Chronic pain, depression and cardiovascular disease linked through a shared genetic predisposition: Analysis of a family-based cohort and twin study
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Abstract

Background

Depression and chronic pain are the two most important causes of disability (Global Burden of Disease Study 2013). They occur together more frequently than expected and both conditions have been shown to be co-morbid with cardiovascular disease. Although shared socio-demographic risk factors (e.g. gender, deprivation) might explain the co-morbidity of these three conditions, we hypothesised that these three long-term, highly prevalent conditions co-occur and may be due to shared familial risk, and/or genetic factors.

Methods and findings

We employed three different study designs in two independent cohorts, namely Generation Scotland and TwinsUK, having standardised, validated questionnaire data on the three traits of interest. First, we estimated the prevalence and co-occurrence of chronic pain, depression and angina among 24,024 participants of a population-based cohort of extended families (Generation Scotland: Scottish Family Health Study), adjusting for age, gender, education, smoking status, and deprivation. Secondly, we compared the odds of co-morbidity in sibling-pairs with the odds in unrelated individuals for the three conditions in the same cohort. Lastly, examination of similar traits in a sample of female twins (TwinsUK, n = 2,902), adjusting for...
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Introduction

The prevalence of painful conditions co-occurring with other chronic diseases has been under-recognised until recently [1, 2]. For example, chronic pain is common, affecting approximately 1 in 5 adults in the general population across Europe [3]. Chronic pain with sleep disturbance [4] shows a strong association with anxiety and depression [5] and longitudinal studies suggest the associated overall disease burden among individuals with co-morbidities, co-prescribing and co-occurrence of disability all lead to greater challenges in managing each condition in turn [6].

Observational evidence suggests some form of co-occurrence between chronic pain, depression and/or cardiovascular disease and associated poor health and premature death [2]. This is supported by good bi-directional evidence linking chronic pain and depression [7, 8], depression and cardiovascular disease [9–11], and to a lesser extent, chronic pain and...
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age and BMI, allowed independent replication of the findings and exploration of the influence of additive genetic (A) factors and shared (C) and non-shared (E) environmental factors predisposing to co-occurring chronic widespread pain (CWP) and cardiovascular disease (hypertension, angina, stroke, heart attack, elevated cholesterol, angioplasty or bypass surgery). In the Generation Scotland cohort, individuals with depression were more than twice as likely to have chronic pain as those without depression (adjusted OR 2.64 [95% CI 2.34–2.97]); those with angina were four times more likely to have chronic pain (OR 4.19 [3.64–4.82]); those with depression were twice as likely to have angina (OR 2.20 [1.90–2.54]). Similar odds were obtained when the outcomes and predictors were reversed and similar effects seen among sibling pairs; depression in one sibling predicted chronic pain in the other (OR 1.34 [1.05–1.71]), angina predicted chronic pain in the other (OR 2.19 [1.63–2.95]), and depression, angina (OR 1.98 [1.49–2.65]). Individuals with chronic pain and angina showed almost four-fold greater odds of depression compared with those manifesting neither trait (OR 3.78 [2.99–4.78]); angina showed seven-fold increased odds in the presence of chronic pain and depression (OR 7.76 [6.05–9.95]) and chronic pain nine-fold in the presence of depression and angina (OR 9.43 [6.85–12.98]). In TwinsUK, the relationship between CWP and depression has been published (R = 0.34, p<0.01). Considering the CWP-cardiovascular relationship, the most suitable model to describe the observed data was a combination of A, C and E, with a small but significant genetic predisposition, shared between the two traits (2.2% [95% CI 0.06–0.23]).

Conclusion

We found an increased co-occurrence of chronic pain, depression and cardiovascular disease in two independent cohorts (general population-based cohort, twins cohort) suggesting a shared genetic contribution. Adjustment for known environmental influences, particularly those relating to socio-economic status (Generation Scotland: age, gender, deprivation, smoking, education; Twins UK: age,BMI) did not explain the relationship observed between chronic pain, depression and cardiovascular disease. Our findings from two independent cohorts challenge the concept of traditional disease boundaries and warrant further investigation of shared biological mechanisms.
cardiovascular disease [12]. However we are less sure the extent to which one condition is
driven by one (or multiple) condition(s), whether co-morbid conditions share a common aeti-
ology, or whether they occur independently.

This co-occurrence of conditions may be related to alterations in the stress-response system
[13], and/or metabolic or inflammatory processes [14], or for other reasons yet to be defined,
such as genetic predisposition [15]. Because of the complexity of the pain experience and its
multi-factorial effect on health-related quality of life, it remains unclear the extent to which the
clustering of chronic pain, depression and cardiovascular disease in individuals is the result of
a shared aetiology, and/or modifying or confounding factors such as health inequality, as all
three conditions are associated with indicators of (relative) deprivation [16].

In this study, we firstly aimed to quantify the likelihood of these conditions co-occurring in
a large general population-based cohort, secondly to ascertain whether this may be due in part
to shared familial risk, and finally to confirm findings in a UK twin cohort.

Methods

Overall study population and participants

**Generation Scotland.** Data were obtained from Generation Scotland: Scottish Family
Health Study (GS:SFHS; www.generationscotland.org) [17], a large general population-based
cohort (n = 24,042) of extended families recruited across Scotland during 2006–2011.

Data on chronic pain, cardiovascular and mental health variables including depression
were collected at the initial study visit by validated self-completed questionnaires and a clinic-
based examination. Socio-demographic data included age at assessment, gender, educational
attainment and smoking status, and the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2009 (SIMD),
based on residential postcode. SIMD is grouped into quintiles ranking those areas from most
deprived (ranked 1) to least deprived (ranked 5) [18]. Participants were unaware of the current
study aims. A detailed description of GS:SFHS, including recruitment, data collection and
baseline epidemiology, has been published previously [19].

**TwinsUK.** The replication sample was drawn from the TwinsUK registry (www.twinsuk.
ac.uk), which has been shown to be representative and comparable to the general population
in terms of behavior, lifestyle factors and diseases [20]. Detailed information on the twin
cohort has been published elsewhere [21, 22]. Because of the very small number of males with
data on CWP and to avoid gender as an additional confounding variable, only females were
included in the sample. Zygosity was confirmed by genotyping [23]. A total of 2,902 female
twins aged ≥ 17 years, comprising 749 monozygotic (MZ) twin pairs and 702 same-sex dizy-
gotic (DZ) twin pairs were included in this study. Collection of chronic pain phenotypes and
 genetic information (from blood samples) was carried out during clinical visits and via postal
questionnaires. The twin subjects were unaware of the research interests of the present study.

Variables

**Chronic pain.** In the GS:SFHS cohort, chronic pain was defined as reported pain or dis-
comfort persisting longer than 3 months [24], identified by a validated questionnaire [25],
and included the body site(s) affected and site of worst pain [26, 27]. The Chronic Pain Grade
(CPG) questionnaire was used to assess pain severity based on intensity and pain-related disabil-
ity in the previous three months [28]. The CPG is a 7-item instrument that classifies severity into
two hierarchical grades: Grade I (low disability-low intensity), Grade II (low disability-high
intensity), Grade III (high disability-moderately limiting) and Grade IV (high disability-severely
limiting. We defined clinically significant chronic pain as those with CPG II-IV, representing
those with high pain intensity and/or high pain-related disability. “Chronic pain controls” were
those who reported no current pain or discomfort. Participants with non-chronic pain or CPG I were excluded from analyses.

In TwinsUK, the London Fibromyalgia Epidemiology Symptom Screening Questionnaire (LFESSQ) was used to screen for self-reported chronic widespread pain (CWP)[29]. This questionnaire includes four items relating to widespread pain (and two items relating to fatigue). We assessed CWP according to the four items pertaining to the “pain subscale; asking about pain on the left and right sides of body and above and below the diaphragm, and lasting at least seven days in the previous three months. In order to be classified as having CWP, participants had to respond “yes” to all four pain items demonstrating pain on both sides of the body and above and below the diaphragm. This phenotype definition is supported by the contribution this sample has made to previous large studies [30, 31].

**Depression.** All participants in GS:SFHS who attended the research clinic (n = 21,473) were screened for a history of emotional and psychiatric disorders using two questions from the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders (SCID)[32], a validated instrument for detecting a lifetime history of mental illness. Those who screened positively were invited to complete the full SCID interview conducted face-to-face by a trained health professional. Cases of depression were defined as those identified as ever having had an episode of major depression (single or recurrent). “Depression controls” were those who responded negatively to both SCID screening questions.

In the TwinsUK cohort, the diagnosis of depression was obtained from the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) questionnaire according to the DSM-IV criteria for major depression disorder (MDD) and was handled as a dichotomous variable in all analyses [33].

**Cardiovascular parameters.** In the GS:SFHS cohort, angina was identified using the shortened World Health Organisation (WHO) Rose Angina Questionnaire [34], which is used widely in epidemiological studies as a standardised method for identifying angina and as a risk assessment tool for ischaemic heart disease [35]. Population-based studies have shown that individuals with Rose questionnaire angina have an increased risk of incident ischaemic heart disease and more coronary atherosclerosis [36]. The full version of the questionnaire was included in the original GS:SFHS questionnaire. We used the shortened Rose Angina Questionnaire to maximise the availability of complete data (Box 1). This shortened version contains three questions to predict ’exertional chest pain’ and has been shown to perform better than the full version at identifying angina in primary care [34].

We also included participants who self-reported having ischaemic heart disease, to detect those with well-controlled (medicated) angina who might respond negatively to the Rose Angina questionnaire. Angina was therefore defined by answering ‘Yes’ to question 1 and ‘Yes’ to either question 2 or 3 (Box 1) on the shortened Rose Angina Questionnaire, and/or by

**Box 1. Shortened WHO Rose Angina questionnaire focusing on exertional chest pain (from Lawlor et al.[34])**

Q1. Do you ever have any pain or discomfort in your chest? (Yes/No)
Q2. When you walk at an ordinary pace on the level does this produce the pain? (Yes/No/Unable)
Q3. When you walk uphill or hurry does this produce the pain? (Yes/No/Unable)
answering positively to self-reported ischaemic heart disease. Those who responded negatively to both the shortened Rose Angina questionnaire, and ischaemic heart disease were classified as “angina controls”.

In TwinsUK, a generic variable as an indicator of cardiovascular health/status was used. Participants reporting a medical diagnosis of hypertension, angina, stroke, heart attack, elevated cholesterol, or having had an angioplasty or bypass surgery were assigned as having “any cardiovascular disease (CVD)” (assigned = 1), whereas participants without any such diagnosis were assigned = 0 (no CVD).

**Data analysis**

**Generation Scotland.** Data were analysed using SPSS (version 22; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and included only those individuals with valid case/control definitions for each of (i) chronic pain, (ii) major depressive disorder, and (iii) angina, as appropriate for the analysis.

**Co-occurrence of depression, angina and chronic pain in GS:SFHS (entire cohort).** Individuals were classified according to pairwise co-occurrence of two conditions (chronic pain-depression; chronic pain-angina; depression-angina), or co-occurrence of all three conditions. Co-occurrence controls had neither/none of the conditions under examination. We compared the expected co-occurrence of conditions by chance with the observed co-occurrence prevalence. Stepwise logistic regression was carried out to estimate odds ratios (ORs) with and without adjustment for covariates (age, gender, smoking status, education, deprivation). Covariates with P > 0.1 were removed from analysis. Analysis was also stratified by gender. We tested for homogeneity of ORs between genders (Breslow-Day).

Analyses were conducted for the entire cohort (including related individuals), and for a subset of unrelated individuals. Unrelated individuals within pedigrees were identified by selecting the oldest phenotyped family member and removing all biological relatives (e.g. parent, child, sibling, avuncular), then selecting the oldest remaining unrelated, phenotyped pedigree member and repeating this process until only unrelated individuals from within each pedigree remained.

We conducted sensitivity analysis of participants with chronic pain and angina in order to assess the confounding effect of angina also being reported as chronic pain, by identifying those individuals reporting the chest as the main site of chronic pain with angina cases and repeating the analysis excluding these participants.

**Chronic pain, depression and angina amongst GS:SFHS sibling-pairs.** Within each family, full siblings were identified based on reported shared parentage. Where there were more than two siblings with phenotype data available, two siblings were selected at random (independently of all variables included in analyses), and randomly allocated to be “sib1” or “sib2”.

Logistic regression was performed as above, but using as predictor sib1 disease status and outcome sib2 disease status (with and without adjustment for sib2 covariates), and vice versa, for all sibling pairs or within same-gender pairs. Sibling recurrence risk ratios [λs] were calculated from trait prevalence data for the sibling pairs compared with all siblings in the data set (the eligible general population). Analyses were performed for co-occurrence of the same trait in siblings or for co-occurrence of different traits in siblings (cross-phenotype analysis). Cross-phenotype logistic regression was performed with additional adjustment for the same trait in sib2 as the sib1 predictor trait (e.g. when assessing risk for sib2 of having depression when sib1 has chronic pain, analyses were also adjusted for presence of chronic pain in sib2). Results were considered significant at p < 0.05.

**Twins UK.** Analyses were conducted by R statistical software version 3.1.2 [37] with bivariate genetic analyses conducted using “OpenMx” packages [38]. First, tetrachoric
correlations between CVD, depression, and CWP were calculated. The phenotypic covariance between CVD and CWP was decomposed into the additive genetic factor (A), non-additive genetic factor (D) or shared environmental factor (C), and non-shared environmental factor (E), based on the usual assumptions (i.e. MZ twin pair share all their genes and DZ twin pair share half their genes) [30, 39]. The phenotypic covariance between the observed variables was partly decomposed into A and D when the phenotypic covariance in MZ twin pairs was higher than in those in DZ [30]. When the phenotypic covariance in MZ twin pairs was similar to those in DZ, the phenotypic covariance was decomposed into C.

To identify the best fitting model, a three-step approach was conducted using structural equation modelling. First, we compared the full ACE and ADE bivariate Cholesky model with fully saturated model because C and D could not be estimated in the same model. Fig 1 shows the full ACE Cholesky model. The variance-covariance matrix in the fully saturated model was treated as a free parameter that was equal to the sample variance-covariance matrix. Second, we compared the full ACE or ADE bivariate Cholesky model with the AE bivariate Cholesky model to test whether liquidation of C or D factors was legitimate. Finally, we explored the best fitting submodels by eliminating parameters and latent variables and by comparing these submodels with the full ACE or ADE bivariate Cholesky model. The best fitting model was determined on the basis of the likelihood ratio test and the lowest AIC (Akaike information criterion). All phenotypes were controlled for age and body mass index. Standardized coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were obtained. To handle missing data, full-information maximum likelihood was used. Under the missing at random assumption, full-information maximum likelihood was able to provide preferred parameter estimates [40].

**Ethics and approval**

GS:SFHS received ethical approval for the creation of the resource (05/S1401/89 NHS Tayside Committee on Medical Research Ethics A) and Research Tissue Bank approval has been granted.
providing for use of the data and samples for medical research, including this study (10/S1402/20 and 15/ES/0040 NHS EoSRES). All participants provided written informed consent.
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Results

Characteristics of study population: Generation Scotland

The characteristics of the entire study population, unrelated individuals and sibling pairs are shown in Table 1. Of the 24,042 participants in the GS:SFHS cohort, the majority were female

| Table 1. Characteristics of the overall GS:SFHS, unrelated subset and sibling pairs subset†. |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                               | Overall GS:SFHS                  | Unrelated individuals         | Sibling pairs                 |
|                               | n = 24,042                       | n = 9,163                      | n = 11,740                    |
| Age (median, IQR)             | 49 yr (IQR 36–59)                | 59 yr (52–65)                  | 47 yr (IQR 36–57)             |
| Gender (female)               | 14,064 (58.7%)                   | 5,353 (58.4%)                  | 7,011 (59.9%)                 |
| Education                     |                                 |                               |                               |
| Degree, diploma or technical qualification | 13,741 (61.7%) | 4,663 (55.7%) | 7,292 (65.1%) |
| School leaving                | 6,043 (27.1%)                    | 2,287 (27.3%)                  | 2,873 (25.6%)                 |
| No qualification              | 2,497 (11.2%)                    | 1,420 (17%)                    | 1,039 (9.3%)                  |
| Deprivation (SIMD)            |                                 |                               |                               |
| 1 (most deprived)             | 2,711 (12.9%)                    | 1,052 (12.7%)                  | 1,297 (12.7%)                 |
| 2                             | 2,965 (14.1%)                    | 1,126 (13.6%)                  | 1,363 (13.3%)                 |
| 3                             | 3,417 (16.3%)                    | 1,307 (15.8%)                  | 1,666 (16.3%)                 |
| 4                             | 5,392 (25.7%)                    | 2,059 (24.8%)                  | 2,698 (25.4%)                 |
| 5 (least deprived)            | 6,529 (31.1%)                    | 2,749 (33.1%)                  | 3,186 (31.2%)                 |
| Smoking status                |                                 |                               |                               |
| Never smoked                  | 12,267 (52.7%)                   | 4,527 (51.0%)                  | 6,339 (54.6%)                 |
| Stopped >12 months            | 6,279 (27.0%)                    | 2,925 (32.9%)                  | 2,955 (25.5%)                 |
| Stopped < 12 months           | 713 (3.1%)                       | 182 (2.0%)                     | 351 (3.0%)                    |
| Current smoker                | 4,013 (17.2%)                    | 1,249 (14.1%)                  | 1,963 (16.9%)                 |
| Any chronic pain (CPG I–IV)   | 7,162 (35.5%)                    | 3,106 (42.3%)                  | 3,665 (34.0%)                 |
| Clinically-significant chronic pain (CPG II–IV) | 3,664 (18.1%) | 1,641 (27.9%) | 1,755 (19.8%) |
| Major depressive disorder (MDD) | 2,755 (12.9%) | 960 (12.8%) | 1,513 (15.0%) |
| Angina                        | 2,009 (10.0%)                    | 1,102 (14.4%)                  | 851 (8.0%)                    |

†Percentages (%) is based on valid data; SIMD: Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivatation 2009; CPG: chronic pain grade

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170653.t001
The median age was 49 years (IQR 36–59) and just over one-quarter of the cohort lived in the two most deprived SIMD quintiles. Compared to the “unrelated” subgroup, the overall population cohort and sibling-pairs subgroup were most alike across most demographic characteristics. Those in the “unrelated” group were older, likely due to the method used to select unrelated individuals.

**Chronic pain.** In total, 20,199 individuals completed the chronic pain screening questions. There were 7,162 individuals for whom a CPG was calculated (35.5%) and 13,037 “chronic pain controls”. Of those for whom the CPG was calculated, 3,498 (17.3%) were classed as CPG I; 2,438 (12.1%) as CPG II; 611 (3.0%) as CPG III, and 615 (3.0%) as CPG IV, making 3,664 (18.1%) individuals with clinically significant chronic pain (CPG II-IV) who were classed as “chronic pain cases”.

**Depression.** Of those who attended the research clinic, 21,380 had valid depression screening results. Just over one-fifth (n = 4,714) screened positive and were invited to complete the SCID interview, leaving 16,666 individuals as “depression controls”. Of the screened individuals, 84.2% (n = 3,968) completed the face-to-face clinical interview, where 2,755 individuals (12.9%) were identified as “depression cases”. Those with bipolar mood disorder or no history of major depressive disorder following SCID interview were excluded from further analysis (n = 1,213).

**Angina.** Of the 20,115 valid responses to the short Rose angina questionnaire 1,684 (8.4%) individuals were identified as cases of angina. There were 930 (4.6%) who volunteered a history of ischaemic heart disease, 325 of whom had screened negative to the Rose Angina questionnaire. Thus there were 2,009 “angina cases” (10.0%) and 18,106 “angina controls”.

**Co-occurrence of depression, angina and chronic pain: Generation Scotland**

The co-occurrence of chronic pain and depression (Table 2) was seen in 5.3%, (714/13,422 of the GS:SFHS cohort with valid responses); chronic pain and angina 4.6%, (678/14,616); and depression and angina 2.3% (371/16,284). All three conditions co-occurred in 1.8% of those with valid responses (169/9,492). All conditions co-occurred more often than expected by chance: expected frequency of chronic pain and depression co-occurrence 2.3% vs 5.3% observed co-occurrence; chronic pain and depression 1.8% vs 4.6%; depression and angina 1.2% vs 2.3%.

Subgroup analysis of chronic pain and angina (n = 678) showed that individuals with angina reported numerous sites of chronic pain. Individuals with angina and chronic pain reported their most painful body site as follows: pains in arms, hands, legs, hips or feet (n = 170); back pain (n = 124); neck and shoulder pain (n = 70); chest pain (n = 47). Of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combinations of conditions</th>
<th>Presence of conditions</th>
<th>Absence of conditions</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain and depression</td>
<td>714 (5.3%)</td>
<td>9,424</td>
<td>13,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain and angina</td>
<td>678 (4.6%)</td>
<td>11,058</td>
<td>14,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression and angina</td>
<td>371 (2.3%)</td>
<td>12,880</td>
<td>16,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, angina and chronic pain</td>
<td>169 (1.8%)</td>
<td>8,089</td>
<td>9,429</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Co-occurrence of chronic pain, major depressive disorder and/or angina in GS:SFHS overall.**

1**Presence of conditions:** Chronic pain = Chronic Pain Grade II-IV; Depression = positive after SCID interview as ever having had an episode of major depressive disorder (single or recurrent); Angina = positive for shortened Rose angina questionnaire or self-reported history of ischaemic heart disease

2**Absence of conditions:** Chronic pain = no current pain to screening questions; Depression = negative to both SCID screening questions; Angina = negative to shortened Rose Angina questionnaire, and no history of ischaemic heart disease.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170653.t002
latter 47 participants, only 14 reported ‘chronic chest pain’ as their sole location of chronic pain. Sensitivity analysis excluding those with angina and the chest as their main site of chronic pain found no alteration in the final results.

**Association between chronic pain, depression and angina: Generation Scotland**

Individuals with depression were more than twice as likely to have chronic pain as those without after adjustment for known confounders (adjusted OR 2.64 [95% CI 2.34–2.97]), while those with angina were four times more likely to have chronic pain (adjusted OR 4.19 [3.64–4.82]) (Table 3). Individuals with both angina and depression had greater odds of also having chronic pain (adjusted OR 9.43 [6.85–12.98]). Female participants had slightly greater ORs than male participants, but none of the differences were significant (Breslow-Day P>0.05) (S1 Table).

Reversing the analyses (Table 3), we found that individuals with chronic pain were more likely to have a history of depression than not (adjusted OR 2.62 [2.32–2.96]) and were more

**Table 3. The effect of comorbidity on the occurrence of depression and/or angina in GS:SFHS overall.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th></th>
<th>Adjusted †</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain in the presence of depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>13,376</td>
<td>2.80a</td>
<td>11,679</td>
<td>2.64a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[2.52–3.11]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[2.34–2.97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain in the presence of angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>14,564</td>
<td>5.44a</td>
<td>11,973</td>
<td>4.19a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[4.83–6.13]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[3.64–4.82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain in the presence of depression and angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression and angina</td>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>9,728</td>
<td>13.28a</td>
<td>8,543</td>
<td>9.43a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[10.02–17.59]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[6.85–12.98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression in the presence of chronic pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Pain</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>13,376</td>
<td>2.80a</td>
<td>11,679</td>
<td>2.62a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[2.52–3.11]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[2.32–2.96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression in the presence of angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>16,224</td>
<td>2.18a</td>
<td>14,121</td>
<td>2.10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[1.92–2.47]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1.82–2.43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression in the presence of chronic pain and angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain and angina</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>9,461</td>
<td>4.36a</td>
<td>8,297</td>
<td>3.78a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina in the presence of chronic pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>14,564</td>
<td>5.45a</td>
<td>11,973</td>
<td>4.23a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[4.84–6.14]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[3.67–4.86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina in the presence of depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>16,224</td>
<td>2.18a</td>
<td>14,121</td>
<td>2.20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[1.92–2.47]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[1.90–2.54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina in the presence of chronic pain and depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain and depression</td>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>9,003</td>
<td>9.15a</td>
<td>7,919</td>
<td>7.76a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[7.43–11.26]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[6.05–9.95]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† valid data adjusted for age, gender, education, SIMD and smoking status

*a = p<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170653.t003
Shared genetics links chronic pain, depression and cardiovascular disease

likely to report angina symptoms than not (adjusted OR 4.23 [3.67–4.86]). Similarly, individuals with depression were more likely to have angina than not (adjusted OR 2.20 [1.90–2.54]). Individuals with both depression and chronic pain were much more likely to report angina symptoms than not (adjusted OR 7.76 [6.05–9.95]). In the presence of chronic pain, female participants had higher ORs than male participants for depression and angina respectively, but none of the differences were significant (Breslow-Day P >0.05) (S2 Table). Results from the “unrelated” subgroup (S3–S5 Tables) were similar to the larger family-based cohort, indicating that the associations between chronic pain, depression and angina in the entire cohort were not due to excess shared familial factors (genetic or environmental) in the family-based study.

Chronic pain, depression and angina amongst sibling-pairs: Generation Scotland

Sib-pair analysis (S7 Table) produced similar ORs to both the entire cohort and the “unrelated” subset for unadjusted and adjusted analyses, and for gender-stratified analysis, suggesting that no bias was introduced during sib selection.

For the same-trait analysis, there was a two-fold increased risk to sib2 for chronic pain when sib1 had chronic pain (adjusted OR 2.30 [1.83–2.89]). This increased risk for the same trait was apparent with depression (adjusted OR 2.16 [1.73–2.70]) and angina (adjusted OR 2.78 [2.00–3.86]) (S6 Table). Results were similar with same-gender sib pairs (S8 Table). Consistent with these findings, sibling recurrence risk ratios (λs) significantly exceeded 1 for all same-trait comparisons, with λs corresponding to odds ratios. For depression, λs = 1.65; chronic pain λs = 1.84; and angina λs = 2.31 (S6 Table).

In cross-trait analyses, the sib risk was significantly increased in all pairwise comparisons (pain-depression; angina-pain; depression-angina), with adjusted ORs ranging from 1.34–2.19 (Table 4). ORs were unaffected or only slightly attenuated after adjustment; and were largely similar for same-gender sib pairs, although p values attenuated owing to reduced sample size

Table 4. Unadjusted and adjusted ORs for cross-trait analysis of angina, depression and chronic pain amongst sibling-pairs in GS:SFHS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure (Sib1 status)</th>
<th>Outcome (Sib2 status)</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Adjusted</th>
<th>Also adjusted for sib1 trait in sib2</th>
<th>λs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>4.014</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>3.275 2.19 3.006 1.66</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>4.595</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>3.967 1.48 3.581 1.45</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[1.16–1.99] ***</td>
<td>[1.09–2.01] **</td>
<td>[1.04–2.02] *</td>
<td>[1.09–1.66] *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>4.026</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>3.280 2.01 2.530 1.99</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>3.831</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>3.295 1.69 2.504 1.71</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>4.562</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>3.757 1.98 3.414 1.96</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>3.796</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>3.124 1.34 2.842 1.31</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[1.05–1.61] *</td>
<td>[1.05–1.71] *</td>
<td>[1.00–1.70] *</td>
<td>[1.06–1.44] *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

λs = sibling recurrence risk ratio
*p ≤ 0.05
** p ≤ 0.01 &
*** p ≤ 0.001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170653.t004
Additional adjustment for the same trait in sib2 as present for sib1 had little effects on the results, indicating that the increased risk to sib2 was not attributable to presence of the same trait as present for sib1. This finding suggests that the increased risk was instead due to presence of a common risk factor for both disorders. Cross-trait $\lambda_S$ also significantly exceeded 1 for all pairwise comparisons, ranging from 1.24 (depression-chronic pain) to 1.83 (angina-chronic pain), consistent with shared familial risk factors in these disorders.

Characteristics of study population: TwinsUK

Table 5 summarises the main characteristics of the TwinsUK cohort. The mean age was 56 years old (SD 13.97). The proportion of participants with chronic pain was 20%, 22.3% had depression and 34.7% reported any cardiovascular disease. No significant association between depression and cardiovascular disease could be detected, whereas chronic pain showed a significant correlation with depression ($r = .34$) and cardiovascular disease ($r = .26$; Table 6).

Interclass correlation and cross-twin cross-trait correlation: TwinsUK

The intraclass correlations were consistently higher in MZ compared to DZ twin pairs across all three phenotypes (Table 7). Furthermore, significant cross-trait cross-twin correlation could be detected in the MZ twins but not in the DZ twins.

Bivariate Cholesky model: Twins UK

We were unable to obtain well-fitting models for the trivariate analysis. Thus having published already on the relationship between chronic pain and depression [41], we concentrated on the bivariate analysis of chronic pain and cardiovascular disease. In the saturated models, the mean and variance of all phenotype were equal across twin order and zygosity, so met the requirement for bivariate Cholesky models. On the basis of the AIC, the ACE Cholesky model was considered to be the best fitting model (Table 8). Dropping C resulted in an even better model fit (S10 Table), suggesting that common genetic factors and independent non-shared
environmental factors contribute to the covariation in chronic pain and cardiovascular disease (S10 Table and Fig 1).

**Discussion**

This is the first, large-scale study to examine the three important traits of chronic pain, cardiovascular disease and depression, where the findings have been reproduced to some extent in a second, independent population sample. While a genetic component has long been recognised in each of the three conditions individually, it has been thought that social risk factors such as poverty and deprivation were likely to underlie their co-occurrence. To our surprise, even adjusting for socio-economic status and other environmental risk factors, we found significant odds ratios for co-occurrence. This led us to model chronic pain and cardiovascular disease in twins, and to show a small but significant shared genetic predisposition.

We have shown that three common long-term conditions: chronic pain, cardiovascular disease and/or depression cluster together in two epidemiologically unbiased samples. Our family-based study brings new insight into the contribution of shared familial factors to this co-occurrence, showing that this association clearly navigates across conditions within sibling-pairs: the presence of one condition increases the risk of other conditions occurring, both in an individual and in his/her family members. Together with the results from the twins’ analysis, this supports the notion that shared, genetically mediated aetiological pathways contribute to the co-morbidity of common chronic conditions.

A number of common biological pathways have already been implicated in development of depression, chronic pain or cardiovascular disease in experimental models of disease and in human studies. These include the endocannabinoid system, hypothalamic-pituitary-adren al (HPA) axis, and inflammation. Genetic modification of the endocannabinoid system leads to...
age-related ventricular dysfunction [42], while genetic variants in cannabinoid and/or adrenergic pathway components have been implicated in chronic pain conditions [43, 44]. Brain expression of endocannabinoid-related genes are altered in response to early life stress [45], and this system may act to sensitize excitatory neurotransmission [46]. HPA axis dysfunction in offspring as a result of maternal stress during pregnancy has also been described [47] as well as early life stress [48, 49]. Inflammatory pathways have also been implicated in development of depression [50], chronic pain [51], and cardiovascular disease [52], in particular the concept that adverse environmental factors (including early life stress) might transmit a biological signal via altered allostatic load, leading to multimorbidity in adulthood (including chronic pain, mental health and cardiovascular conditions) [53]. However, whether these are epiphenomena versus causal remains unclear [51, 54] but new techniques such as Mendelian randomisation are allowing direction of causation to be determined, such as the adrenal hormone abnormalities appearing secondary to CWP [55]. A genetic liability for all three disorders is widely reported, and may also explain the familial component to co-occurrence of these three traits; identification of a shared genetic predisposition and assessment of whether these are shared across multiple disorders is required.

There are limitations to the study to be aware of when interpreting our findings. First, diagnosis of “cardiovascular disease” in both datasets relied on self-reported data and/or questionnaire using well-validated instruments [24, 32]. Specifically in TwinsUK, this was relatively non-specific and included a number of risk factors such as hypercholesterolaemia. This would have served, however, to weaken our findings rather than strengthen them, so it is all the more surprising that a shared genetic influence was detected between cardiovascular disease and chronic pain. The small number of males with chronic pain and CVD data in TwinsUK meant that only females could be considered; however, the large population-based Generation Scotland cohort shows that the relationship between the traits is seen both in men and in women.

We recognise that there are differences in phenotyping, data collection, case definitions and measures used between the two studies, and this is a limitation of aligning two distinct but complimentary studies. In particular, the definitions of cardiovascular disease differed between GS:SFHS and TwinsUK, and comparison between the cohorts can only be approximate in this phenotype. However, the phenotyping is similar enough to allow comparison between these two population-based studies. The handling of covariates is a limitation, with BMI not included in GS, and with modelling in TwinsUK not allowing for inclusion of covariates such as smoking or education; alignment of the two cohorts is therefore incomplete.

We had no means of identifying people who had a history of chronic pain but who were not currently affected, and these would have been included as controls. However, clinical experience suggests that the proportion of patients who have chronic pain and get better is very small indeed. We did not distinguish past major depression from that which was current. Nonetheless it seems that depression in “remission”, i.e. a history of major depressive disorder, still confers a significant risk of developing the linked condition e.g. chronic pain [56, 57]. As with all cross-sectional studies, the directional relationships between these three conditions cannot be determined, pointing to the need for longitudinal studies. Similarly, the relationship with other chronic diseases co-morbid with these conditions (e.g. type 2 diabetes) was not explored.

Information on specific medications taken by individuals to manage each condition in our datasets were not available. Potentially this could lead to under-reporting of a condition (e.g. chronic pain) which is well controlled by medications. Any future study should aim to correlate self-reported angina, chronic pain and depression with electronic health records and relevant prescribed medication. While medication can reduce the severity and impact of chronic
pain or angina, it is unlikely to remove it—the diagnosis is therefore still likely to be self-reported, though its reported severity may be less than were it untreated.

The possibility that some other shared environment not included in our model and not captured by current deprivation status has confounded the results, is made considerably less likely by the results from the twin study. We have already reported a shared genetic influence on the risk of chronic pain and depression in TwinsUK [41]. The twin sample size limited our ability to perform three-way analysis.

Clinically, depression and cardiovascular disease are well-known co-morbidities [58], prompting routine screening in primary care cardiovascular clinics [59]. The demonstration that the presence of chronic pain may increase the likelihood of depression and/or cardiovascular disease has further implications for clinical practice, not least because it is more prevalent than both depression (9%) or ischaemic heart disease (8.3%)[60]. The reported chronic pain prevalence across Europe is around 20% [3], and another study in the UK suggests this is around 24% (CPG II-IV) [61]. That almost a quarter of the UK population manifest this important risk factor for cardiovascular disease and depression should prompt further screening in patients and their family members.

These results have far-reaching implications for targeted management and prevention, and for prognosis. Might effective treatment of one condition ameliorate a co-occurring, linked condition? Future clinical trials might, very cost-effectively, explore whether optimising depression therapy in chronic pain leads to improved outcomes [62], and this could extend to family members. Early recognition of these conditions will facilitate trials of prevention and also the potential for addressing these conditions by targeting the common underlying causes (e.g. stress, health inequalities) rather than symptoms unique to each condition.

**Conclusion**

We have shown that chronic pain, cardiovascular disease, and depression coexist in individuals and across families even after adjusting for known environmental risk factors. The presence of one trait significantly increases the risk of manifesting another, both in siblings and twins. Pairs of traits offer even higher risks of manifesting the third. Twin modelling shows that pairs of traits have shared genetic predisposition which would account for their co-occurrence.

Future work should aim to identify the genetic variants involved, as better understanding of the biological pathways will clarify the underlying pathogenetic mechanisms as well as having the potential to provide novel targets for intervention.
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