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Abstract

In the last decade there has been a breakthrough in the construction of theories leading to models for the simulation of atomic scale processes in steel. In this paper the theory is described and developed and used to demonstrate calculations of the diffusivity and trapping of hydrogen in iron and the structures of carbon vacancy complexes in steel.

1 Historical Introduction

In 1947 Geoffrey Raynor wrote “...if metallurgists of this country do not learn about the electron theories they may discover too late, that the industry in other countries has benefited from knowledge of modern theories and is developing new alloys.” Hume-Rothery and Raynor had understood perfectly from the work of Mott and Jones before the war that to unravel the complexities of metal phase diagrams required the powerful methods of metal physics that had arisen out of the new quantum mechanics of Schrödinger and Heisenberg of the 1920’s [1]. But some 15 years later, Hume-Rothery writes “The work of the last ten years has emphasised the extreme difficulty of producing any really quantitative theory of the electronic structure of metals, except for those of the alkali group.” The breakthrough came just a few years after that with the appearance of the density functional theory (DFT) of Kohn and co-workers [2, 3] and for which Walter Kohn shared the Nobel Prize in Chemistry (with John Pople) in 1998.

It was understood then, and is understood now, that what holds together the atoms in a metal are the valence electrons. These act as the “glue” which is ultimately responsible for the properties that we exploit in metallurgy to produce alloys of prescribed hardness, ductility, fracture resistance, and so on. What Hume-Rothery meant by electronic structure is the stationary state solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the electrons in condensed matter moving in the (so called “external”) potential of the fixed nuclei. At issue in the 1960’s was the awareness that this was fundamentally a many electron problem, requiring the knowledge of a wavefunction \( \Psi(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, \ldots, r_N, s_N) \) which depends on the positions and spins of all the \( N \) electrons involved. On the other hand, every student learns quantum mechanics in terms of a Schrödinger equation whose solution, \( \psi(r, s) \), is the wavefunction of a single particle moving in an electrostatic
potential, $V(r)$, which at most includes the potentials of all other interacting particles in some average way. Because the electron–electron interaction is due to the long ranged Coulomb force it was thought inconceivable that a “one-electron” theory would yield useful results for the electron gas in metals, and therefore it was a bold move by physicists even to attempt this approach.

1.1 Density functional theory

The principal discovery in the DFT was that the ground state energy of a many electron system is uniquely determined by the electron density alone, meaning that it is not necessary to find the complicated many electron wavefunction \[2\]. Moreover it was found that the many-electron Schrödinger equation could be cast exactly into one-electron form if the potential $V(r)$ is regarded as an effective potential including a contribution called “exchange and correlation” which includes all the complicated electron–electron interactions \[3\]. The problem is only solvable, however, if one makes an approximation to this exchange–correlation potential; this is the local density approximation (LDA) which asserts that every electron sees the potential due to other electrons as if it were living in a uniform electron gas, whose density is taken as that density which the electron momentarily encounters.

For two reasons the DFT did not make immediate impact in the world of metallurgy and solid state physics. Firstly the LDA was received with great scepticism since it seemed to be sweeping a great deal of the essential physics under the carpet. Secondly the solution of the “self consistent problem” requires a fast computer and complicated computer program.\footnote{In casting the many-electron problem into one-electron form it happens that the effective potential comes to depend on the electron density which is the square of the one-electron wavefunction. In that way the potential that enters the Schrödinger equation depends on its solution. This means that one needs to seek a self consistent solution to the problem that can only be done with a computer.} The first problems solved were to calculate the electronic structure itself, especially energy bands and Fermi surfaces; and it was not until it was possible to calculate the total energy and compare crystal structures, calculate heats of formation and elastic constants which could be compared to experiment that it was realised that the LDA was an approximation that was much better than it ought to have been. To jump some four decades ahead, it is now fully accepted that the LDA is able to predict structural properties of metals and alloys, to compute interatomic forces and to make atomistic simulations, including molecular dynamics (MD) at least for a few tens of atoms over a few picoseconds of simulation time.

1.2 Tight binding theory

The previous sentence gives the clue as to why the LDA cannot be expected to be the final word in atomistic simulations. Evidently if one wishes to make large scale MD simulations or molecular statics relaxations to study processes such as diffusion, dislocation core structures and mobilities, the current recourse is to so called classical interatomic potentials, for example the well known embedded atom method \[4\]. Classical potentials display a number of unrepairable difficulties. They cannot describe magnetism (essential for the study of iron and steel); they cannot account for metals with negative Cauchy pressure; and in all
but a few exceptional cases they fail to reproduce properly the core structure of dislocations in \( \alpha \)-Fe [5].

There is however a middle way, and this is the tight binding (TB) approximation. TB is a fully quantum mechanical approach to the electronic structure calculation and yields total energy and interatomic forces that can be used in MD simulations. On the other hand it is much faster computationally than the LDA because the hamiltonian is not constructed from first principles; instead a look up table of hamiltonian matrix elements is created by fitting certain fundamental parameters to experiment and to accurate density functional calculations [6, 7]. There is a deeper fundamental theory behind the TB approximation that goes beyond the notion of a fitted semi-empirical scheme. A number of authors have shown that the TB approximation can be derived directly from DFT using the notion of first order and second order expansions of the Hohenberg–Kohn total energy functional in the difference between some assumed input charge density and the actual self consistent density [8, 9, 6]. TB can describe itinerant magnetism in transition metals using the self consistent Stoner-Slater theory [10] and successful models have now been constructed for \( \alpha \)-Fe and \( \gamma \)-Fe, both pure, and containing interstitial impurities, hydrogen and carbon [11, 12].

2 Atomistic modelling of processes in steels

It is only in the last decade that metal physicists have come around to tackling the problem of interstitials in Fe. And only once the case of C in Fe is solved can one be said to be able to model processes in steel. There are a few classical potentials, to describe both H and C in \( \alpha \)-Fe [13, 14, 15], but these are prone to the same difficulties as those outlined above for pure iron, in addition to which the number of fitted parameters rises dramatically so that for example the H–Fe interactions require more than 40 parameters with which to be described [13]. Therefore it is very instructive to determine what can be done using the “middle way” tight binding approximation. This is followed through in the examples in the next sections.

2.1 Hydrogen in \( \alpha \)-Fe

In some sense H is the most interesting of the interstitial impurities because of its small atomic mass. This fact coupled with the geometry of the bcc lattice leading to a rather small activation energy for diffusion between tetrahedral interstices means that the hydrogen atom, or proton, cannot in general be treated as a classical particle. A hydrogen molecule is characterised by a rather strong covalent bond arising from the splitting of the atomic H-1 s level into bonding and antibonding molecular orbitals; only the bonding levels are occupied (by two electrons) and antibonding orbitals are unoccupied [16]. This situation changes when the H2 molecule finds itself at the surface or within a transition metal. In that case the H-1 s levels are lower in energy than the bottom of the transition metal \( d \)-bands and so both the bonding and antibonding levels become occupied—the covalent bond breaks apart and the H atom is free to move about the crystal lattice. It makes sense to think of the H-atom as a freely moving proton that has given up its electron to the energy bands of the
Figure 1: The minimum energy path for proton migration in α-Fe. The open circles show the energy as a function of proton position in Å as it moves in the potential well around the tetrahedral interstice. The dotted line is a parabola fitted to the points. The curve labelled \( \phi_p \) is a graph of the gaussian wavefunction of the proton moving the the harmonic oscillator potential [19]. A horizontal line marks the zero point energy relative to the bottom of the well. This is the energy of the ground state of the proton, which in the periodic potential of the lattice of tetrahedral sites forms a band of states of width on the order of the hopping integral \( J \) (equation (1)) as indicated by the horizontal dotted lines.

solid. Since the H-1s orbitals are fully occupied it is tempting to think of the H-atom as negatively charged, that is H\(^-\), but this is not really helpful as any charge in a metal is very efficiently screened by the conduction electrons. The proton in solution in α-Fe occupies the tetrahedral interstices. The quantum nature of the proton is illustrated in figure 1. Here the TB method [11] has been used to map out the so called minimum energy path [17] for the proton to move from its tetrahedral site to a neighbouring site. In the process the proton passes through a maximum in the energy along that path; in fact this is a saddle point in the total energy landscape as understood from classical theory of diffusion [18].

The dotted line in figure 1 is a parabola fitted to the calculated energy showing that to a good approximation the proton is moving in a quadratic potential. This means that it possesses the wavefunction of a harmonic oscillator, namely the normalised gaussian [19]

\[
\phi_p = \left( \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} e^{-\alpha x^2}
\]

where

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{\hbar} \omega
\]

Here \( x \) is the displacement from the equilibrium position, \( m \) is the mass of the proton and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of vibration which can be extracted easily
from the curvature of the parabola. In fact the frequency of vibration is found here to be
\[ \nu = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} = 1.29 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1} \]

There is a hamiltonian matrix element, or tight binding “hopping integral”, which connects the gaussian proton wavefunctions in neighbouring interstices and this is [20, 21]
\[ J = \frac{1}{4} \hbar \omega \left( 3 + \alpha R^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \alpha R^2} \]

where \( R = a/\sqrt{3} \) is the separation between neighbouring tetrahedral interstices and \( a \) is the bcc lattice constant. In this case \( \alpha R^2 = 0.17 \).

In figure 1 is shown the proton wavefunction, \( \tilde{p} \), the zero point energy, \( \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} \), and the magnitude of the hopping integral \( J \). Several points are notable. The zero point energy is large on the scale of the energy barrier so that the proton does not occupy the energy at the bottom of the potential well as would a classical particle, instead even in the ground state the proton possesses an energy nearly halfway up to the saddle point. If we think of the energy curve as a portion of a periodic potential, then it is clear that at zero temperature, the proton occupies a Bloch state or energy band whose width is on the order of \( J \). Both these facts lead to the conclusion that a classical approach to the diffusivity of H in \( \alpha \)-Fe is likely to lead one into error.

2.1.1 Hydrogen diffusion in \( \alpha \)-Fe

In the classical approach to diffusion, the so called transition state theory, one is interested in the classical rate constant, \( \kappa^{cl} \), which can be expressed in terms of a ratio of partition functions [18, 22]. We are interested in the “reactant state” in which the diffusing atom occupies a lattice, or interstitial, site and its partition function is denoted \( Z^{cl}_R \). The second partition function of interest concerns the particle at the saddle point. Following Vineyard [18] we construct a constrained partition function, \( Z^{cl}_c(q^*) \), in which the asterisk on the reaction coordinate, \( q \), conveys the fact that it is confined to the dividing surface in configuration space: this is the surface perpendicular to the minimum energy path. This reduces the dimensions of the configuration space available to the particle by one. We then have [18, 23]
\[ \kappa^{cl} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z^{cl}_c(q^*)}{Z^R} \]

and \( v \) is interpreted as the particle’s velocity as it approaches the barrier. If this is taken from a Maxwell distribution at temperature \( T \), then
\[ v = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi m \beta}} \]

where \( \beta = 1/kT \) and \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant.

Exactly the same formula applies in the quantum transition state theory if one simply replaces the classical partition functions with their quantum mechanical counterparts [23]. The way to calculate quantum mechanical partition functions is to use Feynman’s path integral formalism [30]. A very readable account can be found in ref [31]. Feynman shows that the partition function at
Figure 2: Diffusion coefficients of H in α-Fe calculated by path integral quantum transition state theory (PI QTST) [24]. The bands of data represent an assessment by Kiuchi and McLellan [25] of hydrogen gas equilibration experiments and measurements by Grabke and Rieke [26], the vertical width of the band reflecting the reported error bars. The remaining lines are data from electrochemical permeation experiments, assessed by Kiuchi and McLellan [25] and measured by Nagano et al.; [27] and measurements using gas and electrochemical permeation by Hayashi et al. [28] The extent of each data set represents the temperature range over which the assessment or measurements are reported. The triangles show theoretical results from centroid molecular dynamics calculations using a classical interatomic potential and are taken from ref. [29].
temperature $T$ of a single particle moving in a potential $V(x)$, in one dimension for simplicity, is

$$Z = \int Dx(\tau) e^{-S/\hbar}$$

(3)

Here $\tau$ has units of time, although it is a measure of the (inverse) temperature. The use of the symbol $Dx(\tau)$ means that the integral is taken over all “paths” starting at $\tau = 0$ and ending at $\tau = \beta \hbar$ [30]. In general a path is such that the particle starts out at position $x_1$, say, at $\tau = 0$, that is, having infinite temperature, and travels to position $x_2$ at the temperature $T$ at which we require the partition function. However for the purpose of calculating $Z$ the only paths included in the integral are those for which the position of the particle is the same at $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = \beta \hbar$ so that these are closed paths in that sense. Therefore equation (3) is the integral of $\exp(-S/\hbar)$ taken over all positions $x$ and all paths for which the particle travels from $x$ and back again in an “imaginary” time $\beta \hbar$ which is determined by the temperature of interest. In equation (3)

$$S[x(\tau)] = \int_0^{\beta \hbar} d\tau \left[ \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2(\tau) + V(x(\tau)) \right]$$

and apart from the sign of the “potential energy” $V$ this has the form of a classical action taken between imaginary times $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = \beta \hbar$.

If one discretises the closed paths into $P$ segments, then the partition function can be approximated to [31]

$$Z \approx \left( \frac{mP}{2\pi \beta \hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{7}{2}} \int dx_1...dx_P \exp \left\{ -\beta \sum_{s=1}^{P} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{mP}{\beta \hbar^2} (x_{s+1} - x_s)^2 + P^{-1}V(x_s) \right) \right\}$$

where again this is an integral over all closed paths, which means in the discretised case that $x_{s+P} = x_s, \forall i$ [32]. What is astonishing about this formula is that the partition function of a quantum mechanical particle is equivalent to the classical partition function belonging to a necklace of $P$ beads connected by harmonic springs of stiffness $mP/\beta^2 \hbar^2$. Each bead feels a potential energy $V(r)/P$. The numerical estimate converges to equation (3) when the discretisation parameter $P$ is chosen to be large enough.

Armed with the approximate partition function, these can be substituted for the classical functions in equation (2) to obtain the rate constant, $k^{\text{QTST}}$, in the quantum transition state theory [23]. Finally the diffusivity can be obtained from the Einstein formula, assuming an uncorrelated random walk among the tetrahedral sites of the bcc lattice [22],

$$D = \frac{1}{6} z R^2 \kappa^{\text{QTST}}$$

where, again, $R^2 = a^2/8$ and $z = 4$ is the number of neighbouring tetrahedral sites. In figure 2 is shown the result of the calculation compared to experiment [24]. There are two points to note. One is that the path integral quantum transition state theory (PI-QTST) predicts non Arrhenius behaviour with an upturning of the diffusivity at low temperature. This is consistent with the point made earlier that at very low temperature the proton tunnels freely between potential wells; in the limit of zero Kelvin the particle is delocalised. A second point is that the quantum mechanical treatment is essential: the classical
Figure 3: Calculated minimum energy paths for hydrogen migration to a vacancy in α-Fe. Each curve, labelled \( nH \) corresponds to a case where already \( n-1 \) H atoms have been absorbed at the vacancy. The curve labelled “bulk” is the same minimum energy path as shown in figure 1. The “reaction coordinate” along the abscissa shows the fractional length of the total path, or length in configuration space of the “nudged elastic band” [17], which is different for each case. For example the two end points (zero and one on the abscissa) illustrated in terms of their atomic structure for the case of five H atoms are drawn in figure 4.

theory predicts an activation energy that is about one third too large at room temperature [24].

Even though it is necessary to treat the motion of the protons in steel quantum mechanically this does not mean that the classical minimum energy path is unimportant. On the contrary, as has been indicated above, the quantum mechanical approach to tunnelling requires knowledge of the classical activation energy. Moreover it must be understood that the computation of the classical minimum energy path (that is, treating the nuclei as classical) is still a quantum mechanical calculation if tight binding or density functional theory is used to calculate the interatomic forces.

2.1.2 Hydrogen trapping at vacancies

We can extend the study of hydrogen in the perfect bcc lattice to consider its interaction with vacancies. This is of great technological importance as hydrogen induced superabundance of vacancies is thought to be a mechanism of hydrogen embrittlement [33, 34]. Figure 3 shows minimum energy paths for H atoms migrating from a neighbouring unit cell into a unit cell containing an
iron vacancy in $\alpha$-Fe. We show successive paths as first one, then two, then three, up to the case where five H atoms have been absorbed by the vacancy. For illustration, the atomic structures of the initial and final state are shown for the case “5H” in figure 4.

The energy plotted in the ordinate of figure 3 is the “dissolution energy”, namely the total energy of the $\alpha$-Fe crystal containing hydrogen compared to an equivalent perfect crystal and the same amount of hydrogen in the $H_2$ gaseous state [13, 11]. In the case of hydrogen migration in perfect bulk $\alpha$-Fe, labelled “bulk” in figure 3, this is always positive, reflecting the fact that hydrogen is very insoluble in $\alpha$-Fe. Figure 3 shows that hydrogen atoms can lower their potential energy by becoming absorbed at a vacancy and in the case where two or three H atoms are trapped the dissolution energy is negative. This observation is extremely significant in supporting the notion that vacancies act as traps for H atoms. Hydrogen traps deeper than about 0.5 eV are often said to be irreversible, that is one would not expect any significant thermal desorption at temperatures up to 300°C [35]. Under this classification we see that the vacancy in ferrite acts as a reversible trap for hydrogen. Figure 3 also shows that up to five H atoms can be transferred exothermically from bulk tetrahedral sites to a single vacancy. This result is consistent with experiment [36] and with DFT calculations [37]. On the other hand a quantitative comparison is less convincing. Myers et al. [38] have assigned trap depths of 0.43 eV and 0.63 eV to respectively 3–6 and 1–2 deuterium atoms trapped at a vacancy in $\alpha$-Fe. In
Table 1: Properties of interstitial carbon in iron calculated with a tight binding model [12] and compared to density functional calculations [42, 43, 41, 15, 44] and experiment [45]. The properties are, in eV, the vacancy formation ($H_{\text{Vac}}^F$) and migration ($H_{\text{Vac}}^M$) energies in pure $\alpha$-Fe, the carbon migration energy in $\alpha$-Fe, $H_C^{M_{\text{C}}}$, and carbon migration energies in $\gamma$-Fe. These last two correspond respectively to a single hop between octahedral sites, $H_C^{M_{\text{C}}(d)}$, squeezing the carbon atom in between two nearest neighbour Fe atoms and a double hop via an intermediate tetrahedral site, $H_C^{M_{\text{C}}(\text{tet})}$. The final two columns show the binding energies of one and two carbon atoms to a vacancy in $\alpha$-Fe (see the text for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$H_{\text{Vac}}^F$</th>
<th>$H_{\text{Vac}}^M$</th>
<th>$H_C^{M_{\text{C}}}$</th>
<th>$H_C^{M_{\text{C}}(d)}$</th>
<th>$H_C^{M_{\text{C}}(\text{tet})}$</th>
<th>$E_B(1)$</th>
<th>$E_B(2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.65–0.75</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expt.</td>
<td>1.61–1.75</td>
<td>1.12–1.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

that case the trend is the same as in the theory, but the magnitudes are at odds with it. In particular, we find in figure 3 that the trap depth is about the same, 0.25–0.3 eV, for all cases up to four H atoms. The so-called superabundance of vacancies [36] is an example of what has been called the "defactant" effect by Kirchheim [39]. This is in analogy with the usage surfactant to describe a chemical which acts to lower the free energy of a surface; here the hydrogen species acts to lower the free energy of formation of the vacancy defect by becoming trapped within it.

2.2 Carbon in $\alpha$-Fe

One might well ask whether there is a defactant effect at work due to interactions between carbon atoms and vacancies in steels and whether this has implications for heat treatment and microstructures in martensite and bainite. As yet this question is unresolved [40], although there have been some very interesting DFT calculations by Först et al. [41] which can answer this question. These authors showed that a number of defect complexes exist in steels comprising carbon interstitials and vacancies. According to their calculations, the two predominant point defects at 160°C, apart from alloying impurities, are the carbon interstitial in octahedral sites and a vacancy having two carbon atoms bound to it. This rather startling result plainly has huge implications for the understanding of both carbon and self diffusivity in $\alpha$-Fe.

Recently a tight binding model for carbon in magnetic $\alpha$-Fe has been demonstrated and this can be used to good effect to reproduce this result and to give an interpretation [12]. Some calculated data relevant to carbon in steel are shown in table 1 in which tight binding results are compared to DFT and to experiment. The TB model employs the Slater-Stoner theory of collinear itinerant magnetism [10] and so non-collinear effects are not captured; this may lead to small errors in the treatment of $\gamma$-Fe [46]. The experimental vacancy formation and migration energies are, surprisingly, still very controversial; here we follow the discussion by Seeger and quote his results [45]. The TB migration energies for C in ferrite
Figure 5: Atomic structure snapshots of the calculated minimum energy path for carbon diffusion in perfect α-Fe. It can be seen that in the first image the elastic dipole points roughly left to right as the crystal is locally tetragonally distorted by the carbon atom occupying the irregular octahedron of the perfect bcc lattice. As the point defect moves the dipole rotates into an up and down direction in the figure; that is, the dipole originally along the $x$-axis rotates 90° into the $z$-axis. The elastic interaction between point defects will be such as to cause these to align in analogy with the alignment of spins in a ferromagnetic material [49, 48]. It is this effect that leads to the tetragonality of martensite and bainite [50, 51].

and austenite are in quite good agreement with DFT results. C migration in α-Fe is illustrated in figure 5. This shows rather clearly the local tetragonal strain introduced into the lattice by the C interstitial. This can be modelled as a double Boussinesq force without couples [47] and gives rise to an elastic dipole which can interact with a second rank tensor, stress field, in close analogy with an electric dipole which responds to a vector, electric field [48]. Figure 5 shows rather clearly how the elastic dipole reorients through 90° after each hop. In austenite the carbon atom has a choice of migrating between octahedral sites via an intermediate tetrahedral site or it may take the direct route by forcing its way through the centre of the nearest neighbour Fe–Fe bond. As the energies in table 1 show the carbon, rather surprisingly, chooses the second option; the minimum energy path is illustrated in figure 6.

Finally, we can turn to the question of the binding of carbon interstitials to a vacancy in α-Fe. The data in the last two columns of table 1 show a quantity $E_B$ defined by Becquart et al. [14]. This is the total energy of a defect complex compared to the energy of the constituent point defects when widely separated. So, for example, $E_B(2)$ is the energy of an isolated vacancy and two carbon interstitials minus the energy of two carbon atoms bound to a vacancy. A positive value means that the complex is more stable, in this case by 1.65 eV, than its separated components. In other words the crystal gains 1.65 eV (159 kJ/mol) when two carbon atoms become bound to a bare vacancy. We have seen in the case of hydrogen that firstly the H atom does not
Figure 6: Atomic structure snapshots of the calculated minimum energy path for carbon diffusion in $\gamma$-Fe. Surprisingly the C atom does not take an intermediate hop via a neighbouring tetrahedral site for which the activation energy is 2.1 eV (table 1); instead it forces its way through the nearest neighbour Fe–Fe bond at the so called high energy “d”-saddle point [12] for which the energy barrier is very much smaller—0.63 eV.

occupy the actual vacant site—that entails a large energy penalty [37]. Instead the H atoms occupy near-tetrahedral sites in the cube faces surrounding the vacancy. Secondly we have seen that a vacancy in $\alpha$-Fe will absorb up to five H atoms out of solution exothermically; moreover the configuration with two or three trapped H atoms actually has a negative heat of solution with respect to hydrogen gas. Carbon also does not occupy the actual vacant site, substitutional phases of carbon in Fe have very large, positive heats of formation [12]. Instead carbon atoms could occupy up to the six octahedral positions at the centres of the faces of the cube surrounding the vacant site [41]. Of the six possible Fe-vacancy–C-interstitial complexes, the cases of two and three carbon atoms have the greatest binding energy [41] as indicated in table 2, hence the prediction that the vacancy plus two carbon atoms is the next most predominant defect after the isolated carbon interstitial in Fe–C alloys [41].

The reason for the large stability of the vacancy plus two carbon atoms can be seen in figure 7 which shows four possible configurations of the two carbon atoms bound to the vacancy that have been proposed [52, 41]. The structure labelled (a) is perhaps the most obvious, but in fact it has the highest potential energy. What is predicted to happen is that the carbon atoms approach each other to form a covalent bond, whose bond length is 1.43 Å which is the same as the bond distance in diamond. The structure is therefore that of a C$_2$ dimer bound to the vacancy. The structures labelled (b)–(d) in figure 7 correspond to possible orientations of the dimer and in fact, as predicted both in DFT and in tight binding it is the structure labelled (d) that has the lowest potential energy [12]. The reason for this can be seen in figure 7. In this orientation each carbon atom makes four bonds, one to the other carbon atom to form the dimer and three further bonds of equal length, 3.65 Å, to neighbouring Fe atoms. In this way the carbon atom satisfies its requirement of four fold coordination. This is an example in which a quantum mechanical theory is expected to be
Table 2: Binding energies, $E_B(n)$, in eV as defined by Becquart \textit{et al.} [14] for up to six carbon atoms to a vacancy in $\alpha$-Fe as calculated using DFT by Först \textit{et al.} [41]. These atoms occupy the octahedral sites at the centres of the six faces bounding the vacant site which thereby form the points of a regular octahedron (see figure 1 in ref. [41]). The first two columns are the same as already reported in table 1. Also shown, for comparison, are the equivalent data for hydrogen both using density functional theory [37] and tight binding [11]. Note that a vacancy will trap more hydrogen than carbon atoms: six as opposed to four, the seventh would occupy the vacancy itself and this is not a trap site. The TB is in reasonable agreement with the DFT, but it wrongly predicts that the vacancy is a trap site. The H atoms do not occupy the octahedral sites as do C; for the details of the atomic structure, see ref [11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>-1.66</td>
<td>-7.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: Four possible atomic structures [52, 41] of the carbon dimer bound to a vacancy in $\alpha$-Fe calculated by static relaxation using tight binding interatomic forces [12]. All four are local minima in the potential energy. Note that in all but case (a) the two C atoms have joined together to form a C$_2$ “dimer molecule” inside the vacancy. The global minimum is predicted to be the structure labelled (d) because that offers the preferred four-fold coordination of the carbon atom, exactly as it experiences in the ethane molecule, although here of course the bonds are to Fe, not H atoms. See the text for a discussion.
essential if the phenomenon is to be correctly described, because the bonding is achieved by the well known \( sp \)-hybridisation of the carbon atomic orbitals [16].

3 Concluding remarks

The aim of this paper has been to show how electronic structure calculations can be made that have direct relevance to physical metallurgy. The state of the art is the density functional theory, but it has been demonstrated that an abstraction into a tight binding model can be both reliable and predictive. This means that in the future it will not always be necessary to rely on the somewhat uncontrollable approximations attendant upon simulations using classical potentials. In particular it is now possible to make atomistic models of total energy and interatomic force in magnetic iron and steel. In the present case this has been applied to the calculations of atomic and electronic structures of hydrogen and carbon interstitials in iron. This has resulted in new insights into the nature of vacancies as traps for hydrogen and carbon and it is expected that this will have future impact in the understanding of hydrogen embrittlement and processes in the heat treatment of steel, leading ultimately to the design of new materials.
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