Client side web based application for search space reduction in approximate circular pattern matching
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Abstract. This paper deals with a client side development approach for Approximate Circular Pattern Matching (ACPM) problem. ACPM appears as an interesting problem in many biological contexts. ACPM is to find all possible occurrences of the rotations of a pattern \( P \) of length \( m \) in a text \( T \) of length \( n \) with \( k \) mismatch. We have developed a lightweight and fast web based tools for users. Much of the speed of our approach can be attributed to the fact that actual computation is done in client machine instead of uploading big chunks of data in the server. We have uploaded our web tools on http://www.icloudberry.com/upload/index.html [1]. The source codes including the input patterns and text files are available in our Github repository https://github.com/steven31415/circular-string-filter [2]

1 Our Contribution

We are extending the work from [4] and [5] by developing a client based tools for circular pattern matching. The main contribution of this paper is a fast and efficient browser based tools which dramatically reduces the size of data by using filter based approximate circular pattern matching approach. The idea behind our approach is quite simple and intuitive. The users do not need to install any software or do not need to upload the big file in the server. Our development approach works with big data in client side by using lower memory working as chunk by chunk without uploading any data to web server. Instead of program running in the web server, the java script code is transported in the browser in run-time and does the computation. The user doesn’t require to install any software either because the the computation is done in the browser.

2 Filtering Algorithm and our browser based client side approach

The circular pattern, denoted \( C(P) \), corresponding to a given pattern \( P = P_1 \ldots P_m \), is formed by connecting \( P_1 \) with \( P_m \) and forming a cycle. Due to
the size restriction of our short abstract, the readers are referred to read in
details from\[3\] and references therein.

We consider the DNA alphabet, i.e., \(\Sigma = \{a,c,g,t\}\). We assign the numbers
from the range \([1,...,|\Sigma|]\) to the characters of \(\Sigma\) following their inherent lexi-
cographical order. We use \(\text{num}(x), x \in \Sigma\) to denote the numeric value of the
character \(x\). So, we have \(\text{num}(a) = 1, \text{num}(c) = 2, \text{num}(g) = 3\) and \(\text{num}(t) = 4,\).
For a string \(S\), we use the notation \(S_N\) to denote the numeric representation
of the string \(S\); and \(S_N[i]\) denotes the numeric value of the character \(S[i]\). So, if
\(S[i] = g\) then \(S_N[i] = \text{num}(g) = 3\).

Filter 1 We define the function \(\text{sum}\) on a string \(P\) of length \(m\) as follows:
\[
\text{sum}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_N[i].
\]
Our first filter, Filter 1, is based on this \(\text{sum}\) function.

We have the following observation.

Observation 1 Consider a circular string \(P\) and a linear string \(T\) both having
length \(n\). If \(C(P) \equiv_k T\), where \(0 \leq k < n\), then we must have
\[
\text{sum}(T) - k \times 4 + k \times 1 \leq \text{sum}(C(P)) \leq \text{sum}(T) + k \times 4 - k \times 1.
\]

Example 2. Consider \(P = \text{atcgatg}\). We can easily calculate that
\(\text{sum}(C(P)) = 18\). Now, consider \(T_1 = \text{aacgatg}\), slightly different from \(P\), i.e., \(P[2] \neq T_1[2] = a\). As can be easily verified, here \(P \equiv_1 T_1\). According to Observation 1, in this case the lower (upper) bound is 15 (18). Indeed, we have \(T_1 = 1123143\) and \(\text{sum}(T_1) = 15\), which is within the bounds. Now consider \(T_2 = \text{ttcgatg}\), slightly different from \(P\), i.e., \(P[1] = a \neq T_2[1] = t\). As can be easily verified, here \(P \equiv_1 T_2\). Therefore, in this case as well, the lower and upper bound mentioned above hold. And indeed we have \(T_2 = 4423143\) and \(\text{sum}(T_2) = 21\), which is within the bounds. Finally, consider another string \(T' = \text{atagctg}\). It can be easily verified that \(C(P) \not\equiv_1 T'\). Again, the previous bounds hold in this case and we find that \(T'_N = 1413243\) and \(\text{sum}(T') = 18\). Clearly this is within the bounds of Observation 1, and in fact it is exactly equal to \(\text{sum}(C(P))\). This is an example of a false positive with respect to Filter 1.

3 Experimental Results

We have implemented our approximate sum filtering algorithm so that it may
process data on the client size, eliminating the need for data upload. We conduct
experiments on various text sizes and pattern sizes \((m)\), recording the time
taken to perform approximate sum filtering. An approximation parameter \(k\) is
additionally used to specify the level of approximation acceptable in the filtering
process. The final size indicates the reduced text search space after filtering.
### Table 1. Average elapsed times for upload and logic (algorithm processing time) of the approximate sum filter for varying pattern sizes (considering three approximation parameters $k$) over a text of size 250MB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$ (kb)</th>
<th>$k = 0$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
<th>$k = 1$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
<th>$k = 2$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 31.4</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0 31.1</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>0 30.5</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 30.0</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0 30.2</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>0 30.0</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 31.5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0 30.9</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>0 30.0</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Average elapsed times for upload and logic (algorithm processing time) of the approximate sum filter for varying pattern sizes (considering three approximation parameters $k$) over a text of size 500MB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$ (kb)</th>
<th>$k = 0$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
<th>$k = 1$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
<th>$k = 2$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 60.0</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0 62.0</td>
<td>18.32</td>
<td>0 60.4</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 61.8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0 60.4</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>0 62.3</td>
<td>22.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 59.0</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0 61.0</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>0 60.9</td>
<td>21.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Average elapsed times for upload and logic (algorithm processing time) of the approximate sum filter for varying pattern sizes (considering three approximation parameters $k$) over a text of size 750MB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$ (kb)</th>
<th>$k = 0$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
<th>$k = 1$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
<th>$k = 2$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 91.9</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0 98.4</td>
<td>27.41</td>
<td>0 96.9</td>
<td>50.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 97.2</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0 98.9</td>
<td>18.32</td>
<td>0 99.5</td>
<td>34.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 97.2</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0 96.6</td>
<td>17.28</td>
<td>0 88.0</td>
<td>32.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Average elapsed times for upload and logic (algorithm processing time) of the approximate sum filter for varying pattern sizes (considering three approximation parameters $k$) over a text of size 1000MB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$ (kb)</th>
<th>$k = 0$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
<th>$k = 1$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
<th>$k = 2$ Load</th>
<th>Logic</th>
<th>Final Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 120.2</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>0 123.2</td>
<td>36.57</td>
<td>0 127.3</td>
<td>67.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 123.2</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>0 124.7</td>
<td>24.41</td>
<td>0 127.0</td>
<td>45.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 125.6</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0 127.5</td>
<td>23.16</td>
<td>0 125.0</td>
<td>43.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Conclusions

We presented a web based tool for an effective lightweight filtering technique to reduce the search space of the Approximate Circular Pattern Matching (ACPM) problem. We worked on 1 GB data but it can easily go higher because the memory doesn’t load the data at a time. Our algorithm works as chunk by chunk and performs the computation. Much of the speed of our algorithm comes from the fact the user does not need to upload the data to the web server. At this moment our tool is producing significantly reduced size of text. Future works include to work on more lightweight filters as well as enhancing our current web based tool.
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