King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1007/s12671-015-0392-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-015-0392-9)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Nestler, S., Sierra-Siebert, M., Jay, E. L., & David, A. S. (2015). Mindfulness and Body Awareness in Depersonalization Disorder. *Mindfulness*, 6(6), 1282–1285. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-015-0392-9>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Mindfulness

Mindfulness and Body Awareness in Depersonalization Disorder

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	MIFU-D-14-00131R2
Full Title:	Mindfulness and Body Awareness in Depersonalization Disorder
Article Type:	Original Research
Keywords:	Depersonalisation disorder, Body awareness, Cambridge Depersonalization Scale, Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory, Depression, Anxiety
Corresponding Author:	Steffen Nestler, MSc Institute of Psychiatry London, UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Institute of Psychiatry
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Steffen Nestler, MSc
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Steffen Nestler, MSc
	Mauricio Sierra, PhD
	Emma-Louise Jay, PhD
	Anthony S David, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	Anomalous bodily experiences and automaton-like feelings constitute some of the core symptoms of depersonalization disorder (DP), features which may be considered antithetical to mindfulness. We thus investigated traits in a random sample of 22 stable patients with DP using the 14-item Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI) and predicted an overall negative correlation to their symptomatology as measured by the Cambridge Depersonalization Scale (CDS). We also conducted a regression analysis in order to elucidate the contribution of depression and anxiety measures on the above-mentioned correlation. Overall, the FMI and CDS were strongly negatively correlated (Pearson's $r = -.64$, $p < .001$) as predicted, driven particularly by FMI items 1-4 and 7. The correlation withstood adjustment for depression and anxiety symptoms using regression analysis ($R^2_{adj} = 33\%$; $F(1, 19) = 10.83$, $p < .005$). In sum, our results suggest a possible impairment of mindfulness abilities in DP. Future research should explore the links between DP and psychopathology and seek to devise therapeutic interventions for DP based on mindfulness.
Response to Reviewers:	

ORIGINAL PAPER

Mindfulness and Body Awareness in Depersonalization Disorder

Steffen Nestler • Mauricio Sierra • Emma-Louise Jay • Anthony S. David

[No running head is required]

S. Nestler • M. Sierra • E.-L. Jay • A. S. David

Section of Cognitive Neuropsychiatry (PO68), Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, DeCrespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, UK

e-mail: steffen.nestler@kcl.ac.uk

Abstract

Anomalous bodily experiences and automaton-like feelings constitute some of the core symptoms of depersonalization disorder (DP), features which may be considered antithetical to mindfulness. We thus investigated traits in a random sample of 22 stable patients with DP using the 14-item Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI) and predicted an overall negative correlation to their symptomatology as measured by the Cambridge Depersonalization Scale (CDS). We also conducted a regression analysis in order to elucidate the contribution of depression and anxiety measures on the above-mentioned correlation. Overall, the FMI and CDS were strongly negatively correlated (Pearson's $r = -.64$, $p < .001$) as predicted, driven particularly by FMI items 1-4 and 7. The correlation withstood adjustment for depression and anxiety symptoms using regression analysis ($R^2_{adj} = 33\%$; $F(1, 19) = 10.83$, $p < .005$). In sum, our results suggest a possible impairment of mindfulness abilities in DP. Future research should explore the links between DP and psychopathology and seek to devise therapeutic interventions for DP based on mindfulness.

Keywords

Depersonalisation disorder, Body awareness, Cambridge Depersonalization Scale, Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory, Depression, Anxiety

Introduction

Clinically significant depersonalization occurs in around 1-2% of the general adult population (Hunter, Sierra, & David 2004). Besides emotional numbing, changes in the subjective experience of imagery, and alienation from surroundings, anomalous body experiences (or disembodiment) and automaton-like feelings (or feelings of a loss of agency) make up the core symptoms of this syndrome (Sierra et al. 2005; Simeon et al. 2008). It has been said that the antithesis of depersonalization is mindfulness, *i.e.*, non-judgmental attention to present-moment experiences (Michal et al. 2013). Indeed psychometric measures of the two phenomena have been shown to correlate inversely (Michal et al. 2007). This seems to follow from the fact that mindfulness is said to promote tolerance of negative affect and at the same time enhances bodily awareness (Farb, Anderson, & Segal 2012). Furthermore, it has been claimed that mindfulness interventions may be helpful in reducing DP symptoms (Allen 2004; David, Baker, & Hunter 2007; Michal 2014). Recently, Michal and colleagues (2013) demonstrated that mindful breathing increased low baseline autonomic responsiveness (a feature of DP) and reduced depersonalization symptom scores as measured by the Cambridge Depersonalisation Scale (CDS).

Given the above-mentioned difficulties that people with depersonalization disorder experience with mindfulness and body perception, we predicted negative correlations between the FMI and the CDS as well as the Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES) (Dubester & Braun 1995). We also explored correlations between the FMI and two well established measures of non-psychotic psychopathology, the Beck Anxiety and Depression inventories (Beck, Epstein, Brown, & Steer 1988; Beck & Steer 1984). Since depression and anxiety are common comorbidities in patients with DPD we further sought to explore whether the inverse relationship between mindfulness and DP was in any way confounded by such psychopathology.

Method

Participants

All 22 patients were assessed by a qualified psychiatrist as part of the depersonalization unit at the Maudsley hospital in South London and had a confirmed diagnosis of depersonalization disorder according to the ICD-10. However, three patients scored below the typical cut-off (70 points) on the Cambridge Depersonalisation Scale (Sierra and Berrios 2000) and were therefore excluded from further analyses, unless otherwise specified. Participants' demographics are listed in table 1.

[Insert Table 1 about here]

Procedure

This study sought to investigate in more detail the precise relationship between DP and mindfulness by administering the Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (Walach, Buchheld, Buttenmüller, Kleinknecht, & Schmidt 2006) to a random sample of patients with depersonalization disorder.

Measures

Patients are routinely administered the Cambridge Depersonalisation Scale-Trait Version (CDS) (Sierra & Berrios 2000), Beck's Anxiety Inventory (BAI) (Beck et al. 1988), Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI) (Beck & Steer 1984), and Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES) (Dubester & Braun 1995) prior to their first appointment visit. The Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI) (Walach et al. 2006) was included in their assessment package irrespective of any previously known participant characteristics. Note that a higher score on the clinical scales indicates a greater degree of clinical problems.

The Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI), in its short form, is a 14-item scale which has been shown to be useful in measuring the construct of mindfulness (Walach et al. 2006). In its original publication, it was successfully applied in lay, meditating, and clinical

participants achieving good internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = .86). It includes items such as "I am open to the experience of the present moment"; "I accept unpleasant experiences"; and, "I sense my body, whether eating, cooking, cleaning or talking."

Analyses

Scores were analysed in terms of correlations between above-mentioned survey totals as well as between FMI individual items and the CDS total. Having established a correlation between the FMI and CDS total scores, we also carried out a linear regression, examining the variance accounted for by the BAI and BDI in the correlation between CDS and FMI in order to qualify this relationship further. The study was approved by the local research ethics committee.

Results

Among our participants, women were less predominant. Participants appeared for the most part able to function in terms of education and employment status, but a subgroup (eleven participants or ca. 58%) also exhibited some symptoms of comorbid mood and other disorders (see Table 1). Mean age was 35.9 years (*SD* 9.4) and mean duration of illness was 12.0 years (*SD* 11.0).

Questionnaire total scores are listed in table 2.

[Insert Table 2 about here]

The average CDS-Trait total score was 167.5 (*SD* 61.6). The mean scores on the Beck inventories indicated mild anxiety or depression, respectively.

As expected, there was a significant inverse correlation between the FMI and the CDS (trait version) measures (see Table 2). As a rule of thumb, correlations of Pearson's *r* up to .10 tend to be considered small, up to .30 medium, and > .50 large. Hence, we could categorise the correlation between the FMI and our measure of depersonalization as

strongly negative. Somewhat surprisingly, the correlation between the FMI and the DES, a widely used general measure of dissociation, was not significant, and the same is true for its depersonalization/derealisation subscale.

Examining the relationship between the individual items of the FMI and the CDS-T, only item 4 (“I am able to appreciate myself”) of the FMI was significantly correlated with the CDS total score (Pearson’s $r = -.52$, $p < 0.03$). Given that a number of correlations were on the border to being significant at $p < 0.05$, the analysis was re-run with all 22 participants included (irrespective of sub-threshold CDS total scores). We discovered that the overall correlation (Pearson’s $r = -.64$, $p < .001$) was primarily driven by items 1-4 and 7 (see Supplementary Figure 1). Arguably, these items relate the strongest to the responder’s body perception rather than their reflectiveness or humility out of all 14 FMI questions.

[Insert Figure 1 about here]

Additionally, we showed a strong negative correlation between the FMI and our measure of depression (BDI) as well as a significant negative correlation of medium strength between the FMI and our measure of anxiety (BAI; see Table 2).

In order to form a clearer impression of the relationship between the FMI and CDS irrespective of participants’ potential depression and anxiety symptoms, we performed a linear stepwise regression with the FMI total score as the dependent variable. In the initial step, BAI, BDI, and CDS total scores were entered as independent factors with only the CDS remaining in the second step. The first step achieved a good regression fit ($R^2_{adj} = 46.6\%$), but this dropped after having removed the BAI and BDI components ($R^2_{adj} = 29.5\%$). Nonetheless, the relationship in the second remained significant, $F(1, 16) = 8.11$, $p < .05$. The regression coefficients are listed in table 3.

[Insert Table 3 about here]

Discussion

We were able to replicate the negative correlation between psychometric measures of depersonalization and mindfulness (Michal et al. 2007). This suggests that, in individuals with depersonalization disorder, the symptoms as measured by the CDS and mindfulness as assessed via the FMI are indeed moderately to strongly opposed. We also found a moderate to strong negative correlation between our measure of mindfulness (FMI) and of depression (BDI). However, the regression analysis indicated that this is not a pure relationship in that a negative correlation between depersonalization (CDS) and mindfulness (FMI) remained after the statistical removal of variance contributed by the BDI and BAI. At the same time, we were able to replicate negative correlations between a measure of mindfulness (Kentucky Inventory of Mindfulness Skills or FMI) and dissociation (DES) (Baer, Smith, & Allen 2004; Walach et al. 2006), even though our correlation between the FMI and DES did not reach significance ($p > .2$). This could be due to our study sample being underpowered. However, it does leave open the question whether the antagonism with mindfulness might be particular to the depersonalization population as opposed to individuals with other psychiatric/dissociative disorders.

Of course, these data do not offer the opportunity to explain the mechanisms that support the negative correlation between depersonalization and mindfulness. Even though the original authors of the FMI argue, based on a principal component analysis, that it does not have a clear factor structure and that secondary loadings exist for several items, the items that correlate particularly with depersonalization (“I am open to the experience of the present moment.”; “I sense my body, whether eating, cooking, cleaning or talking.”; “When I notice an absence of mind, I gently return to the experience of the here and now.”; “I am able to appreciate myself.”; and “I feel connected to my experience in the here-and-now.”) appear to have a more physical or autonomic basis to them rather than being concerned with “‘non-judgemental acceptance’, ‘openness to experiences’, and ‘insight’” (Walach et al. 2006). This is in line with the depersonalization symptomatology of which “anomalous body experiences” are a significant component (Sierra, Baker, Medford, & David 2005).

1 Despite the fact that mindfulness training has been applied in the treatment of
2 depression and anxiety (e.g., Sharplin et al. 2010), another question that is outstanding is
3 whether, because of the close relation between the BDI and the FMI, the latter may merely
4 represent a proxy measure for depression. In a factor analysis by Kohls, Sauer, and Walach
5 (2009), shortened versions of the FMI and BDI did correlate, but only for the acceptance
6 subfactor. This implies that the FMI does measure a separate construct from the BDI. A
7 further limitation of our research is that the sample of DP patients was predominantly male
8 and overall well-functioning (with a majority being well-educated and in employment),
9 thereby limiting generalisability.

10 Finally, our data suggest that techniques to increase mindfulness would be expected
11 to improve symptoms of DP/DR significantly although patients may find such techniques
12 particularly alien and difficult to apply. Nevertheless, training in such techniques as the
13 harnessing of attention and 'staying present', should be considered as potential therapeutic
14 approaches (Zerubavel and Messman-Moore 2013).

15 In conclusion, in our sample of patients diagnosed with depersonalisation disorder,
16 we found significant and negative correlations between a measure of mindfulness (FMI) and
17 questionnaires assessing symptoms of depersonalization (CDS), depression (BDI), and
18 anxiety (BAI). Mindfulness may serve as a valuable component in the treatment of such
19 disorders, provided there is a focus on somatic symptoms and attention in depersonalization
20 and a greater emphasis on acceptance in depression.

Acknowledgements

The research was funded in part by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London and South London & Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, the Psychiatry Research Trust, and the Medical Research Council, UK. We are also grateful for the generous support of the Pilkington Family Trusts.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- Allen, J. G. (2004). *Coping with Trauma: Hope Through Understanding* (2nd Revised edition edition.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press Inc.
- Baer, R. A., Smith, G. T., & Allen, K. B. (2004). Assessment of mindfulness by self-report: The Kentucky inventory of mindfulness skills. *Assessment*, 11(3), 191–206.
doi:10.1177/1073191104268029
- Beck, A. T., Epstein, N., Brown, G., & Steer, R. A. (1988). An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: psychometric properties. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, 56(6), 893–897.
- Beck, A. T., & Steer, R. A. (1984). Internal consistencies of the original and revised Beck Depression Inventory. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 40(6), 1365–1367.
- David, A., Baker, D., & Hunter, E. (2007). *Overcoming Depersonalization and Feelings of Unreality: A Self-Help Guide Using Cognitive Behavioral Techniques*. London, UK: Robinson.
- Dubester, K. A., & Braun, B. G. (1995). Psychometric properties of the dissociative experiences scale. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 183(4), 231–235.
- Farb, N. A. S., Anderson, A. K., & Segal, Z. V. (2012). The mindful brain and emotion regulation in mood disorders. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(2), 70–77.
- Hunter, E. C. M., Sierra, M., & David, A. S. (2004). The epidemiology of depersonalisation and derealisation - A systematic review. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 39(1), 9–18. doi:10.1007/s00127-004-0701-4
- Kohls, N., Sauer, S., & Walach, H. (2009). Facets of mindfulness - Results of an online study investigating the Freiburg mindfulness inventory. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 46(2), 224–230. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2008.10.009
- Michal, M. (2014). *Depersonalisation und Derealisation: Die Entfremdung überwinden*. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer W.

- 1 Michal, M., Beutel, M. E., Jordan, J., Zimmermann, M., Wolters, S., & Heidenreich, T.
2 (2007). Depersonalization, mindfulness, and childhood trauma. *Journal of Nervous*
3 *and Mental Disease*, 195(8), 693–696. doi:10.1097/NMD.0b013e31811f4492
- 4
5
6 Michal, M., Koechel, A., Canterino, M., Adler, J., Reiner, I., Vossel, G., et al. (2013).
7
8 Depersonalization Disorder: Disconnection of Cognitive Evaluation from Autonomic
9 Responses to Emotional Stimuli. *PLoS ONE*, 8(9). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074331
- 10
11
12 Sharplin, G. R., Jones, S. B. W., Hancock, B., Knott, V. E., Bowden, J. A., & Whitford, H. S.
13 (2010). Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy: An efficacious community-based group
14 intervention for depression and anxiety in a sample of cancer patients. *Medical*
15 *Journal of Australia*, 193(5 Suppl.), S79–S82.
- 16
17
18
19
20
21 Sierra, M., Baker, D., Medford, N., & David, A. S. (2005). Unpacking the depersonalization
22 syndrome: An exploratory factor analysis on the Cambridge Depersonalization Scale.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Sierra, M., & Berrios, G. E. (2000). The Cambridge Depersonalisation Scale: A new
instrument for the measurement of depersonalisation. *Psychiatry Research*, 93(2),
153–164. doi:10.1016/S0165-1781(00)00100-1
- Simeon, D., Kozin, D. S., Segal, K., Lerch, B., Dujour, R., & Giesbrecht, T. (2008). De-
constructing depersonalization: Further evidence for symptom clusters. *Psychiatry*
Research, 157(1-3), 303–306. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2007.07.007
- Walach, H., Buchheld, N., Büttenmüller, V., Kleinknecht, N., & Schmidt, S. (2006).
Measuring mindfulness-the Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI). *Personality and*
Individual Differences, 40(8), 1543–1555. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2005.11.025
- Zerubavel, N., & Messman-Moore, T. L. (2013). Staying Present: Incorporating Mindfulness
into Therapy for Dissociation. *Mindfulness*, 1–12. doi:10.1007/s12671-013-0261-3

Tables

Demographic Variables of Participants

<u>Category</u>	<u>Labels</u>	<u>Count (Percentage)</u>
Gender	Females	5 (26.3%)
Marital status	Single	12 (63.2%)
	Cohabiting/married	7 (36.8%)
Work status	Unemployed	5 (26.3%)
	Various professions	13 (68.4%)
	Missing	1 (5.3%)
Education	Secondary school	3 (15.8%)
	University	15 (78.9%)
	Missing	1 (5.3%)
Comorbid symptoms	Anxiety and/or depression	9 (47.3%)
	Dissociation	1 (5.3%)
	Schizophrenia	1 (5.3%)
	None	8 (42.1%)

Table 1. Demographics for 19 participants with DP.

Participants' Mean Totals on Assessment Questionnaires

<u>Questionnaire</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>Pearson's r –</u>	<u>p</u>
			<u>Correlation with FMI</u>	
Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI)	29.4	6.7	n/a	n/a
Cambridge Depersonalization Scale (CDS)	167.5	61.6	-.618**	.005
Beck's Anxiety Inventory (BAI)	19.1	16.4	-.460*	.048
Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI)	21.4	11.3	-.626**	.005
Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES)	31.7	18.0	-.312	.208
DES – Depersonalisation and derealisation subscale	44.1	18.0	-.105	.678

Table 2. N = 19 (scores on the BDI, DES, and DES subscale were missing for one participant).

Correlations between clinical measures and the Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory. *Significant at .05-level. **Significant at .005-level.

Stepwise linear regression with Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory

		Unstandardized		Standardized		
		Coefficients		Coefficients		
<u>Model</u>		<u>B</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>Beta</u>	<u>t</u>	<u>p</u>
1	(Constant)	43.82	3.65		12.01	.000
	BAI Total	-.22	.12	-.38	1.79	.095
	BDI Total	-.10	.09	-.22	1.15	.270
	CDS Total	-.05	.02	-.42	2.14	.051
2	(Constant)	40.23	3.86		10.43	.000
	CDS Total	-.06	.02	-.58	2.85*	.012

Table 3. FMI total score as dependent variable. *Significant at .05-level.

Captions

Supplementary Figure 1. Shows non-parametric correlations between Cambridge Depersonalization Scale (trait version) and Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory - individual items. Note that item 13 is reversed-scored ('I am impatient with myself or others'). *Significant at .05-level. **Significant at .01-level.