Targeting of aberrant αvβ6 integrin expression in solid tumors using chimeric antigen receptor-engineered T-cells
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INTRODUCTION

Adoptive immunotherapy using chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-engineered autologous T-cells has achieved unprecedented efficacy in the treatment of patients with refractory B-cell malignancy.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) In this context, the CD19 molecule provides a target par excellence, since toxicity arising from the depletion of healthy B-cells can be mitigated with immunoglobulin replacement therapy. However, solid tumors present several additional hurdles to the development of effective CAR T-cell immunotherapy. Unlike B-cell malignancy, lineage-restricted molecules are generally unsuitable for targeting owing to their expression at high levels by one or more critical organs. Broadly speaking, two alternative approaches warrant consideration. First, tumor-specific mutations (eg epidermal growth factor receptor variant III) provide attractive opportunities for immunotherapy of selected cancers.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\) However, target expression is sporadic, subject to intra-tumoral heterogeneity and downregulation upon disease relapse.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\) Alternatively, targeting may be directed against molecules that are over-expressed on transformed cells relative to healthy tissue, such as ErbB2. While initial clinical testing resulted in lethal toxicity,\(^8\) more recent evaluation of this strategy has demonstrated that an efficacy signal can be achieved safely using CAR\(^+\) T-cells.\(^9\) This provides a strong rationale for the identification and validation of additional targets that are not absolutely tumor specific, but which are strongly upregulated on transformed cells and contribute to disease pathogenesis.

One such candidate is the epithelial-specific integrin, \(\alpha\beta6\). Cell surface expression requires pairing of the monogamous \(\beta6\) chain with the more promiscuous \(\alpha\) partner.\(^10\) \(\alpha\beta6\) is commonly over-expressed in solid tumors derived from pancreas, head and neck, skin, lung, esophagus,
stomach, colon, breast, uterine cervix and fallopian tube/ovary and is generally associated with worsened prognosis.\textsuperscript{11-17} In keeping with this, αvβ6 activates pro-transforming growth factor-β and promotes epithelial to mesenchymal transition, cellular migration and matrix metalloproteinase activity.\textsuperscript{18-21} By contrast, this integrin is minimally expressed in adult tissue, except during wound healing.\textsuperscript{17,22} In light of these attributes, αvβ6 has attracted significant interest as a target for antibody-based imaging and treatment of many cancer types.\textsuperscript{14,23,24}

Infection of several species by the foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) is mediated by the ability of the viral protein 1 coat protein to bind to a number of integrins, including αvβ6.\textsuperscript{25} A derived 20mer peptide (A20FMDV2) has been characterized as an effective antagonist of αvβ6\textsuperscript{26} and has been used to image αvβ6-positive tumors.\textsuperscript{27} We hypothesized that this 20mer would represent a suitable moiety to engineer an αvβ6–targeted CAR since it contains two overlapping αvβ6-binding motifs (RGD and DLXXL) and binds with >1000-fold greater specificity to this integrin than to other family members, such as αvβ3, αvβ5 and α5β1.\textsuperscript{13,28} Using A20FMDV2 as a targeting moiety, we describe for the first time the development of an αvβ6-specific CAR that elicits potent therapeutic activity against diverse solid tumor types, without significant toxicity.
RESULTS

αvβ6 integrin is expressed by cell lines derived from multiple solid tumors

Supplementary Figure S1 shows αvβ6 expression by the panel of human tumor cells used in this study. High-level expression (100% positive; geometric mean fluorescence intensity (MFI)>2000) was found in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cell lines (Panc0403, BxPC3), in BT20 triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells and in the clear cell epithelial ovarian carcinoma (EOC) cell line, OVSAYO. Intermediate expression (MFI 1000–2000) was observed in the PDAC cell line, CFPAC1, the TNBC cell line MDA-MB-468 and in the SKOV-3, OVMANA, TUOC1 and OVTOKO EOC cell lines. Lower expression (MFI 150-999) was detected in luminal (T47D, MCF7, ZR75) and luminal/HER2+ (BT474) breast cancer cells and in the OVSAHO, HAC2, SMOV2, OVSA and KK EOC cell lines. Minimal expression (MFI<150; ≤9%+ events) was found in the PDAC cell line Panc-1, the TNBC cell line CAL51, and in the A2780, A2780CP, Kuramochi and TOV21G EOC cell lines.

Comparison of in vitro anti-tumor activity of candidate αvβ6-targeted CARs

To engineer candidate αvβ6 CARs, the A20FMDV2 peptide (A20)26 or a phage display-derived 12mer peptide (B12)29 were fused to a human CD28 spacer (from amino acid 114, in which MYPPPY was replaced by a 9e10 myc tag), followed by a CD28+ transmembrane/endodomain and CD3ζ endodomain. CARs were named A20-28z and B12-28z and contain two or one αvβ6 binding sites respectively (Figure 1a-b). A scrambled derivative of A20 (C20, in which RGDL is replaced by AAAA; Figure 1a) or truncated CD28 endodomain (Figure 1c) were used to
create control CARs (named C20-28z and A20-Tr respectively)\textsuperscript{30} (Figure 1b-c). CARs were stoichiometrically co-expressed using a \textit{Thosea Asigna} (T)2A peptide-containing vector with a chimeric cytokine receptor 4αβ (Figure 1d) to enable preferential expansion of αvβ6-re-targeted T-cells \textit{ex vivo}. All CARs were delivered to human T-cells using the SFG retroviral vector (Figure 1e).

To compare function, human CAR T-cells were co-cultivated with PDAC tumor cells that naturally express minimal (min; Panc-1), intermediate (CFPAC1) or high levels of αvβ6 (Panc0403, BxPC3). A20-28z\textsuperscript{+} T-cells released large quantities of interferon (IFN)-γ when co-cultivated with αvβ6\textsuperscript{+} PDAC cells, accompanied by tumor cell killing, monolayer destruction and enrichment of transduced T-cells following CAR stimulation (Supplementary Figure S2). By contrast, cytotoxic activity of B12-28z\textsuperscript{+} T-cells, was minimal or absent and was unaccompanied by reproducible cytokine release or CAR T-cell enrichment following stimulation (Supplementary Figure S2 and data not shown).

In light of these findings, A20-28z was advanced and B12-28z was discarded. Specificity of integrin targeting was evaluated in cytotoxicity assays using A375 cells that naturally express several RGD-binding integrins, including αvβ3, αvβ5, αvβ8 and α5β1 but not αvβ6 (Figures 1f and 1g).\textsuperscript{24} Comparison was made with cytotoxicity against a β6\textsuperscript{+} A375 derivative (Figure 1g). In an extended cytotoxicity assay that lasted 1 – 7 days, A20-28z\textsuperscript{+} T-cells killed β6\textsuperscript{+} but not control A375 cells (Figure 1h), accompanied by β6-dependent IFN-γ release (Figure 1i). As expected, neither A20-Tr\textsuperscript{+} nor C20-28z\textsuperscript{+} T-cells demonstrated cytotoxic activity in these assays. In order to further characterise the specificity of the A20 peptide, its binding capacity for other integrins was
also assessed. Addition of increasing amounts of biotinylated A20 peptide led to proportionately greater binding to both A375 β6 cells (Figure 1j) and to recombinant αvβ6 (Figure 1k). By contrast, the A20 peptide did not exhibit any detectable binding to A375 puro cells or to other RGD-binding integrins (Figure 1j-k).

**Expansion of αvβ6 re-targeted T-cells using interleukin-4**

To preferentially expand αvβ6-re-targeted T-cells *ex vivo*, CARs were stoichiometrically co-expressed using a *Thosea Asigna* (T)2A peptide-containing vector with 4αβ (Figure 1e). The 4αβ chimeric cytokine receptor comprises the human IL-4 receptor-α ectodomain which has been fused to the shared human IL-2/IL-15 receptor β transmembrane and endodomain regions. Binding of the poorly mitogenic cytokine IL-4 leads to the delivery of a potent and selective growth signal in 4αβ+ T-cells. Consequently, all 4αβ+ CAR T-cell populations underwent selective enrichment and expansion when cultured in IL-4 (Supplementary Figure S3a). Addition of exogenous IL-4 significantly increased cytotoxicity of A20-28z/4αβ T-cells against BxPC3 cells *in vitro* (Supplementary Figure S3b), accompanied by a non-significant trend towards increased IFN-γ release (Supplementary Figure S3c). Neither the co-expression of 4αβ nor the addition of IL-4 resulted in an alteration in the proportion of CAR T-cells with naïve (CCR7+CD45RO−), central memory (CCR7+CD45RO−), effector memory (CCR7CD45RO+) or effector (CCR7CD45RO−) phenotype, when compared to cells cultured in IL-2 (Supplementary Figure S3d).
αvβ6-retargeted CAR T-cells elicit broad anti-tumor activity in vitro

Following IL-4-mediated expansion in vitro, A20-28z/4αβ+ and control C20-28z/4αβ+ or A20-Tr/4αβ+ T-cells were evaluated for anti-tumor activity using a panel of cell lines that express varying levels of αvβ6. Unlike controls, A20-28z+ T-cells killed αvβ6+ PDAC, HER2 amplified breast, luminal breast, TNBC and ovarian tumor cells (Figure 2a-e), accompanied by release of IL-2 (Figure 3) and IFN-γ (Supplementary Figure S4). Residual tumor cell viability and cytokine release correlated inversely or directly (respectively) with intensity of αvβ6 expression on target cells (Figure 4). Importantly however, tumor cells that expressed very low levels of αvβ6 (eg Panc-1; Figure 2a or CAL51; Figure 2d) were not killed.

αvβ6 re-targeted CAR T-cells cause regression of several tumor xenografts with minimal toxicity

Firefly luciferase (fluc)+ SKOV-3 cells express αvβ6 and can be propagated as an intraperitoneal (i.p.) xenograft that is amenable to monitoring using bioluminescence imaging (BLI). Consequently, this provides a convenient model to test in vivo anti-tumor activity of adoptively transferred αvβ6 re-targeted CAR T-cells. To permit T-cell imaging, co-transduction was performed with A20-28z/4αβ (or the control A20-Tr/4αβ retroviral vector) and a second retroviral vector that encodes for green fluorescent protein (GFP) and red-shifted Renilla luciferase (rluc; Figure 1l). After IL-4-mediated ex vivo enrichment for CAR T-cell expression, transduced T-cells were analysed for expression of CD8 and retroviral-encoded transgenes (Figure 5a). Functionality of CAR T-cells was confirmed in vitro using cytotoxicity and
cytokine release assays (data not shown) prior to i.p. transfer into SCID Beige mice with established SKOV-3 fluc xenografts.

Mice treated with control A20-Tr/4αβ/rluc/GFP+ CAR T-cells or PBS had progressive disease. By contrast, mice treated with A20-28z/4αβ/rluc/GFP+ CAR T-cells exhibited tumor regression within 5 days of treatment and maintained a significantly lower tumor burden than either control group (Figure 5b-c). Treatment with αvβ6-retargeted CAR T-cells significantly extended median survival from 63 days (both control groups) to 82 days (A20-28z group; p = 0.0014) (Supplementary Figure S5). Although the A20-28z CAR can also recognize αvβ6 expressed by murine cells, treatment was very well tolerated. Minimal weight loss (<5%) was observed in some mice, with complete resolution within 1 week (Figure 5d). This contrasts with severe weight loss and cytokine release syndrome (CRS) that may be induced in the same tumor xenograft model using ErbB retargeted CAR T-cells.33

To investigate the generality of these findings, similar experiments were performed in which BxPC3 (Figure 6), MDA-MB-468 (Figure 7) or Panc0403 (Figure 8) tumor xenografts were established in SCID Beige mice. As before, T-cells were dual transduced to co-express rluc/GFP with A20-28z/4αβ or A20-Tr/4αβ and enriched for CAR+ T-cells by ex vivo culture in IL-4. Prior to adoptive transfer, T-cells were analysed for transgene expression (Figure 6a, 7a, 8a) and for in vitro cytotoxicity and cytokine release following co-culture with αvβ6+ tumor cells (data not shown). In all cases, mice treated with either PBS or A20-Tr/4αβ/rluc/GFP+ T-cells demonstrated similar levels of tumor progression whereas A20-28z/4αβ/rluc/GFP+ CAR T-cells caused significant tumor regression (BxPC3 – Figure 6b-c; MDA-MB-468 – Figure 7b-c) or
stabilization (Panc0403 - Figure 8b) followed by delayed disease progression. Tumor regression was observed in the Panc0403 model in a second experiment, following treatment with A20-28z+ T-cells (Supplementary Figure S6). Anti-tumor activity of αvβ6-retargeted CAR T-cells was associated with transient minor and fully reversible weight loss (Figure 6d, 7d, 8c).

**T-cell imaging demonstrates inadequate longevity of human CAR T-cells in SCID Beige mice**

Engineering of CAR T-cells to co-express rluc/GFP allowed serial monitoring of the biodistribution of CAR T-cells using BLI. It also provides quantitative information since we found that the number of CAR T-cells present correlated directly with total flux (Supplementary Figure S7). *Renilla* luciferase utilizes a different substrate (coelenterazine) than *ffluc* (d-luciferin), which is expressed by tumor cells in these models, allowing separate imaging of T-cells and tumor in the same animals. Using this approach, we found that incomplete eradication of tumor burden correlated with progressive loss of CAR T-cells following adoptive transfer, although a small residual population of CAR T-cells was frequently detected (Panc0403 model; Figure 8d). Similar results were obtained in the SKOV-3 and BxPC3 models (data not shown). Administration of exogenous IL-4 exerted only a marginal impact on therapeutic activity when limiting numbers of CAR T-cells were used to treat mice with advanced tumor burden (Supplementary Figure S8a). This was accompanied by a non-significant trend towards delayed loss of CAR T-cells in mice treated with high-dose IL-4 (Supplementary Figure S8b). Combination treatment with CAR T-cells and IL-4 was not toxic, indicated by lack of weight loss in treated mice (Supplementary Figure S8c).
Human CAR T-cells recognize mouse αvβ6 but cause mild, transient and fully reversible toxicity at supra-therapeutic dose levels

The FMDV 20mer also binds mouse αvβ6 with high affinity. To test if human A20-28z+ T-cells engage the mouse αvβ6 ortholog, co-cultivation experiments were performed using 4T1 mammary tumor cells, which naturally express this integrin (data not shown). Unlike control T-cells, human A20-28z+ T-cells destroyed 4T1 tumor cells, accompanied by release of IFN-γ (Supplementary Figure S9 and data not shown).

We have previously shown that CAR T-cells delivered using the i.p. route remain largely in the peritoneal cavity. Nonetheless, they can trigger severe macrophage-dependent cytokine release syndrome (CRS), particularly in the presence of advanced tumor burden. Such toxicity was not seen in the tumor xenograft models presented above. However, i.p. delivery may not permit human CAR T-cells to access all sites where αvβ6 is naturally expressed in mice, notably gastrointestinal epithelium. Consequently, we performed a study in which bolus doses of CAR T-cells were administered i.v. to these animals. A single dose of 20 x 10^6 CAR T-cells resulted in no weight loss or other clinical manifestations of toxicity. By contrast, when 40 x 10^6 A20-28z/4αβ+ T-cells were administered in two divided doses over 24 hours, significant weight loss was observed which was transient and fully reversible. Toxicity was dependent on an intact CAR since it was not observed with T-cells that expressed the truncated A20-Tr control (Supplementary Figure S10).
DISCUSSION

Aberrant expression of the epithelial-specific integrin αvβ6 is prevalent in several cancers.\textsuperscript{11-16,18} The pro-invasive effect of αvβ6 is most graphically illustrated by its predominant expression at the infiltrating margin of the tumor mass.\textsuperscript{11} By contrast, expression of this integrin is scarcely detectable in healthy human tissues,\textsuperscript{17,22} rendering it a highly attractive candidate for immunotherapeutic targeting. Here, we show for the first time that T cells engineered to express an αvβ6-specific CAR mediate therapeutic activity against a broad range of solid tumor types, both in vitro and in vivo.

To engineer candidate αvβ6-targeted CAR T-cells, two integrin-binding peptides were coupled to matched hinge, transmembrane and CD28+CD3ζ endodomain modules. The FMDV-derived A20 peptide has been extensively characterised for its ability to bind specifically to αvβ6 but not to other αv–based heterodimeric integrins. We found that T-cells engineered to express an A20-derived CAR (A20-28z) killed tumor cell types of diverse origin, accompanied by cytokine release. Importantly, the magnitude of these effector activities correlated closely with cell surface expression of αvβ6 integrin, meaning that target cells with very low levels of αvβ6 (e.g. Panc-1, CAL51) were ignored. We also evaluated an alternative second generation CAR that was targeted using a 12mer peptide, isolated by phage display.\textsuperscript{29} The B12 peptide had been used to engineer a first-generation CAR to which some in vitro anti-tumor activity had been attributed.\textsuperscript{35} However, this was demonstrated using a re-stimulated CD8+ T-cell line (isolated following hygromycin selection) and two derived CD8+ T-cell clones. When we re-evaluated this peptide in the context of a second generation CAR which was expressed in unselected primary human T-
cells, negligible anti-tumor activity was observed. Given that the B12 peptide is shorter, it is possible that cognate epitope is not accessible by this very short peptide. Consequently, A20-28z alone was advanced for further study.

*In vivo* efficacy of A20-28z CAR T-cells was demonstrated in four established xenograft models, representative of PDAC, breast and ovarian cancer. Using a dual BLI imaging strategy, we demonstrated that αvβ6 re-targeted A20-28z+ CAR T-cells declined progressively in the days following delivery. This may account for the delayed tumor relapse observed, providing a rationale for the exploration of repeated T-cell administration in future studies.32

Prior to adoptive transfer, genetically engineered T-cells were enriched during *ex vivo* expansion since the CAR was co-expressed with 4αβ, a chimeric cytokine receptor that allows selective IL-4-mediated proliferation of CAR T-cells.31 This approach is now in use in a Phase 1 clinical trial in patients with locally advanced or recurrent head and neck cancer. In that setting, a broadly reactive ErbB-specific CAR is co-expressed with 4αβ, allowing IL-4-mediated expansion of cell products prior to intra-tumoral delivery.37 This approach obviates the need for leukapheresis since over 2 billion CAR+ T-cells can reliably be expanded/ enriched within 2 weeks from 120mL blood, even from patients with advanced malignancy and profound lymphopenia. To date, eight CAR T-cell batches have been produced in this ongoing trial that have exceeded these specifications, without any batch failures (data not shown).

In our study, we used the 4αβ system as a means of *in vitro* expansion. However, IL-4 is also produced *in vivo* in humans, and may be overproduced in the tumor microenvironment. As
discussed previously, this could theoretically benefit anti-tumor activity but might also enhance toxicity of this approach. In our study, we found that provision of exogenous IL-4 cytokine support did not improve anti-tumor activity of CAR T-cells or their long-term survival. This may have been because of the very short half-life of IL-4 *in vivo*. Moreover, combination IL-4 and CAR T-cell treatment did not increase toxicity, although human IL-4 is not active in the mouse.

Meaningful safety testing of human A20-28z+ CAR T-cells could be undertaken in SCID Beige mice for three reasons. First, the A20 FMDV targeting moiety can bind to mouse αvβ6 integrin with comparable affinity to the human ortholog. As a result, human A20-28z+ CAR T-cells also recognize mouse tumor cells that express this integrin. Second, we have previously shown that i.p. delivery of human ErbB re-targeted CAR T-cells can elicit severe CRS in SCID Beige mice, in a manner that is accentuated by high tumor burden. In that setting, macrophage activation (a functionality that is preserved in SCID Beige mice) accompanied by IL-6 release play a pivotal role, recapitulating the key role of these intermediates in human CRS. Third, expression of αvβ6 in mice is proportionately greater than in man, most notably in the gastrointestinal tract. In keeping with this, laboratory mice are highly susceptible to FMDV, unlike man in which this viral illness is an extraordinarily unusual zoonosis. Reassuringly however, minimal toxicity accompanied tumor regression in all four xenograft models following treatment with αvβ6-targeted A20-28z CAR T-cells. Administration of very large doses using the i.v. route did result in reversible toxicity which was dependent upon an intact αvβ6-targeted CAR.

Taken together, these data justify the evaluation of αvβ6-targeted CAR T-cell immunotherapy for tumors in which aberrant expression of this integrin is present. We are currently developing a
strategy for Phase 1 evaluation of this approach in patients with otherwise untreatable αvβ6-expressing malignancy.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Retroviral constructs. To enable detection of CARs, a myc epitope-tagged framework was engineered (Figure 1b-d). A codon-optimized cDNA was synthesized to encode for human CD28 (amino acids 114 to 200) in which B7-binding residues 117-122 (MYPPPY) were substituted with residues 410-419 of human c-myc (EQKLISEEDL) (Mr Gene, Regensburg, Germany). The sequence was flanked by 5’ Not1 and 3’ Apa1 restriction sites and was substituted for the corresponding fragment in SFG T1E28z, (encodes a broadly ErbB reactive CAR with a CD28+CD3ζ endodomain). The resultant myc epitope-tagged CAR (Tm28z) exhibited comparable function to T1E28z (data not shown). Next, codon-optimized cDNAs were synthesized in which two candidate αvβ6-binding peptide sequences were fused to signal peptides selected for correct cleavage site using SignalP 3.0 server [42] (Genscript, Piscataway, NJ; Figure 1a). The VP1-derived A20FMDV2 20mer peptide was placed downstream of a CD124 signal peptide (A20). A control (αvβ6 non-binding) peptide was generated in which the RGDL motif within A20FMDV2 was substituted with AAAA (C20). To engineer an alternative CAR targeting moiety, a previously described αvβ6-binding 12mer peptide (isolated by phage display) was placed downstream of a human CD3γ signal peptide (B12) (Figure 1a). All cDNAs were substituted for the smaller Nco1/Not1 fragment within SFG Tm28z. The resultant CARs were named A20-28z, C20-28z and B12-28z (Figure 1b). An endodomain truncated control CAR (A20-Tr) was also engineered in which the Not1/Xho1 fragment within A20-28z was substituted with a smaller synthetic cDNA (Genscript) encoding CD28 residues 114-182 (in which MYPPPY was replaced by the myc epitope tag; Figure 1c).
In most experiments, CARs were co-expressed with an IL-4-responsive chimeric cytokine receptor (4αβ, in which the IL-4 receptor-α ectodomain is fused to the shared transmembrane/endodomain of IL-2/15 receptor-β; Figure 1d). T-cells that express 4αβ undergo selective enrichment and expansion when cultured in IL-4. Stoichiometric co-expression was achieved using an intervening furin cleavage site (RRKR), [serine-glycine]₂ linker and *Thosea Asigna* 2A (T2A) peptide (Figure 1e).

To visualize T-cells *in vivo*, a red-shifted *Renilla reniformis* luciferase 8.6-535 (rluc; Genscript) was co-expressed with GFP in a single SFG retroviral vector containing a furin cleavage site and T2A peptide (SFG rluc/GFP; Figure 1i). To visualize tumors *in vivo*, firefly luciferase (ffluc) was co-expressed with tdTomato red fluorescent protein (tdRFP; Genscript) in a single SFG retroviral vector containing a furin cleavage site and T2A peptide (SFG ffluc/tdTom; Figure 1m).

**Culture and retroviral transduction of primary human T-cells.** Blood samples were obtained from healthy volunteers with approval of the South East London Research Ethics Committee 1 (reference 09/H0804/92). Activation of T-cells was achieved 48h prior to gene transfer using CD3+CD28-coated paramagnetic beads (1:1 bead: cell ratio; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Paisley, UK). Gene transfer was performed using PG13 retroviral packaging cells as described. Transduced T-cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% human AB serum (Sigma, Poole, UK), GlutaMax and antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and in the presence of either 100U/ml IL-2 (Proleukin, Novartis, Frimley, UK), or 30ng/ml IL-4 (Gentaur, Kampenhout, Belgium).
**Cell lines.** Ffluc⁺ Panc-1, BxPC3, ffluc⁺ CFPAC1, ffluc⁺ Panc0403, A375 puro (transduced with pBabe puro retrovirus), A375-β6 (transduced with pBabe puro retrovirus that encodes for human β6), A2780, A2780CP and TOV21G cells were obtained from the Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London. Ffluc⁺ SKOV-3 cells were purchased from Caliper (PerkinElmer, Waltham MA). Kuramochi and OVSAHO cells were obtained from the Japanese Collection of Research Bio-resources Cell Bank. The clear cell lines OVAS, SMOV2, KK, HAC2, OVTOKO, OVSAYO, TUOC1 and OVMANA were a kind gift from Dr Itamochi, Tottori University School of Medicine, Japan. The breast cancer cell lines CAL51, BT20, MDA-MB-468, MCF7, BT474 and ZR75-1 were obtained from the Breast Cancer Now Research Unit, King’s College London. Tumor cell lines were grown in R10 or D10 medium, respectively comprising RPMI or DMEM (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma), GlutaMax and antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Life Technologies). PG13 retroviral packaging cells were obtained from the European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC, Porton Down, UK) and were maintained in D10. H29 retroviral packaging cells were a gift from Dr. Michel Sadelain (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, NY) and were propagated as described. All tumor cell lines were validated by short tandem repeat DNA profiling and experiments were performed within 30 passages of receipt.

**Flow cytometry analysis.** Expression of αvβ6 was detected using the 6.3G9 antibody, followed by goat anti-mouse Ig-PE (Dako, Ely, UK) and was expressed as percentage positivity and/or geometric mean fluorescence intensity. Cells stained with secondary antibody only served as a negative control. Expression of CARs was detected using supernatant derived from
the 9e10 hybridoma (ECACC), which binds to residues 410-419 of human c-myc, followed by goat anti-mouse Ig-PE. Untransduced T-cells acted as a negative control. CD8 expression was detected using PE-conjugated PNIM0452 (Immunotech, Marseille, France). Phenotypic analysis of T-cells was performed using anti-CCR7 (R&D systems FAB197F) and CD45RO (Biolegend 304210) antibodies. In some assays, populations were gated on CAR+ cells detected using an antibody against CD124 (BD Pharmingen, 552178). To assess integrin specificity of the A20 peptide, biotinylated peptide (Genscript, Hong Kong Ltd) was diluted in PBS supplemented with MgCl2 and NaCl (Sigma D8662) and incubated with cells on ice for 20 minutes before being stained with streptavidin-PE (LifeTech S866) for a further 20 minutes on ice. Flow cytometry was performed using a FACSCalibur cytometer with CellQuest Pro software or Fortessa cytometer with FACSDiva software.

**ELISA.** Supernatants from tumor/T-cell co-cultures were analyzed using a human IFN-γ or human IL2 ELISA Ready-set-go kit (eBiosciences, Hatfield, UK), as described by the manufacturers. To assess integrin specificity of the A20 peptide, ELISA plates were coated with recombinant αvβ6 (3817-AV-050), αvβ3 (3050-AV-050), αvβ5 (2528-AV-050), αvβ8 (4135-AV-050) integrin proteins (all R&D systems) in PBS overnight. Wells were washed with PBS supplemented with MgCl2 and NaCl (wash buffer) before blocking for 2 hours with 1% w/v milk in wash buffer. After washing, 100μl biotinylated peptide was added in 0.1% w/v milk in wash buffer for 1 hour followed by washing, and incubation with 100μl 1:500 dilution streptavidin-HRP (Dako P039701-2) for 1 hour. Plates were then washed and incubated with 100μl hydrogen peroxide/tetramethylbenzidine (R&D Substrate Reagent DY999) for 20 minutes before addition of 50μl of 2N sulphuric acid.
Cytotoxicity assays. Tumor cell monolayers (96 well plate) were incubated with T-cells for 24-72h at a 1:1 tumor cell: T-cell ratio, unless otherwise indicated. Destruction of tumor cell monolayers by T-cells was quantified using an MTT or luciferase assay. In the former, T-cells were removed and MTT (Sigma) was added at 500µg/ml in fresh D10 medium for 1-2 hours at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After removal of the supernatant, formazan crystals were re-suspended in 50μL DMSO. Absorbance was measured at 560nm. In luciferase assays, D-luciferin (PerkinElmer) was added at 150µg/ml immediately prior to luminescence reading. Tumor cell viability was calculated as (absorbance or luminescence of monolayer cultured with T-cells / absorbance or luminescence of untreated monolayer alone) x 100 %.

In vivo studies. All in vivo experimentation adhered to U.K. Home Office guidelines, as specified in project license number 70/7794 and was approved by the King’s College London animal welfare committee. Where necessary, tumor cells were transduced with SFG fluc/tdTom and were purified by flow sorting prior to engraftment in vivo. SKOV-3 (1 x 10⁶ cells), MDA-MB-468, Panc0403 or BxPC3 (2 x 10⁶ cells each) were inoculated i.p. into SCID Beige mice. Engineered T-cells (1-2 x 10⁷ cells in total as indicated in individual experiments) were administered i.p on day 14 (BxPC3, Panc0403), day 21 (SKOV-3) or day 42 (MDA-MB-468). Bioluminescence imaging was performed using an IVIS Spectrum Imaging platform (PerkinElmer) with Living Image software (PerkinElmer). To image tumor status, mice were injected i.p. with D-luciferin (150 mg/kg; PerkinElmer) and imaged under isoflurane anesthesia after 12 min. To image T-cells, mice were injected i.p with coelenterazine (30μg/mouse; PerkinElmer) and imaged under isoflurane anesthesia after 30 min. Image acquisition was
conducted on a 15- or 25-cm field of view with medium binning and auto-exposure. To assess safety of CAR T-cells, i.v. bolus doses of 20 million CAR T-cells were administered at the indicated intervals, making comparison with PBS. To assess the effect of exogenous 1x10⁵ BxPC3 cells were inoculated i.p into NSG mice before treatment with 2.5 x 10⁶ T-cells i.p +/- hIL-4 (Miltenyi). Interleukin-4 was administered three times weekly i.p thereafter. In all experiments, animals were inspected daily and weighed weekly. Mice were culled if symptomatic as a result of tumor progression or weight loss of ≥20%.

**Statistical analysis.** For comparison of two groups, datasets were analyzed using two-tailed Student’s t test. Survival data were analysed using the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Correlation between intensity of αvβ6 expression and T-cell effector function was determined using Pearson r correlation. All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 or 6.0 (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA) or Excel for Mac 2011 (Berkshire, UK).
AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: JM, JFM, LMW. Laboratory work: LMW, ACPP, TZ, DMD, RMGP, YVK, SAS, AR, JACG, SVallath. Provision of essential materials and advice: SViolette, HI, SGM, JFM. Drafting of manuscript: LMW, JM. Critically revising manuscript: all authors.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by Worldwide Cancer Research (13-1017), Breast Cancer Now (2010MayPR33), Pancreatic Cancer UK (A16648), the Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre at King's College London and by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. The authors have no conflicting interests. We thank Anthony Walker and Mike Garrison for useful discussions.

DISCLOSURE/CONFLICT OF INTEREST

JM is chief scientific officer of Leucid Bio, which is a spinout company focused on development of cellular therapeutic agents. There are no other conflicts of interest to disclose.
REFERENCES


FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Design and integrin specificity of retroviral-encoded CAR constructs. (a) To create an αvβ6-specific CAR targeting moiety, the A20 peptide derived from the GH-loop of the capsid protein VP1 from Foot and Mouth Disease Virus (serotype 01 BFS) was placed downstream of a CD124 signal peptide. A matched but scrambled peptide (named C20) was generated in which RGDL was replaced with AAAA. A second αvβ6-specific CAR targeting moiety was engineered by placing the B12 peptide downstream of a CD3γ signal peptide. (b) Schematic structure of αvβ6-specific CARs and (c) matched endodomain-truncated control. (d) Schematic structure of 4αβ chimeric cytokine receptor in which the IL-4 receptor α ectodomain is fused to the transmembrane and endodomain of the shared IL-2/15 receptor β. (e) The SFG retroviral vector was used to express CARs in human T-cells. LTR – long terminal repeat; S – signal peptide; T – targeting moiety; M – human c-myc epitope tag, recognized by 9e10 antibody. In some constructs, equimolar co-expression of the IL-4-responsive 4αβ chimeric cytokine receptor was achieved using a Thosea Asigna (T)2A ribosomal skip peptide, placed downstream of a furin cleavage site, designed to remove peptide overhangs on the C-terminus of the upstream encoded polypeptide. (f) Expression of the indicated integrins in A375 cells as detected by flow cytometry. (g) A375 cells were transduced with the pBabe puro retroviral vector (A375 puro) or with pBabe puro that encodes for the integrin β6 subunit. Cell surface expression of β6 was determined in both cell populations by flow cytometry. SSC – side scatter. (h) A375 puro cells (αvβ6-negative) or A375 β6 cells (αvβ6-positive) were co-cultivated at a 1:1 ratio with the indicated CAR engineered T-cells in the absence of exogenous cytokine. Data show the mean ± SD of residual tumor cell viability from 5 independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.
Survival was quantified by MTT assay at 24-168h and expressed relative to untreated tumor cells (set at 100% viability). (i) Cells were co-cultivated at a 1:1 ratio with the indicated CAR engineered T-cells in the absence of exogenous cytokine for 48hrs. Data show the mean ± SD of IFN-γ detected in the cell supernatant from 3 independent experiments, each performed in duplicate. (j) Binding of biotinylated A20 peptide to A375 puro cells (αvβ6-negative) or A375 β6 cells (αvβ6-positive) was detected by flow cytometry. Data show the mean ± SD geometric mean fluorescent intensity of 4 independent experiments. (k) Binding of biotinylated A20 peptide to recombinant integrins was quantified by ELISA. (l) SFG rluc/GFP vector, which co-expresses Renilla luciferase (red-shifted 8.6-535 variant) with GFP using a furin-T2A (F-T2A) intervening sequence. (m) SFG ffluc/tdTom vector, which co-expresses firefly luciferase with tdTomato red fluorescent protein using a F-T2A intervening sequence.

**Figure 2 In vitro assessment of anti-tumor activity of CAR T-cells targeted against αvβ6.**

Firefly luciferase-expressing pancreatic (a), HER2 amplified breast (b), luminal breast (c), triple negative breast (d), or ovarian tumor cells (e) were co-cultivated at a 1:1 ratio with the indicated CAR/4αβ-engineered T-cells in the absence of exogenous cytokine, following *ex vivo* expansion and enrichment of CAR T-cells using IL-4. Data show the mean ± SD of residual tumor cell viability from 3-12 independent replicates, quantified by MTT assay or by measuring luciferase activity at 24-72h. At each time-point, percentage cell survival has been expressed relative to untreated tumor cells (set at 100% viability). H – high; I – intermediate; L- low; M – minimal/negative expression of αvβ6.
Figure 3 Production of IL-2 by αvβ6 re-targeted CAR T-cells. Firefly luciferase-expressing pancreatic (a), HER2+ breast (b), luminal breast (c), triple negative breast (d), or ovarian tumor cells (e) were co-cultivated at a 1:1 ratio with the indicated CAR/4αβ-engineered T-cells in the absence of exogenous cytokine, following ex vivo expansion and enrichment of CAR T-cells using IL-4. Supernatant was harvested at each time-point and analyzed for IL-2. Data shows the mean ± SD of 3-6 independent replicates.

Figure 4 Relationship between intensity of αvβ6 integrin expression by tumor cells and effector function of CAR T-cells. Expression of αvβ6 was determined by flow cytometry and then expressed as geometric mean fluorescence intensity (MFI), averaged over 2-8 independent experiments. Relationship between MFI of αvβ6 on tumor cells and % tumor cell viability in cytotoxicity assays (a), release of IL-2 (b) and release of IFN-γ (c) by A20-28z/4αβ CAR T-cells are depicted graphically, together with Pearson r correlation co-efficient and p (significance) values for each time-point and effector parameter.

Figure 5 In vivo anti-tumor activity of αvβ6 re-targeted CAR T-cells against SKOV-3 ovarian tumor xenografts. (a) T-cells were co-transduced with retroviral vectors encoding for rluc/GFP and A20-28z/4αβ or the A20-Tr/4αβ truncated control. After culture for 12 days in IL-4, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. 9e10 detects a myc epitope tag in the CAR ectodomain. SSC – side scatter. Quadrants were set using untransduced T-cells cultured in IL-2. (b) Mice were injected i.p. with 1 x 10⁶ SKOV-3-fluc cells and tumors were allowed to establish for 21 days before i.p. treatment with 10 x 10⁶ of the indicated gene-modified T-cells. Bioluminescence imaging using d-luciferin (substrate for fluc) was used to monitor tumor
status. (c) Data show the mean ± SD of tumor-derived total flux (n=5-8 mice per group). Control mice received PBS. The arrow indicates the day of treatment with CAR T-cells. The inset shows initial tumor regression on a log scale. ** $p<0.01$; *** $p<0.001$ (red – comparing A20-28z v PBS; black – comparing A20-28z v A20-Tr). (d) Mice were weighed weekly to assess toxicity of CAR T-cells. Data show the mean ± SD of 5-8 mice/group. The arrow indicates the day of treatment with CAR T-cells.

**Figure 6 In vivo anti-tumor activity of αvβ6 re-targeted CAR T-cells against BxPC3 PDAC xenografts.** (a) T-cells were co-transduced with retroviral vectors encoding for rluc/GFP and A20-28z/4αβ or the A20-Tr/4αβ truncated control. After culture for 12 days in IL-4, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. 9e10 detects a myc epitope tag in the CAR ectodomain. SSC – side scatter. Quadrants were set using untransduced T-cells cultured in IL-2. (b) Mice were injected i.p. with 2 x 10^6 BxPC3-fluc/tdTom cells and tumors were allowed to establish for 14 days before i.p. treatment with 10 x 10^6 of the indicated gene-modified T-cells. Bioluminescence imaging using d-luciferin (substrate for fluc) was used to monitor tumor status. (c) Data show the mean ± SD of tumor-derived total flux (n=5-8 mice per group). Control mice received PBS. The arrow indicates the day of treatment with CAR T-cells. The inset shows initial tumor regression on a log scale * $p<0.02$; ** $p<0.004$; *** $p<0.0001$ (red – comparing A20-28z v PBS; black – comparing A20-28z v A20-Tr). (d) Mice were weighed weekly to assess toxicity of CAR T-cells. Data show the mean ± SD % body weight relative to pre-treatment of 5-8 mice/group. The arrow indicates the day of treatment with CAR T-cells.
Figure 7 *In vivo* anti-tumor activity of αvβ6 re-targeted CAR T-cells against MDA-MB-468 breast cancer xenografts. (a) T-cells were co-transduced with retroviral vectors encoding for rluc/GFP together with A20-28z/4αβ or the truncated control, A20-Tr/4αβ. After culture for 12 days in IL-4, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. 9e10 detects a myc epitope tag in the CAR ectodomain. SSC – side scatter. Quadrants were set using untransduced T-cells cultured in IL-2. (b) Mice were injected i.p. with 2 x 10⁶ MDA-MB468-ffluc cells and tumors were allowed to establish for 42 days before i.p. treatment with 15 x 10⁶ of the indicated gene-modified T-cells. Bioluminescence imaging using d-luciferin (substrate for ffluc) was used to monitor tumor status. (c) Data show the mean ± SD of tumor-derived total flux (n=5 mice per group). Control mice received PBS. The arrow indicates the day of treatment with CAR T-cells. The inset shows initial tumor regression on a log scale ** p<0.003; *** p<0.0003 (red – comparing A20-28z v PBS; black – comparing A20-28z v A20-Tr). (d) Mice were weighed weekly to assess toxicity of CAR T-cells. Data show the mean ± SD % body weight relative to pre-treatment of 5 mice/group. The arrow indicates the day of treatment with CAR T-cells.

Figure 8 Imaging of anti-tumor activity and persistence of αvβ6 re-targeted CAR T-cells in SCID Beige mice with Panc0403 PDAC xenografts. (a) T-cells were co-transduced with retroviral vectors encoding for rluc/GFP together with A20-28z/4αβ or the truncated control, A20-Tr/4αβ. After culture for 12 days in IL-4, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. 9e10 detects a myc epitope tag in the CAR ectodomain. SSC – side scatter. Quadrants were set using untransduced T-cells cultured in IL-2. (b) Mice were injected i.p. with 2 x 10⁶ Panc0403-ffluc cells and tumors were allowed to establish for 14 days before i.p. treatment with 10 x 10⁶ of the indicated gene-modified T-cells or PBS as control (arrowed). Bioluminescence imaging using d-
luciferin (substrate for ffluc) was used to monitor tumor status. Data show the mean ± SEM of tumor-derived total flux (n=5 mice per group). (c) Mice were weighed weekly to assess toxicity of CAR T-cells. Data show the mean ± SEM weight of 5 mice/group. To image T-cells, BLI was performed after administration of coelenterazine at the indicated intervals, commencing 1 hour after T-cell injection. Images of individual mice (d) and pooled BLI data (mean ± SEM, n=5) are shown (e).