King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.010)

[10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.010)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kapetanios, G., Maule, B., & Young, G. (2016). A new summary measure of inflation expectations. *ECONOMICS LETTERS*, 149, 83-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.010>, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.010>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

A new summary measure of inflation expectations

George Kapetanios, Becky Maule, Garry Young

PII: S0165-1765(16)30402-5

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.010>

Reference: ECOLET 7363

To appear in: *Economics Letters*

Received date: 26 April 2016

Revised date: 7 September 2016

Accepted date: 9 October 2016

Please cite this article as: Kapetanios, G., Maule, B., Young, G., A new summary measure of inflation expectations. *Economics Letters* (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2016.10.010>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Highlights of 'A new summary measure of inflation expectations', by George Kapetanios, Becky Maule and Garry Young

- This note uses the well-known Nelson-Siegel approach to modelling the term structure of interest rates to construct a summary measure of the term structure of inflation expectations.
- It uses a diverse set of indicators available at different time horizons
- The proposed measure captures the signal contained in UK surveys and provides some information for monetary policymakers.

A new summary measure of inflation expectations

George Kapetanios
Kings' College London

Becky Maule
Bank of England

Garry Young
Bank of England

Bank of England
Threadneedle Street
London
EC2R 8AH

April 22 2016

Key Words – Term structure, Inflation expectation

A new summary measure of inflation expectations

George Kapetanios
King's College London

Becky Maule
Bank of England

Garry Young
Bank of England

September 7, 2016

1 Introduction

The inflation expectations of households and businesses are important in determining a range of economic outcomes, including wages, saving and investment decisions, and inflation itself. As a result, inflation-targeting central banks continuously monitor risks to inflation expectations in carrying out their inflation-related policy remits. In an inflation targeting regime metrics for such monitoring can be categorised as follows: (i) the level of inflation expectations relative to the target; (ii) uncertainty about inflation; and (iii) the sensitivity of expectations to unexpected economic developments. This note considers the first of these metrics.

Monitoring inflation expectations is complicated by the range of diverse measures that are available at different horizons from a range of different sources. Monetary policymakers, who stress the importance of inflation expectations for monetary policy, have often struggled to extract the signal from the diverse measures available (see discussion in Yellen (2016)). The measure we propose suggests a method for doing this. In this note we outline how a summary measure of the term structure of inflation expectations can be extracted from the various measures that are available.¹ It is based on the

¹The summary measure discussed in this note has been in continuous use, as a gauge

extension by Diebold and Li (2006), of the well-known Nelson-Siegel (1987) approach to modelling the term structure of interest rates using a dynamic statistical model to estimate the unobserved latent factors underlying the yield curve. We note that related but independent recent work by Aruoba (2016), has considered similar inflation expectation measures developed from surveys of professionals, but with a clear focus on forecasting.

2 The Method

We consider an extended version of the Nelson-Siegel model for the yield curve adapted for the presence of multiple measures of a given maturity of inflation expectations. The model is a state space one. To simplify, suppose that we observe a single data series of inflation expectations $y_t(\tau_j)$ for a set of m different maturities $\tau_1 < \dots < \tau_m$ relevant at a given time t , then the extended Nelson-Siegel approach we follow, fits the term structure using the multivariate model

$$y_t(\tau_j) = \mu_j + \lambda_{1j}f_{1t} + \lambda_{2j}f_{2t} + \lambda_{3j}f_{3t} + \epsilon_{jt}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$, where μ_j is a constant, f_{it} is the i -th factor at time t ($i = 1, 2, 3$), and λ_{ij} is the factor loading for the i -th factor and j -th maturity.

More generally for multiple expectation series, the measurement equation of the model is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{f}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_{t1} \\ \dots \\ y_{ti} \\ \dots \\ y_{tn} \end{pmatrix}$$

of inflation expectations, in the context of the policy cycle of the Bank of England since late 2013.

$$\mathbf{y}_{ti} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{ti}(\tau_{i1}) \\ \dots \\ y_{ti}(\tau_{ij}) \\ \dots \\ y_{ti}(\tau_{im_i}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $y_{ti}(\tau_{ij})$ is the observation of the i -th survey ($i = 1, \dots, n$) for maturity τ_{ij} at time t . There are five possible maturities in our dataset (4,8,12,16,20 quarters) but not all surveys have all maturities. Each survey has m_i available maturities. Further,

$$\mathbf{f}_t = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1t} \\ f_{2t} \\ f_{3t} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\mathbf{\Lambda}_1, \mathbf{\Lambda}_2, \mathbf{\Lambda}_3)$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{j,m_1} \\ \dots \\ \lambda_{j,m_i} \\ \dots \\ \lambda_{j,m_n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

$\lambda_{1,m_i} = \lambda_{1,i} \mathbf{l}_{m_i}$ where $\lambda_{1,i}$ is a scalar and $\mathbf{l}_{m_i}$ is a column vector of ones of dimension m_i .

$$\lambda_{2,m_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - \exp(-\tau_{i1}\lambda_{2,i})}{\tau_{i1}\lambda_{2,i}} \\ \dots \\ \frac{1 - \exp(-\tau_{ij}\lambda_{2,i})}{\tau_{ij}\lambda_{2,i}} \\ \dots \\ \frac{1 - \exp(-\tau_{im_i}\lambda_{2,i})}{\tau_{im_i}\lambda_{2,i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_{2,i}$ is a scalar.

$$\lambda_{3,m_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - \exp(-\tau_{i1}\lambda_{3,i})}{\tau_{i1}\lambda_{3,i}} - \exp(-\tau_{i1}\lambda_{3,i}) \\ \dots \\ \frac{1 - \exp(-\tau_{ij}\lambda_{3,i})}{\tau_{ij}\lambda_{3,i}} - \exp(-\tau_{ij}\lambda_{3,i}) \\ \dots \\ \frac{1 - \exp(-\tau_{im_i}\lambda_{3,i})}{\tau_{im_i}\lambda_{3,i}} - \exp(-\tau_{im_i}\lambda_{3,i}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_{3,i}$ is a scalar. Finally, we assume that

$$f_{it} = \mu_i + \rho_i f_{it-1} + \epsilon_{it}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

This model can be estimated straightforwardly using Maximum Likelihood and the Kalman filter.

3 Data

Unlike term structures derived from financial market yields that are measured at the same time and differ only in terms of their time to maturity, the term structures of inflation expectations that we construct are based on measures of inflation expectations drawn from a range of UK sources with different characteristics, sampled at different dates within each quarter. These measures include high frequency financial market implied measures derived from inflation swaps, the quarterly forecasts of professional economists as reported in surveys of forecasters (these are the H M Treasury survey of independent forecasters and the Bank of England's survey of external forecasters), and the responses to regular quantitative inflation expectation surveys at different horizons (one-year ahead, two-years ahead and five-to-ten years ahead) of households (the Bank of England/TNS survey, the Barclays Basix survey and the Citigroup/Yougov survey) and businesses (the CBI survey).² Domit, Jackson and Roberts-Sklar (2015) provide further details on the surveys.

Apart from differences in the respondents, and timing, the surveys also differ in the measures of inflation that they reference. The forecasts of professional economists are referenced to inflation in the Consumer Price Index (CPI), while financial markets measures are referenced to inflation in the Retail Price Index (RPI), where RPI inflation is typically higher than CPI inflation by around one percentage point. The household and business surveys are not referenced to any specific index. For example, the Bank of England/TNS survey asks about expected changes in 'prices in the shops'. As a consequence, the levels of inflation expectations in the different series are not the same. We express them in CPI-inflation space by adjusting for the level difference between the relevant series at the shortest maturity and CPI inflation over the available sample period of each series. Our summary measure of inflation expectations will remain in CPI-inflation space in the future provided that the relationship between individual inflation measures and CPI inflation does not change.

²Given that most of the survey information is collected quarterly, we construct the summary measures at a quarterly frequency. We average more frequently available series across their monthly (Citigroup/Yougov survey) or end-month (inflation swaps) values.

Our approach relies on there being a relatively stable inflation expectations curve that underlies the different measures of inflation expectations that are available. For our method to be informative it has to be the case that the common factors that underlie the individual observed series are less volatile than the idiosyncratic components of those series. The next section provides evidence that suggests that this is the case.

4 Illustrative Results

Outputs from the model are shown in Charts 1 and 2. Chart 1 plots the time series of the derived summary measure for one-year ahead inflation expectations alongside all of the individual measures of one-year ahead inflation expectations expressed in CPI inflation space. The summary measure appears to capture well the broad movements in the data while ignoring noise in individual series. Chart 2 plots a time series of the derived term structure of inflation expectations at short- to medium-term horizons. These series are again expressed in CPI inflation space. The higher level of five- to ten-year ahead inflation expectations is largely a reflection of the persistently higher level of the Citi/Yougov household survey at that maturity relative its one-year ahead measure. The level of these series is best judged by comparing each series to its average value in the period when inflation appeared clearly anchored to the target (pre-2008).

While our focus is on measurement of inflation expectations, it is worth noting that using the one and two year ahead inflation expectations as a forecast of future inflation, results in forecasts that can beat a random walk benchmark. In particular, we find that, over the period 2006Q1 to 2016Q2, the relative MSE, compared to a random walk forecast, for one year ahead forecasts is 0.892, while the two year ahead one is 0.883.

5 Conclusions

In this short note we have presented a new method of summarising, and an associated summary measure of, inflation expectations. The method is an adaptation of the well known Nelson-Siegel model of the interest rate yield curve that has been extended to allow for multiple surveys of expectations at different maturities. The proposed measure seems to capture effectively the

signal contained in UK surveys and, as a result, be of use to policymakers.

References

- ARUOBA, S. B. , (2016), “Term Structures of Inflation Expectations and Real Interest Rates,” *Working paper 16-09, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia*.
- DIEBOLD, F. X. AND C. LI, (2006), “Forecasting the Term Structure of Government Bond Yields,” *Journal of Econometrics*, 130, 337-364.
- DOMIT, S., C. JACKSON AND M. ROBERTS-SCLAR (2015): “Do inflation expectations currently pose a risk to inflation?,” *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin 2015 Q2*.
- NELSON, C. R. AND A. F. SIEGEL (1987): “Parsimonious Modeling of Yield Curves,” *Journal of Business*, 60, 473-489.
- YELLEN, J. (2016): “The Outlook, Uncertainty, and Monetary Policy,” *Speech to Economic Club of New York, 29 March 2016*.

Figure 1: One-year ahead inflation expectations

Figure 2: Term structure of inflation expectations at short- to medium-term horizons