Nature or nurture? Determining the heritability of human striatal dopamine function: an [18F]-DOPA PET study
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Abstract

Striatal dopamine function is important for normal personality, cognitive processes and behaviour, and abnormalities are linked to a number of neuropsychiatric disorders. However, no studies have examined the relative influence of genetic inheritance and environmental factors in determining striatal dopamine function. Using [18F]-DOPA positron emission tomography (PET), we sought to determine the heritability of presynaptic striatal dopamine function by comparing variability in uptake values in same sex monozygotic (MZ) twins to dizygotic (DZ) twins. Nine MZ and ten DZ twin pairs underwent high resolution [18F]-DOPA PET to assess presynaptic striatal dopamine function. Uptake values for the overall striatum and functional striatal subdivisions were determined by a Patlak analysis using a cerebellar reference region. Heritability, shared environmental effects and non-shared individual-specific effects were estimated using a region of interest (ROI) analysis and a confirmatory parametric analysis. Overall striatal heritability estimates from the ROI and parametric analyses were 0.44 and 0.33 respectively. We found a distinction between striatal heritability in the functional subdivisions, with the greatest heritability estimates occurring in the sensorimotor striatum and the greatest effect of individual-specific environmental factors in the limbic striatum. Our results indicate that variation in overall presynaptic striatal dopamine function is determined by a combination of genetic factors and individual-specific environmental factors, with familial environmental effects having no effect. These
findings underline the importance of individual-specific environmental factors for striatal dopaminergic function, particularly in the limbic striatum, with implications for understanding neuropsychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia and addictions.
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Introduction

The striatal dopamine system plays an important role in both normal human behaviour and neuropsychiatric disorders. In healthy populations, variation in markers of striatal dopaminergic function have been associated with differences in the expression of personality traits (Egerton et al, 2010b; Gjedde et al, 2010; Kim et al, 2011; Reeves et al, 2007), social status (Martinez et al, 2010), and cognitive function (Cervenka et al, 2008; Landau et al, 2009; Reeves et al, 2005). In psychiatric disorders, increased dopaminergic function is associated with highly heritable disorders such as schizophrenia (Howes and Kapur, 2009; Kegeles et al, 2010), and decreased function with stimulant (Martinez et al, 2007) and alcohol addiction (Volkow et al, 2007). Although variation in striatal dopamine function occurs between individuals, little is known about the relative contribution of genetic inheritance and environmental influences on human striatal dopamine function. A better understanding of this is vital to the interpretation of the effects of variability in striatal dopaminergic function in both health and disease.

The human striatum can be functionally subdivided into three distinct areas: the limbic striatum, which mediates reward and motivation, the associative striatum which mediates working memory and executive functions, and the sensorimotor striatum which mediates movement (Cools and D'Esposito, 2011; Haber et al, 2000; Lappin et al, 2009). Variation in dopamine function in each striatal
subdivision is associated with alterations in corresponding behavioural modalities (Cools et al., 2009; Dreher et al., 2009; Forbes et al., 2009). Presynaptic dopamine function in these subdivisions can be imaged in the living human brain using 6-[18F]-L-DOPA ([18F]-DOPA) positron emission tomography (PET). [18F]-DOPA PET provides a measure of aromatic acid decarboxylase activity, which converts DOPA to dopamine, and as such the accumulation of [18F]-DOPA within the brain reflects the functional integrity of presynaptic dopamine synthesis (Cumming et al., 1997). We have previously shown that [18F]-DOPA PET provides good reliability both for the overall striatum and for the striatal subdivisions (Egerton et al., 2010a).

The relative influence of genetic and environmental factors on human biological systems can be examined through the use of twin studies. Twin studies assume that monozygotic (MZ) twins are genetically identical, so that most variation between MZ twins is due to environmental influences, and that dizygotic (DZ) twins share on average fifty percent of their genetic material. A comparison of intra-pair variation between MZ and DZ twin pairs allows for the estimation of heritability ($h^2$), the proportion of variation attributable to the additive effects of genes. Twin studies also allow for the estimation of shared (familial) environmental variance ($c^2$) and for the estimation of non-shared (individual-specific) environmental variance ($e^2$). Although twin studies provide a powerful way of interrogating the effects of genetic and environmental factors on biological variance, there have so far been no twin studies of the human dopamine system.
In this study we used [18F]-DOPA PET in healthy same sex MZ and DZ twin pairs to determine the relative contribution of genetic and environmental factors to variation in human presynaptic striatal dopamine function. We hypothesised that presynaptic striatal dopamine function would be more strongly influenced by genetic inheritance than by environmental influences, given the association between human dopaminergic function and highly heritable disorders such as schizophrenia.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Nine MZ same sex twin pairs (four male pairs, mean age 37.7 ±2.9 years) and ten DZ same sex twin pairs (five male pairs, mean age 39.5 ±3.2 years) were recruited to the study by means of public advertisement. The DZ twin cohort group was also used as a normal comparator group for a recently published study of [18F]-DOPA uptake in twins discordant for schizophrenia (Shotbolt *et al*, 2011). None of the twins had a history of a significant mental health disorder, alcohol or drug dependency, serious physical illness, past neurological disorders or previous use of psychotropic medications. Six MZ twins and four DZ twins were current cigarette smokers at the time of imaging and four MZ twins and seven DZ twins had previously used cannabis recreationally. Four DZ twins (two twin pairs) had previously used cocaine (mean duration since last use: 12
months ±16.1); one twin had only ever used cocaine once, one used approximately twelve times over his lifetime, lifetime cocaine usage data are unavailable for the other two twins. All volunteers gave written informed consent for the study, which was approved both by the Hammersmith Research Ethics Committee and the Administration of Radioactive Substances Advisory Committee, UK.

**Zygosity determination**

At the time of imaging, zygosity determination was based on resemblance from direct observation, as well as verbal statements from twin pairs and their relatives. Twin zygosity status was subsequently confirmed after the completion of imaging, once we had obtained consent specifically for this from volunteers, by both DNA analysis and the use of the ‘peas in the pod’ twin zygosity questionnaire. For the DNA analysis, a multiplex PCR technique was used to determine whether twins shared 16 highly polymorphic microsatellite markers (from 7 to 17 alleles in length). This analysis yields a greater than 99.99% accuracy rate for MZ twins and 100% accuracy rate for DZ twins. The ‘peas in the pod’ twin zygosity questionnaire provides a greater than 96% accuracy rate for the determination of twin zygosity (Reed *et al*, 2005).
PET scanning and image acquisition

Each twin underwent one $[^{18}F]$-DOPA PET scan. Volunteers were asked to abstain from alcohol for 24 hours prior to the scan and from smoking cigarettes for four hours prior to the scan. Each volunteer underwent a urine drug screen analysis on the day of the scan for cannabis, cocaine, methamphetamine, amphetamine, opiates and benzodiazepines. Any volunteer who produced a positive urine drug screen on the scan day was excluded from the study. All volunteers received 150mg carbidopa and 400mg entacapone orally one hour before scanning to reduce the formation of radiolabeled metabolites (Wahl et al, 1994). PET scans were performed using an ECAT/EXACT3D PET scanner (Siemens/CTI, Knoxville, USA), with a spatial resolution of 4.8±0.2 mm and sensitivity of 69 cps/Bq/ml. A five-minute transmission scan was carried out before radiotracer injection to correct for attenuation and scatter. Approximately 150 MBq of 6-[F]-L-DOPA ([$^{18}F$]-DOPA) was administered by bolus intravenous injection thirty seconds after the start of the emission scan which lasted 95 minutes. Emission data were acquired in list mode and rebinned into 26 time frames. High resolution brain images were reconstructed from 95 planes with a slice spacing of 2.42 mm using the 3D re-projection algorithm.
Image analysis

All scans were first corrected for head movement using frame by frame (FBF) realignment as previously described (Montgomery et al, 2006). A region of interest (ROI) analysis was performed using an atlas comprised of the three functional subdivisions of the striatum; limbic, associative and sensorimotor striatum along with the cerebellum. These functional striatal subdivisions are anatomically analogous to the ventral striatum (limbic striatum), precommissural dorsal putamen, precommissural dorsal caudate and post commissural dorsal caudate (associative striatum) and post-commissural putamen (sensorimotor striatum) (Martinez et al, 2003). An [18F]-DOPA template (McGowan et al, 2004) was spatially transformed to the individual PET space of each movement corrected PET summation image using statistical parametric mapping (SPM5; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, England) and the resulting deformation matrix was then applied to the atlas (Meyer et al, 1999). This procedure allows for ROI's to be placed automatically on individual [18F]-DOPA PET images without observer bias. A graphical Patlak analysis was used to calculate [18F]-DOPA influx constants (Ki values) for the whole striatal ROI and right and left functional striatal subdivisions relative to uptake in the cerebellar reference region (Egerton et al, 2010a; Hoshi et al, 1993; Patlak and Blasberg, 1985).
Heritability analysis

[18F]-DOPA heritability values were calculated by fitting the data to a multilevel model with random effects. For observed variable $y$ for twin $i$ in family $f$, $y$ is expressed as a family mean $b_{0f}$ and within family variation $\epsilon_{if}$:

$$y_{if} = b_{0f} + \epsilon_{if},$$

with $\epsilon_{if} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_w)$. The family mean $b_{0f}$ is expressed as a population mean $B_0$ and deviations of family means $\mu_{0f}$ around the population mean:

$$b_{0f} = B_0 + \mu_{0f},$$

with $\mu_{0f} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_B)$.

The between and within family variances were reparameterized into useful terms for biometric analysis. First, the ratio of the between pair variance to the total phenotypic variation produces the intraclass correlation for family membership:

$$r_{icc} = \frac{\sigma^2_B}{\sigma^2_B + \sigma^2_w}$$

The between and within variances, directly computed in monozygotic and dizygotic twins without use of biometric constraints, were reparameterized into the three biometric variance components $A$ (additive genetic effects), $C$ (shared familial environment effects) and $E$ (non-shared individual-specific environment effects). In MZ twins:

$$\sigma^2_w = E$$

$$\sigma^2_B = A + C$$
Whereas in DZ twins:

\[ \sigma_{w}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} A + E \]

\[ \sigma_{B}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} A + C \]

Finally, the ACE variance components were standardized into percentages of variation attributable to \( h^2, c^2, e^2 \), for example the heritability, \( h^2 \), which is equal to:

\[ \frac{A}{A + C + E} \]

The models were fitted in Mplus using full information maximum likelihood estimation. Negative ACE variances were noted, fixed to zero and the model was then rerun to generate final results.

Additionally, we also applied an optimized voxel-level ICC analysis (for unordered pairs) to each set of twins (Caceres et al, 2009). This has the advantage that reliability of any given region can be calculated from the distribution of reliability from all voxels in that region, and also that reliability in a given region can be expressed relative to the whole brain.
Results

Zygosity determination

The zygosity status of 16/18 MZ twins (88%) and 14/20 DZ (70%) twins was confirmed using either DNA analysis results or questionnaire results. Of the remaining twins, one MZ pair declined and two DZ pairs and two individual DZ twins could not be re-contacted to obtain their consent for this.

ROI heritability results

MZ and DZ twin pair [18F]-DOPA uptake values for the overall and limbic striatum are shown in figure 1 (corresponding figures for the associative and sensorimotor striatum are displayed in supplementary information). Overall mean striatal [18F]-DOPA Ki values were 0.0146 (0.0009) for the MZ group and 0.0142 (0.0014) for the DZ group. MZ and DZ ICC values along with estimated $h^2$, $c^2$ and $e^2$ values are shown in table 1. The heritability value for overall striatal [18F]-DOPA uptake was 0.44. Heritability estimates were highest in the sensorimotor striatum (right: 0.51, left: 0.64) and lowest in the limbic striatum (right: 0.21, left: 0.0). The influence of non-shared individual-specific environmental factors on overall striatal uptake variability was 0.56. Individual-specific environmental effects showed the reverse pattern to heritability in the functional striatal subdivisions with the highest values in the limbic striatum (right: 0.53, left: 0.70),
and the lowest values in the sensorimotor striatum (right: 0.49, left: 0.36). The pattern of these results did not change with the removal of one MZ twin pair whose zygosity status could not be confirmed (see supplementary table 1) or with the exclusion of two twin pairs with previous recreational experience of cocaine use (see supplementary table 2).

**Parametric heritability results**

Overall striatal MZ and DZ ICC values from the parametric image analysis were 0.44 and 0.27 respectively. These results generated $h^2$, $c^2$ and $e^2$ estimates for the overall striatum of 0.33, 0.1 and 0.56 respectively. Areas of high within-pair striatal correlations (parametric ICC values between 0.5 and 1) are displayed in figure 2.

**INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE PLEASE**
Discussion

In this study we have determined, for the first time to our knowledge, the relative influence of genetic inheritance and environmental factors over presynaptic striatal dopamine function in the living human brain. Our main finding is that overall striatal presynaptic dopaminergic function is determined by a combination of genetic factors, which accounted for 44% of the variance from the ROI analysis and 33% from the parametric analysis, and individual-specific environmental factors which accounted for 56% of the variance from both the ROI and parametric analyses. We found that shared familial environmental factors had very little influence over human presynaptic striatal dopamine function. Intriguingly, heritability estimates varied between functional striatal subdivisions with the highest heritability values found in the sensorimotor striatum and the lowest in the limbic striatum.

These results have a number of implications for the interpretation of variation in striatal dopaminergic function both in health and disease. Our finding that individual-specific environmental factors account for 56% of the variance of presynaptic striatal dopamine function is consistent with previous findings that striatal dopaminergic function is adaptive to environmental influences. For example in primates, striatal dopaminergic function can be altered by change in social hierarchy, and in humans striatal dopaminergic function is associated with social status and perceived social support (Martinez et al, 2010; Morgan et al,
Interestingly, our results contrast to the heritability of the 5HT2A receptor, the only other neurochemical system where heritability has been established in vivo, in which the individual-specific environmental influences accounted for less than 10% of the variance of the cortical signal (Pinborg et al, 2008). One explanation for this contrast could be due to differences in measurement error, which contributes to the individual environmental variance term, between the two tracers. However, as the test-retest variability of [18F]-DOPA uptake (4-6%) (Egerton et al, 2010a) is similar to [18F]-altanserin variability (5-12%) (Haugbol et al, 2007), this does not account for the large differences in the individual-specific environmental variance observed between the two systems. There would therefore seem to be a marked difference in the adaptability of these two neurochemical systems to non-shared individual-specific environmental influences.

We interpret the relatively greater influence of individual-specific environmental factors on limbic presynaptic striatal dopamine function as a reflection of the greater responsiveness of the limbic striatum to environmental factors when compared to other functional striatal areas. A number of studies support this interpretation; the greatest increases in striatal dopamine release produced by environmental stimuli such as stress, monetary reward and playing a video game occurs in the ventral striatum rather than more dorsal striatal functional subdivisions (Koepp et al, 1998; Pappata et al, 2002; Pruessner et al, 2004; Schott et al, 2008). Use of stimulants, such as amphetamine, may also act
preferentially to increase limbic striatal dopamine release. This is supported by amphetamine challenge studies in healthy volunteers which report greater dopamine release in the ventral striatum in healthy volunteers compared to other striatal subregions (Martinez et al., 2003; Willeit et al., 2008). Indeed in modeling sensitization to stimulants in humans, Boileau and colleagues reported that sensitization of the striatal dopamine system is greatest in the ventral striatum and with repeated exposure progressively involves the dorsal caudate and putamen (Boileau et al., 2006). This is exactly the pattern of sensitization we would predict based on our findings.

The influence of individual-specific environmental influences on presynaptic dopamine function also has implications for the interpretation of studies of dopaminergic function in neuropsychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia and addictions. We previously found no increases in [18F]-DOPA uptake in healthy DZ co-twins of patients with schizophrenia, at high genetic risk of developing the disorder, which supports the role that environmental factors may play in influencing increased [18F]-DOPA uptake found in schizophrenia (Shotbolt et al., 2011). The findings from this present study indicate that disease alterations in the limbic striatum in both schizophrenia and addictions are more likely to reflect individual-specific environmental than inherited risk factors for these conditions and we would suggest that future environmental risk studies focus particularly on limbic striatal function.
There are several limitations to the present study. Firstly, the number of volunteers imaged was small in comparison to epidemiological twin studies, restricting our statistical power. However, we have previously shown very good test-retest reliability (intra-class correlation coefficient >0.84) for striatal [18F]-DOPA PET imaging on the scanner used for this study with a smaller volunteer sample size (Egerton et al, 2010a). Furthermore, our cohort of volunteers is larger than the 5HT₂A twin study (Pinborg et al, 2008). Secondly, the reliability of [18F]-DOPA PET measurements varies between functional subdivisions studied, with the greatest variability occurring in the limbic striatum. Limbic heritability estimates may therefore be less reliable than other functional subdivisions which potentially could influence the heritability pattern between functional subdivisions. However, within subject variability in the limbic striatum, although greater than in sensorimotor striatum, is nevertheless low, less than 8% (Egerton et al, 2010a), so this is unlikely to account for the approximately 40% difference we found in heritability between the limbic and sensorimotor striatal regions. Thirdly, the zygosity status of one pair of MZ twins was not confirmed by genetic analysis as they declined to provide consent for zygosity testing. Although the possibility remains that this pair may have been dizygotic, this is unlikely given the physical similarity of the pair at the time of imaging and a previous study confirming zygosity status in over 95% of twins who reported that they were monozygotic (Reed et al, 2005). Moreover, the pattern of our results did not change with the exclusion of this pair from our analysis.
In summary, we have demonstrated that overall presynaptic dopamine function is determined by a combination of genetic and individual-specific environmental factors and that heritability varies between functional striatal subdivisions. These findings underline the adaptability of the striatal dopaminergic system, and particularly the limbic striatum, to individual-specific environmental influences. We would suggest that it is important to explore whether similar patterns of heritability also occur in twin studies of striatal dopamine release and postsynaptic striatal dopamine D_2/D_3 receptor availability. Our findings also reinforce the importance of identifying the underlying neurochemical mechanisms that mediate the effects of unique environmental factors on striatal dopamine function.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Striatal area</th>
<th>MZ ICC</th>
<th>DZ ICC</th>
<th>h²</th>
<th>c²</th>
<th>e²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right limbic</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.21 (0.75)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left limbic</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.30 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right associative</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.46 (0.33)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.54 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left associative</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.51 (0.28)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.49 (0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right sensorimotor</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.51 (0.22)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.49 (0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left sensorimotor</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.64 (0.23)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.36 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall striatum</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.44 (0.36)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.56 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Heritability estimates \( (h^2) \) estimates and estimates of the effect of shared \( (c^2) \) and non-shared environmental \( (e^2) \) factors on presynaptic striatal dopaminergic function \( (\pm) \) Standard error
Figure 1: A) Overall striatal [18F]-DOPA Ki values for MZ (right) and DZ (left) twin pairs. B) Right and left limbic striatal [18F]-DOPA Ki values for MZ (right) and DZ (left) twin pairs (right limbic: upper row, left limbic: lower row).
Figure 2: Areas of high within twin pair striatal [18F]-DOPA uptake correlations (parametric ICC values between 0.5 and 1).