific economic, geographical and institutional contexts across the diverse spectrum of European countries. For example, local cultures and the development of local creative industries are considered in the literature as one of the assets for fostering regional identity (Chapain and Comunian 2010; Pareja-Eastaway et al., 2013). In particular, culture can enable different places to reinvent their images and empower local citizens. The way cultural and creative products are influenced by specific localities also helps to create a unique point of sale for those places, and to promote specific regional products globally (Clifton 2014). Nevertheless, localities and regions evolve within specific national contexts, and in the case of Europe, within the remit of European policies as well.

The papers collected in this Special Issue aim to present and critically discuss different approaches and national experiences in our understanding of the creative industries and the way they work across different European spatial and institutional contexts – questioning or considering whether there is (or not) a European perspective in this field. Europe is a particularly interesting context in the international landscape as an attempt has been made to emerge with a coherent framework for creative and cultural industries (EC 2010) but at the same time the importance of context-specific policies and a variety of regional and national perspectives is acknowledged (ibid.). This results on one hand in a potentially paradoxical repositioning and promotion of what cultural and creative industries are and can do for economic growth, but also on the other champions the idea that cultural and creative industries are place constructed frameworks and that regional and national differences can also add to the overall value and importance of the sector, despite obvious inconsistencies.

The papers included in this special issue use national European case studies to approach this challenge, but also consider how these case studies (and related policies) fit into the EU understanding of creative industries. While the focus here is on Europe, we suggest that this kind of pan-regional suite of investigations – which could for instance be applied to other global macro-regions – has a value in adding to our understanding of how global discourses get translated and interpreted at the local and national level, taking into account the influence of broader cultural, economic, political and geographical influences.

The papers collected in this special issue highlight how the understanding of CCIs in different European countries is a continuous negotiation between three key dimensions: the definition of CCIs itself; the way CCIs are seen in the economy in relation to public, private and not for profit sectors; and, the complex intersection of European, national but also regional and urban policy frameworks (fig.1).

The first theme, at the core of the paper by Rozentale and Lavanga (2014), how to define the CCIs, has been discussed at length from the initial definitions of the sector (O'Connor 1999; Flew 2002) until recently (Potts et al. 2008; Galloway and Dunlop 2007). However, it still remains important to consider how comparable international definitions struggle to fit the agenda of different national and local systems. What constitutes creative and cultural products needs to be grounded in the specificity of a place and its history and development. Differences in historical and economic development trajectories of European countries – especially across Western and former Soviet Union / Eastern bloc states– mean that definitions have to be broad, encompassing sectors that are not necessarily equally meaningful in different nations. It implies both a different understanding of the sector and its workers (Clifton, Cooke, and Hansen 2013) but also their intrinsic (micro-level) motivations (Comunian 2009). The attempt by the EU to encapsulate this in a broader European definition has met with scepticism at local level but also an acknowledgement of the richness and diversity that makes up the broader creative and cultural industries and which can only be framed with some difficulties. The case study of Riga in Latvia therefore adds to our understanding of how recognised characteristics of the creative and cultural industries should be considered and applied carefully in different contexts.

The second key theme, discussed in the paper of Comunian and Mould, considers the diverse range of economic sectors and dynamics surrounding the creative and cultural industries in the case of Newcastle-Gateshead in the UK. In particular, the distinction between what is publicly funded creative and cultural production and what is privately produced or led by the not for profit organisations is discussed. The role of publicly funded creative and cultural production is particularly linked to the issue of definition and results in a range of different activities being supported (or not supported) in different countries. However, it also poses questions in relation to policy as the paper of Comunian and Mould highlights that the investment and impact of public-sector cultural projects, specifically in case of urban regeneration, can sometimes have indeed negative externalities for creative and cultural producers. A complex set of networks and interconnections is key to local cultural development (Comunian 2011) and public sector interventions sometimes can remain peripheral to the growth and development of mainstream creative and cultural production.

Fig 1: The complexity of creative and cultural industries in Europe

The final key theme, strongly emphasised in the papers of Ponzini, Gugu and Oppio and in the paper of Pinheiro and Hauge, relates to the value of policy in shaping the development and the growth of the sector. Both papers, one focusing on Italy and the other on Norway, highlight that the understanding and development of local creative and cultural industry policies is always a complex negotiation between international frameworks, European initiatives but also national and regional dynamics. The nested but sometimes even contradicting nature of these interventions means that the regional and urban context needs to translate and adapt the broader national and international frameworks. In the case of Norway, Pinheiro and Hauge consider the importance not only of *adopting* specific policies but also of *adapting* them. In the case of Italy, Ponzini, Gugu and Oppio consider the role of local policy bodies (and third-sector organisations) in shaping what a ‘cultural district’ is in Italy, highlighting the way these policy interventions have the power to define and shape the future of local creative and cultural production and its development. Both papers also reflect on the critical importance of bottom-up versus top-down approaches in supporting this development.

To conclude, in attempting to understand the value that a European perspective on creative and cultural industries can bring to the international academic debate, we have identified a series of critical dimensions (see Figure 1) which each country and indeed macro-regional institution can use to interrogate its policy towards, and understanding of, the sector.

Ultimately, if a European perspective can be identified here, it is in the recognition of the value that the idiosyncratic characteristics of different places bring to the debate. In addition, coordinating the understanding of creative and cultural industries at the macro-regional and even global level should not be done through a reductionist approach but one that embraces the value of this diversity and its complexity.

Bibliography

Andres, L. and C. Chapain (2013) The integration of cultural and creative industries into local and regional development strategies in Birmingham and Marseill: towards an inclusive and collaborative governance? *Regional Studies*, 47(2): 161-182

Barrowclough, D. and Z. Kozul-Wright. 2008. *Creative industries and developing countries: voice, choice and economic growth*. Taylor & Francis.

Chapain, C., N. Clifton and R. Comunian 2013 Understanding creative regions: bridging the gap between global discourses and regionla and national contexts. *Regional Studies*, 47(2): 1-4

Chapain, C. and R. Comunian. 2010. Enabling and inhibiting the creative economy: The role of the local and regional dimensions in England. *Regional Studies* **44:717-734**.

Clifton, N.. **2014**, Towards a holistic understanding of county of origin effects? Branding of the region, branding from the region. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management forthcoming* **3: 122-132**

Clifton, N.; P. Cooke; and H.K. Hansen. 2013. Towards a Reconciliation of the 'Context-less' with the 'Space-less'? The Creative Class across Varieties of Capitalism: New Evidence from Sweden and the UK. *Regional Studies* **47:201-215**.

Comunian, R. 2009. Questioning creative work as driver of economic development: the case of Newcastle-Gateshead. *Creative Industries Journal* **2:57-71**.

Comunian, R. 2011. Rethinking the Creative City The Role of Complexity, Networks and Interactions in the Urban Creative Economy. *Urban Studies* **48:1157-1179**.

Comunian, R.; C. Chapain; and N. Clifton. 2010. Location, location, location: exploring the complex relationship between creative industries and place. *Creative Industries Journal* **3:5-10**.

Cunningham, S. 2009. Trojan horse or Rorschach blot? Creative industries discourse around the world. *International Journal of Cultural Policy* **15:375-386**.

Council of European Union (CEU) *Contribution of the cultural and creative sectors to the achievement of the Lisbon objectives - Adoption of the Council conclusions* Introductory note. Cult 29, 9021/07, Brussels 8 May 2007

- DCMS. 1998. Creative Industries Mapping Document London: Department for Culture, Media and Sport.
- EC. 1998. Culture, the Cultural Industries and Employment. Brussels: European Commission.
- . 2010. GREEN PAPER: Unlocking the potential of cultural and creative industries. Brussels: European Commission.
- European Parliament [EP] and the Council of the European Union [CEU] (2008) Decision No. 1350/2008/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 16th of December 2008 concerning the European Year of Creativity and Innovation (2009) *Official Journal of the European Union* 24th of December 2008 L348/115
- European Union [EU] (2013) *Creative Europe: the European Union programme for the cultural and creative sectors*. Available online: http://ec.europa.eu/programmes/creative-europe/documents/creative-europe-flyer_web_en.pdf [accessed on 2nd May 2014]
- Flew, T. 2002. Beyond *ad hoc*ery: Defining Creative Industries. In *Cultural Sites, Cultural Theory, Cultural Policy, II^o International Conference on Cultural Policy Research*, ed. Q.U.o. Techonology. Wellington, New Zealand, 23-26 January 2002
- Galloway, S. and S. Dunlop. 2007. A Critique Of Definitions Of The Cultural And Creative Industries In Public Policy. *International Journal of Cultural Policy* **13:17-31**.
- Musterd, S. and A. Murie 2010 *Making Competitive Cities*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell
- Musterd S. and Z. Kovács (2013) *Place-making and policies for competitive cities*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell
- O'Connor, J. 1999. Definition of Cultural Industries. Manchester Metropolitan University: Manchester Institute for Popular Culture.
- Pareja-Eastaway, M., C. Chapain and S. Mugnano (2013) Successes and failures in city branding policies. in S. Musterd and Z. Kovács (eds) *Place making and policies for competitive cities*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Potts, J.; S. Cunningham; J. Hartley; and P. Ormerod. 2008. Social network markets: a new definition of the creative industries. *Journal of Cultural Economics* **32:167-185**.
- Pratt, A.C. 2009. Policy Transfer and the Field of the Cultural and Creative Industries: What Can Be Learned from Europe? In *Creative Economies, Creative Cities*, 9-23: Springer.
- United Nations [UN] (2013) *Creative economy report 2013. Special edition. Widening local development pathways*. Geneva and New York: UN.
- Wang, J. 2004. The Global Reach of a New Discourse How Far Can 'Creative Industries' Travel? *International Journal of Cultural Studies* **7:9-19**.
- Yusuf, S. and K. Nabeshima. 2005. Creative industries in East Asia. *Cities* **22:109-122**.