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Abstract
This study aimed to examine the bidirectional relationship between psychotropic medication use and changes in leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) among a population cohort study. Phase 1 data were collected by mail surveys in 2000–2002 among 40–60-year-old employees of the City of Helsinki, Finland, and phase 2 follow up survey was conducted in 2007. Based on self-report, the respondents were classified as inactive and active (≥14.75 MET-hours/week) at the phases 1 and 2. Hazard ratios (HR) were calculated for subsequent (2007-10) psychotropic medication purchasing according to changes in physical activity (phases 1-2). Odds ratios (OR) for physical inactivity at phase 2 were calculated according to the amount of psychotropic medication between phases 1-2. Overall, 5361 respondents were included (mean age 50 years, 80% women). Compared with the persistently active, the persistently inactive, those decreasing and adopting LTPA had an increased risk for psychotropic medication. Only the persistently inactive remained at increased risk for psychotropic medication use, following the adjustment for prior psychotropic medication use. Compared with those having no medication, the risk for physical inactivity increased as the psychotropic medication increased. Our data suggest that physical activity has an important role in maintaining wellbeing and reducing psychotropic medication usage.
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1. Introduction
Physical activity has a range of benefits for health and well-being (Penedo et al., 2005). Conversely, physical inactivity is attributed as the fourth leading cause of preventable mortality in the world, largely due to the increased cardiovascular risk profile (Kohl et al., 2012). Recently, interest has begun regarding the beneficial influence of physical activity on promoting good mental health. For instance, Mammen and Faulkner (2013) found in a systematic review that physical activity has a protective effect from future depression. The evidence base for physical activity and good mental health is increasing, with more and more interest developing to see if physical activity can prevent the onset of poor mental health outcomes. Moreover, in those with a pre-existing mental illness, exercise (a structured form of physical activity) has been demonstrated to improve depressive
symptoms (Bridle et al., 2012; Rosenbaum et al., 2014; Carter et al., 2015, Schuch et al., 2016) and symptoms of schizophrenia including cognition (Firth et al., 2015, Firth et al., 2016).

While promoting physical activity and exercise are gaining credibility, pharmacotherapy remains the cornerstone for the multidisciplinary treatment of many people with mental health problems. In the past decade, the use of psychotropic medication has substantially increased, partly owing to the regulatory broadening of the indications for several psychotropic medications (Mojtabai et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2013). Psychotropic medication is typically effective in alleviating mental health symptoms but also often has various side effects. In particular antipsychotics and to a lesser extent antidepressants and mood stabilizers, are associated with an increased risk for several chronic physical diseases, obesity, diabetes and cardio-metabolic diseases (Correll et al., 2015; Vancampfort et al., 2015). Higher dosages, polypharmacy, and treatment of vulnerable (e.g., old or young) individuals are associated with greater absolute (elderly) and relative (youth) risk. To what degree medication-specific and patient-specific risk factors interact, and how adverse outcomes can be minimized, allowing patients to derive maximum benefits from these psychotropic medications, requires adequate clinical attention and further research (De Hert et al., 2011). For example, since it is known that physical inactivity is an important risk factor for a wide range of chronic diseases and premature mortality (Kodama et al., 2009; Lahti et al., 2014), research is required to investigate whether psychotropic medication is a risk factor for lower physical activity and therefore future increased risk of cardiovascular disease outcomes. Surprisingly, no longitudinal, population cohort studies have considered whether higher levels of physical activity are associated with lower psychotropic medication use and if psychotropic medication is associated with less physical activity in the future. Understanding this relationship may have potential importance for mental health promotion, in addition to help identify if those in receipt of psychotropic medication are at risk of declining physical activity and therefore more at risk of cardio-metabolic diseases.

Given the aforementioned, we set out to explore the bidirectional relationship between (a) changes in leisure-time physical activity and subsequent psychotropic medication use, and (b) psychotropic medication use and subsequent physical inactivity using data from the Helsinki Health Study (Lahelma et al., 2013).
2. Methods

2.1 Study population

The data from the current study were collected as part of the Helsinki Health Study. The Helsinki Health Study cohort was set up to enable longitudinal studies on the social and work related determinants of health and well-being, making use of self-reported as well as objective register data. The target population is the staff of the City of Helsinki, Finland (Lahelma et al., 2013). Follow-up survey data were collected from midlife employees of the City of Helsinki, Finland, at two time points: phase 1 (2000-2002) and phase 2 (2007) (Lahelma et al., 2013). Phase 1 data were collected by postal questionnaire surveys in 2000, 2001 and 2002. Questionnaires were mailed to all employees of the City of Helsinki who reached the age of 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60 during each survey year. The study has been approved by the ethics committees of the Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki and the health authorities of the City of Helsinki.

2.2 Leisure-time physical activity

The respondents were asked about their average weekly hours of leisure-time physical activity (commuting included) within the previous 12 months. Four intensity grades were given: walking, brisk walking, jogging, and running, or their equivalent activities. Approximate metabolic equivalents (MET-hours per week) were calculated for the volume of weekly leisure time physical activity. MET-hours per week were calculated by multiplying the time spent physically active by the estimated MET value of each four intensity grades (Kujala et al., 1998) and adding the four values together (Ainsworth et al., 2000). Respondents were classified as inactive according to the lowest tertile (under 14.75 MET-hours/week) or active when above this threshold (at least 14.75 MET-hours/week (Lahti et al., 2014). These two groups at the phases 1-2 yielded four groups describing persistency and changes over time in leisure-time physical activity.

2.3 Psychotropic medication

The purchases of prescribed psychotropic medication were classified according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system (WHO 2009). Any psychotropic
medication included ATC codes N05 and N06 except medication for dementia N06D. The follow-up started on the day of returning the phase 1 questionnaire and continued for the entire year 2010. For examining the two separate research questions, psychotropic medication purchases were calculated separately for the period between phases 1-2 and the period after phase 2. For the first period (phases 1-2), the amount of psychotropic medication was calculated as defined daily doses (DDD’s) i.e. the assumed medication dose per day used for its main indication. The DDD’s were classified into four groups according to tertiles of medication use: 1. No medication, 2. Low <100 DDD’s, 3. Medium =100-700 DDD’s and 4. High >700 DDD’s. For the second period, the time to the first purchase was examined.

2.4 Covariates
Covariates included age, gender, socioeconomic position (SEP), smoking, binge drinking, body mass index (BMI) and physical functioning. The information on socioeconomic position (SEP) was taken from the phase 1 survey including four occupational social classes: managers (managerial and administrative work) and professionals (e.g. teachers and doctors), semi-professionals (e.g. nurses and foremen), routine non-manual (e.g. child minders and assistant maids) and manual workers (e.g. transport and cleaning work) (Lahelma et al., 2013). Smoking status change between phases 1 and 2 was classified into four groups: 1. non-smokers, 2. became non-smokers, 3. became smokers and 4. smokers. Similar procedure was applied for binge drinking (≥ 6 portions of alcohol more than once a month) (Paljärvi et al., 2009), being overweight (BMI ≥ 25kg/m²) (Svärd et al., 2016) and lowered physical functioning (under the maximum score of 100) measured by the physical functioning subscale of the Short-Form (SF-36) health questionnaire (Ware, 2000).

2.5 Statistical methods
For estimating the effect of changes in physical activity (phases 1-2) on the risk of subsequent psychotropic medication use we used Cox regression analysis to calculate hazard ratios (HR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for first psychotropic medication purchase during the follow-up period 2007-2010. The persistently active group was used as the reference group. In model 1 age and gender were adjusted for. In model 2 covariates in model 1 and prior psychotropic medication (phases 1-2) were adjusted. In
model 3 covariates in model 1 and physical functioning were adjusted. In model 4 covariates in model 2 and physical functioning, socioeconomic position, smoking, binge drinking and body mass index were adjusted. The proportional hazards assumption was confirmed using Schoenfeld residuals (Schoenfeld, 1982).

For estimating the effect of psychotropic medication use (phases 1-2) on the risk for physical inactivity (phase 2) we calculated odds ratios (OR) and their 95% CI’s for physical inactivity according to the amount of psychotropic medication purchases. Psychotropic medication purchase groups were compared to those without medication purchases. In model 1 age and gender were adjusted for. In model 2 covariates in model 1 and physical functioning were adjusted for. In model 3 covariates in model 1 and physical inactivity (phase 1) were adjusted. In model 4 covariates in model 1 and socioeconomic position, smoking, binge drinking and body mass index were adjusted for. SPSS 23 statistical package was used.

3. Results
3.1. Study population
The target population consisted of 13,346 employees. The response rates were 67% (n=8960) for phase 1 survey and 83% (n=7332) for the phase 2 survey. The questionnaire survey data were linked with the national register on prescribed, reimbursed psychotropic medication purchases obtained from the Social Insurance Institution of Finland (74% of the phase 1 respondents gave informed written consent for the linkages). According to our non-response analyses, there were only minor differences between the survey respondents and non-respondents, although younger men and manual workers were slightly underrepresented among the respondents (Lahelma et al., 2013; Laaksonen et al., 2008). Not consenting to the data linkage showed even smaller differences than the survey non-response (Lahelma et al., 2013; Laaksonen et al., 2008). There were missing data in some of the study variables (n=214). The analyses included 5,361 (80% women) respondents.

Among the 5,361 participants (mean age 50 years) approximately 16% were persistently inactive from phase 1 to phase 2 and 53% were persistently active (Table 1). Approximately 16% moved from inactive to active and 14% from active to inactive. In comparison, we calculated the proportions among those who did not consent to the register
linkage (n=1533) which found only minor non-significant differences; persistent inactivity showed slightly more common (20%) and persistent activity less common (50%) than among the consenters. Among the study participants, those persistently active and those who became active tended to come less often from lower SEP groups (Table 1). In addition, they tended to be less often smokers and binge drinkers. There was also a tendency showing that those persistently active had less often overweight. Approximately 28% of the respondents had psychotropic medication between phases 1-2 and the persistently active tended to have less often high psychotropic medication use and more often no medication.

3.2. The effect of changes in physical activity on the risk of psychotropic medication use
During the 2.3 years follow-up after phase 2, 25% of the respondents received psychotropic medication, ranging from 21% among the persistently active to 32% among the persistently inactive (Table 2). Testing for interactions showed that the associations were similar for women and men (p=0.9). Compared with the persistently active, those persistently inactive (HR=1.61, 95%CI=1.40-1.85), those decreasing (HR=1.39, 95%CI=1.19-1.62) and those adopting (HR=1.19, 95%CI=1.02-1.39) physical activity had increased risk for the initiation of psychotropic medication in the age and gender adjusted model. Only the persistently inactive (HR=1.37, 95%CI=1.19-1.58) remained at increased risk for psychotropic medication use, when adjustment was made for prior psychotropic medication (Model 2). Similarly, adjusting for physical functioning attenuated the associations, whereas, additive adjustment for confounders had no effect.

3.3. The effect of psychotropic medication use on the risk for physical inactivity
Compared with those having no medication, the prevalence of physical inactivity at phase 2 increased in a dose-response manner as the amount of psychotropic medication use increased, ranging from 28% among those with no medication to 41% among those in the highest third (>700 DDD’s) of medication use (Table 3). Testing for interactions showed that the associations were similar for women and men (p=0.2). In the age and gender adjusted model, compared to those without medication, those in the highest third of psychotropic medication purchases, had the highest risk for subsequent inactivity (OR=1.72, 95%CI=1.41-2.10). Those in the middle (OR=1.42, 95%CI=1.16-1.72) and those in the lowest third (OR=1.24, 95%CI=1.02-1.50) had also significantly increased risk for inactivity. Adjusting for
physical functioning attenuated the associations and only the highest medication group remained at increased risk for physical inactivity (OR=1.45, 95%CI=1.18-1.77). Whereas adjusting for inactivity at phase 1 and other confounders had only slight attenuating effects.

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge the current study is the first to explore the longitudinal bidirectional relation between psychotropic medication use and changes in leisure-time physical activity. Our data show that persistently inactive people had an increased risk for the initiation of psychotropic medication compared with persistently active persons. Conversely, compared to those not in receipt of medication, the risk for subsequent physical inactivity increased in a dose-response manner as the amount of psychotropic medication increased. In summary, our results suggest a longitudinal inverse relationship between physical activity and mental health (expressed as psychotropic medication use). Therefore, the potential for physical activity to maintain and promote positive mental health and apparently reduce the need for psychotropic medication is important.

A number of studies have previously reported that decreases in physical activity levels over time increases the risk of developing depression (Camacho et al., 1991; Carroll et al., 2010), and vice versa (Gudmundsson et al., 2015). Moreover increasing levels of physical activity can reduce the risk of subsequent depression (Ball et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2005), and even maintaining some physical activity is associated with a lower risk of depression compared to those who remain totally inactive (Wise et al., 2006). Given the importance of maintaining good mental health and viewing psychotropic medication as a last resort to treat a mental disorders, our data suggest that maintaining physical activity levels may have a protective effect from subsequent psychotropic medication use. This is encouraging, particularly given the multiple side effects associated with psychotropic medication (Correll et al., 2015). Overall, the findings from our study suggest that increasing and maintaining physical activity over time should be encouraged in order to reduce the risk of developing poor mental health and being prescribed psychotropic medication. An alternative explanation of our data might be that the physical (in)activity is actually a proxy for mental health symptoms. For instance, it could be hypothesized that higher level of mental health symptoms require psychotropic medication and may therefore be related to lower levels of
inactivity. Clearly, future longitudinal research is required to disentangle the relationships we observed and should attempt to ascertain the impact of mental health symptom severity.

Different mechanisms for the protective role of physical activity on psychotropic medication use can be hypothesised. On a neurobiological front, a number of acute changes occur during and following bouts of physical activity, and several long-term adaptations are related to regular physical activity. For instance, physical activity has been found to normalize reduced levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor and therefore has neuroprotective or even neurotrophic effects (Szuzany et al., 2015). Animal studies have found physical activity-induced changes in the levels of different neurotransmitters such as dopamine (Clark et al., 2015), serotonin (Otsuka et al., 2016), glutamate (Real et al., 2010), and acetylcholine (Uchida et al., 2006), which relate to mood and beneficial effects on stress reactivity (e.g., the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis). Potential psychosocial mechanisms include social reinforcement, experience of mastery in dealing with mental health problems, shift of external to more internal locus of control, improved coping strategies, or physical activity as distraction (Daley, 2002; Fox, 1999).

Conversely, our data suggest that psychotropic medication use (particularly among those with the highest DDD), is associated with future inactivity. Given the fact that psychotropic medication increases the risk of weight-gain and chronic physical diseases (Correll et al., 2015) and that physical activity may have a protective role in preventing such unwanted consequences (Rosenbaum et al., 2014), there is a need to develop appropriate future interventions to increase physical activity in this population. Previous research in people with recognised mental illnesses, such as depression (Stubbs et al., 2016) and schizophrenia (Vancampfort et al., 2015), have demonstrated that lower drop outs from physical activity are associated with supervision by qualified professional groups (e.g. physical therapists and/or exercise physiologists). Therefore, in those with particularly high psychotropic medication doses, interventions delivered by specialists may be required. Given the large numbers of people in receipt of psychotropic medication and the increased associated morbidity, investment in resources to increase physical activity in daily life for people receiving psychotropic medication may offer excellent value, both economically and from a mental and physical health perspective.
Whilst our results are novel and of interest, it is important that future prospective population cohort studies are conducted to confirm our findings. Future prospective cohort studies should if possible consider people across a spectrum of socioeconomic positions, both genders and attempt to disentangle the bidirectional relationship between different psychotropic agents. The latter will be particularly helpful to clinicians and those who prescribe medication. Moreover, future research should attempt to consider the impact of sedentary behaviour and capture this together with physical activity using appropriate objective methods such as accelerometers. Finally, future research should consider if physical activity prescription can prevent the need for psychotropic medication within a large pragmatic randomised control trial. Only under such circumstances will it be possible to address the question of causality in the associations we observed.

4.1 Strength and limitations

The current study has a number of strengths. We utilized a large cohort including both women and men exploring bidirectional associations between changes in leisure-time physical activity participation and psychotropic medication use. Next to this, we were able to control for a wide range of important covariates including age, gender, socioeconomic position, smoking, binge drinking, BMI and physical functioning. However, unmeasured and residual confounding is possible. The present findings need, however, be interpreted with caution as well because of some limitations of our data. Firstly, the participants were middle-aged public sector employees and 80% of them were women corresponding to the gender distribution of the target population and the municipal sector in Finland. Whilst the results are overrepresented by females, the associations we observed in our study were similar among women and men. Hence our data are not representative for the entire population, in particular for the most vulnerable groups for instance those being unemployed. Another limitation was the reliance on self-reported recall physical activity that lack validation, however, no single physical activity questionnaire has proven superior (van Poppel et al., 2010). Thirdly, non-response and attrition may be a problem in longitudinal cohort studies. In our study, the phase 1 response rate (67%) was acceptable and good for the phase 2 (83%). In addition, there was further attrition due to consenting to the register linkage. According to our non-response analyses e.g. the non-respondents were
somewhat younger and from lower occupational classes and had slightly more long sickness absences than the responders, however, the differences were small and thus the results are unlikely to be markedly biased (Lahelma et al., 2013; Laaksonen et al., 2008). In addition, we showed that those consenting and not consenting to the register linkage had similar patterns of leisure-time physical activity between phases 1-2, although physical inactivity was somewhat more common among the non-consenters. Due to attrition and the fact that all participants were initially employed the participants are a selected group i.e. healthier and physically more active than the general population and thus the results are probably conservative showing weaker associations than for general population (Li and Sung, 1999).

The medication data came from national health register. They are likely to be accurate since the information is originally collected for administrative purposes and used as the basis for monetary reimbursements. However, the purchases of prescribed psychotropic medication may not be considered as a direct measure of mental health problems. Whilst psychotropic medication is primarily utilised to treat common mental disorders, the use of certain classifications, such as antidepressants, is increasing for other conditions such as pain and sleep problems. In addition, there is comorbidity between common mental disorders such as anxiety and depression (Scott et al., 2007) and antidepressants are also prescribed for anxiety disorders (Sihvo et al., 2006) and we do not know the specific mental disorders that these medications were prescribed for. Our previous analyses have, however, shown similar associations between leisure-time physical activity and use of antidepressants and sedatives (Lahti et al., 2013). Thus we chose to use any psychotropic medication in our analyses examining the bidirectional associations in a longitudinal setting.

5. Conclusions
We examined bidirectional relationship between physical activity and psychotropic medication and found that physical activity is associated with a reduced need for psychotropic medication after adjusting for pertinent confounders. Moreover, psychotropic medication use, particularly in high doses, is associated with less physical activity. Given these findings, our data suggest that physical activity has an important role in maintaining wellbeing and reducing the need for psychotropic medication.
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Table 1. Description of study variables by leisure time physical activity change groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leisure-time physical activity</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Inactive</th>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Inactive</th>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
<th>Inactive</th>
<th>Inactive</th>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Active</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>911 (17)</td>
<td>728 (14)</td>
<td>864 (16)</td>
<td>2858 (53)</td>
<td>5361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (%)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic position (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual workers</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine non-manuals</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-professionals</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers/professionals</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Became smokers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Became non-smokers</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge drinking (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge drinker a</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Became binge drinker | 6 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7
Became non-binge drinker | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6
Non-binge drinker | 68 | 67 | 74 | 73 | 71

**Body mass index (%)**

| Category        | 61 | 51 | 47 | 36 | 44
|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----
| Became overweight | 9  | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10
| Became normal weight | 5  | 3  | 5  | 4  | 4
| Normal weight    | 26 | 33 | 39 | 50 | 42

**Psychotropic medication**

**Phases 1-2 (%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High (&gt;700 DDD) c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (100-700 DDD)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt; 100 DDD)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No medication</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Physical functioning (%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>72</th>
<th>62</th>
<th>56</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-optimal functioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Became sub-optimal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Became optimal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal functioning</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a ≥ 6 portions of alcohol more than once a month
b ≥ 25kg/m²
c Defined Daily Dose
Table 2. Hazard ratios (95% CI) and proportions (%) for any psychotropic medication purchase after phase 2 by leisure-time physical activity change groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leisure time physical activity</th>
<th>Psychotropic medication</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>Phase 2 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.61 (1.40-1.85)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.19-1.58)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.20-1.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.39 (1.19-1.62)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.98-1.34)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.06-1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.19 (1.02-1.39)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.97-1.32)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.95-1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 adjusted for age and gender
Model 2 Model 1 + psychotropic medication phases 1-2
Model 3 Model 1 + physical functioning
Model 4 Model 2 + physical functioning, socioeconomic position, binge drinking, smoking, BMI

Table 3. Odds ratios (95% CI) and proportions (%) for physical inactivity (phase 2) by any psychotropic medication (phases 1-2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychotropic medication phases 1-2</th>
<th>Inactive phase 2 (%)</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;700 DDD</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.72 (1.41-2.10)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.18-1.77)</td>
<td>1.65 (1.33-2.03)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.25-1.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium 100-700 DDD</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.42 (1.16-1.72)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.98-1.46)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.07-1.63)</td>
<td>1.33 (1.09-1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low &lt;100 DDD</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.24 (1.02-1.50)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 adjusted for age and gender
Model 2 Model 1 + physical functioning
Model 3 Model 1 + physical inactivity at phase 1
Model 4 Model 1 + socioeconomic position, binge drinking, smoking, BMI

Highlights:

- We demonstrated a longitudinal bidirectional relationship between leisure-time physical activity and psychotropic medication
- People who are persistently inactive are at increased risk of receiving psychotropic medication

- Increasing amount of psychotropic medication use is associated with subsequent physical inactivity