King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1038/nrdp.2016.65](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2016.65)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Otte, C., Gold, S. M., Penninx, B. W., Pariante, C. M., Etkin, A., Fava, M., C. Mohr, D., & Schatzberg, A. (2016). Major Depressive Disorder. *Nature Review Disease Primers*, 2, [16065].
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2016.65>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Major Depressive Disorder

Christian Otte¹, Stefan M. Gold¹, Brenda W. Penninx², Carmine M. Pariante³, Amit Etkin⁴, Maurizio Fava⁵, David C. Mohr⁶ and Alan Schatzberg⁴

¹ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Charité University Medical Center, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin, Germany

² Department of Psychiatry, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³ Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom

⁴ Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, USA

⁵ Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁶ Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

Correspondence to: C.O.

Email: christian.otte@charite.de

CO has received honoraria for lectures from Lundbeck and Servier and for membership in a scientific advisory board from Lundbeck and Neuraxpharm. **DCM** has received honoraria for lectures and for membership in a scientific advisory board from Otsuka. **SMG** has received honoraria from Novartis and travel reimbursements from Novartis, Merck Serono and Biogen Idec and has received in-kind research support for conducting clinical trials from GAIA AG, a commercial developer and vendor of healthcare management and eHealth interventions. **BWP** has received research funding from Jansen Research and is supported by a VICI grant from the

1 Dutch Scientific Organization. **CMP** was supported by the National Institute for Health
2 Research Mental Health Biomedical Research Centre in Mental Health at South
3 London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, the grant
4 'Persistent Fatigue Induced by Interferon-alpha: A New Immunological Model for
5 Chronic Fatigue Syndrome' (MR/J002739/1), and the grant 'Immuno-psychiatry: a
6 consortium to test the opportunity for immunotherapeutics in psychiatry'
7 (MR/L014815/1), from the Medical Research Council (UK); research funding from the
8 Medical Research Council (UK) and the Wellcome Trust for research on depression
9 and inflammation as part of two large consortia that also include Johnson & Johnson,
10 GSK, Lundbeck and Pfizer; research funding from Johnson & Johnson as part of a
11 programme of research on depression and inflammation. In addition, **CMP** has
12 received speakers fee from Lundbeck. **AE** has received research funding from Brain
13 Resource, Inc and honoraria for consulting from Otsuka, Acadia, and Takeda. **MF**
14 reports the following lifetime disclosure: Research Support: Abbot Laboratories;
15 Alkermes, Inc.; American Cyanamid; Aspect Medical Systems; AstraZeneca; Avanir
16 Pharmaceuticals; BioResearch; BrainCells Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb; CeNeRx
17 BioPharma; Cephalon; Cerecor; Clintara, LLC; Covance; Covidien; Eli Lilly and
18 Company; EnVivo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Euthymics Bioscience, Inc.; Forest
19 Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; FORUM Pharmaceuticals; Ganeden Biotech, Inc.;
20 GlaxoSmithKline; Harvard Clinical Research Institute; Hoffman-LaRoche; Icon
21 Clinical Research; i3 Innovus/Ingenix; Janssen R&D, LLC; Jed Foundation; Johnson
22 & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development; Lichtwer Pharma GmbH;
23 Lorex Pharmaceuticals; Lundbeck Inc.; MedAvante; Methylation Sciences Inc;
24 National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia & Depression (NARSAD); National
25 Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM); National Coordinating
26 Center for Integrated Medicine (NiiCM); National Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA);
27 National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH); Neuralstem, Inc.; Novartis AG; Organon
28 Pharmaceuticals; PamLab, LLC.; Pfizer Inc.; Pharmacia-Upjohn; Pharmaceutical
29 Research Associates., Inc.; Pharmavite® LLC; PharmoRx Therapeutics; Photothera;
30 Reckitt Benckiser; Roche Pharmaceuticals; RCT Logic, LLC (formerly Clinical Trials
31 Solutions, LLC); Sanofi-Aventis US LLC; Shire; Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Inc.;
32 Stanley Medical Research Institute (SMRI); Synthelabo; Takeda Pharmaceuticals; Tal
33 Medical; Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories; Advisory Board/ Consultant: Abbott
34 Laboratories; Acadia; Affectis Pharmaceuticals AG; Alkermes, Inc.; Amarin Pharma
35 Inc.; Aspect Medical Systems; AstraZeneca; Auspex Pharmaceuticals; Avanir
36 Pharmaceuticals; AXSOME Therapeutics; Bayer AG; Best Practice Project
37 Management, Inc.; Biogen; BioMarin Phar. AFS has served as a consultant for
38 Alkermes, Cervel, Clintara, Forum Pharmaceuticals, McKinsey and Company, Myriad
39 Genetics, Neuronetics, Naurex, One Carbon, Pfizer, Takeda, Sunovion, and X-Hale
40 and as a speaker for Pfizer; he holds equity in Biotie, Corcept (co-founder), Gilead,
41 Incyte. Intersect ENT, Merck, Neurocrine, Seattle Genetics, Titan, and X-Hale; and
42 he is listed as an inventor on pharmacogenetic and mifepristone patents from
43 Stanford University.

Abstract

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a debilitating disease characterized by depressed mood, diminished interests, impaired cognitive function and vegetative symptoms such as disturbed sleep or appetite. MDD occurs about twice as often in women than in men and affects 1 out of every 6 adults during life. The etiology of MDD is multifactorial and its heritability is estimated to be around 35%. In addition, environmental factors such as sexual, physical, or emotional abuse during childhood are strongly associated with the risk of developing MDD. There is currently no established mechanism that explains all aspects of the disease. However, MDD is associated with alterations in regional brain volumes, particularly the hippocampus, and with functional changes in brain circuits such as the cognitive control network and the affective-salience network. Furthermore, disturbances in the major neurobiological stress-responsive systems including the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the immune system are present in MDD. Treatment primarily comprises psychotherapy and pharmacological treatment. For treatment-resistant patients, who have not responded to several augmentation or combination treatment attempts, electroconvulsive therapy is the treatment with the best empirical evidence. In this Primer, we provide an overview on the current evidence of MDD, including its epidemiology, etiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment.

[H1]Introduction

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) is a debilitating disease that is characterized by one or more discrete depressive episodes of at least two weeks' duration involving clear-cut changes in affect, cognition, and vegetative symptoms. **Box 1** describes the current diagnostic criteria and specifiers of MDD according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) 5th edition (DSM 5), which was released in 2013¹.

After puberty, MDD occurs about twice as often in women than in men² and affects in a specific year about 6% of the adult population worldwide³. Among all medical conditions, MDD is the second leading cause for chronic disease burden as measured by "years lived with disability"⁴. In addition, MDD is associated with an increased risk of developing medical disorders such as diabetes, heart disease, and stroke⁵, thereby further increasing its burden of disease. Furthermore, MDD can itself lead to death by suicide. Many of the 800,000 suicides per year worldwide occur within a depressive episode⁶ and depressed patients are almost 20-fold more likely to die by suicide than the general population⁷.

The genetic contribution to MDD is estimated between 30-40%, with higher heritability in family and twin-based studies than single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based estimates from genome-wide association studies (GWAS). This suggests that other genetic variables such as rare mutations contribute to MDD risk^{8,9}. In addition, environmental factors such as sexual, physical, or emotional abuse during childhood are strongly associated with the risk of developing MDD^{10,11}. Most studies so far have typically examined single candidate genes in interaction with environmental factors and have not yielded consistently replicated results. Furthermore, GWAS have so far not revealed consistent and replicated associations

with specific genes¹². However, environmental influences can affect genomic read-out through the action of epigenetic alterations to produce a depressed phenotype¹³.

Despite advances in our understanding of the neurobiology of MDD, an established mechanism that explains all aspects of the disease is unavailable. However, MDD is associated with smaller volumes of brain structures such as the hippocampus as well as changes in either activation or connectivity of brain networks such as the cognitive control network and the affective-salience network¹⁴. Moreover, alterations in the major neurobiological systems that mediate the stress response are present in MDD including the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, the autonomic nervous system, and the immune system¹⁵.

Both psychotherapy and psychopharmacology are effective in treating MDD; however, about 30% of patients do not remit from MDD, even after several treatment attempts^{16,17}. Thus, there is an urgent need to further improve MDD therapy. New developments in psychotherapy include the use of behavioral intervention technologies. With regard to pharmacological approaches, glutamatergic antidepressants such as ketamine are currently under scientific scrutiny.

In this Primer, we provide an overview on the current evidence of MDD, including its epidemiology, etiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. We also outline the key outstanding research questions in the field that should be addressed in the next few years.

[H1] Epidemiology

[H2] Prevalence and main correlates

A best estimate of the world-wide MDD prevalence comes from the World Mental Health (WMH) Survey, which used similar protocols to assess DSM-IV criteria for MDD in 18 countries among almost 90,000 individuals from every continent.³ The average 12-month prevalence of MDD was around 6%, in line with estimates from earlier large-scale international studies^{18,19}. Lifetime MDD prevalence is typically about threefold higher than the 12-month prevalence, indicating that MDD affects 1 out of every 6 adults at some point in their life^{3,18,19}. Although a lifetime prevalence is less reliable and likely suffers from recall bias and underestimation^{20,21}, it indicates that at least 20% of all persons face MDD during life.

The 12-month MDD prevalence in the WMH Survey ranged from 2.2% in Japan to 10.4% in Brazil (Figure 1). Although estimates varied substantially across countries for reasons that likely involve both substantive and methodological processes, the 12-month MDD prevalence was found to be similar in 10 high-income (5.5%) and 8 low- to middle-income (5.9%) countries, illustrating that MDD is not just a 'modern-world' health condition. Also, the median age of onset, severity, symptom profile and basic sociodemographic and environmental correlates (such as sex, education and life events) of MDD are mostly comparable across countries and cultures^{22,23}. However, despite these similarities, a clear-cut discrepancy across countries is present in terms of both the resources and treatments availability for mental health, including MDD. In high-income countries approximately 40-50% of all people with severe MDD do not receive proper treatment^{24,25}, but in low-income countries fewer than 10% of patients received adequate treatment²⁴.

Starting after puberty, women have a twofold increased risk of MDD than men². This is mainly due to a higher first occurrence of episodes in women, and not because female sex is associated with longer episode duration, differential treatment response or higher recurrence rates^{26,27}. In both sexes, the median reported age of

1 onset of MDD is around 25 years, and the peak risk period for MDD onset ranges
2 from mid-late adolescence to the early 40s³. These findings are in line with
3 observations that, especially in high-income countries, the MDD prevalence generally
4 goes slightly down with age after early adulthood^{22,28}. Other consistently reported
5 environmental determinants of MDD in both men and women are the absence of a
6 partner (due to divorce or widowhood) and the experience of recent negative life
7 events such as illness or loss of close persons, financial or social problems and
8 unemployment^{3,29}. In addition, a range of childhood adversities including physical
9 abuse, sexual abuse and emotional neglect significantly increases the MDD
10 development risk in men and women. Depressed patients with childhood trauma not
11 only have a more than twofold increased MDD risk, but also higher symptom
12 severity, a poorer course and more treatment non-response³⁰⁻³². Finally, other
13 important determinants of MDD are unhealthy lifestyles, as excessive alcohol use,
14 smoking behavior, a high fat or sugar diet and physical inactivity have been
15 associated with (the onset of) MDD and reversing these unhealthy lifestyles appears
16 to reduce depressive symptoms³³⁻³⁵.

17

18 **[H2] Course and public health impact**

19 The course of MDD is pleomorphic, with considerable variation in remission
20 and chronicity. In population-based samples the mean episode duration varies
21 between 13-30 weeks and approximately 70-90% of depressed persons recover
22 within 1 year³⁶⁻³⁸. However, in clinical care settings, the course pattern of patients
23 with MDD is less favorable: only 25% remit within 6 months and >50% of patients are
24 still depressed after 2 years^{27,39,40}. After MDD remission, residual symptoms and
25 functional impairment often remain⁴¹. Also, the chance of MDD recurrence is high, as
26 about 80% of remitted patients experience one or more recurrences during their

lifetime⁴². The course trajectory in adults seems to be slightly less favorable with older age²⁷. However, the most important course determinants are clinical characteristics. Higher symptom severity, psychiatric comorbidity and a history of childhood trauma all predict a less-favorable course^{27,31}.

The Global Burden of Disease Consortium found that, in 2013, MDD was the second leading contributor to global disease burden, as expressed in disability adjusted life years, both in developed as well as in developing countries⁴. Moreover, the consequences of MDD extend to physical health. Large-scale longitudinal studies converge in their findings that MDD increases the onset risk of diabetes, heart disease, stroke, hypertension, obesity, cancer, cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease (Figure 2)⁴³. Both in the general population as well as in populations with specific medical illnesses, MDD increases the mortality risk by 60–80%^{44,45}. Indeed, the contribution of MDD to all-cause mortality is 10%, indicating that mortality rates would decrease by 10% if MDD could be eliminated completely.

[H1] Mechanisms/pathophysiology

Despite advances in our understanding of the neurobiology of MDD, there is currently no established mechanism that could explain all facets of the disease. In box 2, we briefly discuss the potential and the challenges of animal models for MDD and provide recent references that discuss in detail molecular mechanisms of candidate neurobiological systems that have been identified in animal models. In the main text, we largely restrict our discussion to findings in clinical studies of patients with MDD, giving preference to those aspects that have been confirmed in meta-analyses and pathways that have been targeted in clinical trials (ideally also with a meta-analysis level of evidence).

1

2 [H2] Genetics

3

4 We have known for more than a century that MDD clusters within families. First-
5 degree relatives of patients with MDD show a threefold increased risk of MDD, and
6 heritability for this disorder has been quantified as 30-40%⁸. Furthermore, there is a
7 genetic overlap between MDD and other psychiatric disorders^{46,47}. However, the
8 search for main genetic effects in MDD so far has not revealed consistent and
9 replicated genome-wide significant genetic findings for MDD⁴⁸ as indicated by a
10 mega-analysis of various GWAS including 9,240 cases and 9,519 controls⁴⁹.
11 Similarly sized studies of other psychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia, which
12 have a higher heritability, have convincingly implicated at least some genetic loci; for
13 schizophrenia, 108 independent genome-wide significant loci have been shown⁵⁰.
14 Risk of MDD is highly polygenic and involves many genes with small effects⁵¹.
15 Furthermore, the heterogeneity of the depressed phenotype further increases the
16 number of subjects needed to find significant genetic associations. A recent Chinese
17 GWAS in which a more homogeneous phenotypic approach was applied was able to
18 confirm two genome-wide significant genetic loci⁵². This holds promise for some
19 ongoing and soon to be finalized GWAS, which contain increased numbers of
20 depressed cases or focus on huge samples with uniform relevant phenotype
21 information such as depressive symptom reports or neuroticism.

22

23 [H2] Environmental factors

24

25 Early epidemiological studies focused on stressful events that are temporally related
26 to MDD, usually in the year preceding onset; the primarily documented events (such
27 as loss of employment, financial insecurity, chronic or life-threatening health
28 problems, exposure to violence, separation and bereavement)⁵³ occur most often

1 during adulthood. However, more recent evidence has focused on exposure to life
2 events in childhood as antecedent of MDD later in life. These events include physical
3 and sexual abuse, psychological neglect, exposure to domestic violence, or early
4 separation from parents due to death or separation, with clear evidence of a dose-
5 response relationship between number and severity of adverse life events and risk,
6 severity, and chronicity of MDD¹¹.

7 A variety of data derived from animal models and clinical research have led to a
8 comprehensive neurobiological model of the long-lasting consequences of early
9 trauma. At the center of this model is the endocrine hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal
10 (HPA) axis. Many animal studies have demonstrated that early life stress produces
11 persistent increases in the activity of corticotrophic releasing factor (CRF)-containing
12 neural circuits⁵⁴. This finding is paralleled by clinical studies showing that both
13 women and men who have been sexually or physically abused in childhood exhibit,
14 as adults, a markedly enhanced activity of the HPA axis when exposed to
15 standardized psychosocial stressors or following endocrine tests that attempt to
16 suppress HPA activity. Thus, glucocorticoid receptor function is reduced in adult
17 individuals who have experienced childhood adversities (so-called glucocorticoid
18 resistance), a notion that is supported by the fact that these individuals also show
19 increased activation of the inflammatory system, which is under physiological
20 inhibitory control by cortisol. Indeed, glucocorticoid resistance, HPA axis hyperactivity
21 and increased inflammation are all present in MDD (figure 3).

22
23 Furthermore, *in utero* stress during the antenatal period has also been shown to
24 increase the risk of MDD later in life⁵⁵. This novel but burgeoning area of research is
25 providing further evidence of the neurodevelopmental origin of MDD and the long-
26 lasting effects of environmental insults at the earliest stages of life⁵⁶.

[H2] Gene × environment interactions

The lack of consistent and replicated findings in GWAS for MDD can at least in part be explained by the fact that relevant genetic variants confer an increased risk only in the presence of exposure to stressors and other adverse environmental circumstances — the so-called gene–environment (G×E) interaction (figure 4). However, although a number of potential candidate genes such as the serotonin transporter gene (*SLC6A4*), the corticotropin releasing hormone receptor 1 gene (*CRHR1*), and the gene encoding peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase (*FKBP5*) have been identified, differences in the timings and type of adverse environmental circumstances have hampered replication studies of single candidate genes.

[H2] Epigenetics

Interestingly, studies investigating the molecular mechanisms underlying G×E interactions have shown that they may involve epigenetic regulation. For example, one polymorphism in *FKBP5* that has been shown to interact with life adversities predicting MDD is associated with allele-specific, stress-dependent DNA demethylation in glucocorticoid response elements⁵⁷. This leads to increased *FKBP5* expression in response to stress, which in turn leads to glucocorticoid receptor resistance, which is often found in MDD⁵⁸.

Furthermore, a number of studies have shown consistent epigenetic changes in the brain of animal models of MDD as well as in post-mortem brain samples of depressed patients, especially suicide victims who were exposed to early life adversities⁵⁹. Initial hypothesis-driven studies have examined genes involved in the stress response, but more recent unbiased genome-wide studies have implicated

1 epigenetic changes in genes often unrelated to established candidates implicating
2 alternative pathophysiological mechanisms, such as cell adhesion and cell
3 plasticity⁵⁷. However, enthusiasm for epigenetic research in MDD is still limited by the
4 small magnitude of the described epigenetic changes, often <10%, especially in
5 comparison with other medical disorders such as cancer⁶⁰.

6 7 8 **[H2] Neuroendocrinology**

9 The endocrine hypothalamus-pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis is among the most
10 researched biological systems in MDD^{61,62}. While the hope for sufficient specificity
11 and sensitivity on an individual level was not met for MDD-specific diagnostic HPA
12 tests⁶³, evidence suggests that overall HPA axis regulation is altered in patients with
13 MDD. Two meta-analyses^{64,65} concluded that cortisol levels in MDD were elevated,
14 with a moderate effect size. Importantly, HPA alterations correlate with impaired
15 cognitive function^{66,67} in depressed patients and they are more common and more
16 pronounced in severely depressed patients with melancholic and/or psychotic
17 features⁶⁸ and in elderly depressed patients⁶⁹. Furthermore, several studies have
18 prospectively shown that elevated cortisol is a risk factor for subsequent MDD⁷⁰⁻⁷².
19 Finally, in a study using data from a primary care database including more than
20 370,000 individuals indicated that treatment with synthetic glucocorticoids is
21 associated with an increased risk for suicide (approx. 7-fold), MDD (approx. 2-fold)
22 and other severe neuropsychiatric disorders, even when controlling for the underlying
23 medical disorder⁷³.

24
25 Antidepressants reduce cortisol levels in depressed patients over the course of the
26 treatment⁷⁴. However, a meta-analysis has shown that independent of improved
27 psychopathology about 50% of depressed patients had similar cortisol levels before

1 and after treatment. Elevated CRF in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) has been found in
2 patients with MDD⁷⁵ and, accordingly, several randomized controlled trials have
3 examined CRF-antagonists in the treatment of MDD. However, the overall results
4 have not indicated a major role for CRF antagonists in the treatment of MDD⁷⁶.
5 Clinical trials using glucocorticoid-lowering compounds such as metyrapone have
6 also yielded mixed results^{77,78}. Fludrocortisone, a mineralocorticoid receptor agonist,
7 has been shown to accelerate the onset of action of standard antidepressants in one
8 randomized controlled trial⁷⁹ and to improve cognitive function in depressed patients
9 in an experimental study⁸⁰. In psychotic MDD, the glucocorticoid receptor antagonist
10 mifepristone (RU-486) was shown to ameliorate psychotic symptoms, although
11 secondary analyses of failed trials indicated that very high doses might be required to
12 reach therapeutic blood levels⁶².

14 [H2] Inflammation

15 A role of peripheral immune dysfunction and neuroimmunological mechanisms in
16 MDD has been supported by a large body of evidence from animal studies (box 2).
17 These models have also provided intriguing insights into how peripheral cytokines
18 can, directly and indirectly, affect brain circuits, behavior and mood. Such
19 mechanisms may also underlie clinical observations in MDD: A population-based
20 study has shown that both prior severe infections as well as autoimmune diseases
21 increase the risk of subsequently developing MDD⁸¹. Patients who receive cytokine
22 treatments such as IL-2 or IFN γ as part of their treatment for hepatitis or cancer often
23 develop depressive symptoms⁸². Finally, patients with MDD show elevated serum
24 levels of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and IL-6 as confirmed by a meta-analysis^{83,84}.
25 Increased expression of genes involved in IL-6 signaling in peripheral blood cells has
26 also been observed in a large-scale cohort study of patients with MDD compared

with healthy controls⁸⁵. There have also been a few large, prospective studies indicating that elevated levels of IL-6 during childhood significantly increase the risk of developing MDD in adulthood⁸⁶. Recent studies using PET imaging⁸⁷ as well as analyses of post-mortem brain tissue⁸⁸ have indicated neuroinflammation and microglial activation in the central nervous systems of patients with MDD. Finally, a potential role of inflammation in MDD is also supported by clinical trials of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as COX-2 inhibitors reviewed by a recent meta analysis⁸⁹.

[H2] Neuroplasticity

Peripheral changes in cortisol levels and inflammatory mechanisms induce depressive symptoms by ultimately affecting brain function at a cellular level, primarily by disrupting neuroplasticity. Lower levels of the neurotrophin, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), have been found in the serum and in the leukocytes mRNA of depressed patients, and pharmacological and non-pharmacological antidepressant therapies have been found to normalize BDNF levels⁹⁰. BDNF and other components of the neuroplasticity network, affect behavior also by regulating neurogenesis, the process by which new neurons are generated in the adult brain from pluripotent stem cells. The role of neurogenesis in MDD has been amply debated⁹¹. For example, reducing experimentally adult neurogenesis in rodents in the absence of stress does not induce depressive-like behavior. However, reduced neurogenesis can precipitate depression-like symptoms in the context of stress, probably because it impairs the ability to respond to stress. For example, at a biological level, adult neurogenesis promotes resilience to stress by enhancing glucocorticoid-mediated negative feedback on the HPA axis, and at a cognitive level it influences whether events are perceived as stressful and, therefore, whether a

1 stress response is elicited. According to the latter notion, reduced neurogenesis
2 results in “overgeneralization”, so that even innocuous stimuli are associated with
3 negative memories and become emotionally charged. This results in a stress
4 response, which is further unrestrained by the lack of the aforementioned
5 neurogenesis-related enhancement of glucocorticoid-mediated negative feedback. In
6 contrast, an effective adult neurogenesis, as occurring following antidepressant
7 treatment, reduces stress responsiveness and maintains resilience⁹¹.

9 **[H2] Monoamines**

10
11 The monoamine hypothesis of MDD was initially developed based on findings that
12 substances such as the antihypertensive drug reserpine that reduce monoamines
13 such as serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT), norepinephrine, or dopamine in the
14 synaptic cleft, led to MDD in a subgroup of patients. Furthermore, the first
15 antidepressant drugs were developed in the 1950s, when the antidepressant
16 properties of tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) and monoamine oxidase inhibitors
17 (MAOIs) were discovered by serendipity. Both TCAs and MAOIs were subsequently
18 shown to have robust effects on monoamine neurotransmission. These findings
19 stimulated the development of a long series of monoamine-based compounds, which
20 have dominated the field of modern psychopharmacology of MDD thus far. For
21 example, the newer selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) strongly bind to the
22 serotonin transporter (5-HTT) with little or no impact on post-synaptic monoamine
23 receptor activity.

24
25 However, a plethora of studies that have measured norepinephrine and serotonin
26 metabolites in plasma, urine, and cerebrospinal fluid, as well as postmortem studies
27 of the brains of depressed patients have yielded inconsistent results⁹². Furthermore,

1 drugs that target monoamines affect these neurotransmitter systems within hours
2 after administration. However, antidepressant effects only occur with a delayed onset
3 of action that can last up to several weeks. Presumably, changes in brain gene
4 expression that occur after continuous treatment with monoaminergic
5 antidepressants might underlie their therapeutic effects⁹³.

7 **[H2] Structural brain alterations**

8
9 Many cross-sectional studies using structural brain imaging have investigated
10 regional brain volumes in patients with MDD, which have been summarized in meta-
11 analyses. A meta-analysis of 143 studies⁹⁴ confirmed smaller volumes in patients
12 with MDD than in healthy controls in the basal ganglia, thalamus, hippocampus and
13 several frontal regions (Figure 5). A meta-analysis of MRI data from more than a
14 dozen independent research samples by the ENIGMA working group detected
15 significantly lower volumes in the hippocampus (but no other subcortical structures)
16 ⁹⁵ as well as cortical thinning in the orbitofrontal cortex, anterior and posterior
17 cingulate, insula and temporal lobes in MDD patients ⁹⁶. Furthermore, a large scale
18 trans-diagnostic voxel-based morphometry meta-analysis of 193 studies comprising
19 15,892 individuals also suggested that the hippocampus might be selectively affected
20 in MDD compared to other psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, bipolar
21 disorder, addiction, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and anxiety⁹⁷. While an earlier
22 meta-analysis suggested that smaller hippocampal volumes might already be present
23 in patients with first episode MDD⁹⁸ this could not be confirmed in the most recent
24 meta-analysis of MRI data by the ENIGMA working group ⁹⁵. Thus, it remains unclear
25 whether smaller volumes of the hippocampus seen in MDD are an early
26 manifestation or develop later in the course of the disorder.

[H2] Functional brain circuits

Neuroimaging studies in MDD have identified abnormalities in either activation or connectivity within the affective-salience circuit, the medial prefrontal-medial parietal default mode network and the fronto-parietal cognitive control circuit.

[H3] Affective-salience circuit.

One of the most frequently reported neuroimaging findings in MDD is abnormally increased connectivity and heightened activation of the amygdala⁹⁹⁻¹⁰¹. Much like the amygdala, the dorsal anterior cingulate and anterior insula are hyperactive in MDD, which may reflect the increased salience of negative information and self-directed thoughts in MDD¹⁰¹. By contrast, decreased activity and connectivity of the ventral striatum and other reward-related regions has been found in MDD, leading to decreased recruitment of saliency processing areas like the dorsal cingulate and anterior insula¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁶.

[H3] Default mode network.

The default mode network is characterized by greater activity during “resting” states where most mental activity is internal or self-directed. Difficulties in dynamic modulation of the default mode network in MDD has been proposed to underlie excessive self-focus and rumination^{100,107-111}. Indeed, the default mode is hyperconnected in MDD¹¹²⁻¹¹⁴, which correlates positively with measures of rumination^{115,116}. In contrast, the dynamic coupling between frontoparietal activation (which increases with task-directed attention) and default mode deactivation is perturbed in MDD^{111,117}.

[H3] The fronto-parietal cognitive control circuit.

The fronto-parietal cognitive control network is engaged across many cognitive tasks¹¹⁸. A recent meta-analysis found evidence for frontoparietal hypo-connectivity in MDD, especially of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, implicating it in goal-directed attention deficits in MDD¹¹⁹. Moreover, decreased frontoparietal connectivity has been found both at rest and in response to negative stimuli, but not in response to positive stimuli, suggesting that this network may contribute to inappropriate cognitive appraisals of negative events more specifically^{100,105}.

[H1] Diagnosis, screening and prevention

[H2] Differential diagnosis

According to DSM 5 (Box 1), MDD is demarcated from normal sadness or bereavement; however, in patients who are mourning who develop symptoms severe enough and persistent beyond the acute grieving period, an MDD diagnosis can be given. While it is possible to diagnose MDD based on a single depressive episode, MDD is recurrent in the majority of cases¹.

The key differential diagnosis of MDD is with bipolar depression and with persistent depressive disorder. The differential diagnosis of MDD from bipolar depression rests entirely with the presence of a history of hypomania or mania, which is characterized by a clear period of elevated mood or irritability and with at least three of the following symptoms presently overtly: inflated self-esteem; reduced need for sleep; increased speech; flight of ideas; distractibility; increased activity in goal-directed tasks; and involvement in risky behavior.

Persistent depressive disorder is a chronic disorder and describes patients who have been depressed for >2 years. Apart from depressed mood, only two of six symptoms (appetite disturbance; sleep disturbance; loss of energy; decreased self-esteem; poor concentration; or hopelessness) are required for the diagnosis. Thus, it is possible to meet criteria for persistent depressive disorder without having MDD. If a patient meets criteria for MDD, then the patient would receive two diagnoses — MDD and persistent depressive disorder.

[H2] Specifiers of MDD

Once a diagnosis of MDD is made, the condition can be further characterized using a variety of modifiers or specifiers (Box 1).

Severity of episode is rated from mild to moderate to severe. Severe symptoms have a major impact on function. The specifier “with anxious distress” was introduced because depressed patients with considerable co-occurring anxiety are more likely to report suicidal thoughts and be less responsive to traditional antidepressants.

The specifier requires prominent symptoms of anxiety present most of the days the patient experiences an episode of MDD. Patients are also required to experience at least two of the following: a sense of being keyed up or tense, unusual restlessness, trouble concentrating secondary to worry, fearing awful things will happen, and worry about losing self-control.

The specifier “with mixed features” reflects a notion that MDD lies on a continuum with bipolar disorder and that patients with either can demonstrate features of the other during an index episode¹. This hypothesis is based on the observation that some depressed patients show rapid thinking and reduced need for sleep. The

criteria include experiencing at least three of the following symptoms during the depressive episode: elevated, expansive mood, heightened self-esteem or grandiosity, increased speech or pressure of speech, racing thoughts, increased energy or directed activity, excessive activity in behavior with possibly negative consequences, or lessened need for sleep.

“With melancholic features” refers to the presence of what has often been called endogenous features. The criteria include anhedonia, lack of pleasure, loss of reactivity to positive stimuli, distinct quality of depressed mood such as despair, depression worse in the morning, waking early in the morning, psychomotor disturbance, weight loss, and excessive guilty thoughts.

The specifier “with atypical features” refers to a set of symptoms that are common in MDD. The criterion in mood reactivity in atypical depression requires that mood brightens in response to actual or potential positive events, which is in contrast to “with melancholic features”. Other criteria include at least two out of: significant increase in weight or appetite; increased sleep; a sense of leaden paralysis; and interpersonal sensitivity.

Previously, the “with psychotic features” specifier in DSM-IV was included as part of the severity continuum from mild to severe with psychotic features. In DSM-5, psychotic features were separated from the severity specifier because the two were not always highly correlated (that is, mild MDD can also present with psychotic features)¹²⁰.

The specifier “with catatonic features” refers to “marked psychomotor disturbance that may involve decreased motor activity, decreased engagement during interview or physical examination, or excessive and peculiar motor activity (DSM 5). These patients are often psychotic.

1

2 **[H2] Research Domain Criteria (RDoC)**

3 In addition to DSM-5, the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) developed
4 research domain criteria (RDoC), which are not meant to be a diagnostic system but
5 a framework for organizing research. The RDoC approach consists of a matrix where
6 the rows represent specified functional constructs characterized by genes,
7 molecules, cells, circuits, physiology, self-report, and paradigms used to measure it
8 ([https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-priorities/rdoc/development-and-definitions-of-](https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-priorities/rdoc/development-and-definitions-of-the-rdoc-domains-and-constructs.shtml)
9 [the-rdoc-domains-and-constructs.shtml](https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-priorities/rdoc/development-and-definitions-of-the-rdoc-domains-and-constructs.shtml)). Constructs are in turn grouped into five
10 higher-level domains of functioning (negative valence systems, positive valence
11 systems, cognitive systems, systems for social processes, and arousal/regulatory
12 systems). The ultimate goal of RDoC is to develop a deeper understanding of the
13 biological and psychosocial basis of psychiatric disorders, which might help to
14 improve current classification systems ¹²¹.

15

16 **[H2] Screening**

17 Screening is discussed controversially in the MDD field. Many experts argue that
18 screening for depression is of obvious benefit since MDD is often overlooked in
19 medical settings ^{122,123}. In contrast, other authors state that it is impractical to
20 implement universal screening and argue that there is a lack of evidence supporting
21 screening ¹²⁴. A recent systematic review included 71 studies and assessed benefits
22 and harms of screening for depression in primary care ¹²⁵. The authors concluded that
23 the overall evidence of health benefit of depression screening in primary care is

1 weak. However, the existing data do suggest that screening programs generally
2 increase the likelihood of remission and treatment response in general adult
3 populations but only in the presence of subsequent treatment offers.

5 [H2] Prevention

6 Given the high prevalence of depression, effective prevention strategies such as
7 strengthening protective factors (such as increasing social support or problem-
8 solving skills) or diminishing prodromal disease stages (such as reducing depressive
9 symptoms that do not fulfill criteria for MDD yet) might have an enormous public
10 health impact in reducing disease burden. The effects of preventive psychological
11 interventions on the incidence of MDD have been systematically examined in a meta-
12 analysis of 32 randomized controlled trials. The meta-analysis included studies
13 examining universal prevention (in a whole population group regardless of risk
14 status), selective prevention (in individuals or subgroups that are at higher risk of
15 developing depression) and indicated prevention (in individuals who are identified as
16 having prodromal symptoms of depression, but who do not yet meet the diagnostic
17 criteria for a full-blown MDD diagnosis).

18 The results indicated a 21% decrease in incidence in prevention groups in
19 comparison with control groups¹²⁶. The authors concluded that prevention of
20 depression seems feasible and may be an effective way to reduce the numbers of
21 incident MDD cases.

23 [H1] Management

[H2] Psychotherapy

Psychotherapy for depression comes in many different forms, the most common of which are described in **Box 4**. These different paradigms rely on different conceptual models and prescribe techniques that vary to some degree in their focus and methods. A large number of randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses consistently show that psychotherapy is effective at treating depression, and that there are no consistent or clinically meaningful differences between different types of psychotherapy¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹.

This conclusion¹³⁰ has led to two broad hypotheses. The first, the non-specific or common factors explanation, argues that the primary agents for change in psychotherapy are largely those that are common to all psychotherapies, such as the therapeutic alliance (a positive, warm, caring and genuine stance)¹³¹ and therapist factors¹³², which are common to all forms of psychotherapy. The common factors approach would suggest that focusing training and quality assurance on these common factors can optimize treatment outcomes.

By contrast, proponents of the specific-factors explanation argue that treatment-specific strategies produce change via different pathways, such as cognitive restructuring, behavioral activation, or improved interpersonal functioning¹³³. Accordingly, head-to-head comparisons of different psychotherapeutic treatment models, which are grossly underpowered to detect treatment differences¹³⁴, hide patient variables such as severity of depression, social dysfunction, cognitive dysfunction, which have been shown to differentially predict outcomes to different treatment modalities^{135,136}. To the degree that the specific factors hypothesis is true, treatment outcomes may be optimized by tailoring specific interventions to patient characteristics.

Psychotherapy produces effects that are largely equivalent to pharmacotherapy although effect sizes from pharmacological and psychotherapeutic trials cannot be readily compared due to methodological issues (e.g. blinding)¹³⁷. A recent individual patient data meta-analysis, combining the data across 16 trials comparing individual psychotherapy to antidepressant medication, found no meaningful differences in outcomes on self-reported depression, or rates of response or remission¹³⁸. The beneficial effects of cognitive therapy have been shown to persist for at least one year post-treatment, similar to keeping people on antidepressant medications, and with lower relapse rates compared to patients who withdraw from medications¹³⁹.

Although psychotherapy is clearly effective, large numbers of people have access barriers, including time constraints, lack of available services, and cost^{140,141}. Providing psychotherapy over the telephone has been repeatedly shown to be an effective medium for delivering psychotherapy¹⁴², producing outcomes that are equivalent to face-to-face therapy and reducing dropout¹⁴³. Furthermore, group therapy is often recommended as a less-costly way of providing treatment, particularly for patients with mild to moderate levels of symptoms¹⁴⁴. Trials comparing individual to group psychotherapy have shown individual treatment to be moderately superior to group at post-treatment, however these differences disappear at 3-month follow-up¹⁴⁵.

[H2] Behavioral intervention technologies

Behavioral intervention technologies, which use computers, tablets, and phones to teach self-management skills¹⁴⁶, are effective at reducing symptoms of depression, when applied correctly. While standalone technology-based interventions have not shown consistent benefits, primarily because people with depression do not

1 adhere to them, internet-based tools, combined with low-intensity coaching via phone
2 or messaging, are highly effective at reducing symptoms of depression^{147,148}.

3 Evidence for the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of these coached intervention
4 technologies has led to their being integrated into national mental health services in a
5 number of countries, including England¹⁴⁹ and Australia¹⁵⁰.

6 However, well-designed head-to-head comparisons of technology-supported
7 care and more traditional forms of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy have yet to
8 be conducted. It is unclear if there are differences in who might respond to
9 technology-based treatments relative to traditional treatments, and indeed, as
10 attitudes and expectations about the role of technology in daily life change, the
11 populations that are responsive to such treatments will likely change. The rapid rate
12 at which technology advances means that technology-based interventions will
13 continue to proliferate and evolve rapidly¹⁵¹.

14 An emerging area of technology is digital phenotyping, which harnesses the
15 growing availability of data generated continuously in the course of daily lives to
16 create behavioral markers related to depression. For example, mobile phones, with a
17 growing complement of sensors, have become personal sensing systems. Because
18 people tend to keep their phones with them, phone sensors can continuously
19 estimate severity of depression in real time¹⁵². This opens the possibility of
20 intervention tools that can detect and react to sensed states and behaviors, allowing
21 just-in-time prompting and reinforcement of treatment congruent behaviors¹⁵³, as
22 well as tools that can passively monitor risk of depression. Harnessing personal
23 sensing platforms such as mobile phones and wearables has the potential to shift our
24 treatment tools from episodic to continuous, from reactive to proactive, and from
25 provider-centered to patient-centered¹⁵⁴.

[H2] Pharmacotherapy

Three decades after the “monoamine hypothesis of depression” emerged, it became clear that this hypothesis was overly simplistic and that the modulation of monoamines by antidepressants was only an initiating event¹⁵⁵.

[H3] Mechanisms of action

The actual therapeutic actions of monoamine-based antidepressant drugs are thought to result from slower adaptive neuronal responses to these initial biochemical perturbations. Downstream intracellular signal changes pathway as well as changes in gene expression and neural and synaptic plasticity including hippocampal neurogenesis may actually play a critical role in antidepressant drug action^{156,157 158}.

All these research findings put into question the usefulness of the standard classification of antidepressant drugs, typically based on the specific effects on monoamines. However, such classification, often reflecting the affinity of drugs for pre- and post-synaptic monoamine receptors and/or monoamine transporters, has been useful in understanding some of their side effects. Recently, a new initiative from five international scientific organizations with focus and expertise in neuropsychopharmacology developed a “neuroscience-based nomenclature”^{159,160} of psychotropic drugs that organizes medications based on their known pharmacologic actions as opposed to grouping them according to indications (“antidepressants”, “antipsychotics”, etc.).

The selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) (such as fluoxetine, sertraline, paroxetine, citalopram, escitalopram and fluvoxamine) have shown at therapeutically

1 relevant doses to have significant binding to the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) and
2 are typically devoid of post-synaptic monoamine receptor activity. Vilazodone, has
3 significant affinity for serotonin 5-HT_{1A} receptors as well as for the 5-HTT. The
4 relatively selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (NRIs) (such as reboxetine)
5 have also shown at therapeutically relevant doses to have significant binding to the
6 norepinephrine transporter without any significant post-synaptic monoamine receptor
7 activity. The TCAs and other cyclic antidepressants, as well as the serotonin
8 norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) block the reuptake of norepinephrine
9 serotonin by binding to their transporter in varying ratios. All the available SNRIs
10 (venlafaxine, duloxetine, desvenlafaxine, milnacipran and levomilnacipran) share the
11 property of being potent inhibitors of serotonin and norepinephrine uptake, with
12 minimal or no affinity for postsynaptic receptors, with the exception of venlafaxine,
13 which acts as a mild antagonist of nicotinic acetylcholinergic receptors.

14 By contrast, TCAs, to varying degrees, are potent blockers of histamine H-1
15 receptors, serotonin 5-HT₂ receptors, muscarinic acetylcholine receptors, and α ₁-
16 adrenergic receptors. These effects account for the higher degree of side-effect
17 burden of the TCAs compared to the other classes of antidepressants. The
18 norepinephrine dopamine reuptake inhibitors (NDRIs) such as bupropion primarily
19 block the reuptake of dopamine and norepinephrine and have minimal or no affinity
20 for post-synaptic receptors. The α ₂-adrenergic receptor antagonists (such as
21 mirtazapine and mianserin) seem to enhance the release of both serotonin and
22 norepinephrine by blocking auto- and hetero- α ₂ receptors. Given mirtazapine's
23 antagonism of serotonin 5HT₂ and 5HT₃ receptors, it has been argued that its
24 overall effect is an enhancement of 5HT_{1A}-mediated serotonergic transmission and
25 of norepinephrine release, in addition to blocking histaminergic H-1 receptors. The

latter effect is thought to be responsible for significant sedation. Mianserin is also a 5HT₂ antagonist. More selective serotonin receptor antagonists/agonists (such as nefazodone and trazodone) primarily bind to serotonin 5-HT₂ receptors. Vortioxetine, has significant affinity for serotonin 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{1B}, 5-HT_{1D}, 5-HT₃, 5-HT₇ receptors as well as for the 5-HTT. Agomelatine is a melatonin receptor (MT₁ and MT₂) agonist and a 5-HT_{2c} antagonist without anticholinergic or antihistaminergic properties.

Most currently used MAOIs (such as isocarboxazid, phenelzine, tranylcypromine, and selegiline) are irreversible inhibitors of both MAOA, preferentially oxidizing serotonin, and MAOB, preferentially oxidizing phenylethylamine (PEA) and benzylamine, with dopamine, tyramine, and tryptamine being substrates for both forms of MAO. Moclobemide is a selective and reversible MAOA inhibitor.

[H3] Tolerability and efficacy

The success of the SSRIs and SNRIs in displacing tricyclic drugs as first-choice agents is not based on established differences in efficacy, but rather on a generally more favorable side effect profile such as lack of anticholinergic and cardiac side effects, a high therapeutic index (ratio of lethal dose: therapeutic dose), combined with ease of administration. However, all the monoamine-based antidepressant drugs, regardless of their pharmacological class, have fundamentally comparable modest efficacy, with response rates hovering around 50%, and exhibiting a characteristic delayed (typically over several weeks) response to treatment^{16,161}.

Drugs such as the SSRIs and SNRIs are also not devoid of significant tolerability issues: common acute treatment side effects are nausea, insomnia, headaches,

1 dizziness, gastrointestinal symptoms, and sexual dysfunction, whereas their common
2 long-term side effects include weight gain, sexual dysfunction, and sleep
3 disturbances¹⁶². In the past two decades, there have been significant efforts to
4 develop antidepressant drugs that are not monoamine-based, that are devoid of
5 some of the untoward side-effects of these drugs, and that are capable to induce
6 clinical changes in a much more rapid fashion. Compounds that are under
7 development include neurokinin NK-1 antagonists¹⁶³, glutamatergic system
8 modulators¹⁶⁴, anti-inflammatory agents¹⁶⁵, opioid tone modulators and opioid kappa
9 antagonists¹⁶⁶, hippocampal neurogenesis-stimulating treatments¹⁶⁷, and
10 antiglucocorticoid therapies¹⁶⁸. The degree of advancement in the development
11 process varies across these different mechanisms, although all of these types of
12 compounds have shown some degree of promise in the treatment of MDD.

13

14 **[H2] Combined pharmacotherapy and psychotherapy**

15 A number of studies have shown that initiating treatment with both psychotherapy
16 and pharmacotherapy produces significantly better outcomes than either treatment
17 alone^{169,170}. Similarly, augmenting psychotherapy or antidepressant medications with
18 the treatment not received when the monotherapy has not achieved satisfactory
19 results is also effective at increasing the response rate¹⁷¹.

20

21 **[H2] Treatment-resistant depression**

22 The term treatment-resistant depression (TRD) is typically used to describe a form of
23 MDD that has not responded adequately to at least one antidepressant trial of
24 adequate doses and duration¹⁷² although varying definitions of treatment resistance
25 exist¹⁷³. TRD is frequently observed in clinical practice, with up to 50%-60% of

1 patients not obtaining adequate response following antidepressant drug treatment¹⁷².
2 A careful diagnostic re-assessment is considered critical to the proper management
3 of TRD patients (Figure 6). More specifically, it is important to evaluate the potential
4 role of several contributing factors, such as medical and psychiatric comorbidity. The
5 degree of resistance to treatment can vary greatly among TRD patients and some
6 staging methods to classify TRD based on different levels of treatment resistance
7 have shown to be of utility clinically¹⁷⁴. A recent meta-analysis found several
8 variables to be associated with treatment resistance including older age, marital
9 status, longer duration of current depressive episode, moderate to high suicidal risk,
10 anxious comorbidity, higher number of hospitalization, and comorbid personality
11 disorders¹⁷⁵.

12 There are multiple general approaches to TRD. The most established strategies
13 include psychopharmacological approaches, psychotherapy and electroconvulsive
14 therapy.

15 **[H3] Psychopharmacological strategies.**

16 The term optimization/high dose refers to a psychopharmacological strategy involving
17 the significant increase of the dose of the antidepressant in the face of non-response
18 (e.g., doubling or tripling the dose), strategy that has been shown to lead to
19 significant improvements, particularly in the event of partial response¹⁷⁶. This has
20 recently been confirmed in two meta-analyses for SSRI^{177,178}.

21 The psychopharmacological strategy of switching involves changing the primary
22 antidepressant drug to another of the same class or of a different class. In the
23 STAR*D study, this strategy has been shown to lead to remission in one of four
24 patients in citalopram non-responders (both within the same class or with a different

class), but its success in patients who have not responded to two antidepressant trials is extremely modest, with remission only in one of ten patients¹⁶.

The psychopharmacological strategy of augmentation refers to the addition to ongoing antidepressant drug treatment of drugs that are not antidepressant agents themselves. Initially well-studied augmentation strategies such as lithium or L-triiodothyronine (T3)¹⁷⁹ have become somewhat less common in practice, while augmentation with atypical antipsychotic drugs such as quetiapine or aripiprazole is increasingly established¹⁸⁰.

Combination treatment generally refers to the prescribing of more than one antidepressant simultaneously. The array and number of combinatory possibilities has dramatically increased with the introduction of newer antidepressant agents. The two best studied combination strategies, studied in STAR*D as well, are SSRIs/SNRIs with bupropion or mirtazapine¹⁶.

[H3] Psychotherapy.

In TRD, the most commonly used form of psychotherapy studied is cognitive behavioral therapy. A systematic review of the pertinent literature concluded that the current evidence examining the effect of psychotherapy as augmentation or substitute therapy in TRD is sparse and reveals mixed results¹⁸¹. However, the use of cognitive behavioral therapy in citalopram non-responders of the STAR*D study was associated with comparable efficacy to pharmacotherapy¹⁷. Furthermore, a recent large-scale randomized controlled study has demonstrated both efficacy and long-term effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy as adjunct to pharmacotherapy in treatment-resistant depression^{182,183}. Finally, a recent meta-analysis has demonstrated efficacy for the cognitive behavioral analysis system of

1 psychotherapy (CBASP), a specific psychotherapy for chronic depression including
2 treatment resistant depression¹⁸⁴.

3 **[H3] Electroconvulsive therapy.**

4 Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is considered to be the most widely used and
5 effective non-pharmacological biological treatment for TRD¹⁸⁵. It is commonly used
6 when a rapid antidepressant response is required, such as in very severely
7 depressed and/or highly suicidal patients. The main tolerability issues of ECT are its
8 cognitive side effects, especially anterograde and retrograde amnesia. It appears that
9 right unilateral ECT is as effective as bilateral treatment, albeit bilateral treatment
10 may lead to more rapid clinical response. Another approach is to use ultra-brief
11 pulse-width (UBP) stimulation in order to minimize cognitive side effects. However, a
12 systematic review found that, UBP ECT may yield lower efficacy as well as lower
13 speed of remission¹⁸⁶.

14 **[H3] Emerging treatments.**

15 Newer treatments for TRD include numerous approaches, ranging from repetitive
16 transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) and deep TMS (dTMS) to magnetic seizure
17 therapy (MST) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), to low field
18 magnetic stimulation (LFMS), vagus nerve stimulation (VNS), deep brain stimulation
19 (DBS), to parenteral/intranasal ketamine and esketamine as well as other
20 pharmacological approaches.

21 A recent review of 18 TRD studies of rTMS concluded that, for MDD patients with 2
22 or more antidepressant treatment failures, rTMS is a reasonable, effective

1 consideration¹⁸⁷. However, a meta-analysis has shown that rTMS is inferior to ECT
2 with regard to efficacy in TRD¹⁸⁸.

3 In contrast to standard TMS, deep TMS (dTMS) modulates neuronal activity in
4 deeper regions of the brain.. A recent review concluded that dTMS in TRD patients is
5 effective both as a monotherapy and as an add-on treatment¹⁸⁹.

6 Magnetic seizure therapy (MST) combines elements of both rTMS and ECT. In MST,
7 a rTMS device is used to induce a seizure, with the procedure being otherwise
8 conducted as ECT using a general anaesthetic and a muscle relaxant. A review of
9 eight MST studies reported remission rates ranging from 30% to 40%, and no
10 significant cognitive side effects related to MST¹⁹⁰.

11 Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) typically applies a weak direct current
12 via scalp electrodes overlying targeted cortical areas¹⁹¹. A recent review concluded
13 that the data do not support the use of tDCS in TRD¹⁹².

14 Low field magnetic stimulation (LFMS) refers to a form of brain stimulation delivered
15 in a magnetic field waveform inducing a low, pulsed electric field in the brain. Two
16 sham-controlled pilot studies of LFMS have shown a rapid antidepressant effect in
17 mood disorder patients¹⁹³.

18 Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) involves the surgical implantation of a pacemaker-
19 like pulse generator in the chest, connected to a stimulating electrode attached to the
20 vagus nerve in the neck. VNS results in activation of a variety of subcortical brain
21 structures and the stimulation of hippocampal neurogenesis¹⁹⁴. Despite the fact that
22 the only controlled trial in TRD of VNS using a sham control did not achieve the pre-
23 specified statistical significance and reported modest response rates in the acute

1 phase, long-term, extension phases of VNS treatment have been associated with an
2 increased therapeutic effect over time, with a sustained response rate of 40% and a
3 remission rate of 29% after a 9 month follow-up¹⁹⁴.

4 Deep brain stimulation (DBS) involves the implantation of a pulse generator
5 connected to two stimulating electrode wires, surgically placed in specific brain
6 regions. As pointed out by Fitzgerald¹⁹⁵, DBS is typically reserved for patients with
7 the most severe forms of TRD, and requires further evaluation of both administration
8 methods and its role in MDD therapy.

9 A novel pharmacological approach to the treatment of TRD involves parenteral or
10 intranasal administration of the glutamergic drugs ketamine and esketamine. A
11 review of 21 studies found that single ketamine intravenous infusions elicit a
12 significant antidepressant effect from 4 h to 7 days in TRD patients¹⁹⁶. Similar results
13 were reported in a trial of a single intravenous infusion of esketamine¹⁹⁷. Other drugs
14 with NMDA receptor antagonism properties have been associated with relatively
15 more modest antidepressant effects compared with ketamine; however, they have
16 shown other potentially favorable characteristics, such as decreased dissociative or
17 psychotomimetic effects¹⁹⁸. Other emerging pharmacological augmentation
18 strategies use compounds such as s-adenosyl-methionine¹⁹⁹, l-methylfolate²⁰⁰,
19 omega-3 fatty acids²⁰¹, i.v. scopolamine²⁰² and the opioid modulator ALKS 5461²⁰³,
20 but their efficacy is not well established yet.

22 **[H1] Quality of life**

23 **[H2] Impact on work and family life**

24 Much of the burden of disease associated with MDD is related to the dramatic effect

of MDD on ability to work and the significant strain on family life. In a large survey conducted in the United States, MDD was associated with 27.2 workdays lost per affected worker per year²⁰⁴.

Epidemiological studies have indicated that low socioeconomic status is linked to MDD²⁰⁵. Of particular concern is that MDD has been linked to lower educational attainment²⁰⁵. The cause-effect of this association, however, is unclear and a large recent study with 25.000 subjects suggested that it might in part be due to shared genetics²⁰⁶.

[H2] Cognitive impairment

Considerable literature has supported the presence of objectively measured cognitive deficits in patients with MDD. These deficits affect a wide range of cognitive domains including both “hot” (i.e. emotion-laden) and “cold” (non-emotional) cognition. One meta-analysis identified executive function, memory, and attention as the predominantly affected domains²⁰⁷. An attentional bias towards negative information has also been meta-analytically confirmed²⁰⁸. Impairments in psychomotor speed, attention, visual learning and memory as well as executive function can already be detected with small to medium effect sizes during a first episode of MDD.²⁰⁹

Although the cognitive deficits are more modest after remission (i.e. in euthymic patients with MDD), slight impairments in executive control^{207,210} and memory²⁰⁷ may remain, suggesting that these deficits are not simply an epiphenomenon of decreased motivation during episodes of low mood.

Cognitive impairment in MDD in part depends on the patient subgroup studied. MDD severity, for example, has been shown to be a significant predictor of cognitive dysfunction²¹¹. In addition, patients with psychotic depression have been shown to

perform significantly worse than patients with non-psychotic MDD on tests of verbal learning, visual learning, and processing speed²¹². Neurocognitive impairment is a relevant factor in patients' quality of life as it is negatively associated with psychosocial functioning in MDD²¹³. Overall, antidepressant pharmacotherapy appears to significantly improve cognitive function²¹⁴.

[H2] Suicide risk

The most immediate clinical concern with MDD is its strong relation to suicidal intent and completed suicide²¹⁵. Patients with MDD have a 1.8 fold increased overall mortality and MDD patients lose an estimated 10.6 life years lost for men and 7.2 years for women⁷. This is due – in part – to the elevated risk of suicide in this population. In a meta review, the risk of suicide in MDD was almost 20 fold higher than in the general population⁷.

The effectiveness of behavioral and psychosocial interventions to prevent suicide and suicide attempts has been supported by a recent meta-analysis, particularly for interventions that directly address suicidal thoughts²¹⁶. There are also strategies to reduce suicides at “suicide hotspots” (i.e. public areas often used for suicides) that aim at restricting access to means and encouraging help seeking that might be effective according to one meta analysis²¹⁷.

It should be noted that recent meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials have not found a beneficial effect of antidepressants to reduce suicide risk in MDD^{218,219}.

Importantly, risk and benefit of antidepressants use and suicidality appear to be strongly age dependent^{220,221}. Meta-analyses revealed that suicidal ideation or behavior associated with antidepressants was non-significantly increased in patients < 25 years, non-significantly decreased in patients 25 – 64 years and highly significantly decreased in patients > 64 years (OR 0.37, 95% CI 0.18 to 0.76). In

any event, clinicians should pay special attention to suicidal ideation and suicidality in patients with MDD in general and during antidepressant pharmacotherapy²²².

[H1] Outlook

A pivotal task in the future of MDD research will be to break down the heterogeneous clinical picture of MDD as a broad DSM-5 category into more narrowly defined disease entities with a more specific biology. The initial goal of DSM-5 was to define psychiatric diagnoses including MDD by genetics, neuroimaging, and other biological measures. However, this knowledge has not sufficiently evolved yet to reliably base psychiatric diagnoses on biological measures. Nevertheless, DSM still provides clinicians and researchers with the opportunity of defining subtypes of MDD by grouping patients according to distinct clinical characteristics (for example, melancholic versus atypical depression). Importantly, these subtypes have already been associated with different neurobiological signatures⁴³. Furthermore, the concepts of “vascular depression”²²³, „metabolic depression“^{224,225}, or „inflammatory depression“²²⁶ that all imply a specific etiology and potentially specific treatments warrant further validation.

Once valid MDD subtypes have been found, it is hoped that these will lead to more specific treatments with better outcomes. There are now several studies that were able to predict response to specific psychological or pharmacological treatment by clinical criteria such as history of childhood trauma²²⁷, neuroimaging markers such as insula hypometabolism²²⁸, or inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein^{229,230}. However, clinical subtypes (melancholic, atypical, anxious) did not predict treatment response in the iSPOT-D and STAR*D trial²³¹. Ideally, precision psychiatry will allow

1 to categorize MDD subtypes in the future analogue to the field of oncology that has
2 started to define different forms of cancer in the same organ into separate disease
3 entities requiring different treatment²³². It remains to be seen whether the
4 dimensional approach of the RDoC using concepts from genetics as well as from
5 cognitive, affective, and social neuroscience will achieve this goal. It has been
6 argued that the RDoC approach disregards the distinction between “sick” and “well”
7 and that RDoC might introduce a gap between clinicians using DSM-5 and
8 researchers using RDoC²³³. In any event, further research should test the validity of
9 the new DSM 5 specifier with mixed features. A pressing clinical question is whether
10 MDD with mixed features requires a different therapy than MDD without mixed
11 features.

12
13 Clearly, MDD is not just a phenomenon in industrialized countries but will affect one
14 out of six individuals worldwide. Therefore, to improve the outcome of MDD treatment
15 worldwide, one of the highest priorities in the field should be to implement effective
16 treatment in low-income countries in which <10% of depressed patients get adequate
17 treatment^{234,235}. The currently ongoing mental health Gap Action Programme
18 (mhGAP)²³⁶ of the World Health Organization is aiming to scale up services for
19 mental disorders for countries with low and lower middle incomes. An
20 epidemiological phenomenon consists in the repeatedly described sex differences in
21 prevalence rates of MDD² and it will be important to examine the mechanisms that
22 are responsible for the increased MDD prevalence in women.

23
24 Given the fact that MDD is a strong risk factor for developing metabolic and
25 cardiovascular diseases and for a worse course and outcome in these diseases⁵, it
26 will be important to learn more about the mechanisms of association between MDD

1 and other medical diseases such as diabetes or coronary heart disease. Future
2 research should also examine whether treatment of comorbid MDD reduce morbidity
3 and mortality in medical patients.

4
5 In terms of the etiology and pathophysiology of MDD, many questions remain
6 unresolved. For example, how exactly is the immune system dysregulated in MDD
7 (i.e. which immune compartment (innate vs. adaptive immunity) is affected? Again,
8 are immunological alterations present in MDD in general or only in specific subtypes?
9 Furthermore, there is a lack of replicated findings in both GWAS and G×E studies⁸.
10 Thus, a crucial question remains how exactly environmental influences interact with
11 the genome leading to MDD. Furthermore, how stable are epigenetic alterations of
12 genomic read-out and are they reversible with successful therapy?

13
14 Better treatment for patients is the ultimate goal of all biomedical research and
15 obviously this is true for MDD research as well. In terms of new psychotherapeutic
16 approaches, the technological revolution with its fast evolving developments will
17 allow technology-supported diagnostic and treatment options. This might include
18 intervention tools that can detect and react to sensed states and behaviors, allowing
19 just-in-time prompting and reinforcement of treatment congruent behaviors¹⁵³, as well
20 as tools that can passively monitor risk of MDD.

21 Within pharmacological research, antidepressants within the glutamatergic system
22 such as ketamine are currently under intense scientific scrutiny. An almost
23 revolutionary approach might consist in substances that stimulate neurogenesis in
24 humans. A first phase 1b clinical study has been published in depressed patients
25 demonstrating efficacy compared to placebo in two out of four MDD outcome

1 measures¹⁶⁷. However, future studies are necessary to determine safety and efficacy
2 of substances that stimulate neurogenesis in depressed patients.

3 Perhaps, MDD affects the “conditio humana” more than every other medical disease
4 and its etiology and pathophysiology remains a complex puzzle. Consistent with
5 Winston Churchill’s famous quote “ Success is not final, failure is not fatal: it is the
6 courage to continue that counts“, it will be worth every effort to relieve the enormous
7 burden of MDD.

Box 1. Definition of Major Depressive Disorder according to DSM5

- Five (or more) of the following symptoms have been present during the same 2- week period and represent a change from previous functioning:
 - Depressed mood
 - Markedly diminished interest or pleasure in all, or almost all, activities
 - Significant weight loss when not dieting or weight gain or decrease or increase in appetite nearly every day.
 - Insomnia or hypersomnia nearly every day.
 - Psychomotor agitation or retardation nearly every day
 - Fatigue or loss of energy nearly every day.
 - Feelings of worthlessness or excessive or inappropriate guilt (which may be delusional) nearly every day (not merely self-reproach or guilt about being sick).
 - Diminished ability to think or concentrate, or indecisiveness, nearly every day (either by subjective account or as observed by others).
 - Recurrent thoughts of death (not just fear of dying), recurrent suicidal ideation without a specific plan, or a suicide attempt or a specific plan for committing suicide.
- The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning.
- The episode is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance or to another medical condition
- The occurrence of the major depressive episode is not better explained by schizoaffective disorder, schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, delusional disorder, or other specified and unspecified schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders.
- There has never been a manic episode or a hypomanic episode.

Specifiers of MDD according to DSM-5 are:

- Severity
- With anxious distress
- With mixed features
- With melancholic features
- With psychotic features
- With peripartum onset
- With seasonal pattern

Box 2. Pathophysiology: from mice to man

Research into the underlying mechanisms of human disorders can be facilitated by model systems that allow reduction and molecular dissection of specific pathways. Finding the appropriate model systems for a given human disease is always challenging. This is particularly true for psychiatric disorders (see ²³⁷ for a review). Developing animal models is further complicated by the lack of consistently identified genetic cause of depression in humans. Moreover, many of the symptoms typically seen in patients with MDD are highly subjective (e.g. depressed mood) and only few can be observed and assessed in animals. Despite these challenges, animal models have allowed the discovery of many exciting target pathways that may contribute to the etiopathogenesis of depression and carefully unraveled the molecular processes involved. These include

- neuroendocrine and -immune mechanisms (see ²³⁸⁻²⁴⁰),
- epigenetics²⁴¹,
- molecular networks and the transcriptome²⁴²,
- the microbiome and the gut-brain axis²⁴³,
- synaptic dysfunction and plasticity²⁴⁴,
- neurogenesis²⁴⁵,

Surely, this is a fascinating and highly active area of investigation that has the potential to discover novel targets for therapy and ultimately to bring about better treatments for patients. However, to review all of these in detail would be beyond the scope of this review and reviewing them briefly would not do them justice. Moreover, at present, the clinical relevance of any of these mechanisms for MDD remains uncertain and no newly developed, hypothesis-driven therapeutic approaches for depression have made it to the clinic (yet).

Box 3. Social determinants of MDD (modified after²³⁴)

Several types of social determinants are associated with the risk and outcome of MDD²⁴⁶. They can be categorized as follows:

- *Demographic factors*: e.g. age, sex, and ethnicity
- *Socioeconomic status*: e.g. poverty, unemployment, income inequality, low education
- *Neighborhood factors*: e.g. inadequate housing, overcrowding, neighborhood violence and safety
- *Socio-environmental events*: e.g. natural disasters, war, conflict, migration, discrimination, difficulties in work, low social support, trauma, negative life events

There is a bidirectional association between these social determinants and MDD: certain social variables such as low socioeconomic status or lack of social support may contribute to the risk for MDD („social causation“). On the other hand, patients with MDD, especially those with a chronic course of the disease, often deteriorate in their social functioning leading to work and family problems („social drift“), which may eventually lead to poverty^{234,246}

1 **Box 4. Psychotherapy for MDD**

2 **Cognitive therapy**

3 Cognitive therapy teaches the patient to identify negative, distorted thinking patterns
4 that contribute to depression and provides skills to test and challenge these negative
5 thoughts, replacing them with more accurate, positive ones.

6 **Behavioral activation therapy**

7 Behavioral activation therapy focuses on increasing the patient's positive activities
8 that provide a sense of pleasure or mastery. This treatment also frequently focuses
9 on identifying and confronting avoidance processes.

10 **Psychodynamic therapy**

11 Psychodynamic therapy helps the patient explore and gain insight into how emotions,
12 thoughts, and earlier-life experiences have created patterns that contribute to current
13 problems. Recognizing these patterns can help a person cope and change those
14 patterns.

15 **Problem solving therapy**

16 Problem solving therapy teaches patients a structured set of skills to generate
17 creative methods of addressing problems, identifying and overcoming potential
18 barriers to goals, and making effective decisions.

19 **Interpersonal therapy**

1 Interpersonal therapy focuses on helping people identify and resolve problems in
2 relationships and social roles, including interpersonal conflicts, role transitions, and
3 diminished or impoverished relationships.

4 **Mindfulness-Based Therapy**

5 Mindfulness has its origins in contemplative practices, primarily Bhuddism, and
6 involves regular meditative practice in which one pays attention to thoughts, feelings,
7 and experiences in a nonjudgemental manner, learning to accept things as they are
8 without trying to change them.

9

Figure 1. Average 12-month prevalence of major depressive disorder. Although considerable variation in inter-country prevalence is noted, the overall estimates in high-income countries (5.5%) and low- and middle-income countries (LMICs; 5.9%) are not different. Data derived from the World Mental Health Survey³.

Figure 2. The somatic consequences of major depressive disorder. Evidence from meta-analyses⁴³ of longitudinal studies have revealed the relative risk (RR) of various diseases is increased in those with major depressive disorder (MDD) compared with those who do not have MDD. The mechanisms contributing to the diverse somatic consequences of MDD are diverse and together may explain the unfavorable health outcomes in depressed patients. They include unhealthy lifestyle, poorer (self)care adherence, medication side effects, shared pathophysiology including e.g. upregulation of immune-endocrine stress systems and genetic pleiotropy (see ^{39, 247} for a review that gives more details).

Figure 3: Neurobiological systems involved in MDD pathology. Biological alterations associated with MDD have been described in the central nervous system (CNS), the major stress responses systems such as the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, the autonomic nervous system and the immune system. While the sequence of events leading to these changes and their exact interrelation is not known, it is assumed that a combination of vulnerability factors and environmental triggers are the primary event. Psychological stressors set off responses in the HPA axis, which over time show a diminished feedback inhibition capacity resulting in chronically elevated levels of stress hormones such as cortisol and CRH. Chronically elevated stress hormones can also contribute to pathology in cardiovascular and metabolic systems, which often co-occur with MDD. In addition, chronic activation of innate immune responses and elevated circulating levels of inflammatory mediators such as cytokines have been described in MDD; which may be related to a higher incidence of infections in this population. While the cause-effect relationship between these biological correlates is often unclear in clinical studies, mechanistic studies in animals have shown that stress response systems as well as immune activation can directly and indirectly impact on the CNS. Here, they contribute to altered plasticity, connectivity and neurotransmission and may even exacerbate tissue loss. Ultimately, these may underlie abnormal structural and functional connectivity of relevant brain circuits and regional brain volume changes seen in neuroimaging studies.

Abbreviations: ACTH: adrenocorticotropin; CRH: corticotropin releasing hormone; CNS: central nervous system; HPA: hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis; MDD: major depressive disorder; NK: natural killer; IL-6: interleukin 6; IL 1 β : interleukin 1 β .

1 **Figure 4. Model of gene × environment interactions leading to major depressive**
2 **disorder.** The schematic depicts a model of MDD that is based on predisposing
3 genetic vulnerability that interacts with aversive and protective environmental factors
4 in the development of MDD. At least some of the environmental effects are mediated
5 through epigenetic mechanisms to produce the phenotype of MDD, which is
6 characterized by alterations on a molecular level, on a brain network level, and on a
7 behavioral level.
8

1 **Figure 5. Structural brain alterations in MDD.** Regional brain volumes as
2 determined by structural MRI have been investigated in patients with MDD compared
3 to healthy controls in numerous cross-sectional studies. Brain areas with smaller
4 volumes in MDD compared to healthy controls as confirmed in a meta-analysis
5 include the basal ganglia, the thalamus as well as the hippocampus and frontal
6 regions, typically with moderate effect sizes (left panel) and volume differences
7 between 3,5-15.5% (right panel) (based on Kempton et al. ⁹⁴). Smaller volumes in the
8 basal ganglia and the hippocampus were also found when comparing patients with
9 MDD and bipolar disorder (based on Kempton et al. ⁹⁴), suggesting some specificity
10 for these areas for depressive symptoms occurring in the context of unipolar MDD.
11 Finally, in an independent meta-analysis of structural MRI studies using voxel-based
12 morphometry, only smaller volumes in the hippocampus were specific to patients with
13 MDD when compared to other psychiatric disorders such as bipolar disorder (BPD),
14 schizophrenia (SCZ), anxiety disorders (ANX), obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)
15 and substance abuse. *Volume group differences, effect sizes and confidence
16 intervals of MDD compared to healthy controls taken from Kempton et al. ⁹⁴). ^a
17 Smaller volumes detected in MDD compared to patients with bipolar disorder. ^b
18 Smaller volumes detected in MDD compared to patients with other psychiatric
19 disorders (SCZ, BPD, substance abuse, OCD, ANX).
20

Figure 6. Treatment recommendations after a first antidepressant has failed.

In patients not responding to an initial treatment with an antidepressant, one or several of the following strategies should be used in parallel including reassessing the comorbid psychiatric and/or medical diagnoses, discussing potential problems with adherence and considering several additional treatment options. The latter can be added at all treatment levels. If still no response occurs, one out of three different pharmacological strategies are recommended: switching the antidepressant, combining two antidepressants, or augmenting the antidepressant with an atypical antipsychotic or lithium. If all of these strategies have failed, electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is recommended. In a next step, more experimental treatment options with less evidence can be considered such as pharmacological treatment with ketamine or stimulatory treatment with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation among other more experimental options (see text).

These are modified recommendations based on three different guidelines: the revised 2015 German national treatment guideline²⁴⁸ the revised 2015 British Association for Psychopharmacology guideline⁷⁸, and the 2010 practice guideline for the treatment of MDD by the American Psychiatric Association²⁴⁹. AD = antidepressant; SSRI = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI = serotonin and noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor.

References

- 1 Association, A. P. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®)*. (American Psychiatric Pub, 2013).
- 2 Seedat, S. *et al.* Cross-national associations between gender and mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **66**, 785-795, doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.36 (2009).
- 3 Bromet, E. *et al.* Cross-national epidemiology of DSM-IV major depressive episode. *BMC Med* **9**, 90, doi:10.1186/1741-7015-9-90 (2011).
- 4 Vos, T. *et al.* Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *The Lancet* **386**, 743-800 (2015).
- 5 Elдерон, L. & Whooley, M. A. Depression and cardiovascular disease. *Progress in cardiovascular diseases* **55**, 511-523 (2013).
- 6 Pourtois, G., Schettino, A. & Vuilleumier, P. Brain mechanisms for emotional influences on perception and attention: what is magic and what is not. *Biological psychology* **92**, 492-512, doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.02.007 (2013).
- 7 Chesney, E., Goodwin, G. M. & Fazel, S. Risks of all-cause and suicide mortality in mental disorders: a meta-review. *World Psychiatry* **13**, 153-160, doi:10.1002/wps.20128 (2014).
- 8 Geschwind, D. H. & Flint, J. Genetics and genomics of psychiatric disease. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **349**, 1489-1494 (2015).
- 9 Flint, J. & Kendler, K. S. The genetics of major depression. *Neuron* **81**, 484-503, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.027 (2014).
- 10 Heim, C. & Binder, E. B. Current research trends in early life stress and depression: review of human studies on sensitive periods, gene-environment interactions, and epigenetics. *Experimental neurology* **233**, 102-111, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2011.10.032 (2012).
- 11 Li, M., D'Arcy, C. & Meng, X. Maltreatment in childhood substantially increases the risk of adult depression and anxiety in prospective cohort studies: systematic review, meta-analysis, and proportional attributable fractions. *Psychol Med* **46**, 717-730, doi:10.1017/s0033291715002743 (2016).
- 12 Sharma, S., Powers, A., Bradley, B. & Ressler, K. J. Gene x Environment Determinants of Stress- and Anxiety-Related Disorders. *Annu Rev Psychol* **67**, 239-261, doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033408 (2016).
- 13 Klengel, T. & Binder, E. B. Epigenetics of stress-related psychiatric disorders and gene× environment interactions. *Neuron* **86**, 1343-1357 (2015).
- 14 Etkin, A., Büchel, C. & Gross, J. J. The neural bases of emotion regulation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **16**, 693-700, doi:10.1038/nrn4044 (2015).
- 15 Kupfer, D. J., Frank, E. & Phillips, M. L. Major depressive disorder: new clinical, neurobiological, and treatment perspectives. *The Lancet* **379**, 1045-1055 (2012).
- 16 Rush, A. J. *et al.* Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. *The American journal of psychiatry* **163**, 1905-1917, doi:10.1176/ajp.2006.163.11.1905 (2006).
- 17 Thase, M. E. *et al.* Cognitive therapy versus medication in augmentation and switch strategies as second-step treatments: a STAR*D report. *The American journal of psychiatry* **164**, 739-752, doi:10.1176/ajp.2007.164.5.739 (2007).

- 1 18 Andrade, L. *et al.* The epidemiology of major depressive episodes: results from the
2 International Consortium of Psychiatric Epidemiology (ICPE) Surveys. *Int J Methods*
3 *Psychiatr Res* **12**, 3-21 (2003).
- 4 19 Alonso, J. *et al.* Prevalence of mental disorders in Europe: results from the European
5 Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. *Acta Psychiatr*
6 *Scand Suppl*, 21-27, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0047.2004.00327.x (2004).
- 7 20 Patten, S. B. Accumulation of major depressive episodes over time in a prospective
8 study indicates that retrospectively assessed lifetime prevalence estimates are too low.
9 *BMC Psychiatry* **9**, 19, doi:10.1186/1471-244x-9-19 (2009).
- 10 21 Moffitt, T. E. *et al.* How common are common mental disorders? Evidence that
11 lifetime prevalence rates are doubled by prospective versus retrospective
12 ascertainment. *Psychol Med* **40**, 899-909, doi:10.1017/s0033291709991036 (2010).
- 13 22 Kessler, R. C. & Bromet, E. J. The epidemiology of depression across cultures. *Annu*
14 *Rev Public Health* **34**, 119-138, doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031912-114409
15 (2013).
- 16 23 Kendler, K. S. *et al.* The similarity of the structure of DSM-IV criteria for major
17 depression in depressed women from China, the United States and Europe. *Psychol*
18 *Med* **45**, 1945-1954, doi:10.1017/s0033291714003067 (2015).
- 19 24 Wang, P. S. *et al.* Use of mental health services for anxiety, mood, and substance
20 disorders in 17 countries in the WHO world mental health surveys. *Lancet* **370**, 841-
21 850, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(07)61414-7 (2007).
- 22 25 Ten Have, M., Nuyen, J., Beekman, A. & de Graaf, R. Common mental disorder
23 severity and its association with treatment contact and treatment intensity for mental
24 health problems. *Psychol Med* **43**, 2203-2213, doi:10.1017/s0033291713000135
25 (2013).
- 26 26 Eaton, W. W. *et al.* Natural history of Diagnostic Interview Schedule/DSM-IV major
27 depression. The Baltimore Epidemiologic Catchment Area follow-up. *Arch Gen*
28 *Psychiatry* **54**, 993-999 (1997).
- 29 27 Penninx, B. W. J. H. *et al.* Two-year course of depressive and anxiety disorders:
30 results from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA). *J Affect*
31 *Disord* **133**, 76-85, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2011.03.027 (2011).
- 32 28 de Graaf, R., ten Have, M., Tuithof, M. & van Dorsselaer, S. First-incidence of DSM-
33 IV mood, anxiety and substance use disorders and its determinants: results from the
34 Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study-2. *J Affect Disord* **149**, 100-
35 107, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2013.01.009 (2013).
- 36 29 Risch, N. *et al.* Interaction between the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTTLPR),
37 stressful life events, and risk of depression: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* **301**, 2462-2471,
38 doi:10.1001/jama.2009.878 (2009).
- 39 30 Teicher, M. H. & Samson, J. A. Childhood maltreatment and psychopathology: A case
40 for ecophenotypic variants as clinically and neurobiologically distinct subtypes. *Am J*
41 *Psychiatry* **170**, 1114-1133, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.12070957 (2013).
- 42 31 Hovens, J. G. F. M. *et al.* Impact of childhood life events and trauma on the course of
43 depressive and anxiety disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **126**, 198-207,
44 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.2011.01828.x (2012).
- 45 32 Hovens, J., Giltay, E., Spinhoven, P., van Hemert, A. & Penninx, B. Impact of
46 childhood life events and childhood trauma on the onset and recurrence of depressive
47 and anxiety disorders. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry* (2015).
- 48 33 Berk, M., Sarris, J., Coulson, C. E. & Jacka, F. N. Lifestyle management of unipolar
49 depression. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl*, 38-54, doi:10.1111/acps.12124 (2013).

- 1 34 van Gool, C. H. *et al.* Relationship between changes in depressive symptoms and
2 unhealthy lifestyles in late middle aged and older persons: results from the
3 Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. *Age Ageing* **32**, 81-87 (2003).
- 4 35 Taylor, G. *et al.* Change in mental health after smoking cessation: systematic review
5 and meta-analysis. *BMJ* **348**, g1151, doi:10.1136/bmj.g1151 (2014).
- 6 36 Spijker, J. *et al.* Duration of major depressive episodes in the general population:
7 results from The Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS).
8 *Br J Psychiatry* **181**, 208-213 (2002).
- 9 37 Keller, M. B. *et al.* Time to recovery, chronicity, and levels of psychopathology in
10 major depression. A 5-year prospective follow-up of 431 subjects. *Arch Gen*
11 *Psychiatry* **49**, 809-816 (1992).
- 12 38 Ustün, T. B. & Kessler, R. C. Global burden of depressive disorders: the issue of
13 duration. *Br J Psychiatry* **181**, 181-183 (2002).
- 14 39 Boschloo, L. *et al.* The four-year course of major depressive disorder: the role of
15 staging and risk factor determination. *Psychother Psychosom* **83**, 279-288,
16 doi:10.1159/000362563 (2014).
- 17 40 Wells, K. B., Burnam, M. A., Rogers, W., Hays, R. & Camp, P. The course of
18 depression in adult outpatients. Results from the Medical Outcomes Study. *Arch Gen*
19 *Psychiatry* **49**, 788-794 (1992).
- 20 41 Ormel, J., Oldehinkel, A. J., Nolen, W. A. & Vollebergh, W. Psychosocial disability
21 before, during, and after a major depressive episode: a 3-wave population-based study
22 of state, scar, and trait effects. *Archives of general psychiatry* **61**, 387-392,
23 doi:10.1001/archpsyc.61.4.387 (2004).
- 24 42 Vos, T. *et al.* The burden of major depression avoidable by longer-term treatment
25 strategies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **61**, 1097-1103, doi:10.1001/archpsyc.61.11.1097
26 (2004).
- 27 43 Penninx, B. W. J. H., Milaneschi, Y., Lamers, F. & Vogelzangs, N. Understanding the
28 somatic consequences of depression: biological mechanisms and the role of
29 depression symptom profile. *BMC Med* **11**, 129, doi:10.1186/1741-7015-11-129
30 (2013).
- 31 44 Cuijpers, P. *et al.* Comprehensive meta-analysis of excess mortality in depression in
32 the general community versus patients with specific illnesses. *Am J Psychiatry* **171**,
33 453-462, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.13030325 (2014).
- 34 45 Walker, E. R., McGee, R. E. & Druss, B. G. Mortality in mental disorders and global
35 disease burden implications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*
36 **72**, 334-341, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2502 (2015).
- 37 46 Lee, S. H. *et al.* Genetic relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated
38 from genome-wide SNPs. *Nature genetics* **45**, 984-994, doi:10.1038/ng.2711 (2013).
- 39 47 Identification of risk loci with shared effects on five major psychiatric disorders: a
40 genome-wide analysis. *Lancet* **381**, 1371-1379, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(12)62129-1
41 (2013).
- 42 48 Bosker, F. J. *et al.* Poor replication of candidate genes for major depressive disorder
43 using genome-wide association data. *Mol Psychiatry* **16**, 516-532,
44 doi:10.1038/mp.2010.38 (2011).
- 45 49 Ripke, S. *et al.* A mega-analysis of genome-wide association studies for major
46 depressive disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* **18**, 497-511, doi:10.1038/mp.2012.21 (2013).
- 47 50 Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* **511**, 421-
48 427, doi:10.1038/nature13595 (2014).
- 49 51 Hyman, S. Mental health: depression needs large human-genetics studies. *Nature* **515**,
50 189-191, doi:10.1038/515189a (2014).

- 1 52 Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major depressive disorder.
2 *Nature* **523**, 588-591, doi:10.1038/nature14659 (2015).
- 3 53 Kessler, R. C. The effects of stressful life events on depression. (1997).
- 4 54 Meaney, M. J. Maternal care, gene expression, and the transmission of individual
5 differences in stress reactivity across generations. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **24**, 1161-1192
6 (2001).
- 7 55 Entringer, S., Buss, C. & Wadhwa, P. D. Prenatal stress, development, health and
8 disease risk: A psychobiological perspective-2015 Curt Richter Award Paper.
9 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **62**, 366-375, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.08.019 (2015).
- 10 56 Stein, A. *et al.* Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *Lancet*
11 *(London, England)* **384**, 1800-1819, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(14)61277-0 (2014).
- 12 57 Klengel, T. *et al.* Allele-specific FKBP5 DNA demethylation mediates gene-
13 childhood trauma interactions. *Nature neuroscience* **16**, 33-41, doi:10.1038/nn.3275
14 (2013).
- 15 58 Anacker, C., Zunszain, P. A., Carvalho, L. A. & Pariante, C. M. The glucocorticoid
16 receptor: pivot of depression and of antidepressant treatment?
17 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **36**, 415-425, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2010.03.007 (2011).
- 18 59 McGowan, P. O. *et al.* Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human
19 brain associates with childhood abuse. *Nature neuroscience* **12**, 342-348,
20 doi:10.1038/nn.2270 (2009).
- 21 60 Klengel, T. & Binder, E. B. Epigenetics of Stress-Related Psychiatric Disorders and
22 Gene x Environment Interactions. *Neuron* **86**, 1343-1357,
23 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.036 (2015).
- 24 61 Holsboer, F. & Ising, M. Stress hormone regulation: biological role and translation
25 into therapy. *Annual review of psychology* **61**, 81-109 (2010).
- 26 62 Schatzberg, A. F. Anna-Monika Award Lecture, DGPPN Kongress, 2013: the role of
27 the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis in the pathogenesis of psychotic major
28 depression. *World J Biol Psychiatry* **16**, 2-11, doi:10.3109/15622975.2014.916414
29 (2015).
- 30 63 Heuser, I., Yassouridis, A. & Holsboer, F. The combined dexamethasone/CRH test: a
31 refined laboratory test for psychiatric disorders. *Journal of psychiatric research* **28**,
32 341-356 (1994).
- 33 64 Stetler, C. & Miller, G. E. Depression and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal activation: a
34 quantitative summary of four decades of research. *Psychosomatic medicine* **73**, 114-
35 126, doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e31820ad12b (2011).
- 36 65 Knorr, U., Vinberg, M., Kessing, L. V. & Wetterslev, J. Salivary cortisol in depressed
37 patients versus control persons: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
38 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **35**, 1275-1286, doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2010.04.001
39 (2010).
- 40 66 Hinkelmann, K. *et al.* Association between cortisol awakening response and memory
41 function in major depression. *Psychol Med* **43**, 2255-2263,
42 doi:10.1017/s0033291713000287 (2013).
- 43 67 Hinkelmann, K. *et al.* Cognitive impairment in major depression: association with
44 salivary cortisol. *Biological psychiatry* **66**, 879-885,
45 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.06.023 (2009).
- 46 68 Nelson, J. C. & Davis, J. M. DST studies in psychotic depression: a meta-analysis. *Am*
47 *J Psychiatry* **154**, 1497-1503 (1997).
- 48 69 Murri, M. B. *et al.* HPA axis and aging in depression: systematic review and meta-
49 analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **41**, 46-62 (2014).

- 1 70 Goodyer, I. M., Herbert, J., Tamplin, A. & Altham, P. M. Recent life events, cortisol,
2 dehydroepiandrosterone and the onset of major depression in high-risk adolescents.
3 *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science* **177**, 499-504 (2000).
- 4 71 Harris, T. *et al.* Morning cortisol as a risk factor for subsequent major depressive
5 disorder in adult women. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* **177**, 505-510 (2000).
- 6 72 Herbert, J. Cortisol and depression: three questions for psychiatry. *Psychol Med* **43**,
7 449-469, doi:10.1017/s0033291712000955 (2013).
- 8 73 Fardet, L., Petersen, I. & Nazareth, I. Suicidal behavior and severe neuropsychiatric
9 disorders following glucocorticoid therapy in primary care. *The American journal of*
10 *psychiatry* **169**, 491-497, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2011.11071009 (2012).
- 11 74 McKay, M. S. & Zakzanis, K. K. The impact of treatment on HPA axis activity in
12 unipolar major depression. *Journal of psychiatric research* **44**, 183-192,
13 doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2009.07.012 (2010).
- 14 75 Nemeroff, C. B. *et al.* Elevated concentrations of CSF corticotropin-releasing factor-
15 like immunoreactivity in depressed patients. *Science* **226**, 1342-1344 (1984).
- 16 76 Aubry, J. M. CRF system and mood disorders. *Journal of chemical neuroanatomy* **54**,
17 20-24, doi:10.1016/j.jchemneu.2013.09.003 (2013).
- 18 77 Jahn, H. *et al.* Metyrapone as additive treatment in major depression: a double-blind
19 and placebo-controlled trial. *Archives of general psychiatry* **61**, 1235-1244,
20 doi:10.1001/archpsyc.61.12.1235 (2004).
- 21 78 Cleare, A. *et al.* Evidence-based guidelines for treating depressive disorders with
22 antidepressants: A revision of the 2008 British Association for Psychopharmacology
23 guidelines. *J Psychopharmacol* **29**, 459-525, doi:10.1177/0269881115581093 (2015).
- 24 79 Otte, C. *et al.* Modulation of the mineralocorticoid receptor as add-on treatment in
25 depression: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled proof-of-concept study.
26 *Journal of psychiatric research* **44**, 339-346, doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2009.10.006
27 (2010).
- 28 80 Otte, C. *et al.* Mineralocorticoid receptor stimulation improves cognitive function and
29 decreases cortisol secretion in depressed patients and healthy individuals.
30 *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of*
31 *Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**, 386-393, doi:10.1038/npp.2014.181 (2015).
- 32 81 Benros, M. E. *et al.* Autoimmune diseases and severe infections as risk factors for
33 mood disorders: a nationwide study. *JAMA Psychiatry* **70**, 812-820,
34 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1111 (2013).
- 35 82 Myint, A. M., Schwarz, M. J., Steinbusch, H. W. & Leonard, B. E. Neuropsychiatric
36 disorders related to interferon and interleukins treatment. *Metabolic brain disease* **24**,
37 55-68, doi:10.1007/s11011-008-9114-5 (2009).
- 38 83 Dowlati, Y. *et al.* A Meta-Analysis of Cytokines in Major Depression. *Biological*
39 *psychiatry* **67**, 446-457 (2010).
- 40 84 Haapakoski, R., Mathieu, J., Ebmeier, K. P., Alenius, H. & Kivimaki, M. Cumulative
41 meta-analysis of interleukins 6 and 1beta, tumour necrosis factor alpha and C-reactive
42 protein in patients with major depressive disorder. *Brain, behavior, and immunity* **49**,
43 206-215, doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2015.06.001 (2015).
- 44 85 Jansen, R. *et al.* Gene expression in major depressive disorder. *Molecular psychiatry*,
45 doi:10.1038/mp.2015.57 (2015).
- 46 86 Khandaker, G. M., Pearson, R. M., Zammit, S., Lewis, G. & Jones, P. B. Association
47 of serum interleukin 6 and C-reactive protein in childhood with depression and
48 psychosis in young adult life: a population-based longitudinal study. *JAMA Psychiatry*
49 **71**, 1121-1128, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.1332 (2014).

- 1 87 Setiawan, E. *et al.* Role of translocator protein density, a marker of
2 neuroinflammation, in the brain during major depressive episodes. *JAMA Psychiatry*
3 **72**, 268-275, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2427 (2015).
- 4 88 Steiner, J. *et al.* Immunological aspects in the neurobiology of suicide: elevated
5 microglial density in schizophrenia and depression is associated with suicide. *Journal*
6 *of psychiatric research* **42**, 151-157, doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2006.10.013 (2008).
- 7 89 Köhler, O. *et al.* Effect of anti-inflammatory treatment on depression, depressive
8 symptoms, and adverse effects: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized
9 clinical trials. *JAMA Psychiatry* **71**, 1381-1391,
10 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.1611 (2014).
- 11 90 Molendijk, M. L. *et al.* Serum BDNF concentrations as peripheral manifestations of
12 depression: evidence from a systematic review and meta-analyses on 179 associations
13 (N=9484). *Mol Psychiatry* **19**, 791-800, doi:10.1038/mp.2013.105 (2014).
- 14 91 Egeland, M., Zunszain, P. A. & Pariante, C. M. Molecular mechanisms in the
15 regulation of adult neurogenesis during stress. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **16**, 189-200,
16 doi:10.1038/nrn3855 (2015).
- 17 92 Belmaker, R. H. Bipolar Disorder. *New England Journal of Medicine* **351**, 476-486,
18 doi:doi:10.1056/NEJMra035354 (2004).
- 19 93 Wong, M. L. & Licinio, J. Research and treatment approaches to depression. *Nat Rev*
20 *Neurosci* **2**, 343-351, doi:10.1038/35072566 (2001).
- 21 94 Kempton, M. J. *et al.* Structural neuroimaging studies in major depressive disorder.
22 Meta-analysis and comparison with bipolar disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **68**, 675-
23 690, doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.60 (2011).
- 24 95 Schmaal, L. *et al.* Subcortical brain alterations in major depressive disorder: findings
25 from the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder working group.
26 doi:10.1038/mp.2015.69 (2015).
- 27 96 Schmaal, L. *et al.* Cortical abnormalities in adults and adolescents with major
28 depression based on brain scans from 20 cohorts worldwide in the ENIGMA Major
29 Depressive Disorder Working Group. *Mol Psychiatry*, doi:10.1038/mp.2016.60
30 (2016).
- 31 97 Goodkind, M. *et al.* Identification of a common neurobiological substrate for mental
32 illness. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 305-315, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2206 (2015).
- 33 98 Cole, J., Costafreda, S. G., McGuffin, P. & Fu, C. H. Hippocampal atrophy in first
34 episode depression: a meta-analysis of magnetic resonance imaging studies. *J Affect*
35 *Disord* **134**, 483-487, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2011.05.057 (2011).
- 36 99 Price, J. L. & Drevets, W. C. Neurocircuitry of mood disorders.
37 *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of*
38 *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**, 192-216, doi:10.1038/npp.2009.104 (2010).
- 39 100 Hamilton, J. P., Chen, M. C. & Gotlib, I. H. Neural systems approaches to
40 understanding major depressive disorder: an intrinsic functional organization
41 perspective. *Neurobiology of disease* **52**, 4-11, doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2012.01.015 (2013).
- 42 101 Hamilton, J. P. *et al.* Functional neuroimaging of major depressive disorder: a meta-
43 analysis and new integration of base line activation and neural response data. *Am J*
44 *Psychiatry* **169**, 693-703, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.11071105 (2012).
- 45 102 Gabbay, V. *et al.* Striatum-based circuitry of adolescent depression and anhedonia.
46 *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* **52**, 628-641
47 e613, doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2013.04.003 (2013).
- 48 103 Satterthwaite, T. D. *et al.* Common and Dissociable Dysfunction of the Reward
49 System in Bipolar and Unipolar Depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official*
50 *publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**, 2258-2268,
51 doi:10.1038/npp.2015.75 (2015).

- 1 104 Pizzagalli, D. A. Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated
2 model. *Annual review of clinical psychology* **10**, 393-423, doi:10.1146/annurev-
3 clinpsy-050212-185606 (2014).
- 4 105 Pizzagalli, D. A. *et al.* Reduced caudate and nucleus accumbens response to rewards
5 in unmedicated individuals with major depressive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* **166**, 702-
6 710, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08081201 (2009).
- 7 106 Treadway, M. T. & Zald, D. H. Reconsidering anhedonia in depression: lessons from
8 translational neuroscience. *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews* **35**, 537-555,
9 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.06.006 (2011).
- 10 107 Grimm, S. *et al.* Altered negative BOLD responses in the default-mode network
11 during emotion processing in depressed subjects. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official*
12 *publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology* **34**, 932-943,
13 doi:10.1038/npp.2008.81 (2009).
- 14 108 Sheline, Y. I. *et al.* The default mode network and self-referential processes in
15 depression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
16 *America* **106**, 1942-1947, doi:10.1073/pnas.0812686106 (2009).
- 17 109 Raichle, M. E. The restless brain. *Brain connectivity* **1**, 3-12,
18 doi:10.1089/brain.2011.0019 (2011).
- 19 110 Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R. & Schacter, D. L. The brain's default network:
20 anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. *Annals of the New York Academy of*
21 *Science* **1124**, 1-38. (2008).
- 22 111 Hamilton, J. P. *et al.* Default-mode and task-positive network activity in major
23 depressive disorder: implications for adaptive and maladaptive rumination. *Biological*
24 *psychiatry* **70**, 327-333, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.02.003 (2011).
- 25 112 Greicius, M. D. *et al.* Resting-state functional connectivity in major depression:
26 abnormally increased contributions from subgenual cingulate cortex and thalamus.
27 *Biological psychiatry* **62**, 429-437, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.09.020 (2007).
- 28 113 Sambataro, F., Wolf, N. D., Pennuto, M., Vasic, N. & Wolf, R. C. Revisiting default
29 mode network function in major depression: evidence for disrupted subsystem
30 connectivity. *Psychological medicine* **44**, 2041-2051,
31 doi:10.1017/S0033291713002596 (2014).
- 32 114 Dutta, A., McKie, S. & Deakin, J. F. Resting state networks in major depressive
33 disorder. *Psychiatry research* **224**, 139-151, doi:10.1016/j.psychresns.2014.10.003
34 (2014).
- 35 115 Berman, M. G. *et al.* Depression, rumination and the default network. *Social cognitive*
36 *and affective neuroscience* **6**, 548-555, doi:10.1093/scan/nsq080 (2011).
- 37 116 Cooney, R. E., Joormann, J., Eugene, F., Dennis, E. L. & Gotlib, I. H. Neural
38 correlates of rumination in depression. *Cognitive, affective & behavioral neuroscience*
39 **10**, 470-478, doi:10.3758/CABN.10.4.470 (2010).
- 40 117 Whitfield-Gabrieli, S. & Ford, J. M. Default mode network activity and connectivity
41 in psychopathology. *Annual review of clinical psychology* **8**, 49-76,
42 doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032511-143049 (2012).
- 43 118 Cole, M. W. *et al.* Multi-task connectivity reveals flexible hubs for adaptive task
44 control. *Nature neuroscience* **16**, 1348-1355, doi:10.1038/nn.3470 (2013).
- 45 119 Kaiser, R. H., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Wager, T. D. & Pizzagalli, D. A. Large-Scale
46 Network Dysfunction in Major Depressive Disorder: A Meta-analysis of Resting-State
47 Functional Connectivity. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 603-611,
48 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0071 (2015).
- 49 120 Keller, J., Schatzberg, A. F. & Maj, M. Current issues in the classification of
50 psychotic major depression. *Schizophrenia bulletin* **33**, 877-885,
51 doi:10.1093/schbul/sbm065 (2007).

- 1 121 Insel, T. R. The NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) Project: precision medicine
2 for psychiatry. *Am J Psychiatry* **171**, 395-397, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14020138
3 (2014).
- 4 122 Reynolds, C. F. & Frank, E. US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation
5 Statement on Screening for Depression in Adults: Not Good Enough. *JAMA*
6 *Psychiatry* **73**, 189-190, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.3281 (2016).
- 7 123 Reorganized text. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* **141**, 428,
8 doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2015.0540 (2015).
- 9 124 Thombs, B. D., Ziegelstein, R. C., Roseman, M., Kloda, L. A. & Ioannidis, J. P. A.
10 There are no randomized controlled trials that support the United States Preventive
11 Services Task Force Guideline on screening for depression in primary care: a
12 systematic review. *BMC Med* **12**, 13, doi:10.1186/1741-7015-12-13 (2014).
- 13 125 O'Connor, E. *et al.* in *Screening for Depression in Adults: An Updated Systematic*
14 *Evidence Review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force* (Agency for
15 Healthcare Research and Quality (US), 2016).
- 16 126 van Zoonen, K. *et al.* Preventing the onset of major depressive disorder: a meta-
17 analytic review of psychological interventions. *International journal of epidemiology*
18 **43**, 318-329, doi:10.1093/ije/dyt175 (2014).
- 19 127 Cuijpers, P., van Straten, A., Andersson, G. & van Oppen, P. Psychotherapy for
20 depression in adults: a meta-analysis of comparative outcome studies. *Journal of*
21 *consulting and clinical psychology* **76**, 909-922, doi:10.1037/a0013075 (2008).
- 22 128 Cuijpers, P. *et al.* A meta-analysis of cognitive-behavioural therapy for adult
23 depression, alone and in comparison with other treatments. *Canadian journal of*
24 *psychiatry. Revue canadienne de psychiatrie* **58**, 376-385 (2013).
- 25 129 Linde, K. *et al.* Comparative effectiveness of psychological treatments for depressive
26 disorders in primary care: network meta-analysis. *BMC family practice* **16**, 103,
27 doi:10.1186/s12875-015-0314-x (2015).
- 28 130 Luborsky, L., Singer, B. & Luborsky, L. Comparative studies of psychotherapies: Is it
29 true that "everyone has won and all must have prizes"? *Archives of General*
30 *Psychiatry* **32**, 995-1008 (1975).
- 31 131 Martin, D. J., Garske, J. P. & Davis, M. K. Relation of the therapeutic alliance with
32 outcome and other variables: a meta-analytic review. *Journal of consulting and*
33 *clinical psychology* **68**, 438-450 (2000).
- 34 132 Kim, D. M., Wampold, B. E. & Bolt, D. M. Therapist effects in psychotherapy: A
35 random-effects modeling of the National Institute of Mental Health Treatment of
36 Depression Collaborative Research Program data. *Psychother Res* **16**, 161-172,
37 doi:10.1080/10503300500264911 (2006).
- 38 133 DeRubeis, R. J., Brotman, M. A. & Gibbons, C. J. A conceptual and methodological
39 analysis of the nonspecifics argument. *Clin Psychol-Sci Pr* **12**, 174-183,
40 doi:10.1093/clipsy/bpi022 (2005).
- 41 134 Cuijpers, P. Are all psychotherapies equally effective in the treatment of adult
42 depression? The lack of statistical power of comparative outcome studies. *Evidence-*
43 *based mental health*, doi:10.1136/eb-2016-102341 (2016).
- 44 135 Sotsky, S. M. *et al.* Patient predictors of response to psychotherapy and
45 pharmacotherapy: Findings in the NIMH Treatment of Depression Collaborative
46 Research Program. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **148**, 997-1008 (1991).
- 47 136 Dimidjian, S. *et al.* Randomized trial of behavioral activation, cognitive therapy, and
48 antidepressant medication in the acute treatment of adults with major depression.
49 *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology* **74**, 658-670 (2006).
- 50 137 Amick, H. R. *et al.* Comparative benefits and harms of second generation
51 antidepressants and cognitive behavioral therapies in initial treatment of major

- 1 depressive disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*
- 2 **351**, h6019, doi:10.1136/bmj.h6019 (2015).
- 3 138 Weitz, E. S. *et al.* Baseline Depression Severity as Moderator of Depression
- 4 Outcomes Between Cognitive Behavioral Therapy vs Pharmacotherapy: An Individual
- 5 Patient Data Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.1516
- 6 (2015).
- 7 139 Hollon, S. D. *et al.* Prevention of relapse following cognitive therapy vs medications
- 8 in moderate to severe depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **62**, 417-422 (2005).
- 9 140 Mohr, D. C. *et al.* Perceived barriers to psychological treatments and their relationship
- 10 to depression. *J Clin Psychol* **66**, 394-409, doi:10.1002/jclp.20659 (2010).
- 11 141 Mohr, D. C. *et al.* Barriers to psychotherapy among depressed and nondepressed
- 12 primary care patients. *Ann Behav Med* **32**, 254-258 (2006).
- 13 142 Mohr, D. C., Vella, L., Hart, S., Heckman, T. & Simon, G. The effect of telephone-
- 14 administered psychotherapy on symptoms of depression and attrition: A meta-
- 15 analysis. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* **15**, 243-253 (2008).
- 16 143 Mohr, D. C. *et al.* Effect of telephone-administered vs face-to-face cognitive
- 17 behavioral therapy on adherence to therapy and depression outcomes among primary
- 18 care patients: a randomized trial. *JAMA* **307**, 2278-2285, doi:10.1001/jama.2012.5588
- 19 (2012).
- 20 144 National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health. Depression: the NICE Guideline on
- 21 the Treatment and Management of Depression in Adults: Updated Edition. (British
- 22 Psychological Society and Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2010).
- 23 145 Huntley, A. L., Araya, R. & Salisbury, C. Group psychological therapies for
- 24 depression in the community: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*
- 25 **200**, 184-190, doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.111.092049 (2012).
- 26 146 Mohr, D. C., Burns, M. N., Schueller, S. M., Clarke, G. & Klinkman, M. Behavioral
- 27 Intervention Technologies: evidence review and recommendations for future research
- 28 in mental health. *General hospital psychiatry* **35**, 332-338,
- 29 doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2013.03.008 (2013).
- 30 147 Richards, D. & Richardson, T. Computer-based psychological treatments for
- 31 depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* **32**, 329-342,
- 32 doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2012.02.004 (2012).
- 33 148 Ebert, D. D. *et al.* Internet and computer-based cognitive behavioral therapy for
- 34 anxiety and depression in youth: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled outcome
- 35 trials. *PLoS One* **10**, e0119895, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119895 (2015).
- 36 149 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Computerised cognitive
- 37 behaviour therapy for depression and anxiety. (National Institute for Health and
- 38 Clinical Excellence (NICE), London, 2006, Feb).
- 39 150 Titov, N. *et al.* MindSpot Clinic: An Accessible, Efficient, and Effective Online
- 40 Treatment Service for Anxiety and Depression. *Psychiatr Serv* **66**, 1043-1050,
- 41 doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201400477 (2015).
- 42 151 Mohr, D. C. *et al.* Trials of Intervention Principles: Evaluation Methods for Evolving
- 43 Behavioral Intervention Technologies. *J Med Internet Res* **17**, e166,
- 44 doi:10.2196/jmir.4391 (2015).
- 45 152 Saeb, S. *et al.* Mobile Phone Sensor Correlates of Depressive Symptom Severity in
- 46 Daily-Life Behavior: An Exploratory Study. *J Med Internet Res* **17**, e175,
- 47 doi:10.2196/jmir.4273 (2015).
- 48 153 Burns, M. N. *et al.* Harnessing context sensing to develop a mobile intervention for
- 49 depression. *J Med Internet Res* **13**, e55, doi:v13i3e55 [pii]
- 50 10.2196/jmir.1838 (2011).
- 51 154 Insel, T. in *Director's Blog* Vol. 2015 (National Institute of Mental Health, 2015).

- 1 155 Hyman, S. E. & Nestler, E. J. Initiation and adaptation: a paradigm for understanding
2 psychotropic drug action. *Am J Psychiatry* **153**, 151-162, doi:10.1176/ajp.153.2.151
3 (1996).
- 4 156 Ignácio, Z. M. *et al.* New perspectives on the involvement of mTOR in depression as
5 well as in the action of antidepressant drugs. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*,
6 doi:10.1111/bcp.12845 (2015).
- 7 157 Sharp, T. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of antidepressant action. *Curr Top*
8 *Behav Neurosci* **14**, 309-325, doi:10.1007/7854_2012_216 (2013).
- 9 158 Hill, A. S., Sahay, A. & Hen, R. Increasing Adult Hippocampal Neurogenesis is
10 Sufficient to Reduce Anxiety and Depression-Like Behaviors.
11 *Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**, 2368-2378, doi:10.1038/npp.2015.85 (2015).
- 12 159 Zohar, J. *et al.* A review of the current nomenclature for psychotropic agents and an
13 introduction to the Neuroscience-based Nomenclature. *European*
14 *neuropsychopharmacology : the journal of the European College of*
15 *Neuropsychopharmacology* **25**, 2318-2325, doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.08.019
16 (2015).
- 17 160 Heim, C. *et al.* The role of early adverse experience and adulthood stress in the
18 prediction of neuroendocrine stress reactivity in women: a multiple regression
19 analysis. *Depress Anxiety* **15**, 117-125 (2002).
- 20 161 Cipriani, A. *et al.* Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 12 new-generation
21 antidepressants: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. *Lancet* **373**, 746-758,
22 doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(09)60046-5 (2009).
- 23 162 Cassano, P. & Fava, M. Tolerability issues during long-term treatment with
24 antidepressants. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* **16**, 15-25 (2004).
- 25 163 Ratti, E. *et al.* Full central neurokinin-1 receptor blockade is required for efficacy in
26 depression: evidence from orvepitant clinical studies. *J Psychopharmacol* **27**, 424-
27 434, doi:10.1177/0269881113480990 (2013).
- 28 164 Sanacora, G., Zarate, C. A., Krystal, J. H. & Manji, H. K. Targeting the glutamatergic
29 system to develop novel, improved therapeutics for mood disorders. *Nat Rev Drug*
30 *Discov* **7**, 426-437, doi:10.1038/nrd2462 (2008).
- 31 165 Noto, C. *et al.* Targeting the inflammatory pathway as a therapeutic tool for major
32 depression. *Neuroimmunomodulation* **21**, 131-139, doi:10.1159/000356549 (2014).
- 33 166 Ehrich, E. *et al.* Evaluation of opioid modulation in major depressive disorder.
34 *Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**, 1448-1455, doi:10.1038/npp.2014.330 (2015).
- 35 167 Fava, M. *et al.* A Phase 1B, randomized, double blind, placebo controlled, multiple-
36 dose escalation study of NSI-189 phosphate, a neurogenic compound, in depressed
37 patients. *Mol Psychiatry*, doi:10.1038/mp.2015.178 (2015).
- 38 168 Gallagher, P. *et al.* WITHDRAWN: Antigluco-corticoid treatments for mood disorders.
39 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **6**, CD005168, doi:10.1002/14651858.CD005168.pub3
40 (2015).
- 41 169 Cuijpers, P., van Straten, A., Warmerdam, L. & Andersson, G. Psychotherapy versus
42 the combination of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy in the treatment of
43 depression: a meta-analysis. *Depress Anxiety* **26**, 279-288, doi:10.1002/da.20519
44 (2009).
- 45 170 Cuijpers, P., Dekker, J., Hollon, S. D. & Andersson, G. Adding psychotherapy to
46 pharmacotherapy in the treatment of depressive disorders in adults: a meta-analysis. *J*
47 *Clin Psychiatry* **70**, 1219-1229, doi:10.4088/JCP.09r05021 (2009).
- 48 171 Schatzberg, A. F. *et al.* Chronic depression: medication (nefazodone) or
49 psychotherapy (CBASP) is effective when the other is not. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **62**,
50 513-520, doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.5.513 (2005).

- 1 172 Fava, M. & Davidson, K. G. Definition and epidemiology of treatment-resistant
2 depression. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* **19**, 179-200 (1996).
- 3 173 Berlim, M. T. & Turecki, G. Definition, assessment, and staging of treatment-resistant
4 refractory major depression: a review of current concepts and methods. *Can J*
5 *Psychiatry* **52**, 46-54 (2007).
- 6 174 Gibson, T. B. *et al.* Cost burden of treatment resistance in patients with depression.
7 *Am J Manag Care* **16**, 370-377 (2010).
- 8 175 De Carlo, V., Calati, R. & Serretti, A. Socio-demographic and clinical predictors of
9 non-response/non-remission in treatment resistant depressed patients: A systematic
10 review. *Psychiatry research* **240**, 421-430, doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2016.04.034
11 (2016).
- 12 176 Fava, M. *et al.* Double-blind study of high-dose fluoxetine versus lithium or
13 desipramine augmentation of fluoxetine in partial responders and nonresponders to
14 fluoxetine. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* **22**, 379-387 (2002).
- 15 177 Jakubovski, E., Varigonda, A. L., Freemantle, N., Taylor, M. J. & Bloch, M. H.
16 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis: Dose-Response Relationship of Selective
17 Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors in Major Depressive Disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* **173**,
18 174-183, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15030331 (2016).
- 19 178 Hieronymus, F., Nilsson, S. & Eriksson, E. A mega-analysis of fixed-dose trials
20 reveals dose-dependency and a rapid onset of action for the antidepressant effect of
21 three selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Translational psychiatry* **6**, e834,
22 doi:10.1038/tp.2016.104 (2016).
- 23 179 Zhou, X. *et al.* Comparative efficacy, acceptability, and tolerability of augmentation
24 agents in treatment-resistant depression: systematic review and network meta-analysis.
25 *J Clin Psychiatry* **76**, e487-498, doi:10.4088/JCP.14r09204 (2015).
- 26 180 Zhou, X. *et al.* Atypical Antipsychotic Augmentation for Treatment-Resistant
27 Depression: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. *Int J*
28 *Neuropsychopharmacol* **18**, pyv060, doi:10.1093/ijnp/pyv060 (2015).
- 29 181 Trivedi, R. B., Nieuwsma, J. A., Williams, J. W., Jr. & Baker, D. in *Evidence*
30 *Synthesis for Determining the Efficacy of Psychotherapy for Treatment Resistant*
31 *Depression* (Department of Veterans Affairs (US), 2009).
- 32 182 Wiles, N. *et al.* Cognitive behavioural therapy as an adjunct to pharmacotherapy for
33 primary care based patients with treatment resistant depression: results of the CoBaLT
34 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **381**, 375-384, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(12)61552-
35 9 (2013).
- 36 183 Wiles, N. J. *et al.* Long-term effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of cognitive
37 behavioural therapy as an adjunct to pharmacotherapy for treatment-resistant
38 depression in primary care: follow-up of the CoBaLT randomised controlled trial. *The*
39 *lancet. Psychiatry* **3**, 137-144, doi:10.1016/s2215-0366(15)00495-2 (2016).
- 40 184 Negt, P. *et al.* The treatment of chronic depression with cognitive behavioral analysis
41 system of psychotherapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized-
42 controlled clinical trials. *Brain Behav*, e00486, doi:10.1002/brb3.486 (2016).
- 43 185 Efficacy and safety of electroconvulsive therapy in depressive disorders: a systematic
44 review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* **361**, 799-808 (2003).
- 45 186 Spaans, H.-P., Kho, K. H., Verwijk, E., Kok, R. M. & Stek, M. L. Efficacy of
46 ultrabrief pulse electroconvulsive therapy for depression: a systematic review. *J Affect*
47 *Disord* **150**, 720-726, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2013.05.072 (2013).
- 48 187 Gaynes, B. N. *et al.* Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-
49 resistant depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry* **75**, 477-
50 489; quiz 489, doi:10.4088/JCP.13r08815 (2014).

- 1 188 Ren, J. *et al.* Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus electroconvulsive
2 therapy for major depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Progress in*
3 *Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* **51**, 181-189 (2014).
- 4 189 Bersani, F. S. *et al.* Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation as a treatment for
5 psychiatric disorders: a comprehensive review. *Eur Psychiatry* **28**, 30-39,
6 doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2012.02.006 (2013).
- 7 190 Cretaz, E., Brunoni, A. R. & Lafer, B. Magnetic Seizure Therapy for Unipolar and
8 Bipolar Depression: A Systematic Review. *Neural Plast* **2015**, 521398,
9 doi:10.1155/2015/521398 (2015).
- 10 191 Priori, A., Hallett, M. & Rothwell, J. C. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation
11 or transcranial direct current stimulation? *Brain Stimulation* **2**, 241-245 (2009).
- 12 192 Meron, D., Hedger, N., Garner, M. & Baldwin, D. S. Transcranial direct current
13 stimulation (tDCS) in the treatment of depression: Systematic review and meta-
14 analysis of efficacy and tolerability. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **57**, 46-62,
15 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.07.012 (2015).
- 16 193 Rohan, M. L. *et al.* Rapid mood-elevating effects of low field magnetic stimulation in
17 depression. *Biol Psychiatry* **76**, 186-193, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.10.024 (2014).
- 18 194 Rizvi, S. J. *et al.* Neurostimulation therapies for treatment resistant depression: a focus
19 on vagus nerve stimulation and deep brain stimulation. *Int Rev Psychiatry* **23**, 424-
20 436, doi:10.3109/09540261.2011.630993 (2011).
- 21 195 Fitzgerald, P. B. Non-pharmacological biological treatment approaches to difficult-to-
22 treat depression. *Med J Aust* **199**, S48-51 (2013).
- 23 196 Coyle, C. M. & Laws, K. R. The use of ketamine as an antidepressant: a systematic
24 review and meta-analysis. *Hum Psychopharmacol* **30**, 152-163, doi:10.1002/hup.2475
25 (2015).
- 26 197 Singh, J. B. *et al.* Intravenous Esketamine in Adult Treatment-Resistant Depression: A
27 Double-Blind, Double-Randomization, Placebo-Controlled Study. *Biol Psychiatry*,
28 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.10.018 (2015).
- 29 198 Iadarola, N. D. *et al.* Ketamine and other N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists in
30 the treatment of depression: a perspective review. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis* **6**, 97-114,
31 doi:10.1177/2040622315579059 (2015).
- 32 199 Papakostas, G. I., Mischoulon, D., Shyu, I., Alpert, J. E. & Fava, M. S-adenosyl
33 methionine (SAME) augmentation of serotonin reuptake inhibitors for antidepressant
34 nonresponders with major depressive disorder: a double-blind, randomized clinical
35 trial. *Am J Psychiatry* **167**, 942-948, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09081198 (2010).
- 36 200 Papakostas, G. I. *et al.* L-methylfolate as adjunctive therapy for SSRI-resistant major
37 depression: results of two randomized, double-blind, parallel-sequential trials. *Am J*
38 *Psychiatry* **169**, 1267-1274, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.11071114 (2012).
- 39 201 Gertsik, L., Poland, R. E., Bresee, C. & Rapaport, M. H. Omega-3 fatty acid
40 augmentation of citalopram treatment for patients with major depressive disorder. *J*
41 *Clin Psychopharmacol* **32**, 61-64, doi:10.1097/JCP.0b013e31823f3b5f (2012).
- 42 202 Drevets, W. C., Zarate, C. A. & Furey, M. L. Antidepressant effects of the muscarinic
43 cholinergic receptor antagonist scopolamine: a review. *Biol Psychiatry* **73**, 1156-1163,
44 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.09.031 (2013).
- 45 203 Fava, M. *et al.* Opioid modulation with ALKS 5461 as adjunctive treatment for
46 inadequate responders to antidepressants: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-
47 controlled trial. *Am J Psychiatry* (2016).
- 48 204 Kessler, R. C. *et al.* Prevalence and effects of mood disorders on work performance in
49 a nationally representative sample of U.S. workers. *Am J Psychiatry* **163**, 1561-1568,
50 doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.163.9.1561 (2006).

- 1 205 Lorant, V. *et al.* Socioeconomic inequalities in depression: a meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* **157**, 98-112 (2003).
- 2
- 3 206 Peyrot, W. J. *et al.* The association between lower educational attainment and depression owing to shared genetic effects? Results in ~25,000 subjects. *Mol Psychiatry* **20**, 735-743, doi:10.1038/mp.2015.50 (2015).
- 4
- 5
- 6 207 Rock, P. L., Roiser, J. P., Riedel, W. J. & Blackwell, A. D. Cognitive impairment in depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological medicine* **44**, 2029-2040, doi:10.1017/s0033291713002535 (2014).
- 7
- 8
- 9 208 Peckham, A. D., McHugh, R. K. & Otto, M. W. A meta-analysis of the magnitude of biased attention in depression. *Depress Anxiety* **27**, 1135-1142, doi:10.1002/da.20755 (2010).
- 10
- 11
- 12 209 Lee, R. S., Hermens, D. F., Porter, M. A. & Redoblado-Hodge, M. A. A meta-analysis of cognitive deficits in first-episode Major Depressive Disorder. *J Affect Disord* **140**, 113-124, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2011.10.023 (2012).
- 13
- 14
- 15 210 Bora, E., Harrison, B. J., Yucel, M. & Pantelis, C. Cognitive impairment in euthymic major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* **43**, 2017-2026, doi:10.1017/s0033291712002085 (2013).
- 16
- 17
- 18 211 McDermott, L. M. & Ebmeier, K. P. A meta-analysis of depression severity and cognitive function. *J Affect Disord* **119**, 1-8, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2009.04.022 (2009).
- 19
- 20 212 Zaninotto, L. *et al.* Cognitive markers of psychotic unipolar depression: a meta-analytic study. *J Affect Disord* **174**, 580-588, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2014.11.027 (2015).
- 21
- 22 213 Evans, V. C., Iverson, G. L., Yatham, L. N. & Lam, R. W. The relationship between neurocognitive and psychosocial functioning in major depressive disorder: a systematic review. *J Clin Psychiatry* **75**, 1359-1370, doi:10.4088/JCP.13r08939 (2014).
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26 214 Rosenblat, J. D., Kakar, R. & McIntyre, R. S. The Cognitive Effects of Antidepressants in Major Depressive Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*, doi:10.1093/ijnp/pyv082 (2015).
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30 215 Turecki, G. & Brent, D. A. Suicide and suicidal behaviour. *Lancet* **387**, 1227-1239, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(15)00234-2 (2016).
- 31
- 32 216 Meerwijk, E. L. *et al.* Direct versus indirect psychosocial and behavioural interventions to prevent suicide and suicide attempts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The lancet. Psychiatry*, doi:10.1016/s2215-0366(16)00064-x (2016).
- 33
- 34
- 35 217 Pirkis, J. *et al.* Interventions to reduce suicides at suicide hotspots: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The lancet. Psychiatry* **2**, 994-1001, doi:10.1016/s2215-0366(15)00266-7 (2015).
- 36
- 37
- 38 218 Sharma, T., Guski, L. S., Freund, N. & Gotzsche, P. C. Suicidality and aggression during antidepressant treatment: systematic review and meta-analyses based on clinical study reports. *Bmj* **352**, i65, doi:10.1136/bmj.i65 (2016).
- 39
- 40
- 41 219 Braun, C., Bschor, T., Franklin, J. & Baethge, C. Suicides and Suicide Attempts during Long-Term Treatment with Antidepressants: A Meta-Analysis of 29 Placebo-Controlled Studies Including 6,934 Patients with Major Depressive Disorder. *Psychother Psychosom* **85**, 171-179, doi:10.1159/000442293 (2016).
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45 220 Stone, M. *et al.* Risk of suicidality in clinical trials of antidepressants in adults: analysis of proprietary data submitted to US Food and Drug Administration. *Bmj* **339**, b2880, doi:10.1136/bmj.b2880 (2009).
- 46
- 47
- 48 221 Friedman, R. A. & Leon, A. C. Expanding the black box - depression, antidepressants, and the risk of suicide. *N Engl J Med* **356**, 2343-2346, doi:10.1056/NEJMp078015 (2007).
- 49
- 50

- 222 Friedman, R. A. Antidepressants' black-box warning--10 years later. *The New England journal of medicine* **371**, 1666-1668, doi:10.1056/NEJMp1408480 (2014).
- 223 Taylor, W. D., Aizenstein, H. J. & Alexopoulos, G. S. The vascular depression hypothesis: mechanisms linking vascular disease with depression. *Molecular psychiatry* **18**, 963-974, doi:10.1038/mp.2013.20 (2013).
- 224 Jokela, M., Hamer, M., Singh-Manoux, A., Batty, G. D. & Kivimäki, M. Association of metabolically healthy obesity with depressive symptoms: pooled analysis of eight studies. *Molecular psychiatry* **19**, 910-914, doi:10.1038/mp.2013.162 (2014).
- 225 Vogelzangs, N. *et al.* Metabolic depression: a chronic depressive subtype? Findings from the InCHIANTI study of older persons. *J Clin Psychiatry* **72**, 598-604, doi:10.4088/JCP.10m06559 (2011).
- 226 Miller, A. H. & Raison, C. L. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. *Nat Rev Immunol* **16**, 22-34, doi:10.1038/nri.2015.5 (2015).
- 227 Nemeroff, C. B. *et al.* Differential responses to psychotherapy versus pharmacotherapy in patients with chronic forms of major depression and childhood trauma. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **100**, 14293-14296, doi:10.1073/pnas.2336126100 (2003).
- 228 McGrath, C. L. *et al.* Toward a neuroimaging treatment selection biomarker for major depressive disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry* **70**, 821-829, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.143 (2013).
- 229 Uher, R. *et al.* An inflammatory biomarker as a differential predictor of outcome of depression treatment with escitalopram and nortriptyline. *Am J Psychiatry* **171**, 1278-1286, doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14010094 (2014).
- 230 Raison, C. L. *et al.* A randomized controlled trial of the tumor necrosis factor antagonist infliximab for treatment-resistant depression: the role of baseline inflammatory biomarkers. *JAMA Psychiatry* **70**, 31-41, doi:10.1001/2013.jamapsychiatry.4 (2013).
- 231 Arnow, B. A. *et al.* Depression Subtypes in Predicting Antidepressant Response: A Report From the iSPOT-D Trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **172**, 743-750, doi:doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.14020181 (2015).
- 232 Insel, T. R. & Cuthbert, B. N. Medicine. Brain disorders? Precisely. *Science* **348**, 499-500, doi:10.1126/science.aab2358 (2015).
- 233 Weinberger, D. R., Glick, I. D. & Klein, D. F. Whither Research Domain Criteria (RDoC)? The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 1161-1162, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.1743 (2015).
- 234 Patel, V. *et al.* Addressing the burden of mental, neurological, and substance use disorders: key messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd edition. *Lancet* **387**, 1672-1685, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(15)00390-6 (2016).
- 235 Gureje, O., Kola, L. & Afolabi, E. Epidemiology of major depressive disorder in elderly Nigerians in the Ibadan Study of Ageing: a community-based survey. *Lancet* **370**, 957-964, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(07)61446-9 (2007).
- 236 in *Update of the Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP) Guidelines for Mental, Neurological and Substance Use Disorders, 2015* (World Health Organization
- (c) World Health Organization 2015., 2015).
- 237 Nestler, E. J. & Hyman, S. E. Animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nature neuroscience* **13**, 1161-1169, doi:10.1038/nn.2647 (2010).
- 238 Wohleb, E. S., Franklin, T., Iwata, M. & Duman, R. S. Integrating neuroimmune systems in the neurobiology of depression. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience*, doi:10.1038/nrn.2016.69 (2016).

- 1 239 Hodes, G. E., Kana, V., Menard, C., Merad, M. & Russo, S. J. Neuroimmune
2 mechanisms of depression. *Nature neuroscience* **18**, 1386-1393, doi:10.1038/nn.4113
3 (2015).
- 4 240 Holsboer, F. & Ising, M. Stress hormone regulation: biological role and translation
5 into therapy. *Annu Rev Psychol* **61**, 81-109, C101-111,
6 doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100321 (2010).
- 7 241 Sun, H., Kennedy, P. J. & Nestler, E. J. Epigenetics of the depressed brain: role of
8 histone acetylation and methylation. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **38**, 124-137,
9 doi:10.1038/npp.2012.73 (2013).
- 10 242 Gaiteri, C., Ding, Y., French, B., Tseng, G. C. & Sibille, E. Beyond modules and
11 hubs: the potential of gene coexpression networks for investigating molecular
12 mechanisms of complex brain disorders. *Genes, brain, and behavior* **13**, 13-24,
13 doi:10.1111/gbb.12106 (2014).
- 14 243 Cryan, J. F. & Dinan, T. G. Mind-altering microorganisms: the impact of the gut
15 microbiota on brain and behaviour. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience* **13**, 701-712,
16 doi:10.1038/nrn3346 (2012).
- 17 244 Duman, R. S. & Aghajanian, G. K. Synaptic dysfunction in depression: potential
18 therapeutic targets. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **338**, 68-72,
19 doi:10.1126/science.1222939 (2012).
- 20 245 Chattarji, S., Tomar, A., Suvrathan, A., Ghosh, S. & Rahman, M. M. Neighborhood
21 matters: divergent patterns of stress-induced plasticity across the brain. *Nature*
22 *neuroscience* **18**, 1364-1375, doi:10.1038/nn.4115 (2015).
- 23 246 King, M. *et al.* Development and validation of an international risk prediction
24 algorithm for episodes of major depression in general practice attendees: the PredictD
25 study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **65**, 1368-1376, doi:10.1001/archpsyc.65.12.1368 (2008).
- 26 247 Whooley, M. A. & Wong, J. M. Depression and cardiovascular disorders. *Annu Rev*
27 *Clin Psychol* **9**, 327-354, doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185526 (2013).
- 28 248 DGPPN, B. K., KBV, AWMF, AkdÄ, BPtK, BApK, DAGSHG, DEGAM, DGPM,
29 DGPs, DGRW (Hrsg.) für die Leitliniengruppe Unipolare Depression. S3-
30 *Leitlinie/Nationale VersorgungsLeitlinie Unipolare Depression – Langfassung*
31 *Available from: <http://www.depression.versorgungsleitlinien.de>; 2015).*
- 32 249 Gelenberg, A. J. *et al.* PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR THE Treatment of Patients
33 With Major Depressive Disorder Third Edition. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*
34 **167**, 1 (2010).
- 35

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Central nervous system

Figure 4

Figure 5

Data for Fig 5: Structural brain alterations in MDD			
Brain volumes ¹	Effect size*	95% CI (upper; lower)*	Average volume difference to healthy controls*
Caudate ^a	0.22	0.30; 0.06	-3,5%
Putamen ^a	0.25	0.43; 0.06	-4.1%
Globus Pallidus ^a	0.31	0.61; 0.02	-4.5%
Thalamus	0.34	0.60; 0.07	-6.7%
Hippocampus ^{a,p}	0.47	0.62; 0.32	-5.5%
Frontal lobe	0.29	0.53; 0.05	-3.8%
Orbitofrontal cortex	0.38	0.64; 0.11	-7.5%
Gyrus rectus	0.72	1.03; 0.41	-15.5%

DRAFT FIGURE 5

F
i
g
u
r
e

6