Citation for published version (APA):
Dear Mr Hamilton,

We have now investigated your complaint regarding this article which also appeared in G2 and online at:

https://www.theguardian.com/law/2016/jun/05/fatou-bensouda-international-criminal-court-tyrants

I will address you points using the numbering which you utilised in your email of 4 July 2016.

Points 1 and 2.

These points were addressed by a correction after the writer noticed the error in the print edition of G2. The online version shows the correct position and was footnoted accordingly on 6 June 2016.

Point 3.

You are correct on this point and we are in the process of amending the online version to correct the notable omission of the Bemba conviction, which, as you rightly pointed out, we had reported on.

Point 4.

Lubanga was found guilty of war crimes, Katanga was found guilty of war crimes and crimes against humanity. Rather than spelling this out in full, the writer made a journalistic elision. So we would say that it is not incorrect in any event, merely omitting reference to the full charges on which Katanga was convicted.

Points 5 and 6.

It would appear that these two points are essentially the same. The writer agrees that you are right on this and we are in the process of amending the online version to correct the reference to the UNSC veto powers.

Point 7.

It may be that to a specialist reader with your level of knowledge that it 'is not quite correct to talk of a 'US veto' of potential ICC action against Israel". However to a general readership I think it was fair for the writer to use the phrase 'US veto' as effectively that describes the position.

Thank you for taking the time and effort to write to us in such detail. We recognise the importance of covering the work of the ICC and we value your input on this occasion.

Yours sincerely,

June Sheehan

Guardian Readers' editor's office
Guardian News & Media
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