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ABSTRACT

Loss of fine skin patterning is a sign of both aging and photoaging. Studies investigating the genetic contribution to skin patterning offer an opportunity to better understand a trait that influences both physical appearance and risk of keratinocyte skin cancer. We undertook a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of a measure of skin pattern (microtopography score) damage in 1,671 twin pairs and 1,745 singletons (N = 5,087) drawn from three independent cohorts. We identified that rs185146 near SLC45A2 is associated with a skin aging trait ($p = 4.1 \times 10^{-9}$); to our knowledge this is previously unreported. We also confirm previously identified loci, rs12203592 near IRF4 ($p = 8.8 \times 10^{-13}$), and rs4268748 near MC1R ($p = 1.2 \times 10^{-15}$). At all three loci we highlight putative functionally relevant SNPs. There are a number of red hair/low pigmentation alleles of MC1R; we found that together these MC1R alleles explained 4.1% of variance in skin pattern damage. We also show that skin aging and reported experience of sunburns was proportional to the degree of penetrance for red hair of alleles of MC1R. Our work has uncovered genetic contributions to skin aging and confirmed previous findings, showing that pigmentation is a critical determinate of skin aging.
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**ABBREVIATIONS**

Beagley–Gibson 6-point rating system (BG6)  
Genome-wide association study (GWAS)  
*Solute carrier family 45 member 2 (SLC45A2)*  
*Melanocortin receptor 1 (MC1R)*  
*Interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4)*  
Ultra-violet radiation (UVR)  
Reactive oxygen species (ROS)  
differentially expressed in FDCP 8 homolog (DEF8)  
spastic paraplegia 7 (SPG7)  
dysbindin (dystrobrevin binding protein 1) domain containing 1 (DBNDD1)  
Linkage disequilibrium (LD)  
Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)
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INTRODUCTION

Skin aging, characterised by epidermal thinning and reduced DNA repair, results from both external and internal influences. Major extrinsic factors include exposure to ultra-violet radiation (UVR) causing photoaging, and smoking. Specifically, photoaging is typified by elastosis and damage to the complex extracellular support matrix of the dermis (for review, see (Bilac et al., 2014)). This photodamage alters mechanical properties in the skin, leading to deterioration and loss of regularity of the fine reticular patterning of the skin surface (Lavker et al., 1980), as well as wrinkles and sagging. As UVR also induces DNA damage, the degree of photoaging correlates with skin carcinogenesis (Gandini et al., 2005, Green, 1991, Holman et al., 1984). Thus heritable factors that modify skin aging, particularly photoaging, have implications for both personal appearance and risk of skin cancer.

To date, a number of GWAS have analysed various measures of photoaging, or overall skin aging. These include perceived facial age (Liu et al., 2016), facial photoaging (Le Clerc et al., 2013) and the combined impact of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on facial aging (Chang et al., 2014). Additional GWAS have been conducted on traits related to photoaging such as actinic keratoses and facial pigmented spots (Jacobs et al., 2015a, Jacobs et al., 2015b, Laville et al., 2016). Together these have identified genetic variants on chromosomes 3, 6, 16, 20 and X.

Here we report on a meta-analysis of five GWAS drawn from three independent cohorts (Methods) analysed using the 6-point Beagley and Gibson (BG6) microtopography scoring system of skin patterning regularity and complexity (Beagley and Gibson, 1980, Holman et al.,
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BG6 score strongly correlates with amounts of dermal solar elastosis (Battistutta et al., 2006, Fritschi et al., 1995, Seddon et al., 1992), more so at younger ages (Hughes et al., 2012). It is correlated with self-reported sun exposure history and skin phenotype, and sunscreen can protect against the age-related increase in BG6 score (Hughes et al., 2013). At age 12 BG6 is highly heritable (86%), with heritability decreasing to 62% in adults (Shekar et al., 2005), suggesting BG6 is a useful measure to explore the genetics of photoaging. Here we aim to confirm previous GWAS findings, identify additional loci and characterise the interaction of MC1R red hair alleles with BG6 and various sun exposure behaviours.

RESULTS

Skin patterning GWAS meta-analysis

We performed a meta-analysis of five BG6 GWAS drawn from three independent cohorts, representing 1,671 twin pairs and 1,745 singletons (N = 5,087; Methods; Table 1). To account for differences in age, genotyping array and phenotype a total of five BG6 GWAS (Table 1) were conducted using imputed genotype dosage scores in Merlin-offline (Abecasis et al., 2002), a method that appropriately models the family structure in related samples, including twins (Methods, Figure 1 and 2). GWAS QQ plots indicated there was no evidence for population stratification (Supplementary Figure 1). SNPs at or near SLC45A2, reached genome-wide significance with a measurement of skin aging, as did SNPs near IRF4 and MC1R (Table 2). Full results for all SNPs with \( p < 1 \times 10^{-5} \) are reported in Supplementary Table 1). Conditioning on the top SNPs did not reveal additional associations (Supplementary Methods; Supplementary
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Table 2, Supplementary Figure 5).

In addition to BG6, a number of other skin aging related traits have been analysed by GWAS (Changet al., 2014, Jacobset al., 2015a, Jacobset al., 2015b, Lavilleet al., 2016, Le Clercet al., 2013, Liuet al., 2016). Supplementary Table 3 summarises the BG6 association for those SNPs previously reported as reaching genome-wide significance with other skin aging related traits. Of note, the top SNP from the chromosome 3 region reported in Le Clerc et al., (2013) is associated with facial photoaging, rs322458 is $p = 0.07$ for BG6; more promising is the nearby SNP rs470647, noted as a possible functional SNP tagged by rs3322458 (BG6 $p = 3.8 \times 10^{-3}$).

**Gene-based approach**

We used the gene-based association test, VEGAS2 to test for multiple independent signals within a gene that are individually not genome-wide significant, (Mishra and Macgregor, 2015) (Supplementary Methods). All results with a $p$-value < $5 \times 10^{-5}$ are reported in in Supplementary Table 4. Although many genes at the 16q24.3 locus have significant gene based $p$-values ($p < 2.084 \times 10^{-6}$), due to extensive LD across this region, this is driven by red hair alleles in MC1R.

**Functional annotation**

As the top SNP at each locus may not be the functional one, meta-analysis results were filtered to $p$-values < $1 \times 10^{-7}$ and homogenous effects sizes across contributing studies ($I^2 < 31\%$), leaving 102 SNPs mapping to the three genome-wide significant regions on chromosomes 5, 6 and 16.
GWAS of Skin Aging

(Supplementary Table 5; Supplementary methods). Our annotation work did not identify any additional regulatory or functional SNPs at 5p13.2/SLC45A2 beyond that our peak SNPs are in LD ($r^2 = 0.73$) with known missense rs16891982 (F374L) variant. The L374 allele, rare in European derived populations is associated with darker skin (Graf et al., 2005) and here with reduced BG6 score, indicating less photoaging (Table 2). The association at 6p25.3, near IRF4 is strongest at rs12203592, which lies in a melanocyte enhancer and is a weak IRF4 eQTL in whole blood and lymphocytes (GTEX $p = 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$ and $p = 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$). rs12203592 has been shown to modulate IRF4 regulation (Praetorius et al., 2013). In the MuTHER dataset, 17 BG6 associated SNPs at 16q24.3 are eQTLs for 7 genes (Online methods, Supplementary Table 6) while an overlapping list of 16 SNPs are eQTLs for 10 genes in the Westra data (Westra et al., 2013) (Supplementary table 7). As reported in Grundberg et al., 2012 these include the MC1R red hair SNP rs1805007 as an eQTL for DBNDD1 (Grundberg et al., 2012). Together these reveal direct impacts on pigmentation via non-synonymous changes to SLC45A2 and MC1R, as well as expression modulation of IRF4. Annotation also highlights that MC1R red hair alleles may also be associated with altered expression in additional genes, which may further influence skin patterning and photoaging.

Impact of MC1R alleles on sun exposure effects

Our GWAS meta-analysis provides evidence that red hair alleles of MC1R are associated with skin patterning. 1,246 of the adolescent twins used in the adolescent Phase 1 GWAS (Table 1) have been previously genotyped for nine low frequency coding MC1R alleles (Duffy et al., 2004). To improve our statistical power we grouped these MC1R alleles by their penetrance for
GWAS of Skin Aging

red hair and tested them for association with a range of sun exposure behaviours; a summary of
these measures and their correlation can be found in the supplementary methods and
Supplementary tables 8 and 9. Male and female participants were analyzed separately given the
influence of sex on skin patterning (Supplementary Methods).

There were significant differences in BG6 for MC1R compound genotypes (having any
combination of two red hair alleles at MC1R) in both sexes ($p < 0.001$, Figure 3), with a
significant trend for increasing BG6 score and greater red hair penetrance ($p < 0.001$). Together
MC1R red hair alleles explain at least 4.12% of BG6 variation. rs12203592 in IRF4 and
rs16891982 in SLC45A2 explain a further 2.95% and 0.26% of BG6 variation respectively.
Sunburn risk increased for compound genotypes in line with the degree of penetrance for red hair
(Figure 4). Additionally, we explored the impact of MC1R genotype and thus vulnerability to
skin damage on sun exposure behaviour and utilization of sun protection (Supplementary
Methods). We concluded there were no differences in Sun Exposed Hours (UV index justified,
Supplementary Figure 6) or sun protection behaviour between the MC1R genotype groups
(Supplementary Figure 7). The genome-wide significant SNP rs12203592 in IRF4 did not
exhibit any association with sun exposure or protection traits (data not shown).
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DISCUSSION

We show that SLC45A2 SNPs in LD with the non-synonymous rs16891982 (F374L; Graf et al., 2005) are genome-wide significantly associated with a measure of skin aging, BG6; to our knowledge this is previously unreported. We also replicate previous associations at IRF4 and MC1R (Supplementary Table 2) (Jacobset al., 2015a, Jacobset al., 2015b, Liuet al., 2016). The associations include well-known alleles affecting pigmentation (Duffyet al., 2004). For each variant, the allele correlated with greater skin pigmentation was associated with reduced photoaging. A functional SNP rs470647 previously reported to be associated with facial photoaging (Le Clercet al., 2013), was associated ($p = 3.8 \times 10^{-3}$) with BG6. The detection of overlapping SNPs using a range of different measures of skin aging is promising (Supplementary Table 3), and confirms that there are germline effects on multiple skin aging components. The combined effects of the seven MC1R red hair alleles polymorphic in our population explained at least 4.12% of BG6 variation, representing a gene of large effect compared to most quantitative trait loci.

Replication across independent datasets is critical for confidence in GWAS findings. Data contributing to this meta-analysis were drawn from three different Australian cohorts, and analyzed as five discrete GWAS to account for differences in genotyping array. BG6 associated SNPs at SLC45A2 and MC1R were at least $p < 0.05$ in three or more GWAS sets drawn from two independent cohorts; however, SNPs at IRF4 did not pass the imputation threshold in Raine (Supplementary Table 1). Nevertheless, the fact we replicate skin aging association at MC1R and IRF4 suggests our observations are robust. While conditional analysis did not reveal additional genome-wide significant associations (Supplementary Figure 5), a second red hair allele,
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rs1805008 (R160W) was also associated with BG6 at $p = 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ (Supplementary Table 1), suggesting like many other complex traits, additional variation remains to be discovered with larger sample sizes.

The three loci associated with BG6 are well characterised, giving direct insights into how they influence fine skin patterning. Animal models suggest $SLC45A2$ low activity alleles impair the processing and transport of melanogenic proteins to melanosomes (Costin et al., 2003), possibly via altered ability to maintain melanosome pH (Dooley et al., 2013). As well as regulating pigmentation together with $SLC45A2$, $MC1R$ signalling enhances melanocyte UVR resistance by rapidly upregulating antioxidant defences (Song et al., 2009) independently to melanin synthesis (Maresca et al., 2010). Melanocytes can act as a sink for reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by keratinocytes in vitro (Pelle et al., 2005), and $MC1R$ red hair alleles have a reduced capacity to mediate ROS protection (Kadekaro et al., 2010). $MC1R$ null ‘red hair’ mice are at higher risk of melanoma and oxidative DNA damage in the absence of UV, suggesting the increased synthesis of pheomelanin is itself pro-oxidant (Mitra et al., 2012). In parallel to animal models, there is some evidence that $MC1R$ red hair alleles are associated with higher risk for keratinocyte skin cancers in a high pigmentation background (Bastiaens et al., 2001, Box et al., 2001). Skin cancer risk is likely influenced by the fact that individuals carrying $MC1R$ variant genotypes experience more sunburns (Figure 4). Liu et al., 2016 reported that any influence of $MC1R$ on perceived aging is independent of wrinkling; here we show that $MC1R$ SNPs are associated with higher BG6 scores, which correlates with greater photoaging, dermal solar elastosis and wrinkling. This suggests that these genetic variants are not acting independently of wrinkling.
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We have used VEGAS2 to identify multiple genes near \textit{MC1R} as potentially associated with BG6 (Supplementary Table 4). \textit{MC1R} red hair alleles, and SNPs in LD, are eQTLs for genes other than \textit{MC1R} (Grundberget al., 2012, Westraet al., 2013). However, it is also possible that the observation of additional genes via VEGAS2, and MC1R red hair alleles acting as eQTLs, are simply a product of the strong selection on the \textit{MC1R} locus in Europeans and resulting long range LD.

Three SNPs at 6p25.3 are genome-wide significantly associated with BG6, with the lowest \(p\)-value at rs12203592 \((p = 1.0 \times 10^{-13})\) in intron four of \textit{IRF4} (Table 2). Variants in \textit{IRF4} including the T allele of rs12203592 (associated with higher BG6 or more severe skin aging) have been associated with lower, pigmentation (but not red hair), poorer tanning ability, greater freckling, and in adults lower mole nevus counts and a higher risk of melanoma and keratinocyte skin cancers (Duffy et al., 2010, Han et al., 2008, Han et al., 2011, Sulem et al., 2007, Zhang et al., 2013). The same high photoaging T allele has been shown to reduce activity of an \textit{IRF4} enhancer in melanocytes (Praetoriuset al., 2013). The direction of effect for the T allele of rs12203592 on nevus count and melanoma risk may be reversed in adolescents, with the T allele associated with lower rates of melanoma and higher mole counts (Duffy et al., 2010, Kvaskoff et al., 2011). We do not see evidence of a reversal in the BG6 association, as rs12203592’s direction of effect is consistent in adolescents and in adults.

Of particular interest is the overlap in genes associated with two different phenotypes, skin patterning and pigmented spots/lesions (Jacobset al., 2015a). Both studies report the same
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functional SNP in \textit{IRF4}, rs12203592. The top BG6 SNP at \textit{MC1R} is rs4268748, while for pigmented lesions it is rs35063026, and rs35063026 is also associated with BG6 ($p = 3.5 \times 10^{-10}$; Supplementary Table 1). While the LD between \textit{MC1R} SNPs rs4268748 and rs35063026 is modest ($r^2 = 0.22$; 1000 genomes phase 1 v3), rs35063026 is in LD with the red hair allele rs1805007 ($r^2 = 0.83$), and conditioning on red hair alleles at \textit{MC1R} abolished the association of rs35063026 with pigmented lesions (Jacobset al., 2015a). The minor allele of rs4268748 is modestly in LD with the minor red hair allele of both rs1805007 ($r^2 = 0.24$) and rs1805008 ($r^2 = 0.17$), likely explaining why it is shows the strongest association with BG6. Conditioning on rs4268748 essentially abolishes the BG6 association of rs1805007 (Supplementary table 2; $p = 0.03$) and rs1805008 ($p = 0.4$). In summary, in this study the low skin pigmentation minor alleles of functional SNPs in \textit{IRF4} and \textit{MC1R} are associated with higher BG6 scores (more severe photoaging). The same alleles are associated with a greater percentage of the face covered with pigmented lesions (Jacobset al., 2015a).

In Jacobs et al., (2015a) the pigmented spots/lesions measured included solar lentigines (SL) and seborrheic keratoses. SL are pigmented lesions that contain increased numbers of melanocytes as well as increased pigmentation of basal keratinocytes, and are a consequence of chronic UVR damage to the epidermis (Cario-Andre et al., 2004, Praetorius et al., 2014). Seborrheic keratoses are common benign skin tumours appearing with age, usually pigmented, and the evidence that they are associated with sun exposure and UVR damage is less conclusive (Hafner and Vogt, 2008). Furthermore, seborrheic keratoses are seen in all skin types while SL are more common in fairer skin. Skin patterning, as measured by BG6, and epidermal dyspigmentation including SL, are influenced by both chronological aging and photodamage. While the sites of the tests in the
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two studies differ, both are assessing an outcome of sun damage (and natural aging) on a highly sun exposed site, and thus we find overlapping genes that mediate UVR protection (MC1R, IRF4). It is worth noting that, in the case of pigmented lesions, this association is at least partly independent of skin color (Jacobset al., 2015a), and the relationship between skin color and SL is complex (Praetoriuset al., 2014), so non-pigmentary roles of these genes may also be involved (e.g. (Mitraet al., 2012)).

In conclusion, we have identified a (to our knowledge previously unreported) genome-wide significant association with SLC45A2, and confirmed that functional SNPs in IRF4 and MC1R are associated with BG6, a measure of wrinkling and photoaging. At each locus it is the lower pigmentation alleles that are associated with more severe photoaging. Low pigmentation alleles of SLC45A2 and MC1R have been extensively associated with increased risk for melanoma and keratinocyte skin cancers (Bastiaenset al., 2001, Chatzinasiou et al., 2011, Han et al., 2006). MC1R red hair alleles, even in the heterozygous state, are associated with a higher burden of somatic mutations in melanoma (Robles-Espinoza et al., 2016). Photoaging is itself associated with keratinocyte skin cancers. Thus while photoaging, and general changes in appearance as we age, are of interest from a quality of life and cosmetic point of view, the genes discovered through BG6, which can be measured easily and quantitatively in large scale populations, may improve our understanding of cancer pathogenesis.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLES

Three independent cohorts were used for this analysis. For the first, adolescent twins and their siblings were recruited in Brisbane, Australia, as a part of the Brisbane Twin Nevus Study (McGregor et al., 1999, Zhu et al., 1999, Zhu et al., 2007), totalling 3,759 adolescent twins and their siblings from 1,565 families; these were genotyped in two phases of 2,555 and 1,194 (Table 1). An unrelated set of adult twins comprising a total of 382 people from 197 families were drawn from an alcohol usage study (Heath et al., 1997, Whitfield et al., 2000) collected as a part of the same cohort. The second cohort, the Twins Eyes Study in Tasmania (TEST (Mackey et al., 2009)) contributed phenotype and genotype data from 136 twins. For the third cohort, 820 Raine participants were drawn from the 22-year follow-up (the Raine Eye Health Study, conducted between March 2012 and July 2014) of the ongoing prospective Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort Study funded by the Raine Medical Research Foundation (Raine) (Straker et al., 2015).

PHENOTYPE COLLECTION

For all participants in all cohorts, imprints of the back of the left hand were made using Affinis light-body silicone elastomer manufactured by Coltène AG, Altstätten, Switzerland (Barnes, 1973, Sarkany, 1962, Sarkany and Caron, 1965). Imprints for adolescent twins were made close to their 12th, 14th and 16th birthdays; age 12 BG6 scores were used preferentially, with missing data supplemented with age 14 data over age 16 as trait heritability decreases with age. Coincident with the skin measurements, a sun exposure questionnaire was administered for 2,204
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of the phase 1 adolescent twins (Supplementary Methods) (Shekaret al., 2005, Zhuet al., 1999). Imprints for non-twin siblings were collected during their twin sibling’s 12th birthday visit. Imprints for the adult sample were collected at a single visit (Shekar et al., 2006, Shekaret al., 2005), as were the Raine and TEST sets.

A single rater (T. Luong) used a low power dissection microscope to rate all skin imprints according to the six categories of the Beagley–Gibson rating system with higher scores reflecting greater disruption of the normal pattern of the lines on the epidermis (Holmanet al., 1984). The test-retest correlation for 50 BG6 measurements rescored a second time after nine months was 0.87 (95% CI 0.66-0.97) (Shekaret al., 2006).

ETHICAL STATEMENT

Approval to undertake this study was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute. The Raine Study and TEST protocols were approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Western Australia and the University of Tasmania, respectively. All studies conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki protocols, with participants giving their written informed consent.

GENOTYPING AND IMPUTATION

Adolescent samples were genotyped in two phases. Adolescent Phase 1, and TEST samples, were genotyped using Illumina Human610-Quadv1_B arrays at deCODE Genetics, Iceland. For
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imputation they were merged with a larger set of individuals genotyped on Human610-Quadv1_B, and Human660W-Quad_v1_C arrays, and filtered to a common set of 482,586 markers post QC. Adolescent Phase 2 samples were genotyped on HumanOmniExpress-12v1-1_A, HumanOmni25M-8v1-1_B, and HumanCoreExome 12v1-0_C arrays from Illumina at the Diamantina Institute, University of Queensland, and filtered to a common set of 233,546 SNPs that passed QC in all sets.

Adult samples were genotyped on 317K, HumanCNV370-Quadv3_C, Human610-Quadv1_B, and Human660W-Quad_v1_C arrays by the Center for Inherited Disease Research, the University of Helsinki, and deCODE. For imputation they were merged with a large set of individuals genotyped on the same chips, and filtered to a common set of 276,755 SNPs post QC.

Adolescent, Adult, and TEST SNP data were excluded if they failed standard SNP filters in GenomeStudio as recommended by Illumina, and further cleaned out if Illumina BeadStudio GenCall < 0.7, MAF < 1%, HWE P < 10^-6, or call rate < 95%. X chromosome SNPs were filtered if their heterozygosity was >1% in males. Samples with missingness ≥ 5% were dropped and principal component analysis in Eigenstrat (Price et al., 2006) was used to exclude samples with a PC1/PC2 value > ± 6SD from HapMap European populations. Identity by descent (IBD) estimates in PLINK were used to confirm pedigree structure. As the analysis for these four sets were done in Merlin-offline which explicitly models familial relationships, samples were not filtered for relatedness.
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Adolescent, Adult, and TEST samples were then imputed to the 2010/11/23 v3 release of the 1000 Genomes Phase 1 using minimac (2013-07-17 version) with markers with ≤1 copy of the minor alleles removed. Imputation was performed in two phases based on chip overlap – (1) Adolescent Phase 1; (2) Adult; Adolescent Phase 2 , and TEST; post imputation the four sets were analysed separately. For genotyped SNPs original genotype calls were used, for imputed SNPs imputation dosage scores were used.

Raine participants were genotyped on the Illumina 660 Quad Array at the Centre for Applied Genomics (Toronto, Ontario, Canada). As part of quality control (QC), individuals were excluded if they had IBD \( \pi > 0.1875 \) with another participant or > 3% missingness. SNPs were filtered out at HWE \( p > 5.7 \times 10^{-7} \), missingness > 5% or a minor allele frequency < 0.01.

Potential population stratification was controlled for via a principal component analysis (PCA) using EIGENSTRAT (Price et al., 2006). Imputation of 22 autosomes was performed using MaCH v 2.3.0 software (Li et al., 2010) and the November 23, 2010 version of the 1000 Genome Project phase 1 European reference panel (Genomes Project et al., 2010).

**GENOME-WIDE ASSOCIATION SCANS**

For the Adolescent Phases 1 and 2, Adult and TEST samples GWAS were performed separately using 6-point BG6 scores as a continuous variable and imputed dosage genotypes using the Merlin-offline software (http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/Merlin/) under an additive model that explicitly models relatedness and produces unbiased estimates with standard errors corrected for the sample size inflation due to familial relationship (Chen and Abecasis, 2007). Sex, age,
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age$^2$, sex $\times$ age and sex $\times$ age$^2$ were included as covariates.

For Raine, a linear regression analysis was performed in R (R Core Team, 2014) using the ProbABEL package (Aulchenko et al., 2010). The model was adjusted for age, sex, age$^2$, age $\times$ sex, age$^2 \times$ sex and the five principal components that accounted for the population stratification.

**POST IMPUTATION QC AND META-ANALYSIS**

Imputation quality was assessed by the ratio of imputed genotype dosage variance to the variance of the allele frequency under HWE (MaCH $\hat{r}^2$) (Liet al., 2010). For Adolescent Phase 1 and 2, TEST and Adult sets, SNPs with a $\hat{r}^2 < 0.5$ or MAF < 0.05 in any set were excluded; for Raine, SNPs with imputation quality < 0.5 were excluded; retained SNPs were meta-analysed using PLINK version 1.9 (https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink2) (Chang et al., 2015), with variant beta coefficients weighted by their inverse variance. Between study heterogeneity was assessed using the $I^2$ value (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986).
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### TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Adolescent</th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>Raine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>60 pairs</td>
<td>19 pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>384 pairs</td>
<td>194 pairs</td>
<td>60 pairs</td>
<td>19 pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>634 pairs</td>
<td>292 pairs</td>
<td>46 pairs</td>
<td>42 pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singleton twins</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N</td>
<td>2,555</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total families</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age (sd)</td>
<td>15.3 (1.9)</td>
<td>14.4 (2.0)</td>
<td>44.6 (9.8)</td>
<td>9.6 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female:male</td>
<td>1,304:1,251</td>
<td>649:545</td>
<td>228:154</td>
<td>69:67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Population description
GWAS of Skin Aging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hg19 Position</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>A1 effect allele</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>A1 Freq.</th>
<th>min r²</th>
<th>Fixed p</th>
<th>Fixed Beta</th>
<th>I²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5:33952106</td>
<td>rs185146</td>
<td>SLC45A2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>4.1 × 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: 396321</td>
<td>rs12203592</td>
<td>IRF4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>8.8 × 10⁻¹³</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:90026512</td>
<td>rs4268748</td>
<td>MC1R</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁻¹³</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:89986117</td>
<td>rs1805007</td>
<td>MC1R</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:89986144</td>
<td>rs1805008</td>
<td>MC1R</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.1 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Loci with SNPs with \( p \)-values < 5 × 10⁻⁸ following the fixed effects meta-analysis. We have reported the top SNP, and if known, additional functional SNPs at the same loci. The full results, including random effects estimates and individual GWAS data, for all SNPs with \( p \)-values < 1 × 10⁻⁵ can be found in Supplementary Table 1. Note Table 1 results are given for the minor allele for ease of interpretation; as indicated in Supplementary Table 1 effect sizes may be reported for the other allele. SNP positions are Hg19. Bold indicates likely functional gene; otherwise nearest gene is listed. A1 Freq is the frequency of allele 1 in the combined dataset, weighted by sample N. min \( r^2 \) is the minimum imputation quality score across contributing GWAS. Beta is the change in BG6 score for each copy of the A1 allele. A negative effect size indicates allele 1 is associated with reduced BG6 scores, and reduced skin aging.
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$I^2$ is the measure of heterogeneity of effect sizes across the included studies, and a low $I^2$ score indicates that the signals are homogenous. 

-
F (6,625) = 4.90, P<0.001. Test for trend: F(1,625) = 23.31, P<0.001.

F (6,609) = 6.00, P<0.001. Test for trend: F(1,609) = 27.83, P<0.001.
F (5,676) = 2.02, P=0.07. Test for trend: F(1,676) = 3.78, P<0.052.

F (5,663) = 4.06, P=0.001. Test for trend: F(1,663) = 15.66, P<0.001.

Sunburn scores

MC1R

Female (n=682)

Male (n=669)