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Aggregation of scaffolding protein DISC1 dysregulates phosphodiesterase 4 in Huntington’s disease
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Huntington’s disease (HD) is a polyglutamine (polyQ) disease caused by aberrant expansion of the polyQ tract in Huntingtin (HTT). While motor impairment mediated by polyQ-expanded HTT has been intensively studied, molecular mechanisms for nonmotor symptoms in HD, such as psychiatric manifestations, remain elusive. Here we have demonstrated that HTT forms a ternary protein complex with the scaffolding protein DISC1 and cAMP-degrading phosphodiesterase 4 (PDE4) to regulate PDE4 activity. We observed pathological cross-seeding between DISC1 and mutant HTT aggregates in the brains of HD patients as well as in a murine model that recapitulates the polyQ pathology of HD (R6/2 mice). In R6/2 mice, consequent reductions in soluble DISC1 led to dysregulation of DISC1-PDE4 complexes, aberrantly increasing the activity of PDE4. Importantly, exogenous expression of a modified DISC1, which binds to PDE4 but not mutant HTT, normalized PDE4 activity and ameliorated anhedonia in the R6/2 mice. We propose that cross-seeding of mutant HTT and DISC1 and the resultant changes in PDE4 activity may underlie the pathology of a specific subset of mental manifestations of HD, which may provide an insight into molecular signaling in mental illness in general.

Introduction

Huntington’s disease (HD) is a hereditary brain disease characterized by both motor and nonmotor manifestations. Identification of the causal gene for HD, huntingtin (HTT), has facilitated our understanding of the molecular pathways that mediate disease pathology. For instance, it has been demonstrated that structural changes of mutant HTT elicited by the aberrant expansion of polyglutamine (polyQ) lead to the formation of oligomers or insoluble aggregates. The polyQ aggregates, which can recruit various HTT binding partners, impair axonal transport and damage medium spiny neurons, resulting in motor dysfunction in HD (1–7). HD is also characterized by nonmotor dysfunctions including depression (8). Nonetheless, the molecular mechanisms underlying such mental manifestations in HD have not been well studied.

One of the leading mediators for cellular signaling involving higher brain functions is cyclic 3’5’-adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) (9–11). cAMP levels are decreased in cerebrospinal fluid from HD patients and in brains from the HttQ11 knock-in mouse model for HD, which recapitulates the HD polyQ expansion in the murine Htt gene (12, 13). CAMP is degraded by various phosphodiesterases, of which members of the phosphodiesterase 4 (PDE4) family play a major role, including underpinning compartmentalized cAMP signaling in cells (14). Active site-directed small-molecule inhibitors specific for PDE4, such as rolipram, together with targeted gene knockout and genetic studies, have shown that PDE4 regulates a wide range of brain functions—in particular, mental states such as depression and anxiety (15-19). The activity of PDE4 is physiologically regulated at various levels, including intracellular localization, protein interaction, and posttranslational modifications (14, 19). One of the critical direct interactors with PDE4 is the scaffold protein DISC1 (17, 20–23). Physiological roles for DISC1 in biological pathways in brain development and neurosignaling have been intensively studied for the past decade in various animal models (24–26). Yet the significance of the DISC1-PDE4 interaction in pathological contexts is poorly understood. Identification of a DISC1-PDE4 complex in conjunction with dysregulation of cAMP in HD would suggest the possibility that HTT might be involved in the DISC1-PDE4 pathway, but this mechanistic link has not been examined to date. Furthermore, DISC1 was previously shown to form insoluble aggregates (27–30), but the structural basis of DISC1 aggregation and its neuropathological effects have not been well investigated. Here we address a novel molecular mechanism involving the disturbance of DISC1-PDE4 interactions and behavioral changes through aggregation of DISC1 in HD.

Results

Augmentation of PDE4 activity in R6/2 mice representing the polyQ pathology of HD. The R6/2 mouse model, which expresses the HD-associated human HTT mutant and represents the polyQ...
pathology of HD, shows that HTT aggregation begins at 3.5 and 4.5 weeks in the cerebral cortex and striatum, respectively, and is clearly detectable at 7 weeks and progressively continues (31). In this model, we first measured PDE4 activity at 4, 8, and 12 weeks. Intriguingly, we observed a profound augmentation of PDE4 activity in both the cerebral cortex and striatum at 8 weeks (Figure 1A). The elevated PDE4 activity was also observed at up to 12 weeks of age, but the increase in PDE4 activity in the cerebral cortex was reduced over time (Figure 1A). This reduction over time in the cerebral cortex may be due to the neuronal cell death in the cortex preceding that in the striatum of R6/2 mice (31). The increased PDE4 activity may provide an explanation why rolipram has beneficial effects in the R6/2 model (32, 33). Consistent with our novel discovery in vivo, when we evaluated a neuro2a cell model that stably expresses an N-terminal 1–67 amino acid fragment (corresponding to exon 1) of Htt with expanded polyQ (HTT67Q150) (34), we found that PDE4 activity was increased more than 3-fold (Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI85594DS1). In our assay we used pan-PDE4-selective rolipram (10 μM) at a concentration known to suppress all PDE4 subtypes (35–37).

**Regulation of PDE4 activity by an HTT-DISC1-PDE4 ternary protein complex.** DISC1 interacts with dynactin (p150glued), nuclear receptor co-repressor (N-Cor), pericentriolar material 1 protein (PCMI), and kalirin-7, all of which also reportedly interact with huntingtin-associated protein 1 (HAPI) and/or HTT (24–26). The frequency of common binding partners of DISC1 and HTT implied that these 2 proteins may interact either directly or indirectly. A recent bioinformatic approach also resulted in a similar proposal (38). As DISC1 and PDE4 proteins interact physically and functionally (17, 20, 39), we hypothesized that DISC1-PDE4, PDE4-HTT, and HTT-DISC1 proteins might interact. To evaluate this hypothesis, we conducted coimmunoprecipitation with the homogenates of whole mouse brains and identified the DISC1-PDE4, PDE4-HTT, and HTT-DISC1 protein interactions (Figure 1B and Supplemental Figure 2). The DISC1-HTT and DISC1-PDE4 binding was more significant in striatum and cerebral cortex than in cerebellum (Supplemental Figure 3A).

Next, we asked whether these 3 proteins might form a ternary complex. Indeed, sequential coimmunoprecipitation demonstrated the formation of such a ternary complex (Figure 1C). One of the PDE4 isoforms, PDE4B, which is relatively abundant in cerebral cortex and striatum interacts directly with DISC1 (20), and has been implicated from genetic association studies to be functionally important DISC1 partners (17, 40). The PDE4B gene encodes several distinct isoforms, of which the major ones are the PDE4B1 and PDE4B3 long forms and the PDE4B2 short form (41). We thus evaluated the binding of DISC1 with each of these isoforms and found that they each bound to DISC1 and to HTT (Supplemental Figure 3, B and C).

**Specific effect of polyQ expansion on the protein binding of HTT with DISC1.** Given that PDE4, HTT, and DISC1 form a ternary protein complex, then how does polyQ expansion in HTT affect these protein interactions? We examined how the polyQ expansion affected HTT-DISC1 protein interaction by using an N-terminal 1–513 amino acid fragment of HTT (HTT513) with 18 residues of polyQ, or pathologically expanded 82 residues of polyQ (designated Q18 and Q82, respectively). The HTT513 and DISC1 interaction was augmented more than 2-fold when polyQ was expanded (Figure 1D). The increased binding was also observed when a shorter N-terminal fragment with an equal polyQ expansion (HTT67Q82) was used together with DISC1 (Figure 1E). However, the binding of DISC1 with HTT513 was greater than that with HTT67 (Supplemental Figure 4A). These results indicate that amino acids 1–67 of HTT are sufficient to interact with DISC1, but that additional amino acids between 68 and 513 further facilitate the interaction. The effect of expanded polyQ was not observed in the interaction between PDE4B and HTT513 (Supplemental Figure 4B), implying that the polyQ expansion has unique pathophysiological effects on the HTT-DISC1 interaction.

**Selective sequestration of DISC1 into SDS-insoluble HTT aggregates in R6/2 mice and HD brains.** One of the hallmarks of HD is the formation of SDS-resistant aggregates/inclusions of mutant HTT with expanded polyQ (42). DISC1 is also reportedly prone to aggregation (27, 28), but its pathophysiological significance is, as yet, unclear. Thus, we tested whether a pool of DISC1 could be detected in the SDS-resistant fraction of the brains from the R6/2 model, as well as HD patients, by using a filter trap assay. Significant increases in the levels of DISC1 in the SDS-resistant fractions from the cerebral cortex and striatum of R6/2 mice were observed, compared with those of normal mice (Figure 2A). Similar results were observed in the SDS-insoluble fractions from HD patients compared with those from normal controls (Figure 2B). In contrast, we did not find PDE4B immunoreactivity in the SDS-resistant fractions of any brain samples. Furthermore, by Western blotting, we observed immunoreactivity of DISC1, but not of PDE4B, on the top of the gel when we applied brain homogenates from R6/2 mice (Supplemental Figure 5A), further supporting the notion that a pool of DISC1 is insoluble to SDS in the R6/2 brain.

To test whether this insoluble DISC1 is associated with mutant HTT aggregation, we examined immunofluorescent tissue staining. We found that high-intensity DISC1 staining was colocized with intranuclear aggregates of mutant HTT in both the cerebral cortex and striatum of R6/2 mice (Figure 2C). DISC1 is reportedly localized in both cytosol and nucleus (43–46). Therefore it is likely that the nuclear localization of DISC1 would contribute to the sequestration into intranuclear HTT aggregates. Furthermore, colocalization of DISC1 in the HTT aggregates was also observed in the sections from the cortex and striatum of HD patients (Figure 2D). In contrast, no colocalization of PDE4B in HTT aggregates was detected in R6/2 mouse brains (Supplemental Figure 5B).

**Cross-seeding between intrinsically aggregation-prone DISC1 and polyQ-expanded mutant HTT.** The above results suggested that pathologically enhanced interaction of DISC1 and mutant HTT may selectively facilitate the sequestration of DISC1 into the HTT aggregates. Therefore, we set out to evaluate possible biophysical mechanisms underlying the selective aggregation of DISC1 in HD. First, we aimed to reveal structural and physical properties of full-length DISC1 aggregates, which have not yet been fully characterized even though DISC1 has been shown to be aggregation-prone in nature (27, 28). We performed multiple biophysical assays in the cell-free in vitro system and found that DISC1 spontaneously formed aggregates, which reacted to an amyloid-specific dye, thioflavin T, at a level comparable to that seen with the typical amyloid, HTT67Q42 (ref. 42 and Figure 3A). The fibrillar morphology of DISC1 aggregates is similar to that produced by polyQ-expanded mutant HTT, while the aggregates of full-length DISC1 show a more disordered amyloid-like fibrillar structure (Supplemental Figure 5B) (42).
was observed by electron microscopy (Figure 3B). Furthermore, circular dichroism spectra of DISC1 aggregates showed a negative peak at 228 nm, indicating extensive \( \beta \)-sheet–rich structures (ref. 47 and Supplemental Figure 6A). These data show that DISC1 can form fibrillar aggregates in vitro. As DISC1 does not contain glutamine/asparagine-rich domains that could induce aggregation, we examined a core region of DISC1 aggregates by mass spectrometry. Limited proteolysis of DISC1 aggregates with proteinase K treatment followed by MALDI/TOF mass analysis showed a single major peak at 2,012.9 (m/z) in the mass spectrum, which identified the core of DISC1 aggregates as the region consisting of residues 209–227 (Figure 3C).
Figure 2. DISC1, but not PDE4B, is sequestered into insoluble HTT aggregates in HD. (A) Filter trap assay with homogenates of cerebral cortex or striatum from 12-week-old R6/2 mice showed significantly increased levels of HTT (EM48) (top) and DISC1 (m317C) (middle) but not PDE4B (pan-PDE4B) (bottom) in the SDS-resistant fraction, compared with those from WT mice. A negative control with rabbit secondary antibody alone is also shown (middle). Data represent mean + SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; unpaired 2-tailed t test. n = 3 per group. (B) Filter trap assay with homogenates of cerebral cortex or striatum showed significantly increased levels of HTT (EM48) (top) and DISC1 (h316C) (middle) but not PDE4B (pan-PDE4B) (bottom) in the SDS-resistant fraction from HD patients (HD), compared with those from controls (Con). A negative control with rabbit secondary antibody alone is also shown (middle). Data represent mean + SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; unpaired 2-tailed t test. n = 3 per group. (C) Immunostaining of frozen sections of cerebral cortex (left) or striatum (right) demonstrated that DISC1 was colocalized with intranuclear inclusions of mutant HTT in 12-week-old R6/2 mice. Green, HTT (EM48); red, DISC1 (m317C); blue, DAPI (the nucleus). Arrowheads show intranuclear inclusions. Scale bar: 10 μm. n = 3 per group. (D) Immunostaining of paraffin sections of cerebral cortex (left half) or striatum (right half) in HD patients (HD) and human controls (Con) was performed with anti-HTT (EM48) (green, left) and anti-DISC1 (h316C) (red) antibodies. Nucleus was stained by DAPI (blue). Merged images are shown on the right. Arrowheads show intranuclear inclusions. Scale bar: 10 μm. n = 3 (Con), 6 (HD).
We then examined the effects of HTT aggregates on the kinetics of DISC1 aggregation. DISC1 spontaneously formed aggregates, but the aggregation was not evident for the initial 2 hours under the conditions tested. This 2-hour lag time was almost completely abolished by the addition of seeds (10% mol/mol) of DISC1 aggregates at the initiation of the reaction, indicating that DISC1 aggregates are self-seeding, a key feature of amyloid fibers (refs. 48–50 and Figure 3D). More importantly, DISC1 aggregation was significantly accelerated with the addition of seeds of HTT67Q42 aggregates, but not with the addition of BSA aggregates, which were used as a control (Figure 3D). Furthermore, we found no aggregation of PDE4B2 or a trigger factor tag in the presence of HTT67Q42 aggregate seeds (Figure 3D and Supplemental Figure 6B). The following immunoprecipitation experiments proved that the accelerated DISC1 aggregation by HTT67Q42 seeds was caused by coaggregation, but not by an increased rate of spontaneous DISC1 aggregation (Supplemental Figure 6C). After soluble DISC1 was incubated with HA-tagged HTT67Q42 amyloid seeds (HTT67 Q42-HA seeds; 10% mol/mol), the resulting HTT67 Q42-HA aggregates contained DISC1, indicating that soluble DISC1 formed coaggregates with HA-HTTQ42 seeds (Supplemental Figure 6B). These data reveal cross-seeding between DISC1 and mutant HTT, which is consistent with the marked colocalization of the 2 proteins in HD brains in vivo (Figure 2D).

The DISC1 aggregates that formed with seeds of HTT67Q42 aggregates showed an increase in the peak at 1,620 cm⁻¹ in Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra, indicating a greater level of intermolecular β-sheet structures (51), compared with the DISC1 aggregates that were spontaneously formed in the absence of seeds (Figure 3E). Consistently, we found that the DISC1 aggregates seeded by HTT67Q42 amyloid showed the trend of the higher resistance to 2% SDS than spontaneously formed DISC1 aggregates (Figure 3F). The altered structural and physical properties of the DISC1 aggregates also supported the cross-seeding between DISC1 and mutant HTT.

Reduced DISC1-PDE4 complex by decreased soluble DISC1 levels in R6/2 mice. We then asked whether the physiological disposition of DISC1 might be impaired because of the selective sequestration of this protein into the HTT aggregates in HD pathology. First, we used sedimentation analysis with homogenates of the cerebral cortex and striatum, and observed that the level of soluble DISC1, but not that of PDE4B, was reduced in R6/2 mice compared with WT mice (Figure 4A). DISC1 interacts with PDE4B in soluble fractions and regulates the enzymatic activity of PDE4 (17, 39). Thus, reduction in the levels of soluble DISC1 may result in a decrease in the amount of DISC1-PDE4 complex and an increase in the PDE4 activity. Compared with substantial changes of DISC1 in the soluble fractions (detected by Western blotting in Figure 4A) and alteration in PDE4 activity (Figure 1A), the integration of DISC1 staining in the HTT aggregates was more modest (Figure 2, C and D). A portion of, but not entire, insoluble DISC1 with HTT might be visualized as colocalization with large HTT aggregates, since insoluble DISC1 with HTT microaggregates could be diffusible. In mouse brain homogenates, we showed a significant reduction in the amount of DISC1-PDE4 complex in R6/2 compared with that in WT mice (Figure 4B). Furthermore, we addressed whether the reduction of DISC1-PDE4B complex was elicited directly by the presence of mutant HTT. We added lysates of cells overexpressing HTT513Q18 or HTT513Q82 to cell lysates in which DISC1 and PDE4B interact, and examined how exogenous HTT513 proteins impact the DISC1-PDE4B complex. The addition of HTT513Q82 significantly reduced the amount of the preexisting DISC1-PDE4B complex, whereas that of HTT513Q18 did not (Figure 4C). Notably, as described above, augmented binding of HTT to DISC1 was observed with HTT513Q82 compared with HTT513Q18 (Figure 1D). Thus, a relative loss of DISC1’s interaction with PDE4 is likely a major effect of the DISC1 sequestration into HTT aggregates due to the preferred binding of DISC1 with polyQ-expanded mutant HTT.

HTT-DISC1-PDE4 interactions for aberrant enhanced PDE4 activity in R6/2 mice. We propose that sequestration of DISC1 into HD aggregates may disturb the regulatory effects of DISC1 on PDE4, resulting in aberrant augmentation of PDE4 enzymatic activity, which contributes to HD pathology. If this scenario is correct, supplementing WT DISC1 might ameliorate such increase of PDE4 activity in the models we have studied here. As described above, the overexpression of HTT67Q50 in neuro2a cells, in which substantial levels of endogenous DISC1 were expressed (52), augmented PDE4 activity 3- to 4-fold (Supplemental Figure 1). When we exogenously overexpressed WT DISC1 in the neuro2a cells expressing HTT67Q50, the aberrant augmentation of PDE4 activity was ameliorated (Supplemental Figure 7A). Although these results are promising in terms of protecting cells against pathological PDE4 activation, we were curious why the beneficial effects of exogenous DISC1 were only partial. This may be because exogenously expressed DISC1 was also, at least in part, sequestered into HTT aggregates and could not fully regulate endogenous PDE4 activity.

We then set out to identify the region of DISC1 responsible for HTT binding, using a peptide array approach that has been used to successfully map the binding domain of DISC1 with protein interactors and PDE4 with various partner proteins (19, 20). We anticipated that a mutant DISC1 lacking a minimal domain for HTT interaction but maintaining PDE4 binding would not be sequestered into the HTT aggregates and thus would fully regulate PDE4 activity. Analyses of mouse and human DISC1 led to consistent results conserved between the 2 species, in which amino acids 206–220 of both mouse and human DISC1 were crucial for binding with HTT (Figure 5A). Furthermore, in agreement with these observations from peptide arrays, mutant mouse DISC1 lacking amino acids 201–228 (A201-228-DISC1) displayed a dramatic reduction in DISC1-HTT513 binding in cells, compared with that in WT DISC1 (Supplemental Figure 7B). On the other hand, this deletion showed no effect on DISC1-PDE4B2 binding, and significant effects on DISC1-PDE4B1 interactions (Supplemental Figure 7B), due to the complexity of the binding between DISC1 and PDE4 isoforms (20, 53). When we expressed WT DISC1 or A201-228-DISC1 in neuro2a cells expressing the mutant HTT fragment, we observed that A201-228-DISC1 completely normalized aberrantly augmented PDE4 activity. The effect of A201-228-DISC1 was greater than that of WT DISC1 (Supplemental Figure 7A).

If aggregation of DISC1 is mediating the reduced PDE4 activity in HD brain, then the ectopic introduction of additional DISC1 should rescue the aberrant PDE4 activity seen in HD. Since our observations indicated that PDE4 activity was augmented in the striatum of 8-week-old R6/2 mice (Figure 1A), we next introduced exogenous DISC1 into the striatum of the
2-week-old mice by stereotaxic injection of a lentiviral vector of DISC1 connected with a GFP Venus via IRES (DISC1/Venus). We then collected the DISC1/Venus–expressing cells from the striatum of the mice at 8 weeks by flow cytometry and measured PDE4 activity. Expression of WT DISC1 significantly decreased the aberrant PDE4 activity in R6/2 mice (Figure 5B). Introduction of Δ201-228-DISC1 led to an even greater effect, which is consistent with the observations from the cell model (Figure 5B). These results support the notion that pathological augmentation of PDE4 activity in HD pathology may be predominantly mediated by the partial loss of DISC1 that regulates PDE4 activity. This process is determined by the sequestration of DISC1 from the HTT-DISC1-PDE4 ternary complex as a result of specifically preferred binding and coaggregation between mutant HTT and DISC1.

Significance of HTT-DISC1-PDE4 interactions for nonmotor function in R6/2 mice. Next, we examined the in vivo consequences of the disturbed DISC1-PDE4 interaction. We generated adeno-associated virus (AAV) encoding a N-terminal 1–316 amino acid fragment of mouse DISC1, which includes most of the binding sites for PDE4 but lacks the binding region for HTT (Δ201-228-nDISC1) (Supplemental Figure 8). We stereotaxically injected AAV encoding control EGFP or Δ201-228-nDISC1 that could interact with PDE4B isoforms but not by BSA aggregates. No aggregation of PDE4B2 was observed in the presence of HTT67Q42 aggregate seeds. Representative data are shown from 3 independent sample sets. (E) FT-IR spectra revealed that the DISC1 aggregates formed in the presence of HTT67Q42 aggregate seeds (gray) contained more intermolecular β-sheet structures (arrowheads) than did spontaneously formed DISC1 aggregates (black). Representative data are shown from 4 independent sample sets. (F) The DISC1 aggregates formed in the presence of HTT67Q42 aggregate seeds showed higher resistance to 2% SDS than spontaneously formed DISC1 aggregates in the filter trap assay with Sypro Ruby staining. The asterisk indicates that 10% of the signal intensity of HTT67Q42 amyloid is subtracted from that of DISC1-HTTQ42 coaggregates for correction of the signal intensity. Data represent mean ± SEM (4 independent sample sets). One-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis.

Figure 3. Aggregation of intrinsically aggregation-prone DISC1 is accelerated by cross-seeding with polyQ-expanded mutant HTT. (A) Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescent intensities of spontaneous DISC1 and HTT67Q42 aggregates were significantly higher than those of BSA aggregates. Data represent mean ± SEM (4 independent sample sets). ***P < 0.001; 1-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc corrections. (B) Fibrillar morphology of typical DISC1 aggregates shown by transmission electron microscopy. Scale bar: 100 nm. A representative image is shown from 3 independent samples. (C) MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of DISC1 aggregates digested by proteinase K identified a major mass peak at 2,012.9 m/z corresponding to residues 209–227 in DISC1 as the core of DISC1 aggregates. Representative data are shown from 3 independent samples. (D) Aggregation of DISC1, which was monitored by the absorbance (turbidity) at 405 nm, was significantly accelerated by the HTT67Q42 aggregate seeds but not by BSA aggregates. No aggregation of PDE4B2 was observed in the presence of HTT67Q42 aggregate seeds. Representative data are shown from 3 independent sample sets. (E) FT-IR spectra revealed that the DISC1 aggregates formed in the presence of HTT67Q42 aggregate seeds (gray) contained more intermolecular β-sheet structures (arrowheads) than did spontaneously formed DISC1 aggregates (black). Representative data are shown from 4 independent sample sets. (F) The DISC1 aggregates formed in the presence of HTT67Q42 aggregate seeds showed higher resistance to 2% SDS than spontaneously formed DISC1 aggregates in the filter trap assay with Sypro Ruby staining. The asterisk indicates that 10% of the signal intensity of HTT67Q42 amyloid is subtracted from that of DISC1-HTTQ42 coaggregates for correction of the signal intensity. Data represent mean ± SEM (4 independent sample sets). One-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis.
not expression of a control protein EGFP, significantly restored the reduced preference of R6/2 mice (Figure 5F). In contrast, in marble burying and nesting test, although the deficits existed in the R6/2 mice, the rescue by Δ201-228-nDISC1 was not observed (Supplemental Figure 9, B and C). Note that the R6/2 mice did not show even alterations in light/dark transition and center time in open field test (Supplemental Figure 9, D and E). These results suggest that the DISC1/PDE4/HTT pathway may be involved in a specific subset of nonmotor behaviors in R6/2 mice.

**Discussion**

Here we demonstrate that the sequestration of intrinsically aggregation-prone DISC1, but not PDE4, into mutant HTT aggregates disturbed the proper formation of an HTT-DISC1-PDE4 ternary protein complex. This generates a PDE4 fraction out of physiological control by DISC1, thereby aberrantly increasing PDE4 activity. Furthermore, our exploratory study in vivo suggests that this molecular pathway may underlie anhedonia in the R6/2 model (Supplemental Figure 10).
Rolipram administration restored motor dysfunction and decreased both HTT aggregation and neuronal death in R6/2 mice (31–33), while we found that Δ201-228-nDISC1 did not affect them (Figure 5, C–E, and Supplemental Figure 9A). These contrasting results underscore that the molecular mechanisms underlying rolipram challenge and ectopic Δ201-228-nDISC1 expression are different. In contrast to the fact that rolipram could target diverse PDE4s (36), Δ201-228-nDISC1 would have specific inhibitory effects on the DISC1-associated PDE4 activity.

present study uniquely addresses mental manifestation in HD, compared with many previous studies in which coaggregation of mutant HTT with other aggregation-prone proteins was demonstrated to be involved in motor dysfunctions (54–56). Thus, we propose that this mechanism involving the HTT-DISC1-PDE4 ternary protein complex provides a novel molecular basis for the pathology and potentially the psychiatric symptoms underpinning the reduced cAMP levels observed in both HD patients and model mice (12, 13).

Rolipram administration restored motor dysfunction and decreased both HTT aggregation and neuronal death in R6/2 mice (31–33), while we found that Δ201-228-nDISC1 did not affect them (Figure 5, C–E, and Supplemental Figure 9A). These contrasting results underscore that the molecular mechanisms underlying rolipram challenge and ectopic Δ201-228-nDISC1 expression are different. In contrast to the fact that rolipram could target diverse PDE4s (36), Δ201-228-nDISC1 would have specific inhibitory effects on the DISC1-associated PDE4 activity.
We show here that the aggregation of DISC1 is significantly accelerated by the presence of mutant HTT seeds. Importantly, our structural analysis of DISC1 aggregates provided mechanistic insights into the efficient cross-seeding between mutant HTT and DISC1: the core region (residues 209–227) of DISC1 aggregates included the binding site for HTT (residues 206–220). This overlap might increase the chance of interactions between aggregation-prone core regions in distinct DISC1 molecules and thereby facilitate the sequestration of DISC1 into mutant HTT aggregates. In addition, the increased β-sheet structures and SDS resistance of DISC1 aggregates by cross-seeding with mutant HTT may enhance the resistance to degradation and promote further recruitment of soluble DISC1 into mutant HTT aggregates.

This report, with a combined cell biology and biophysical approach that is informed by clinical observations, formulates a novel hypothesis that leads us to suggest 3 questions for future studies. First, it will be important to clarify whether the sequestration of DISC1 into misfolded protein fractions and aggregates is specific to HD or more generally applied to other polyQ diseases. The present data from the R6/2 model that represents the polyQ pathology implies that DISC1 may also be involved in other polyQ diseases. Second, the next study may use a full-length HTT mouse model to address the influence of HTT on broader aspects of HD pathology. Third, it is worthwhile to address how other DISC1 interacting partners could be involved in the PDE4-regulating mechanism controlled by the HTT-DISC1-PDE4 ternary protein complex.

Recent studies have indicated that brain samples from a subgroup of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or depression, as well as some preclinical models for such conditions, contain insoluble DISC1 and dysbindin, another protein that might be involved in the biology of mental functions (27, 29, 30, 57, 58). Based on these findings, we propose that a selective network of misfolded proteins that are prone to be insoluble may underlie many aspects of brain dysfunctions, including psychiatric manifestations.

**Methods**

**Plasmids and antibodies**

The cDNA of full-length mouse DISC1 was subcloned into a pRK5 vector with an HA or Myc tag at the N-terminus or into a pcDNA4 vector (Invitrogen) with a myc/His6 tag at the C-terminus. The cDNA of full-length human PDE4B1, 4B2, or 4B3 was subcloned into a pRK5 vector with an HA or Myc tag at the N-terminus or into a pcDNA4 vector. The cDNA of full-length mouse DISC1 was subcloned into a pRK5 vector (Invitrogen) with an N-terminal HA tag. The cDNA of HTT67 or HTT513 full-length human PDE4B1, 4B2, or 4B3 was subcloned into a pRK5 vector with an HA or Myc tag at the N-terminus or into a pcDNA4 vector. The cDNA of HTT67 or HTT513 was subcloned into a pRK5 vector (Invitrogen) with a myc/His6 tag at the C-terminus. For bacterial expression of PDE4B2, the cDNA of human DISC1, HTT67Q18, and HTT513Q42 was inserted into a pET29b vector. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies, Disc1 locus impairment (Disc1 LI) mice, in which a major full-length 100-kDa isoform is depleted (59, 60), were used (Supplemental Figure 2). The following commercial or published antibodies were also used: mouse monoclonal antibodies against HA, Myc, and GFP tags (Nacalai Tesque), against mouse DISC1 (2B3) (59), and against β-actin (Abcam); rabbit polyclonal antibodies against HA, Myc, and GFP tags (MBL), against mouse DISC1 (mEx3, refs. 59–63; and D27, refs. 63–66), against human DISC1 (14F2) (57), and against HTT (EM48 and MAB2144) (Millipore); and a sheep polyclonal anti-pan-PDE4B antibody (41). Mouse, rabbit, or sheep IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was also used as a control in communoprecipitation experiments.

**R6/2 mice**

Heterozygous HTT67 (corresponding to HTT exon 1) transgenic R6/2 mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory and maintained by ovarian transplantation of female R6/2 mice carrying about 130 CAG repeats. R6/2 transgenic and age-matched WT mice were anesthetized and the brains were removed, followed by separation of cerebral cortex and striatum. For measurement of PDE4 activity, storage of brains at –80°C was minimized.

**Autopsied brains from HD patients**

Autopsied brains (12–32 hours postmortem interval) from 6 HD patients and 3 controls at the age of 37–76 were obtained from Harvard Brain Tissue Resource Center. Frozen and fixed paraffin blocks for cerebral cortex and striatum include the regions from frontal cortex and caudate/putamen/accumbens, respectively.

**Measurement of PDE4 activity**

PDE4 activity was assayed by our reported protocol (67). Briefly, brains or cells were homogenized in KHEM buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM EGTA, 1.92 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, and 50 mM HEPS, pH 7.2) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Sciences) and centrifuged, and the supernatant fraction was used to measure the PDE4 activity. Total cAMP-specific phosphodiesterase activity was measured with 1 μM/l cAMP substrate concentration using a fluorescence polarization assay (Molecular Devices) under linear conditions with and without the PDE4 inhibitor rolipram at a concentration known to fully and selectively inhibit PDE4 (10 μM) (35).

**Western blotting**

A standard protocol was used, including 5%–20% gradient acrylamide gels (ATTO). For detection, appropriate peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare) were used in conjunction with a SuperSignal West Pico or Femto chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to obtain images with LAS-3000 Imager (GE Healthcare).

**Immunoprecipitation**

Typically, cells or brains were lysed with RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). Lysates were sonicated, cell
debris was cleared by centrifugation at 200 g for 3 minutes at 4°C, and the soluble fraction was subjected to immunoprecipitation with a primary antibody or an appropriate IgG control with Dynabeads Protein G (Invitrogen). Green fluorescent protein (GFP) or monomeric red fluorescent protein was used as a negative control of binding partner. For sequential immunoprecipitation studies, a Crosslink Magnetic IP/Co-IP Kit (Pierce) was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The first and second immunoprecipitations were conducted with anti-DISC1 (m317C) and HTT (MAB2166) antibodies, respectively. An appropriate IgG was used as negative control for each reaction. For competitive binding experiments, lysates of the HEK293T cells overexpressing HTT513Q82-GFP or HTT513Q82-GFP were added to lysates of the HEK293T cells overexpressing DISC1-Myc and PDE4B1-HA. The mixture was processed for immunoprecipitation with an anti–c-Myc antibody.

**Sedimentation assay**

Samples (0.1 g) of cerebral cortex or striatum in WT or R6/2 mice were homogenized with 1 ml of PBS including a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) by a digital homogenizer at 1,500 rpm for 15 strokes and sonicated for 10 seconds (Branson sonifier, 15%). The homogenates were partially cleared by centrifugation at 200 g for 3 minutes at 4°C, and the supernatant (total fraction) was further centrifuged at 16,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C. Protein concentration of the total and supernatant fractions was determined by a BCA assay kit (Thermo Scientific), and 60 μg of protein per well of the total and supernatant fractions was used for immunoblotting.

**Filter trap assay**

Samples (0.1 g) of cerebral cortex or striatum in WT and R6/2 mice or human controls and HD patients were homogenized with 1 ml of PBS including a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) by a digital homogenizer at 1,500 rpm for 15 strokes and sonicated for 10 seconds (Branson sonifier, 15%). The homogenates were cleared by centrifugation at 200 g for 3 minutes at 4°C, and protein concentration of the supernatant (total fraction) was determined by a BCA assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Fifty micrograms of protein per hole of the total brain homogenate was loaded onto a cellulose acetate membrane (0.2 μm; Advantec) in the dot blot apparatus (Bio-Rad), and the membrane was washed with 2% SDS, followed by immunoblotting. Loading of the same protein amounts on the membrane was confirmed by Western blotting of the total fractions.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Mouse brains were fixed with 4% buffered paraformaldehyde, mounted with Tissu Mount (Shirai Kogyo), and frozen by carbon dioxide. The frozen mouse brains were fixed with 4% buffered paraformaldehyde, mounted with a primary antibody (1:100 to 1:500), followed by Alexa Fluor 488 or 546–conjugated secondary antibodies (1:300) (Invitrogen). Vectashield mounting medium with DAPI (Vector Laboratories) was used to stain the nucleus. Fluorescent images of the serial-cut frozen or paraffin sections were acquired with a confocal microscope TCS SP8 (Leica) or LSM710 (Zeiss).

**Preparation of recombinant proteins and aggregates**

Expression and purification of HisGST-tagged HTT67Q42 were reported previously (47). His-tagged human PDE4B2 was expressed at 25°C in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) Rosetta and purified with Nickel agarose resin (GE Healthcare). DISC1 protein was expressed at 15°C in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) Rosetta as a fusion protein with a His6-tag and trigger factor (TF) from a pCold-TF (Takara) vector and was purified with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, with 1 M NaCl and 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol under the native condition with Nickel agarose resin (GE Healthcare). DISC1 protein was eluted with the same buffer containing 250 mM imidazole, concentrated, and passed through a 1000 K filter (Millipore) to remove preexisting aggregates. Staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue showed that DISC1 was more than 90% pure, and the protein concentration was determined at an extinction coefficient of HisTF-DISC1 (85,600 mM⁻¹·cm⁻¹). For aggregate formation, typically 1 ml of 5 μM protein solution with 10 units of turbo3C protease (Accellagen) to cleave the tag was rotated in an end-over-end manner in a 2-m1 tube at 37°C (47).

**Characterization of DISC1 aggregates in vitro**

The physical properties of DISC1 aggregates were examined by an amyloid-specific fluorescent dye, thioflavin T (Sigma-Aldrich). The fluorescence of thioflavin T (excitation, 442 nm; emission, 483 nm) in 50 mM Na-glycine buffer (pH 8.0) including 12.5 μM thioflavin T and 5 μM DISC1 aggregates was measured with a fluorescence plate reader (ARVO MX, PerkinElmer) (47). For morphological observation of DISC1 aggregates with transmission electron microscopy (JEM 1200EX, JEOL), an aliquot of 2 μM DISC1 aggregates was adsorbed onto carbon-coated 400-mesh copper grids, followed by 2% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer. The samples were negatively stained with 2% sodium phosphotungstic acid. Far-UV circular dichroism and FT-IR spectra of DISC1 aggregates were measured as described previously (47, 68). A core region in DISC1 aggregates was identified with a 4800 Plus MALDI-TOF/TOF Analyzer (AB SCIEX) as previously reported (69). The kinetics of DISC1 aggregation was monitored by the absorbance (turbidity) at 405 nm, with 10 μM DISC1 or PDE4B2 protein in 5 mM potassium phosphate buffer containing 150 mM sodium chloride (pH 7.4), and 2 units of turbo3C protease in the absence or presence of seeds of indicated aggregates (10% [mol/mol]) with a plate reader in a 96-well format (SpectraMax M2, Molecular Devices). As a control, TF was also used in the aggregation assay. The aggregate seeds were prepared by sonication (Branson sonifier, 15%) of 5 μM protein aggregates for 10 seconds. The plate reader instrument agitates a 96-well plate for 5 seconds every 2 minutes. A filter trap assay was performed with 10 μg of HTT67Q42, DISC1, or BSA aggregates using a cellulose acetate membrane (0.2 μm; Advantec) in the dot blot apparatus (Bio-Rad). After the protein was allowed to form aggregate for 2 days, the sample was loaded and the membrane was washed with 5 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) with 2% SDS, followed by detection of signals with Sypro Ruby staining (Bio-Rad). For immunoprecipitation experiments, recombinant soluble DISC1 protein was filtered with a 300-kDa cutoff membrane (Sartorius) to remove preexisting oligomers. The recombinant DISC1 or BSA protein was polymerized in the presence or absence of HA-HTT67Q42 amyloid seeds (10% [mol/mol]). After overnight incubation, the resulting aggregates were captured by anti-HA beads (Invitrogen), washed with the buffer containing 0.1% Triton X-100, and processed for Western blotting using anti-HA and h316C DISC1 antibodies.
Peptide array
MBP-HTT513Q18 protein was expressed at 20°C in E. coli BL21 (DE3) Rosetta as a fusion protein with an N-terminal Hisx7 tag and purified as TF-DISC1. Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining showed that MBP-HTT513Q18 was more than 90% pure, and the protein concentration was determined by an extinction coefficient of MBP-HTT513Q18 (37,940 mM⁻¹cm⁻¹). Peptide arrays of mouse and human DISC1 were generated as previously reported (20, 64, 70). One microgram of maltose-binding protein (MBP) alone or MBP-HTT513Q18 protein in PBS was overlaid to the peptide array. The array was washed with PBS, followed by detection as previously reported (20, 64).

Production of lentivirus and AAV, and injection into mice
HEK293T cells were transfected with a pCSII-CMV–mouse DISC1- or Δ201-228-DISC1-ires-Venus plasmid together with pCAG-HIIVgp and pCAG-VSV-G plasmids, and forskolin (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the culture medium (10 μM). Purification of lentivirus was performed as previously reported (64), except that the lentivirus was concentrated with Lent-X Concentrator (Clontech) and the pellet was resuspended with MACS Neurobasal media (Miltenyi Biotec) containing MACS B27 plus (Miltenyi Biotec) and penicillin and streptomycin (Nacalai). Stereotaxic injections were bilaterally placed at 0 and 1.0 mm anterior to the bregma, 2.0 mm lateral to the sagittal suture, and 2.0 mm below the skull surface in 2-week-old WT or R6/2 mice. One microliter of lentivirus (200 μl of lentivirus purified from 10 ml culture) was injected at the rate of 0.1 μl/min by a microinjector (Narishige). Immunohistochemical analyses with frozen sections of the mice confirmed the expression of Venus and DISC1 in striatum. At 8 weeks, the striatum of the mice was removed, minced on ice, and treated with a Papain Dissection System (Worthington) to separate cells. The cells were treated with propidium iodide (Nacalai), and the Venus-expressing and propidium iodide–negative cells were collected by flow cytometry (FACSAria, BD), followed by centrifugation at 1,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C. Preparation of AAV followed a previous report (60). Stereotaxic injections of AAV into mice were performed as described above.

Analysis of HTT aggregates and neuronal viability in AAV-injected mice
The numbers of cells with HTT aggregates and neurons in striatum of AAV-injected mice were examined as previously described (71). An EM48 (Millipore) or NeuN (Millipore) antibody together with Vectashield mounting medium with DAPI (Vector Laboratories) was used for immunostaining of the striatum of AAV-EGFP− or AAV-Δ201-228-nDISC1-injected mice at 12 weeks.

Behavioral analysis of mice
Following injection of AAV in striatum, the behavioral tests were performed for WT and R6/2 mice at the age of 8–9 weeks.

Rotarod performance test. A mouse was placed on a rotating rod (Muromachi Kikai), and the latency time for which the mouse was able to run on the rod was measured. The speed of rotation was 4 rpm on day 1 and was increased from 4 to 40 rpm over a 4-minute period and then kept at 40 rpm for another 1 minute from day 2 to day 5. Mice were tested in 1 trial for 2 minutes on day 1 and in 4 trials with a maximum time of 300 seconds (intervals between trials were 20–30 seconds) on days 2–5. The latency time of mice on the rotating rod was recorded by the instrument.

Open field test. The open field test was performed as previously described (72). Briefly, each mouse was placed in the center of the open field (50[width]×50[depth]×40[height]cm) and allowed to move freely for 15 minutes. Distance traveled (centimeters) and time spent (percent) in the center area (30%) of the field or in the 4 corner squares of the 5×5 subdivisions were measured every 1 minute and analyzed by TimeOFCR4 (O’Hara & Co.).

Light/dark transition. The light/dark transition test was performed as previously described (72). Briefly, mice were individually introduced into the light box, and the door of the tunnel automatically opened immediately after the software detected the mouse. The mice were then allowed to move freely in the light/dark box for 10 minutes. The total distance traveled, the percentage of time spent in the light box, the number of transitions between the light and dark boxes, and the duration of the first latency period before entry to the dark box were measured as indices. Data were collected and analyzed using Time LD4 (O’Hara & Co.).

Sucrose preference test. Mice were allowed to take water or 2% sucrose from 2 distinct bottles, and consumed water and sucrose were measured every day for 4 days. The positions of the 2 bottles were switched after 2 days. No specificity of the mice to bottle or its position was observed. Sucrose preference was calculated as a percentage of the weight of sucrose intake over the total weight of fluid intake and averaged over the 4 days of testing.

Marble burying test. A mouse was placed in a cage in the presence of 15 marbles. After 20 minutes, pictures of the cages were taken, and the number of marbles buried in bedding was counted. For nesting behavior test, a mouse was placed in a cage in the presence of cotton square. After 48 hours, pictures of the cages were taken, and efficiency of the nest building was evaluated by a score of 1 (intact) to 5 as previously reported (73).

Statistics
For analyses of 2 groups, P values were determined by unpaired 2-tailed t test. For analyses of 3 or more groups, including all mouse behavioral tests, P values were determined by 1-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc corrections. P values of less than 0.05 (*), less than 0.01 (**), and less than 0.001 (***) were considered to be statistically significant.
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