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Abstract

**Background and Purpose:** Glabridin is a major flavonoid in *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (licorice) root, a traditional Asian medicine. Glabridin is reported to have anti-atherogenic, anti-inflammatory and anti-nephritic properties; however its effects on vascular tone remain unexplored.

**Experimental approach:** We examined the effect of glabridin on rat main mesenteric artery using isometric myography and also ELISA to measure cGMP levels.

**Key results:** Glabridin (30μM) relaxed arteries pre-constricted with the thromboxane A2 analog U46619 (0.2μM) by ~60% in an endothelium-independent manner. Relaxation to 30μM glabridin was abolished by the guanylate cyclase inhibitor 1H-[1,2,4]oxadiazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (1μM) and by the BKCa channel blocker tetraethylammonium (1mM) but was unaffected by the estrogen receptor antagonist ICI182780. The concentration-response curve to glabridin (0.1 to 30μM) was downshifted by the KATP channel blocker glibenclamide (10μM), the KV channel blocker 4-minopyridine (300μM), and the KIR blocker BaCl2 (30 μM). In U46619-contracted arteries partially relaxed by 0.1 μM sodium nitroprusside, application of 10 and 30nM glabridin caused additional vasorelaxation. Glabridin (30μM) approximately doubled tissue [cyclic GMP]. Application of the phosphodiesterase inhibitor isobutylmethylxanthine caused a much larger rise in [cyclic GMP], and glabridin failed to cause vasorelaxation or a further rise in [cGMP] when co-applied with IBMX.

**Conclusions and implications:** Vasorelaxation to glabridin is dependent on the opening of K+ channels, particularly BKCa, probably caused by a rise in cellular [cyclic GMP] owing to phosphodiesterase inhibition. In the presence of sodium nitroprusside an effect of glabridin is observed at nM concentrations, similar those measured in plasma following human ingestion of licorice flavonoid oil.
1. Introduction

The isoflavone glabridin is an important constituent of licorice root (Glycyrrhiza glabra), from which it was first isolated in 1976 [1]. Preparations containing Glycyrrhiza glabra have been used by Indian and Chinese medicine for centuries as a treatment for many diseases, including ulcers, bronchitis and bacterial infections, and glabridin has anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory and skin-whitening properties, providing a rationale for its inclusion in many cosmetic preparations. Moreover, Glavonoid® a standardised polyphenol extract of licorice root containing 3% glabridin, is being marketed as a food supplement and anti-obesity agent, and is added to yoghurt and fruit juices in many Western countries.

As described in a recent review by Simmler and colleagues [2], a burgeoning literature comprising studies in vitro and in animals in vivo has shown that glabridin has anti-inflammatory, anti-atherogenic, estrogenic, neuroprotective, and anti-osteoporetic actions. It is however unclear to what extent these effects contribute to the putative beneficial actions of licorice-derived preparations because most studies have employed micromolar concentrations of glabridin, whereas its likely plasma concentration range in humans following ingestion of licorice-derived products is likely to be in the low nanomolar range [2]. An exception to this, however, is the glabridin-induced activation of estrogen receptors in vascular smooth muscle, which has been observed to occur at concentrations as low as 10nM [3].

In view of the widespread and long-standing use of preparations containing Glycyrrhiza glabra and the increasing use of glabridin-enriched extracts as food supplements and ingredients, it is noteworthy that very little is known about its acute effects on the vasculature. Moreover, Tominaga et al. (2009) have demonstrated that ingestion of glabridin for 8 weeks significantly reduced BMI and visceral fat in human volunteers [4], implying that glabridin might be useful as a treatment for obesity. Considering that obesity is very common in those with hypertension and coronary heart disease, it seems likely that the ingestion of glabridin will increase in such patients, in which case it is important that potential effects of this agent on the cardiovascular system be understood. We therefore examined the effect of glabridin in rat main mesenteric artery using a small vessel isometric myograph, and report here for the first time that glabridin acts as a cGMP-dependent vasodilator.

2. Methods

2.1 Animal welfare and ethical statement

This study conforms with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85–23, revised 1996) and is in accordance with UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986, Amendment Regulations (SI 2012/3039). Male Wistar rats (200–300g; 6–8 weeks old) were killed by lethal injection (i.p.) of sodium thiopental.

2.2 Mounting of mesenteric arteries and measurement of tension development

Intestines were excised and the main mesenteric artery and its primary branches were removed, placed in physiological salt solution (PSS), containing in mM: NaCl 118; NaHCO₃ 24; KCl 4; CaCl₂ 1.8; MgSO₄ 1; NaH₂PO₄ 0.43; and glucose 5.56, and the main mesenteric artery was freed of surrounding adipose tissue, cut into rings and mounted on a conventional small vessel wire myograph (Danish Myo Technology, Aarhus, Denmark). Tension was recorded at a frequency of 1Hz. Mesenteric artery rings were stretched to give a basal tension of 2-3 mN, and were equilibrated with three brief exposures to PSS containing 80mmol/L KCl (80KPSS; isotonic replacement of NaCl by KCl). 0.2μM U46619 was then applied to give a near maximal contraction, and endothelial integrity was confirmed by recording vasorelaxation to 10μM carbachol. Following washout, arteries were again constricted, with either 0.2μM U46619 or 10 μM norepinephrine (NE). When the tension had reached a maximum, the vasorelaxing effect of glabridin was recorded as described in the Results. Where appropriate, the endothelium was removed by rubbing the vascular lumen with a human hair, and its
functional absence was confirmed by the absence of carbachol-induced relaxation. All drugs used in these studies were sourced from Sigma-Aldrich, UK).

In order to study the concentration-dependency of glabridin-induced vasorelaxation, the contraction to U46619 (or NE, when the effect of glibenclamide was being studied) was allowed to reach its maximum, and then ascending concentrations of glabridin, (0.1 - 30µM), were applied cumulatively at 15 minute intervals, with the effect of glabridin being recorded at the end of each interval. Because the contractions to U46619 and NE demonstrated some intrinsic rundown, each arterial ring in which glabridin was studied was paired with an arterial segment from the same animal in which the amplitude of the contraction to U46619 or NE was recorded in the absence of glabridin at the same times at which contraction was measured in the presence of glabridin (i.e. a time control). The percent relaxation caused by glabridin was then calculated as 100 x ((1-A)-(1-B))/(1-A), where A was the percent relaxation recorded at a given time in the time control segment and B was the percent relaxation observed at the same time in the paired segment treated with glabridin.

2.3 cyclic GMP measurements
Intracellular cyclic GMP (cGMP) in rat mesenteric arteries was measured as previously described [5] with minor modifications. Endothelium-intact arterial segments [approx. 5 mg each (wet weight)] were equilibrated in PSS for 30 minutes at 37°C under continuous carbogen bubbling and were then exposed to U-46619 (200nM; 10 minutes), glabridin (30µM; 20 minutes), U46199 (200nM) followed by glabridin (30µM; 20 minutes), IBMX (200µM; 30 minutes) and IBMX (200µM; 10 minutes) followed by glabridin (30µM; 20 minutes). DMSO (3 µl; 10 minutes) was added as a vehicle control to a further group of tissues. Tissues were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after treatment. The frozen tissues were pulverized in a pre-chilled (with liquid nitrogen) pulverizer. The tissue pellet was suspended in chilled 5% trichloro acetic acid (200µl) and vortexed vigorously and then centrifuged at 5000g for 10 minutes at 8°C. Supernatant thus obtained was extracted five times with water-saturated diethyl ether and was then used for cGMP assay, using an ELISA kit (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Measurements were made in 3 aliquots from each tissue, and resulting values were averaged to produce each data point used in subsequent calculations. Tissue pellets obtained after centrifugation were dissolved in 0.5N NaOH for protein estimation by the BCA method and the concentration of cGMP was expressed as picomoles/mg protein.

2.4 Data analysis and statistical procedures
Results were generally analyzed using Student’s unpaired or paired t-test, as appropriate, with p<0.05 taken to indicate statistical significance. Results are shown as mean ± SEM. The effects of antagonists on the glabridin concentration-response relationship shown in Figure 4 were analysed using repeated measures ANOVA with a Bonferroni post hoc test. GraphPad Prism version 7 was used for statistical analysis and preparation of figures.

3. Results

Initial experiments revealed that 30µM glabridin caused a rapid and robust relaxation of mesenteric arteries constricted with 0.2mM U46619. Figure 1A illustrates an example of the vasorelaxing effect of 30 µM glabridin in endothelium-intact mesenteric arteries (note the endothelium-dependent relaxation of the U46619 contracture to 10µM acetylcholine; ACh). Similar responses to glabridin were observed in endothelium-intact mesenteric arteries which had been pre-treated with 300µM L-NAME and 10 µM indomethacin to prevent the synthesis of nitric oxide (NO) and prostacyclin (PGL2) respectively (Figure 1B) and also in the absence of endothelium (Figure 1C). These data indicated that the endothelium played no role in mediating glabridin-induced relaxation.

Figure 2 presents evidence that relaxation induced by 30µM glabridin was strongly dependent on the opening of large-conductance Ca2+ activated K+ (BKCa) channels. As shown in Figure 2A, glabridin caused no relaxation of U46619-constricted arteries in PSS in which the [K+] had been raised to 15
mM in order suppress any hyperpolarization (and resulting relaxation) associated with K$^+$ channel opening. Glabridin-induced relaxation was also strongly inhibited in the presence of the BK$_{Ca}$ channel blocker tetraethylammonium (TEA; 1mM) (Figure 2B). Conversely, neither the Kv channel antagonist 4-aminopyridine (4-AP; 300 μM) nor the K$_{IR}$ channel blocker BaCl$_2$ (30μM) had any significant effect on the response to glabridin (Figures 2C and D, respectively). The K$_{ATP}$ channel blocker glibenclamide was used in order to determine whether these channels were involved in relaxation induced by 30μM glabridin. Because glibenclamide blocks contractions induced by U46619 [6], NE (10μM) was used to elicit pre-constriction in these experiments. As shown in Figure 2E and 2F, glabridin-induced relaxation of the norepinephrine contraction, which was similar to that observed when U46619 was used to cause preconstriction, was unaffected by glibenclamide.

Figure 1: Lack of involvement of the endothelium in glabridin-induced vasorelaxation. Representative traces illustrating the relaxation by 30μM glabridin of mesenteric arteries preconstricted with 0.2 μM U46619. A) endothelium-intact artery, B) endothelium-intact artery pretreated with 300μM L-NAME and 10μM indomethacin, C) endothelium-denuded artery.
Figure 2: Effects of 15mM K⁺ and K⁺ channel antagonists on glabridin-induced vasorelaxation. Representative traces illustrating the effect of 30 µM glabridin on the U46619-induced contraction in the presence of (A) PSS containing 15 mM K⁺, (B) 1 mM TEA, (C) 300 µM 4-AP, and (D) 30 µM Ba²⁺. E) Representative traces showing the effect of 30µM glabridin on the norepinephrine -induced contraction in the absence (left) and presence (right) of 10µM glibenclamide (F).

Figure 3 presents the mean effects of endothelial denudation, the combination of L-NAME and indomethacin, 15 mM K⁺ PSS, and the K⁺ channel blockers on the vasorelaxation measured 10 minutes after the application of 30 µM glabridin.

Figure 3: Mean effect of 30µM glabridin under control conditions and following interventions designed to block endothelium-dependent or K⁺ channel-mediated vasorelaxation. Mean ± SEM percent relaxation induced by 30 µM glabridin under the various conditions illustrated by the traces in Figures 1 and 2. Asterisks indicate that the effect of glabridin under a particular condition
was significantly (p < 0.05) different than that recorded under control conditions (i.e. endothelium-intact mesenteric arteries pre-constricted with 0.2 μM U46619). Each bar represents the results of 6-11 experiments.

Although the results shown in Figures 2 and 3 indicate that BK_{Ca} channels play an overriding role in the response to 30 μM glabridin, they do not rule out the possibility that other K⁺ channels might be involved in the vasorelaxation caused by lower glabridin concentrations. We therefore examined the concentration-dependency of glabridin-mediated vasorelaxation in the presence and absence of the K⁺ channel blockers TEA, 4-AP, Ba²⁺ and glibenclamide. We similarly examined the effect of 300μM L-NAME and 10μM indomethacin on the glabridin concentration-response relationship.

As shown in Figure 4, whereas the combination of L-NAME and indomethacin had no significant effect on the glabridin concentration-response curve, 4-AP, Ba²⁺ (Figure 4A) and glibenclamide (Figure 4B) appeared to generally suppress vasorelaxation at lower glabridin concentrations. This attenuation of the response to glabridin was not significant for individual concentrations of any of these three blockers with the exception of the effect of glibenclamide on the response to 10μM glabridin. However the effect on the entire concentration-response curve for each of these blockers, as assessed using repeated measures ANOVA, was highly significant. TEA, which had almost abolished the response to 30 μM glabridin, had a similar effect over the entire range of concentrations tested (Figure 4A).

Although ≤ 1μM glabridin often appeared to cause a concentration-dependent vasorelaxation, this effect was not statistically significant. This may have been because its effect at these low concentrations was slow and therefore difficult to unambiguously distinguish from the gradual intrinsic decay of the U46619 contraction. In order to determine whether the possible effect of 1μM glabridin on tension was real, we therefore used a pre-treatment protocol, as illustrated in Figure 5. In these experiments,

**Figure 4: Effects of blockers on the concentration-response curve for glabridin vasorelaxation.**

(A) Mean ± SEM percent relaxation of the contraction to 0.2mM U46619 induced by 0.1 - 30 μM glabridin under control conditions and in the presence of 300μM L-NAME and 10μM indomethacin, 1 mM TEA, 300 μM 4-AP, and 30 μM Ba²⁺. (B) Mean ± SEM percent relaxation of the contraction to 10μM NE induced by 0.1 - 30 μM glabridin under control conditions and in the presence of 10μM glibenclamide. For both panels, * to the right of the point at 30μM glabridin indicate a significant inhibition of the response to glabridin over the range of concentrations examined, whereas # adjacent to a point on the curve indicates a significant effect of the blocker at that concentration of glabridin. Vasorelaxation was corrected for intrinsic time-dependent rundown of the contractile response as described in the Methods. Each
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glabridin was applied at the peak of the U46619 contraction. 30 minutes later, U46619 and glabridin were washed out of the bath, and 1 μM glabridin was immediately re-applied. Following a further 30 minute incubation in glabridin, U46619 was again applied, and the peak contraction was recorded. As shown in Figure 5, the second U46619 contraction was smaller the first. In five similar experiments, the amplitude of the U46619 contraction fell by 27.0 ± 6.8% in the presence of glabridin (from 12.7 ± 1.4 to 9.4 ± 1.4mN, p<0.05 by paired T-test).

![Figure 5: Effect of pretreatment with 1μM glabridin on the amplitude of the U46619 contraction. Representative trace illustrating the effect of 60 minute pretreatment with 1 μM glabridin on the contraction evoked by 0.2 μM U46619.](image)

Cyclic nucleotides are known to open $\text{BK}_{\text{Ca}}$ channels present in vascular smooth muscle. As shown in Figures 6A and 6E, the guanylate cyclase inhibitor 1H-[1,2,4]oxadiazolo[4,3-a]quinoxalin-1-one (ODQ; 1μM) abolished the effect of 30μM glabridin. The efficacy of this concentration of ODQ against guanylate cyclase was evidenced by its ability to ablate vasorelaxation elicited by the NO donor SNP (Figure 6B, E). On the other hand, the protein kinase A antagonist KT-5720 (1μM, Figure 5C) and the estrogen receptor blocker ICI 182780 (10 μM; Figure 6D, E) had no effect on the relaxation to 30μM glabridin. Further evidence that glabridin was not acting via estrogen receptors is presented in Figure 6F. Whereas treatment of tissues with the selective estrogen receptor modulator raloxifene (10 μM) attenuated the contraction induced by depolarization with 80mM KPSS (49.5), glabridin (30 μM) did not affect the response to high K+ (2.4± 3.3% decrease, n=4, ns vs control, p<0.05 vs effect of raloxifene).
Figure 6: Effects of ODQ, KT5720 and ICI 182 780 on vasorelaxation of the contraction to 200 nM U46619 by 30 µM glabridin. A) Representative trace showing the effect of glabridin in the presence of 1 mM ODQ. B) Representative traces showing the effect of SNP in the absence (black line) and presence (grey line) of 1 µM ODQ. Representative traces showing the effect of glabridin in the presence of KT5720 (C; 1 µM) and ICI 182 780 (D; 10 µM). E) Average relaxation of the U46619 contraction by glabridin in the absence of another drug, and in the presence of KT5720, ODQ, and ICI 182 780. Numbers of experiments used to calculate the results are shown over the bars, and asterisks represent a significant difference vs the control relaxation. (F) Representative traces depicting the effect on the contraction evoked by 80KPSS of pre-incubation of arteries with 10 µM raloxifene (top) or 30 µM glabridin (bottom).
The results described above were consistent with the possibility that glabridin was causing vasorelaxation via a cGMP-dependent activation of $K^+$ channels. In this case, it would be predicted that glabridin should increase the cellular concentration of cGMP. As illustrated in Figure 7A, a 15 minute treatment with 30 μM glabridin caused a significant increase in the cyclic GMP content of segments of mesenteric artery, which was similar in the presence and absence of 200 nM U46619, which itself had no effect on the cGMP content.

Figure 7: Effects of 30 μM glabridin on cGMP levels in rat mesenteric artery segments in the presence and absence of U46619. * indicates a significant effect of glabridin on [cGMP] compared to the corresponding control. Numbers of experiments (i.e. measurements in individual artery segments) used to calculate the results are shown over the bars, and asterisks represent a significant difference vs the control relaxation.

The two most straightforward possible explanations for the ability of glabridin to raise the cGMP content of the arteries were that it was either stimulating guanylate cyclase or inhibiting phosphodiesterase (PDE). In this case, we reasoned that if glabridin was acting as a PDE inhibitor it would exert no effects on vascular tone or tissue cGMP if PDE was already maximally inhibited. Conversely, if glabridin was acting by stimulating guanylate cyclise, its effects on vascular tone and [cGMP] should persist (and might even be potentiated) if it was applied when PDE was already blocked. We therefore examined the effects of glabridin in the presence of a high concentration (200 μM) of the non-selective cGMP PDE inhibitor isobutylmethylxanthine (IBMX).

Figure 8A shows that treatment with 100 μM IBMX was enough to virtually abolish the U46619 contraction to 200 nM U46619. However, even in the presence of a somewhat higher concentration of IBMX (200μM), it was possible to evoke a contraction which was of sufficient amplitude to be measured accurately if a very high concentration of U46619 (30μM) was used (Figure 8B). This residual contraction was unaffected by the application of 30 μM glabridin (Figure 8B). On the other hand, subsequent application of the nitric oxide donor SNP (300nM), which would be expected to stimulate guanylate cyclase, caused a marked vasodilation. These results are summarised in Figure 8C. Figure 8D illustrates the effect of 200 μM IBMX and the combination of 200 μM IBMX and 30 μM glabridin on the cGMP content of segments of mesenteric artery. IBMX caused the expected large rise in [cGMP], but glabridin exerted no further effect.
Figure 8: Effect of IBMX on glabridin-induced responses. A) Representative trace showing the effect of IBMX on the contraction induced by 200 nM U46619. B) Trace illustrating the effect of sequential addition of 30 µM glabridin and 100 nM SNP on the contraction evoked by 30 µM U46619 in the presence of 200 µM IBMX. C) Average vasorelaxation elicited by 30 µM glabridin when applied in the presence of 200 nM U46619 or 200 µM IBMX and 200 nM U46619, and vasorelaxation to 300 nM SNP when applied in the presence of 200 nM U46619 or 200 µM IBMX and 200 nM U46619. * indicates a significant block of the response to glabridin or SNP in the presence of IBMX. D) Effects of 200 µM IBMX on cGMP levels in rat mesenteric artery segments in the absence and presence of 30 µM glabridin. * indicates a significant increase in cGMP content compared to control. In panels C and D, numbers of experiments (i.e. measurements from individual arterial segments) used to calculate the results are shown over the bars.

In light of the possibility that glabridin might be acting as a PDE inhibitor, we reasoned that the vasorelaxing effects of low concentrations of glabridin might be potentiated if cGMP levels were first elevated by the NO donor sodium nitroprusside (SNP). We therefore applied 100 nM SNP at the peak of the U46619 contraction to evoke an immediate relaxation of 30-40%, and then applied 10 and 30 nM glabridin. Tension levels in the presence of glabridin were compared to tension during the same period in control tissues in which only SNP was added. Results from 7 tissues treated with glabridin were normalized and averaged, and are illustrated in Figure 9A. It is evident that whereas the level of tension tended to recover slightly in the presence of SNP only, tension fell in a stepwise manner after application of 10 and 30 nM glabridin. Figures 9B and 9C depict individual experiments in which glabridin was either applied or not applied after SNP. Figure 9D represents the mean relaxation observed when the effects of SNP or SNP + glabridin had stabilized (left), or during the same time intervals in arteries to which only SNP had been added (right). Figure 9E shows the mean increase in
relaxation between these time periods in the presence and absence of glabridin. Glabridin relaxed the arteries significantly at both 10 and 30 nM, whereas no significant time-dependent relaxation was observed with SNP alone; moreover this difference was significant. These data indicate that glabridin exerts a small but measurable effect on mechanisms controlling vascular tone at concentrations which are relevant to the use of this drug as a possible therapeutic agent [7].
Figure 9: Glabridin (10 and 30 nM) caused further relaxation of the contraction to 200 nM U46619 in the presence of 0.1 μM SNP. (A) Arteries were contracted with 200 nM U46619 and then 0.1 μM SNP was applied to cause a partial relaxation. Subsequent application of 10 and then 30 nM glabridin caused further relaxation. The trace represents averaged data points from seven individual experiments. * indicates a significant fall in the average tension level during the final 120 sec of the periods during which 10 and 30 nM glabridin were present, compared to the final 120 sec of the period just prior to glabridin application, and # indicates a significant difference between the average tension recorded during these intervals in the presence of 10 and 30 nM glabridin. (B) Representative trace from one of the seven experiments from which the averaged data in panel A is derived. (C) Representative trace of a time control experiment, in which SNP but not glabridin was applied during the response to U46619. (D) The white bars represent the mean ± SEM % relaxation observed in the presence of SNP alone, and in SNP following the application of 10nM and then 30nM glabridin, calculated using the average tension recorded 350-600, 1200-1800 and 2200-2800 after the application of SNP, respectively. The black bars represent the % relaxation recorded in five experiments over the same time intervals after the application of SNP alone. (F) The white bars show the mean ± SEM change in % relaxation induced by 10nM and 30nM glabridin compared to SNP alone. The black bars show the mean ± SEM change in % relaxation which occurred during the corresponding time intervals when SNP but not glabridin was present. * indicates a significant difference between the % relaxation in the presence and absence of 10 and 30 nM glabridin.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

Glabridin has been shown to have several effects which could be beneficial for the cardiovascular system, although the extent to which these contribute to the putative therapeutic actions of licorice root is unclear. Notably, in vitro and in vivo studies show that glabridin inhibits low density lipoprotein oxidation and atherogenesis [8], possibly by inhibiting NADPH oxidase [9] or by increasing the expression of antioxidant enzymes in macrophages [10]. Somjen et al., (2004) also showed that 30 nM glabridin caused DNA synthesis and a stimulation of creatine kinase activity in an endothelial cell line (ECV-304) and cultured uterine artery smooth muscle cells [3]. Both effects were blocked by the estrogen receptor antagonist raloxifene. Moreover, glabridin has been shown to reduce adiposity in obese rodents, an action which may result from an inhibition of mitochondrial ATP synthesis with a consequent stimulation of AMP kinase [11].

Our observations indicate that glabridin evokes vasorelaxation by opening K⁺ channels, an effect which would be predicted to cause vascular smooth muscle cell hyperpolarization, resulting in the closure of voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels and, as a consequence, a fall in [Ca²⁺]. This would explain the abolition of the response to glabridin in PSS containing 15 mM K⁺, at which concentration smooth muscle hyperpolarization beyond -50 mV should be prevented [12]. The virtual abolition of the glabridin-induced relaxation by TEA, which is relatively selective for BKCa channels at the concentration we employed (1mM) [13], indicates a predominant role for this type of K⁺ channel. However, relaxation to lower concentrations of glabridin was also attenuated by blockers of voltage-gated (Kv), inwardly rectifying (Kir) and ATP-sensitive (KATP) K⁺ channels, suggesting that the opening of these channels was reinforcing BKCa-induced hyperpolarization.

Relaxation was blocked by the guanylate cyclase inhibitor ODQ, indicating that glabridin-induced relaxation was likely occurring via cGMP. This possibility was supported by the finding that glabridin caused an approximately two-fold increase in the cGMP content of arterial segments. There is abundant evidence that raising VSMC cGMP levels results in the activation of K⁺ channels. A link between the activation of the cGMP/G kinase pathway and the opening of BKCa channels has been well characterized [14,15], and there is also evidence, albeit less well documented, that this second messenger system can activate Kv (16, 17), Kir [17] and KATP [17-19] channels in vascular smooth muscle.
Glabridin might increase [cGMP] either by promoting its synthesis or by inhibiting its breakdown, e.g. by acting as a PDE inhibitor. In the former case, it would be predicted that inhibition of PDE would not prevent, or would enhance, glabridin’s actions, whereas in the latter one might expect that glabridin would have no effect. We observed that following treatment of mesenteric arteries with 200 \( \mu \)M IBMX, glabridin was no longer able to cause relaxation. Moreover, the combination of glabridin and IBMX caused an increase in tissue [cGMP] which was similar to that induced by IBMX alone. This concentration of IBMX would be expected to fully block PDE5 [20], the main PDE involved in metabolizing cGMP in the vasculature [21]. These data therefore are consistent with the possibility that glabridin is acting as a PDE inhibitor.

A recent comprehensive review of the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of glabridin [2] has highlighted the fact that glabridin’s antioxidant properties, which are thought to be responsible for many of its other biological effects, have been observed in studies employing micromolar concentrations, whereas its plasma concentrations are likely to be closer to 10 nanomolar. On the other hand, concentrations of glabridin in the nanomolar range stimulated estrogen receptors [3], leading Simmler et al. to suggest that, of all the documented effects of glabridin, its estrogenic properties were most worthy of further study [2]. As stimulation of estrogen receptors has been shown to cause acute vascular relaxation via a mechanism which is dependent on cyclic GMP and BK Ca channels [22-25], we examined whether the vasorelaxation to glabridin was blocked by the estrogen receptor antagonist ICI 182 780, and found that it was not. However the lack of effect of ICI 182780 did not provide definitive evidence against a role for estrogen receptors, because although this drug was observed to block 17\( \beta \)-estradiol -induced vasorelaxation in some arteries [19,26], it was reported to have no effect on the relaxation to either 17\( \beta \)-estradiol or the selective estrogen receptor modulator raloxifene in rat superior mesenteric arteries [25]. Chan and colleagues similarly showed that dilation by raloxifene of third-order rat mesenteric arteries was insensitive to ICI 182780, and that this effect of raloxifene was almost completely suppressed by L-NAME [27]. However, we saw no effect of L-NAME on the response to glabridin. More importantly, raloxifene was shown by Tsang et al. (2004) to relax depolarization-induced contractions in rat cerebral arteries [28], and whereas we similarly found that raloxifene markedly attenuated the contraction evoked by 80KPSS in rat mesenteric arteries, glabridin had no effect on the high K+ contraction. On balance, therefore, the results with ICI 182780 and raloxifene imply strongly that glabridin was not causing vasorelaxation by stimulating estrogen receptors.

Glabridin concentrations in the plasma following ingestion of licorice root-containing preparations are not known. Aoki et al., (2007) estimated steady state plasma glabridin concentrations were between 0.6 and 2.4 ng/ml (2-7 nM) in healthy human subjects who ingested 1200mg of licorice flavonoid oil (LFO; contained ~ 12 mg of glabridin) daily for several weeks, a dose which was apparently tolerated with no ill effects [7]. Tominaga et al., 2009 used a similar dose (900mg daily) to show in a randomized trial that LFO caused a significant reduction in BMI and visceral fat [4]. Although we did not attempt to examine the effect of glabridin applied on its own at concentrations below 0.1\( \mu \)M, its application at concentrations of 10 and 30 nM caused further vasorelaxation when added in the presence of 100nM SNP. It therefore seems that glabridin is able to exert measurable acute effects on vascular tone at plasma concentrations close to those which could occur in individuals ingesting glabridin-enriched foods or supplements.

In conclusion, our results indicate that glabridin induced a vasorelaxation of rat mesenteric arteries which was associated with the opening of K+ channels and a concomitant rise in tissue cGMP levels. Glabridin was not able to cause vasodilation, or a further rise in cGMP, in the presence of the non-selective PDE inhibitor IBMX, consistent with the possibility that it was acting as a PDE inhibitor. In the presence of SNP, a measurable vasorelaxing effect of glabridin was observed at nanomolar concentrations, implying that glabridin may be able to influence vascular tone at plasma concentrations which might be attained following its consumption, particularly in the presence of another drug which has raised cGMP levels. Verification of the relevance of these findings to the use of glabridin as a food supplement or and aid to weight loss [4] must, however, await future studies in humans.
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6. Figure legends

Figure 1: Lack of involvement of the endothelium in glabridin-induced vasorelaxation. Representative traces illustrating the relaxation by 30μM glabridin of mesenteric
arteries preconstricted with 0.2 μM U46619. A) endothelium-intact artery, B) endothelium-denuded artery, C) endothelium-intact artery pretreated with 300μM L-NAME and 10μM indomethacin.

Figure 2: Effects of 15mM K⁺ and K⁺ channel antagonists on glabridin-induced vasorelaxation. Representative traces illustrating the effect of 30 μM glabridin on the U46619-induced contraction in the presence of (A) PSS containing 15 mM K⁺, (B) 1 mM TEA, (C) 300 μM 4-AP, and (D) 30 μM Ba²⁺. E) Representative traces showing the effect of 30μM glabridin on the norepinephrine -induced contraction in the absence (left) and presence (right) of 10μM glibenclamide.

Figure 3: Mean effect of 30μM glabridin under control conditions and following interventions designed to block endothelium–dependent or K⁺ channel-mediated vasorelaxation. Mean ± SEM percent relaxation induced by 30 μM glabridin under the various conditions illustrated by the traces in Figures 1 and 2. Asterisks indicate that the effect of glabridin under a particular condition was significantly (p < 0.05) different than that recorded under control conditions (i.e. endothelium-intact mesenteric arteries preconstricted with 0.2 μM U46619). Each bar represents the results of 6-11 experiments.

Figure 4: Effects of blockers on the concentration-response curve for glabridin vasorelaxation. (A) Mean ± SEM percent relaxation of the contraction to 0.2mM U46619 induced by 0.1 - 30 μM glabridin under control conditions and in the presence of 300μM L-NAME and 10μM indomethacin, 1 mM TEA, 300 μM 4-AP, and 30 μM Ba²⁺. (B) Mean ± SEM percent relaxation of the contraction to 10μM NE induced by 0.1 - 30 μM glabridin under control conditions and in the presence of 10μM glibenclamide. For both panels, * to the right of the point at 30μM glabridin indicate a significant inhibition of the response to glabridin over the range of concentrations examined, whereas # adjacent to a point on the curve indicates a significant effect of the blocker at that concentration of glabridin. Vasorelaxation was corrected for intrinsic time-dependent rundown of the contractile response as described in the Results. Each curve represents the results of 5-8 experiments.

Figure 5: Effect of pretreatment with 1μM glabridin on the amplitude of the U46619 contraction. Representative trace illustrating the effect of 60 minute pretreatment with 1 μM glabridin on the contraction evoked by 0.2 μM U46619.

Figure 6: Effects of ODQ, KT5720 and ICI 182 780 on vasorelaxation of the contraction to 200 nM U46619 by 30 μM glabridin. A) Representative trace showing the effect of glabridin in the presence of 1 mM ODQ. B) Representative traces showing the effect of SNP in the absence (black line) and presence (grey line) of 1 μM ODQ. Representative traces showing the effect of glabridin in the presence of KT5720 (C; 1 μM) and ICI 182 780 (D; 10μM). E)Average relaxation of the U46619 contraction by glabridin in the absence of another drug, and in the presence of KT5720, ODQ, and ICI 182 780). Numbers of experiments used to calculate the results are shown over the bars, and asterisks represent a significant difference vs the control relaxation. (F)Representative traces depicting the effect on the contraction evoked by 80KPSS of preincubation of arteries with 10μM raloxifene (top) or 30μM glabridin (bottom).

Figure 7: Effects of 30 μM glabridin on cGMP levels in rat mesenteric artery segments in the presence and absence of U46619. * indicates a significant effect of glabridin on [cGMP] compared
to the corresponding control. Numbers of experiments (i.e., measurements in individual artery segments) used to calculate the results are shown over the bars, and asterisks represent a significant difference vs the control relaxation.

**Figure 8:** Effect of IBMX on glabridin-induced responses. A) Representative trace showing the effect of IBMX on the contraction induced by 200 nM U46619. B) Trace illustrating the effect of sequential addition of 30μM glabridin and 100 nM SNP on the contraction evoked by 30μM U46619 in the presence of 200μM IBMX. C) Average vasorelaxation elicited by 30μM glabridin when applied in the presence of 200 nM U46619 or 200μM IBMX and 200nM U46619, and vasorelaxation to 300nM SNP when applied in the presence of 200nM U46619 or 200μM IBMX and 200nM U46619. * indicates a significant block of the response to glabridin or SNP in the presence of IBMX. D) Effects of 200 mM IBMX on cGMP levels in rat mesenteric artery segments in the absence and presence of 30 μM glabridin. * indicates a significant increase in cGMP content compared to control. In panels C and D, numbers of experiments (i.e., measurements from individual arterial segments) used to calculate the results are shown over the bars.

**Figure 9:** Glabridin (10 and 30 nM) caused further relaxation of the contraction to 200 nM U46619 in the presence of 0.1 μM SNP. (A) Arteries were contracted with 200 nM U46619 and then 0.1 μM SNP was applied to cause a partial relaxation. Subsequent application of 10 and then 30 nM glabridin caused further relaxation. The line represents the average of seven individual experiments. * indicates a significant fall in the average tension level during the final 120 sec of the periods during which 10 and 30 nM glabridin were present, compared to the final 120 sec of the period just prior to glabridin application, and # indicates a significant difference between the average tension recorded during these intervals in the presence of 10 and 30 nM glabridin. (B) Representative trace from one of the seven experiments from which the mean data in panel A is derived. (C) Representative trace of a time control experiment, in which SNP but not glabridin was applied during the response to U46619. (D) The white bars represent the mean ± SEM % relaxation observed in the presence of SNP alone, and in SNP following the application of 10nM and then 30nM glabridin, calculated using the average tension recorded 350-600, 1200-1800 and 2200-2800 after the application of SNP, respectively. The black bars represent the % relaxation recorded in five parallel time control experiments over the same time intervals after the application of SNP alone. (F) The white bars show the mean ± SEM change in % relaxation induced by 10nM and 30nM glabridin compared to SNP alone. The black bars show the mean ± SEM change in % relaxation which occurred during the corresponding time intervals when SNP but not glabridin was present. * indicates a significant difference between the % relaxation in the presence and absence of 10 and 30 nM glabridin.
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