Convergent immunological solutions to Argentine hemorrhagic fever virus neutralization
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Transmission of hemorrhagic fever New World arenaviruses from their rodent reservoirs to human populations poses substantial public health and economic dangers. These zoonotic events are enabled by the specific interaction between the New World arenaviral attachment glycoprotein, GP1, and cell surface human transferrin receptor (hTfR1). Here, we present the structural basis for how a mouse-derived neutralizing antibody (nAb), OD01, disrupts this interaction by targeting the receptor-binding surface of the GP1 glycoprotein from Junin virus (JUNV), a hemorrhagic fever arenavirus endemic in central Argentina. Comparison of our structure with that of a previously reported nAb complex (JUNV GP1–GD01) reveals largely overlapping epitopes but highly distinct antibody-binding modes. Despite differences in GP1 recognition, we find that both antibodies present a key tyrosine residue, albeit on different chains, that inserts into a central pocket on JUNV GP1 and effectively mimics the contacts made by the host TfR1. These data provide a molecular-level description of how antibodies derived from different germline origins arrive at equivalent immunological solutions to virus neutralization.

New World (NW) clade B arenaviruses (genus Mammar- enavirus) comprise a number of human pathogens, including Junin virus (JUNV), Machupo virus (MACV), and Guanarito virus (1, 2). These viruses are endemic to rodent populations in rural areas of Argentina, Bolivia, and Venezuela, respectively, and viral spillover into human populations can result in severe hemorrhagic fever (HF) (3). Novel pathogenic NW arenaviruses continue to be identified (4–6), underscoring a wide-scale need for effective vaccines and therapeutics.

JUNV, the etiological agent of Argentine hemorrhagic fever (AHF), constitutes one of the most dangerous NW arenaviruses, putting an estimated 5 million people at risk (7, 8). JUNV infection typically exhibits a rapid onset of disease (7–14 d) and high mortality rates (15–30%) (7, 9, 10). There are no internationally approved drugs for preventing or treating NW arenavirus HF. However, the successful development of a live, attenuated JUNV vaccine, Candida\#1, has proven that AHF can be controlled (11). Similarly, virus-neutralizing immune plasma from convalescent individuals has been successfully used for the treatment of AHF (10, 12).

The JUNV envelope surface is decorated by trimeric multifunctional glycoprotein complex (GPC) spikes. Each protomer in the trimer consists of: a myristoylated (13) stable signal peptide, an attachment subunit (GP1), and a transmembrane fusion subunit (GP2) (14–19). Host-cell entry of JUNV and other clade B arenaviruses is initiated by the interaction between the arenaviral GP1 and the host transferrin receptor (TfR1) (20). The GP1 subunit interacts with the apical domain of TfR1, distal from natural transferrin and hereditary hemochromatosis protein recognition sites (21, 22). A primary determinant of zoonotic spread of clade B arenaviruses is the ability of the GP1 to recognize the human TfR1 ortholog (20, 23).

The JUNV GPC spike comprises the primary target for neutralizing immune responses (24) and three GPC-specific mouse-derived nAbs—GD01, OD01, and GB03—have shown promise in animal models of infection (25), raising hopes for the development of mAb-based therapeutics. The structural basis for virus neutralization by one such mAb, GD01, has been elucidated, revealing an epitope on the GP1 glycoprotein that overlaps with the hTfR1 binding site (26). Here, we sought to determine the molecular basis for JUNV neutralization by the similarly derived mAb OD01. Our X-ray crystallographic investigation reveals that although OD01 bears highly contrasting paratopes and exhibits a smaller binding surface on JUNV GP1 than GD01, both antibodies effectively mimic the contacts made by the host TfR1 during viral attachment. This analysis demonstrates that antibodies derived from different germlines can achieve this highly effective immunological solution.

Results

Structure Determination of JUNV GP1–OD01 Fab Complex

The mouse-derived neutralizing antibody, OD01 (clone OD01-AA09), has been shown to target the glycoprotein spike of JUNV (24). To refine the specificity of the nAb OD01, we performed an ELISA using our recombinantly derived JUNV GP1 (residues D87–V231) as an antigen (Fig. 1A). Although nAb OD01 bound
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to JUNV GP1 at concentrations as low as 0.01 μg·mL⁻¹, no cross-reactivity was observed with MACV GP1 or the lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) GP1-negative control. To facilitate structure determination, recombinant JUNV GP1 (Fig. 1B) was deglycosylated with endoglycosidase F₁ (endoF₁) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A) and crystallized in complex with the antigen-binding fragment (Fab) of OD01. The structure of the complex was solved to 1.95-Å resolution (SI Appendix, Table S1). The amino acid sequence of OD01 was predicted crystallographically and used to create an engineered Fab fragment (herein referred to as eOD01) capable of stably and specifically binding JUNV GP1 (SI Appendix, Figs. S1 B and C and S2). Recombinant expression, crystallization, and structural determination of eOD01 with JUNV GP1 to 1.85-Å resolution (Fig. 1C and SI Appendix, Table S1) revealed identical binding modes for the JUNV GP1–eOD01 and JUNV GP1–eOD01 complexes (0.2 Å rmsd over 569 Ca residues) (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). We note that it is possible for subtle amino acid sequence differences that could not be distinguished by crystallographic analysis at this resolution (e.g., Asn vs. Asp) to exist between OD01 and our engineered version. However, the near identical mode of JUNV GP1 recognition provides a realistic model for JUNV neutralization by the native antibody.

**Structural Characterization of JUNV GP1–eOD01.** Two JUNV GP1–eOD01 Fab complexes were present in the asymmetric unit, with minimal structural differences observed between crystallographically related JUNV GP1 and eOD01 Fab pairs (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A and B). As previously observed (26), JUNV GP1 forms a compact 𝛼/𝛽-fold (Fig. 1B). The two eOD01 Fabs in the asymmetric unit recognize JUNV GP1 nearly identically, with both binding to the convex face of their cognate GP1 molecules at a site overlapping that used for TfR1 attachment (Fig. 1 C and D) (0.6 Å rmsd over 575 equivalent JUNV GP1–eOD01 complex Ca atoms) (SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). The JUNV GP1–eOD01 interaction interface occludes ∼1,200 Å² of solvent-accessible surface area. Complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) from both the heavy and light chains of eOD01 form contacts with JUNV GP1, indicating that the chains are likely to be mutually required for antigen recognition (Figs. 1C and 24 and Table 1).

Although the heavy-chain CDR loops H2 and, especially, H3 make a sizeable contribution to the eOD01–GP1 interface, the interaction is dominated by the CDR1 from the light chain (CDR L1), which extends a 15-amino acid loop deep into a central pocket on the β-sheet of JUNV GP1 (Figs. 1C and 24). Tyr30B from eOD01 CDR L1 (Chothia numbering scheme (27)) appears to be of chief importance to this interface, where the side-chain hydroxyl group hydrogen bonds with JUNV GP1 side-chains Ser111 and Asp113 at the tip of the third strand of JUNV GP1 (Fig. 2A). From the opposite side of the central pocket, the Tyr30B from eOD01 main chain is stabilized by an additional hydrogen-bonding interaction with the guanidinium group of Arg165 of JUNV GP1 (Fig. 2A). Additionally, the aromatic ring of Tyr30B from eOD01 is surrounded by the side chains of Ile115 and Val117 of JUNV GP1, Ile174 of JUNV GP1, and Lys216 of JUNV GP1, which contribute to the hydrophobicity of the pocket (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).

Although N-linked glycans decorate the periphery of the JUNV GP1 β-sheet (Fig. 1B), the crystallographically observed antibody–antigen interaction is predominantly carbohydrate.
independent (SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). Electron density corresponding to at least one GlcNAc moiety was observed at three of the four N-linked glycosylation sequons: Asn105, Asn166, Asn178, but not Asn95. A chain of at least six N-linked glycan moieties (Man$_4$GlcNAc$_2$) was ordered at Asn178 in both molecules of the asymmetric unit, suggestive that the di-N-acetylchitobiose core of this glycan is protected by the surrounding proteinous environment from endoF$_1$ digestion (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S6A). Upon overlay, we note that the extensions of the Asn178 glycans form subtly different conformations in the two molecules of the asymmetric unit (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B), indicating that differential packing environments may play a role in stabilizing the termini of these otherwise flexible glycans.

**Table 1. Comparison of JUNV GP1–eOD01 and JUNV GP1–GD01 interfaces**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody properties</th>
<th>eOD01 heavy chain</th>
<th>eOD01 light chain</th>
<th>GD01 heavy chain</th>
<th>GD01 light chain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amino acid length of CDR loops</td>
<td>H1: 7 (94%)*</td>
<td>L1: 15 (9%)*,†</td>
<td>H1: 7 (94%)*</td>
<td>L1: 11 (31%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H2: 6 (81%)*</td>
<td>L2: 7 (99%)*</td>
<td>H2: 6 (81%)*</td>
<td>L2: 7 (99%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H3: 11 (13%)*</td>
<td>L3: 9 (77%)*</td>
<td>H3: 15 (1%)*,†</td>
<td>L3: 9 (77%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footprint on JUNV GP1, Å$^2$</td>
<td>~400</td>
<td>~200</td>
<td>~600</td>
<td>~300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNV GP1 residues contacted</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen bonds</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values in parenthesis indicate the frequency of the particular CDR loop length in *Mus musculus*, calculated using the abYsis system (29).
†Dominant paratopes in each respective Fab.
‡Calculated using the PDBePISA server (54).
§Calculations done for the JUNV GP1–eOD01 complex 1, as defined in the legend to SI Appendix, Fig. S4.
Although glycans do not appear to play a role in eOD01–JUNV GP1 complex formation, the presence of glycosylation on the GP1 does affect the potency of antibody-mediated neutralization. For example, OD01 and GD01 neutralize LCMV displaying an XJ Clone 3 JUNV vaccine strain glycoprotein, which lacks the Asn166 glycosylation motif, more potently than the autologous virus, in which this glycosylation motif has been restored (28). Considering the proximity of Asn166 to the JUNV GP1–antibody interface (Fig. 1C), it seems possible that the presence of native N-linked glycosylation at this site may interfere with the antibody–glycoprotein interaction.

**eOD01 and GD01 Are Distinct yet Target Overlapping Epitopes.** The structure of GD01 in complex with JUNV GP1 has been previously reported (26) and provides an opportunity to compare how JUNV is neutralized by two unique mouse-derived anti-JUNV nAbs (Figs. 2 A and B and 3 and Table 1). Structural comparison of these two complexes reveals that the antibodies exhibit differing footprint sizes on the GP1 surface (∼600 and ∼900 Å² for eOD01 and GD01, respectively), with both epitopes overlapping the predicted receptor binding site (RBS). Despite contacting the same region of the JUNV GP1 surface, the two nAbs exhibit highly contrasting modes of antigen recognition, where the light- and heavy-chain epitopes on JUNV GP1 are largely swapped (Figs. 2 A and B and 3). For example, GP1 loop 3 residues Asp114 and Ala116 are stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonds in both GP1–nAb complexes. However, although these interactions are mediated by nAb heavy-chain residues Arg95, Thr97, and Thr99 in the GP1 CDR H3, which is uncommonly long for the species, with only ∼3% of the sequenced mouse CDR H3s displaying a length equal to or greater than 15 amino acids (29) (Table 1).

![Fig. 3. Footprints of hTfR1, eOD01, and GD01 plotted onto MACV and JUNV GP1. (A) Surface representations of MACV GP1 (Left) and JUNV GP1 (Center and Right). The footprint of hTfR1 on MACV GP1 is shown in blue. Dark blue represents amino acid residues conserved between MACV GP1 and JUNV GP1 (both identical and similar residues); not conserved residues are in light blue (including deletions and insertions). The antibody heavy (VH) and light (VL) chain footprints on JUNV GP1 are colored pink and green, respectively. Residues contacted by both chains are shown in gray. (B) Sequence alignment of JUNV GP1 residues 87–231 with the corresponding residues of the MACV GP1 (determined by Clustal Omega (55), plotted by ESPript (56), and adjusted by hand). Secondary structure elements are shown with arrows representing 𝛼-strands (81–97) and spirals representing 𝛽-strands (91–95). Colored squares under the sequence alignment mark GP1 residues contacted by hTfR1, eOD01, and GD01; colored as in A. Black-bordered squares indicate residues not conserved between the JUNV GP1 sequences used for co crystallization with OD01 (shown) and GD01 (i.e., Q109K and Q121E). Black dots denote JUNV GP1 residues forming minor contacts with eOD01, which were only observed in one of the two complexes in the asymmetric unit.](https://www.pnas.org/ cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1702127114)[Zeltina et al.](https://www.pnas.org/ cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1702127114)
Our structural analysis reveals that antibodies derived from different germlines can be directed to mimic host–receptor interactions on JUNV GP1. Similarly, neutralizing RBS-targeting antibodies of differing germline origins have been observed in other viruses, including influenza virus, HIV, and poliovirus (32–34). In the case of influenza virus, it has been possible to recognize a signature binding motif on the CDR H3 loop (33). Here, we demonstrate that a key immunoglobulin tyrosine residue, which mimics the critical Tyr211 in the elongated helical C-terminal region of the MACV GP1, that would interfere with OD01 recognition (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S9). We suggest that the inability of these antibodies to cross-react with MACV GP1, the most closely related pathogenic NW arenavirus species, may be because of the specific sequence differences and structural variations among the GP1 glycoproteins (Fig. 3). Indeed, this hypothesis is supported by overlay analysis of our eOD01–JUNV GP1 complex with MACV GP1, which indicates major clashes with the elongated helical C-terminal region of the MACV GP1 that would interfere with OD01 recognition (Fig. 2D and SI Appendix, Fig. S10). Cross-neutralizing antibodies capable of targeting both JUNV and MACV GP1 would likely need to accommodate for the differential presence of these helices, as well as for the sequence variation at the RBS.

Although few JUNV-specific nAbs have been reported to date, Mahmudovic et al. (26) have demonstrated that the hTfR1 binding site on the GP1 glycoprotein is a major target for antibodies generated during natural human infection. Indeed, the overlap of OD01 and GD001 footprints (Fig. 3) is consistent with the existence of an immunodominant epitope at the RBS of JUNV GP1 (26, 35). As a result, the identification of nAbs targeting other neutralizing epitopes is an important consideration for developing synergetic, noncompeting combinations of therapeutic anti-JUNV mAbs. Indeed, Robinson et al. (36) reported a range of neutralizing epitopes among human mAbs raised upon infection by Lassa virus (LASV), a more distantly related Old World arenavirus. Of the 16 identified anti-LASV nAbs, 13 require the assembled GPC for binding, whereas 3 require only the GP1 (36). Thus, emerging techniques in mAb generation, such as the isolation of antigen-specific B cells (37) against an intact prefusion GPC spike antigen, may reveal antibody candidates capable of targeting alternative non-RBS epitopes on the JUNV glycoprotein surface.

Materials and Methods

Protein Expression and Purification. The cDNA of JUNV (GenBank accession no. AC052248), MACV (AAS77647), and LCMV (CAC01231) glycoproteins was synthesized by GenArt (Life Technologies). For crystallization, a construct of JUNV GP1 (D87–N232) was derived by high-throughput cloning into the pOPINTGneo mammalian expression vector (38). Constructs of MACV GP1 (E87–F257), LCMV GP1 (M81–K256), and an additional construct of JUNV GP1 with an amino acid c-Terminus truncation (D87–V23; to facilitate cloning) were cloned into the pHLsec vector (39) for ELISA experiments. Proteins were expressed in transiently transfected HEK 293T cells (AECC Cell 1573) in the presence of the e-mannosidase inhibitor, kifunsine, as previously described (39). Cell supernatants were harvested 4 d after transfection, clarified, and diafiltered against a buffer containing 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCl (ÄKTA Flux diafiltration system; GE Healthcare). Glycoproteins were purified by immobilized nickel-affinity chromatography (5-Ml HisTrap FF crude column and ÄKTA FPLC system; GE Healthcare) followed by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a Superdex 200 10/300 Increase column (GE Healthcare), equilibrated in 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl buffer. To enable crystallogenesis, JUNV GP1 was partially deaggregated by endoF treatment (40). Following deagglutination, JUNV GP1 was repurified by SEC, as described above.

The mAb, OD01 (clone OD01-AA09), was obtained through BEI Resources (Biodensef and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository). The Fab fragment of OD01 was produced using the Pierce Mouse IgG1 Fab and Fab′ Preparation Kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The eOD01 Fab fragment heavy- and light-chain genes were synthesized by GeneArt (Life Technologies), and the codon optimized cDNAs were cloned into the pHLsec vector (39). A C-terminal His6-tag was included in the heavy chain construct and both chains were coexpressed (1:1 (wt/wt) ratio of Fab heavy to light chain expressing plasmids) in HEK293T cells and purified, as described above.

For ELISA experiments, the eOD01 Fab fragment variable domains were cloned into human IgG heavy and light-chain full-length constant region expression vectors (41). The recombinant antibody was expressed in HEK293 Freestyle cells cotransfected with heavy- and light-chain antibody expressing plasmids at a 1:1 (wt/wt) ratio with PEImax [1:3 (wt/wt) PEI:total DNA; Polysciences]. Transfections were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol, antibody supernatant was harvested 4 d following transfection, and clarified. Chimeric eOD01 antibody was purified over a protein A column, eluted with 0.1 M glycine (pH 3.5), concentrated, and buffer exchanged into PBS.

Crystallization and Structure Determination. Before crystallization, Fab OD01 and JUNV GP1 were mixed in a 1:1.1 molar ratio. Initial OD01 Fab–JUNV GP1 complex crystals were obtained at room temperature using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method (42) by mixing 100 nl of protein (at a concentration of 6.0 mg·mL−1) in 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl buffer with 100 nl of precipitant containing 20% (vol/vol) 2-propanol, 20% (wt/vol) PEG 4000, and 0.1 M trisodium citrate (pH 5.6). Crystallization drops were equilibrated against 95% of a precipitant-containing reservoir. X-ray diffraction data were collected from several optimized crystals grown separately in the presence of the precipitant plus one of the following additives: 100 nL 6% (wt/vol) o-trehalose dihydrate (added to the crystallization drop), 100 nL 6% (wt/vol) α-galactose (added to the crystallization drop), or 20 μL 40% (vol/vol) 1-propanol (added to the reservoir). For crystallization, recombinantly produced Fab eOD01 and JUNV GP1, were mixed at a 1:1.2 molar ratio. After complex formation, excess JUNV GP1 was removed by SEC and the complex was crystallized, as described above. The diffraction data were collected from several crystals grown separately in the presence of the original precipitant plus one of the following additives: 20 μL of 40% (vol/vol) 1-propanol (added to the reservoir) or 40% (vol/vol) acetone (added to the reservoir). Crystals were cryoprotected with 25% (vol/vol) glycerol and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

X-ray data were recorded at Beamline 102 at the Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK) on a Pilatus 6Mf detector (Dectris). X-ray data were indexed, integrated, and scaled with XDS (43). The high-resolution cut-off for the data was determined by analysis of CC1/2, as defined by Kaprus and Dieiersch (44). The structure of the OD01–JUNV GP1 complex was phased by molecular replacement with PHASER (45) using the crystal structures of a mouse Fab fragment [PDB id code 3W1I (46)] and MACV GP1 [PDB id code 2WFO (19)] as search models. Iterative model building was performed with COOT (47) to obtain a model of OD01 Fab with an amino acid sequence that was able to provide the electron density best fit to the experimental data (eOD01 sequence). Structure refinement was performed with Refmac (48) in the CCP4 suite including translation–libration–screw–rotation restraints (49, 50) and locally defined noncrystallographic symmetry. The eOD01–JUNV GP1 complex structure was determined as described above, except the eOD01–JUNV GP1 structure was used for phasing by molecular replacement. The final refined structure was validated with MolProbity (51). Conformational validation of carbohydrate structures was performed using the PDB (software) (52).

ELISA Experiments. ELISA plates (High Bind Microplate; Corning) were coated with viral glycoproteins in PBS (0.3 μg·mL−1) overnight at 4 °C. Plates were washed four times with PBS-T (PBS with 0.05% Tween-20) and blocked for 1 h with 5% nonfat milk in PBS-T. The mouse-derived antibodies [OD01 (clone OD01-AA09), GD01 (clone GD01-AG02), QC03 (clone QC03-BF11), GB03 (clone GB03-EB08), and LD05 (clone LD05-BF09) (24), obtained through BEI Resources] and the purified eOD01 chimeric antibody were serially diluted in 5% nonfat milk/PBS-T and incubated with the viral glycoproteins for 2 h, then plates were washed as above. Mouse-derived antibodies were detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Fab specific) antibody (Sigma), and the chimeric eOD01 antibody was detected using alkaline phosphatase conjugated goat anti-human Fab′2 antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Reactions were incubated with the secondary antibody for 1 h and the plates were washed as above. Binding was detected...
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