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Genetic compendium of 1511 human brains available through the UK Medical Research Council Brain Banks Network Resource

Michael J. Keogh,¹,² Wei Wei,¹,² Ian Wilson,¹ Jon Coxhead,¹ Sarah Ryan,³ Sara Rollinson,³ Helen Griffin,¹ Marzena Kurzawa-Akanbi,¹ Mauro Santibanez-Koref,¹ Kevin Talbot,⁴ Martin R. Turner,⁴ Chris-Anne McKenzie,⁵ Claire Troakes,⁶ Johannes Attems,¹ Colin Smith,⁵ Safa Al Sarraj,⁶ Chris M. Morris,¹ Olaf Ansorge,⁷ Stuart Pickering-Brown,³ James W. Ironside,⁵ and Patrick F. Chinnery²,⁸

¹Institute of Genetic Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 3BZ, United Kingdom; ²Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, United Kingdom; ³Institute of Brain, Behaviour and Mental Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PT, United Kingdom; ⁴Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, OX3 9DU, United Kingdom; ⁵National CJD Research & Surveillance Unit, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, United Kingdom; ⁶Department of Basic and Clinical Neuroscience, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King’s College London, London, SE5 8AF, United Kingdom; ⁷Department of Neuropathology, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, OX3 9DU, United Kingdom; ⁸MRC Mitochondrial Basic and Clinical Neuroscience, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King’s College London, London, SE5 8AF, United Kingdom

Given the central role of genetic factors in the pathogenesis of common neurodegenerative disorders, it is critical that mechanistic studies in human tissue are interpreted in a genetically enlightened context. To address this, we performed exome sequencing and copy number variant analysis on 1511 frozen human brains with a diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease (AD, n = 289), frontotemporal dementia/amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (FTD/ALS, n = 252), Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD, n = 239), Parkinson’s disease (PD, n = 39), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB, n = 58), other neurodegenerative, vascular, or neurogenetic disorders (n = 266), and controls with no significant neuropathology (n = 368). Genomic DNA was extracted from brain tissue in all cases before exome sequencing (Illumina Nextera 62 Mb capture) with variants called by FreeBayes; copy number variant (CNV) analysis (Illumina HumanOmniExpress-12 BeadChip); C9orf72 repeat expansion detection; and APOE genotyping. Established or likely pathogenic heterozygous, compound heterozygous, or homozygous variants, together with the C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansions and a copy number gain of APP, were found in 61 brains. In addition to known risk alleles in 349 brains (23.9% of 1461 undergoing exome sequencing), we saw an association between rare variants in GRN and DBL. Rare CNVs were found in <1.5% of brains, including copy number gains of PRRH that were overrepresented in AD. Clinical, pathological, and genetic data are available, enabling the retrieval of specific frozen brains through the UK Medical Research Council Brain Banks Network. This allows direct access to pathological and control human brain tissue based on an individual's genetic architecture, thus enabling the functional validation of known genetic risk factors and potentially pathogenic alleles identified in future studies.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

The past 20 years have witnessed major advances in our understanding of the genetic landscape of common neurodegenerative disorders including Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Parkinson’s disease (PD), motor neuron disease (MND)/amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), frontotemporal dementia (FTD), and prion disorders such as Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (CJD). Highly penetrant alleles causing familial forms of these diseases have now been identified in approximately 50 genes (Supplemental Methods; for review, see Mead 2006; Chen et al. 2013; Guerreiro and Hardy 2014; Lin and Farrer 2014), and both genome-wide association and candidate gene studies in sporadic cases have detected numerous genetic risk alleles (for review, see Ramanan and Saykin 2013).

The complex genetic architecture of neurodegenerative diseases presents several challenges. First, the implications of a novel genetic variant are particularly difficult to define (MacArthur et al. 2014). The clinical phenotype often does not correspond to the anticipated neuropathology, and specific disease alleles are associated with a wide phenotypic spectrum (Caslake et al. 2008; Grau-Rivera et al. 2015). This makes it extremely difficult to establish whether a novel genetic variant is responsible for the disorder—an issue that is gaining importance, given the widespread use of clinical exome and genome sequencing.

© 2017 Keogh et al. This article, published in Genome Research, is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.
Second, our understanding of the functional consequences of specific mutations is far from clear. This is partly because the effects of genetic variants can vary between tissue and cell type (Dimas et al. 2009) and also because several neurodegenerative diseases are modulated by age-related comorbidity. Both factors are extremely challenging to model in vitro and in animals.

The analysis of postmortem human brain tissue circumvents some of these difficulties, but understanding the genetic background is of critical importance. This knowledge enables the stratification of mechanistic studies and the dissection of disease pathways downstream from specific genetic lesions. It also avoids the inadvertent enrichment of a study group for specific genetic disorders, thus preventing results being inappropriately generalized across a broad disease category.

To address these issues, we performed exome sequencing and array-based gene dosage analysis of samples from 1511 frozen brains from a brain tissue resource: the UK Medical Research Council Brain Bank. Our analysis provides a genetic compendium for this accessible tissue resource.

Results
Demographics
The mean age at death across all cases was 68.3 (sd = 17.9) and ranged from 3 to 103 (Table 1; Supplemental Table S1). Only 2.8% of cases had a family history of disease, as defined by a record of a familial pattern in their family tree (Table 1). The CJD cohort comprised sporadic (n = 126), variant (n = 62), and other subtypes, as shown in Supplemental Fig S1. All demographic data are available online (https://ega-archive.org/studies/EGAS00001001599).

Exome sequencing
The mean depth of sequencing of the whole exome was 51.9 (sd = 12.9), and 49.1 (sd = 13.8) in genes associated with neurodegenerative disease (Supplemental Tables S2, S3). Following quality control (QC), 1241 brains had data available using all four genetic approaches (exome sequencing, array single nucleotide variant [SNV] genotyping, C9orf72 PCR, and APOE genotyping) (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2).

Single heterozygous SNVs, small insertions, or deletions in genes known to cause common neurodegenerative diseases
We initially identified rare heterozygous variants present in genes known to cause autosomal dominant forms of common neurodegenerative diseases (Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Table S2). In total, 313 variants were seen in 817 cases (Fig. 2), with 261 (83.4%) absent from the 1000 Genomes Project (The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium 2012), 212 (67.7%) absent from ESP6500 databases (Exome Variant Server 2016), and 149 (47.6%) absent from the ExAC database (Lek et al. 2016). Sixty-two percent of variants (n = 194) were initially determined to be of uncertain significance given the lack of any prior available data, and 39 variants in 57 of the 1461 cases (3.9%) undergoing exome sequencing were considered to be either pathogenic or likely pathogenic according to the American College of Medical Genetics criteria for variant pathogenicity (Fig. 2; Richards et al. 2015).

Following comparison and correlation of variants with the case diagnoses in our data set, 28 pathogenic and likely pathogenic heterozygous variants were confirmed in 38 cases (3.46% of 1099 cases of disease) (Fig. 3; Supplemental Table Clinical data; Supplemental Table Variant data). Importantly, cross-correlating our genomic data with clinical and pathological data enabled the reclassification of 149 of the 194 variants that initially were classified as being of uncertain significance. The initial classification of the 194 variants as being of uncertain significance was because, prior to our study, they had never been reported in human reference databases, nor had they been described in either clinical cases of disease, nor studied in vitro or in vivo. However, by detecting 149 of these in control subjects...
or in cases with a discordant phenotype, we were able to conclude that they were likely to be benign (Supplemental Table Clinical data; Supplemental Table Variant data). Forty-five variants in 52 cases remained classified as being of uncertain significance after initial assessment (3.6% of 1461 cases undergoing exome sequencing), with these variants present in phenotypically appropriate cases for the genotype or in young control subjects. Over 50% of these variants are entirely novel (n = 23), having never been previously detected in human subjects not in any other cases in our data set, nor the three on-line databases accessed in this study (n = 69,660).

Homozygous or compound heterozygous SNVs, insertions, or deletions in genes known to cause common neurodegenerative diseases

Homozygous or compound heterozygous variants known to cause disease were seen in only four cases and were considered to be pathogenic (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table Clinical data; Supplemental Table Variant data).

Single heterozygous SNVs, small insertions, or deletions in genes known to cause rare neurodegenerative diseases

In 10 cases with rare neurological disorders, pathogenic variants were detected in three brains: Case 24, adult-onset ganglosidosis (HEXA; p.R499C); Case 29, Kuf’s disease (DNAJCS; p.L115R); and Case 44: chorea-acanthocytosis (VPS13A; p.L1841∗/p.W2347fs∗36) (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table S4; Supplemental Table Clinical data; Supplemental Table Variant data).

Figure 1. Summary of the molecular genetic approach and the data passing quality control (QC) in 1511 postmortem brains. Algorithm showing the genotyping strategy and headline positive results.

C9orf72 screening

The C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion was present in 15/1482 brains cases (1% of the total disease cohort, and 6.14% of all FTD or ALS cases (n = 244) which had C9orf72 analysis). Of note, the ‘FTD-ALS’ cohort combined all isolated FTD cases, isolated MND cases, and FTD-ALS cases into one cohort due to the genetic overlap between these disorders (Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Table S5). These findings were confirmed by Southern blot.

Identity By Descent (IBD) analysis

IBD using the SNV genotypes revealed nine pairs of individuals with cryptic 1st–4th degree ancestry (Supplemental Table S6; Supplemental Fig. S3). This led to a molecular diagnosis of spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA) because one of two first-degree relatives within the cohort was diagnosed with SCA7 in a parallel study, leading to the diagnosis in the remaining relative.

Copy number variation

Rare copy number variants (CNVs) spanning the coding region of any of the 49 common neurodegenerative disease genes included in our study (see Supplemental Methods for criteria and Supplemental Table S2 for gene IDs) were seen in 1.46% of cases (n = 19). Only one CNV occurred in a gene known to convey pathogenicity through copy number variation (Case 61: Alzheimer’s disease with an APP triplication) (Supplemental Table S7) and was considered to be pathogenic. Copy number gains were also seen in APOE (n = 1), DAO (n = 1), SCARR2 (n = 1), and SGP11 (n = 1), and copy number loss in LRRK2 (n = 1) and PARK2 (n = 4).
Nine cases showed a copy number gain in PRPH comprising three different CNVs, with a mean length of 13.8 kb (SD = 0.9 kb) (Fig 4; Supplemental Table S7). Six of the nine cases had AD, resulting in PRPH copy number gains having a significant association with AD vs. all controls with CNV data ($n = 383$, $P = 0.015$, Fisher’s exact test), and when compared to all forms of neurodegenerative disease ($n = 1060$, $P = 0.002$). The three other brains with PRPH copy number gains showed: pathologically atypical tauopathy with AD-like features; ALS; and a control case with an age at death two standard deviations below the mean of the confirmed AD cases with PRPH copy number gains.

### Risk factor SNVs

Alleles previously described as conferring a risk of AD, DLB, FTD-ALS, or PD were identified (Supplemental Table S8), with 38 cases possessing established risk factors in TREM2, GBA, LRRK2 (Supplemental Table S8). We also compared the total burden of rare coding variants in genes known to act as risk factors for disease for each case cohort compared to aged (>55 yr) neuropathologically normal controls. We confirmed associations between AD and variants in TREM2 (cases; $n = 20$ [6.9%], controls $n = 8$ [3.3%]; $P = 0.017$), DLB with GBA (cases; $n = 8$ [13.8%], controls: $n = 10$ [4.1%]; $P = 0.0075$), and TREM2 (cases; $n = 7$ [12.1%], controls: $n = 8$ [3.3%]; $P = 0.0084$), and FTD-ALS with SQSTM1 (cases; $n = 29$ [13.2%], controls: $n = 16$ [6.6%]; $P = 0.0076$) (Supplemental Table S8). We also identified a putative novel risk factor for disease: the TREM2 p.R62H variant with DLB ($P = 0.0024$, OR:3.2 [95% CI 1.7–27]) which was seen in 7/58 cases (12.1%) and 5/244 controls (2.05%). We also found supporting evidence that the SQSTM1 p.P392L variant is a risk factor for FTD-ALS ($P = 0.05$). APOE*E3/4 alleles and E4/4 alleles were strongly associated with AD ($P < 0.0001$) and DLB ($P < 0.001$) (Supplemental Table S9). In total, 349 cases or controls had at least one protein-altering variant in a gene previously associated with an appropriate neurodegenerative disease (Fig. 2; Supplemental Tables S8, S9).

### Genotype-pathology and genotype-clinical correlations

There was no association between the presence of variants in any risk-factor gene (TREM2, GBA, LRRK2, SQSTM1, GRN) and any neuropathological assessment score or any clinical parameter (age of onset, death, or disease duration). When stratifying by disease, TREM2 variants in AD cases were associated with a shorter disease duration (Kaplan-Meier Mantel-Cox, $P = 0.027$) (Supplemental Fig. S4), but there were no other associations between any risk-factor variants and any clinical or pathological feature.

### Novel risk-factor associations

To determine whether variants in any established risk-factor gene conferred risk for alternative forms of neurodegenerative disease we performed burden testing of all risk-factor genes across all large cohorts (AD, FTD-ALS, CJD, DLB) compared to an extended version of all controls ($n = 380$). Cases possessing highly penetrant pathogenic variants (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table S10), were removed from each group before testing. Variants in GRN were associated with DLB ($P = 0.005$), with eight variants seen in 12.1% of
patients and only 3.2% of controls, though no single allele was significantly associated with disease (Supplemental Tables S10, S11).

Discussion

Using a multimodal sequencing approach, we have identified highly penetrant alleles causing disease in 61 individuals (heterozygous alleles \( n = 40 \), homozygous or compound heterozygous alleles \( n = 5 \), \( \text{C9orf72} \) hexanucleotide repeat expansion \( n = 15 \), and pathogenic \( \text{CNV} \) \( n = 1 \)) at postmortem with clinical and pathological evidence of neurodegenerative disease and a further 349 individuals with established protein-coding heterozygous genetic risk factors for neurodegeneration (Fig. 1). This integrated genomic and pathological data set provides a unique resource to genetically stratify human brain tissue research and will ensure that future work using this tissue resource is not confounded by hitherto unknown genetic factors. The genetic data is available to all researchers, enabling the rapid identification and provision of brain tissue containing genetic variants which emerge as likely risk factors for neurodegeneration in future years but are currently not known to be important.

Using current guidelines (Richards et al. 2015), 62% \(( n = 194)\) of rare variants (MAF < 0.5%) were initially classified as being of uncertain significance. Just under half of these variants were not present in international reference databases \(( n = 95, 48.9\%)\) and with no previous clinical or functional in vitro modeling to support or refute pathogenicity (Supplemental Tables Clinical data and Variant data; MacArthur et al. 2014). However, analysis of our clinical and pathological data showed that 149 of these 194 variants (76.8%) were found in control brain donors or in patients with incongruous clinical phenotypes, enabling the reclassification of 149 variants as likely to be benign. These findings illustrate the importance of studying postmortem tissue in late-onset disorders where the clinical phenotype alone may be unreliable.

In addition to the reclassification of uncertain variants as benign, our data also shows the converse: that specific alleles previously dismissed as benign, may be pathogenic. For example, the \( \text{PSEN2} \) \( p.D439A \) variant was first described in patients with AD but subsequently found in asymptomatic controls. This led some to conclude that \( \text{PSEN2} \) \( p.D439A \) was not pathogenic (Sassi et al. 2014). In this study, we saw \( \text{PSEN2} \) \( p.D439A \) in both an AD and a control brain. However, the control brain had moderate Alzheimer-type pathology (Braak stage 3/4), supporting possible pathogenicity with age-related penetrance. Our findings therefore show that the presence of a variant in a clinically defined ‘healthy control’ is not reliable, and it is unsafe to reject a putative pathogenic variant as benign without a comprehensive assessment of the neuropathology in an ostensibly healthy control subject. For neurodegenerative diseases, this means a postmortem examination.

Related to this, another unique and valuable feature of the resource is the inclusion of young clinically unaffected controls \(( n = 135 \) under age 55). Genotyped control brains provide an opportunity to explore the preclinical effects of neurodegenerative risk alleles, before significant cell loss and secondary pathological
In this study, this was only seen once, in a young control harboring a known pathogenic mutation (compound heterozygous PARK2 mutations). Larger cohorts of control brain tissue are likely to yield more examples and thus provide valuable insight into the preclinical pathology of late-onset neurological disorders, potentially revealing early targets for therapeutic intervention.

We also found exceptionally rare genotypes of disease, including a homozygous p.R217* OPTN mutation and a novel p.G216R missense variant in HNRNPA1, both causing ostensibly sporadic cases of motor neuron disease (Fig. 5). Other than the original case series (Maruyama et al. 2010), this is one of only a handful of known pathogenic homozygous OPTN cases, and HNRNPA1 variants have failed to be detected in several large clinical cohorts (Seelen et al. 2014). Identification of brain tissue from these rare forms of disease provides an invaluable opportunity to further define the molecular pathogenesis of these disorders and establish genotype-phenotype relationships. For example, although both mutations were associated with a TDP-43 proteinopathy (Fig. 5), the neuropathological pattern was atypical in the homozygous p.R217* OPTN patient, demonstrating dominant subcortical and glial proteinopathy.

Analyzing the coding region variants across the entire cohort enabled a compilation of a comprehensive database of genotype-phenotype correlations and facilitated the detection of rare phenotypes and presymptomatic cases of disease. This is perhaps best highlighted by the homozygous SOD1 p.D91A variant, which classically presents with distal lower motor-neuron features and progresses slowly (Andersen et al. 1996). Here, we detected the same homozygous variants in a patient with atypical dementia and some clinical features overlapping with multiple system atrophy (MSA). In addition, we found a 35-yr-old clinical control male with a previously identified pathogenic compound heterozygous mutation in PARK2. Subsequent repeat neuropathological assessment showed no evidence of neuronal loss within the substantia nigra. Given his age at postmortem, it is not clear whether this individual was presymptomatic, or whether the alleles have a reduced clinical penetrance in this case. Larger cohorts of neuropathologically stratified cases are likely to assist in determining pathogenicity in such cases.

Figure 4. Copy number gain in PRPH. (Upper) q13 region of Chromosome 12 is shown. (Middle) Individual points show the Log R Ratio (LRR) and beta allele frequency (BAF) of SNV genotyping SNPs between base position 49640000 and 49740000 on Chromosome 12 (GRCh37 build). Positive LRR values in the gray shaded region are consistent with a copy number gain in that region (>3 SDs above the mean of the cohort) (see Supplemental Methods). The PRPH gene within that genomic region is identified on the x-axis. (Lower) 5’ position of the final SNP in the copy number region in the three different CNVs identified and their relevant position in the PRPH protein (orange, green, blue), highlighting that three different CNV end positions were identified in patients within the study within the PRPH gene.

Figure 5. Neuropathology in the brain donors found to harbor an OPTN (A,B) and HNRNPA1 mutation (C). (A,B) Severe degeneration with spongiosis of the primary motor cortex (haematoxylin and eosin, and atypical, predominantly subcortical white matter TDP-43 proteinopathy, A) in the patient with the OPTN mutation. In contrast, in C, the HNRNPA1 mutation was associated with a lower motor neuron phenotype with classical skein-like cytoplasmic mis-localization of TDP-43 (C, hypoglossal nucleus). (A, 200×; B, C, 400×) (Cx) Cortex, (SCxWm) subcortical white matter.
We also made number of novel observations. Firstly, p.R62H TREM2 variant appears to be a risk factor for DLB ($P=0.0024$, OR;3.2 [95% CI 1.7–27]). This variant was previously reported in association with AD (Jin et al. 2014), and although these findings need replication, these observations further emphasize the overlapping genetic etiology of DLB and AD and endorse the view that TREM2 increases the risk of developing several neurodegenerative diseases (Rayaprolu et al. 2013). Second, we show the first association of GBN variants with DLB. Again, this will require replication but suggests a novel genetic overlap between DLB and AD, found in six patients with typical AD pathology (Fig. 4). Although point mutations have previously been found in association with ALS/MND (Gros-Louis et al. 2004), the recent finding that peripherin may regulate amyloid metabolism (Muresan et al. 2014) provides a potential mechanism linking amyloid deposition and the first possible overlapping genetic mechanism between ALS/MND and AD.

There are several explanations for the absence of a relevant family history in most (73.8%) of the 61 likely genetically determined cases we identified ($n=45$), including early death in previous generations, incomplete penetrance, de novo mutation, and false paternity. Although we cannot resolve this issue for individual cases, our findings do highlight the importance of considering highly penetrant single gene defects in patients with an ostensibly sporadic neurodegenerative disease.

Although a number of known genetic causes of neurodegeneration were not included in our analysis, including large insertions, deletions, and large-scale inversions, these are only likely to be relevant for a small minority of cases. In addition, being an analysis of a legacy collection, newer neuropathological assessment criteria were not available in brains collected some time ago. However, the fine-detailed genetic characterization of specific alleles and contemporary histopathological assessments will be added in the near future. This genetic compendium of 1511 brains includes 40 brains with highly penetrant SNVs in 13 known disease genes, five cases with homozygous or heterozygous variants in four genes, together with pathological expansions of C9orf72 in 15 cases, and a copy number gain of APP in one case, resulting in a total of 61 cases of genetically determined disease. The compendium also includes 349 cases and aged controls with established risk protein coding alleles for neurodegenerative disease (Fig. 1). The whole data set will facilitate variant interpretation and provides a framework for the genetic stratification of future human postmortem analysis studies.

Methods

Clinical and pathological data

Ethical approval for the genetic analysis of postmortem brain tissue was obtained from the ethical review board of each participating center. One thousand five hundred eleven brains were selected from four centers within the MRC Brain Bank Network to include a representative range of neurodegenerative disorders and controls who died from unrelated causes (Table 1; Supplemental Table S1). Demographic data (age of disease onset and death, disease duration, and family history of disease), together with the ante-mortem clinical diagnosis and postmortem neuropathological diagnosis were recorded for all cases. Quantitative neuropathological scores and stages (e.g., Braak neurofibrillary tangle stage [Braak et al. 2006]) were also supplied for each case as appropriate (see Supplemental Methods). For cases conforming to diagnostic criteria for Creutzfeld-Jakob disease (Budka et al. 1995), the clinical subgroup (sporadic, familial, variant, or iatrogenic) was also recorded.

Antemortem clinical and postmortem pathological data were reviewed for all 1511 cases to ensure the antemortem history was consistent with the neuropathological diagnosis for all disorders (Supplemental Methods). Given the known neuropathological and genetic overlap, cases with either frontotemporal dementia, motor neuron disease/amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, were included in a combined FTD-ALS subgroup. When antemortem symptoms were either absent or unavailable, the case was categorized as ‘other,’ alongside rare neurological diagnoses. A full description of the cohort stratification process together with the specific diagnoses of rare cases can be found in Supplemental Table S1 and the Supplemental Methods.

Molecular genetic and bioinformatic analysis

DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from either the cerebellum, cerebral cortex, or basal ganglia as described in the Supplemental Methods.

Exome sequencing and analysis

Genomic DNA was fragmented, exome enriched, and sequenced (Nextera Rapid Exome Capture 62 Mb and HiSeq 2000, 100-bp paired-end reads). Bioinformatic analysis was performed using an in-house pipeline including alignment (human reference genome hg19, UCSC) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) (Langmead et al. 2009). Variant calling was performed using FreeBayes (Garrison and Marth 2012). Subsequent analysis was restricted to on-target homozygous, heterozygous, and compound heterozygous variants with a minimum read depth of 10 in any case or control, and base quality score of 20 within the cohort. Further analysis was performed on frameshift, in-frame indel, or stop codon change, missense variants, and splice site loss variants with a minor allele frequency < 0.5% or < 3% (for familial forms of disease or risk-factor alleles, respectively) in the 100 Genomes Project Database (The 100 Genomes Project Consortium 2012), European American cases from the NHLBI ESP exomes database (Exome Variant Server 2016), and ExAC server (Lek et al. 2016), using Qiagen Ingenuity Variant Analysis software. Variants in genes known to cause familial forms of neurodegenerative disease (Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Table S2) with the appropriate inheritance pattern were assessed in all cases according to both the 2015 American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) (Richards et al. 2015) and the MacArthur Criteria (MacArthur et al. 2014), irrespective of phenotype or neuropathological diagnosis (Fig. 2). The ACMG criteria remain the world’s leading criteria for the interpretation of sequencing variants in a clinical context, and the MacArthur criteria are a second stringent criteria that propose that researchers summarize and present a spectrum of evidence in order to truly attribute pathogenicity of identified alleles in sequencing studies. The same criteria were also applied to a specific assessment of a small number of cases with rare neurological diseases, undertaken independently (adult onset gangliosidosis, cerebello-olivary atrophy, chorea-acenthycytosis, Huntington-like disease, Kuf’s disease, infantile onset mitochondrial disease, neurodegeneration with brain iron accumulation, and primary familial basal ganglia calcification) (Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Table S4).
Associations at the gene level

Initially, known pathogenic and likely pathogenic causes of disease were removed. Known risk-factor associations at the gene level were then tested through case burden testing using Sequence Kernel Association Test (SKAT-O) (Wu et al. 2011) against a group of control cases aged 55 or over with no features of neurodegenerative disease (Supplemental Methods) \( (n = 244) \) within Ingenuity Variant Analysis. Subsequent allele-level associations were assessed through \( \chi^2 \) testing with a Mantel-Haenszel correction when a count of zero occurred in either group. Subsequent analyses for novel associations between disease cohorts and controls were performed against an expanded cohort of controls across the whole age range \( (n = 380 \text{ including } n = 244 \text{ aged controls, plus } n = 136 \text{ young controls and vascular controls}) \) (see Supplemental Methods).

Array genotyping and analysis

Array genotyping was performed on all samples using the Illumina HumanOmniExpress-12 BeadChip array (Illumina) and subsequently utilized to perform Identity by Descent to determine related individuals within the data set. Single nucleotide variant genotyping data was also used to determine copy number variants within genes known to cause familial forms of disease or act as known risk factors (Supplemental Methods). Rare CNVs were defined as those present in <1% of cases in references databases.

Additional allele–specific genotyping

Specific genotyping to determine the APOE genotype was also performed independently because of poor exome and SNV array coverage (Supplemental Methods). Screening for the C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion was also performed as described (Renton et al. 2011). Positive results were confirmed by Southern blot (Beck et al. 2013), allowing an estimate of the repeat size.

Data access

Clinical, pathological, and genetic data from this study have been submitted to the European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA, https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/home) under accession number EGAS00001001599. VCF files and associated and annotated metadata (clinical and neuropathological diagnosis, age of disease onset, and age of death) are available for download through this archive. All requests for data should be made to the Data Access Committee as identified through the portal. Access to brain tissue is available through http://www.mrc.ac.uk/research/facilities/brain-banks/.
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