Improving life expectancy in people with serious mental illness: should we place more emphasis on primary prevention?
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Summary
People with serious mental illness have a reduced life expectancy that is partly attributable to increased cardiovascular disease. One approach to address this is regular physical health monitoring. However, physical health monitoring is poorly implemented in everyday clinical practice and there is little evidence to suggest that it improves physical health. We argue that greater emphasis should be placed on primary prevention strategies such as assertive smoking cessation, dietary and exercise interventions and more judicious psychotropic prescribing.
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Clinical guidelines for physical health monitoring
Since 2002, the Department of Health and NICE have provided an increasing amount of guidance on monitoring the physical health of people with serious mental illness (Appendix). Although guidelines were initially vague and called for annual or ‘regular’ monitoring, they have since become more explicit, with the most recent guidelines calling for much more frequent physical health monitoring: as often as weekly for weight monitoring during the first 6 weeks of starting an antipsychotic. In England, financial incentives are offered to mental healthcare providers who effectively deliver physical health monitoring as part of the commissioning for quality and innovation (CQUIN) scheme.

Despite clear guidelines, the uptake of physical health monitoring in people with serious mental illness has been variable. A systematic review of studies investigating physical health monitoring for people prescribed antipsychotics found that the rates of screening varied from 25 to 70% but that rates improved after the implementation of guidelines for physical health monitoring. A study investigating rates of cardiovascular risk screening in UK general practices found that people with serious mental illness over the age of 60 were less likely to receive screening compared with people without serious mental illness.

In 2014, NHS England, NHS Improving Quality, Public Health England and the National Audit of Schizophrenia Team coproduced the Lester UK Adaptation of the Positive Cardiovascular Health Resource. The resource supports NICE guidelines on physical health monitoring in people with serious mental illness in an attempt to improve monitoring and also to encourage intervention in patients with abnormal physical health parameters. However, the degree to which detection of abnormal results leads to an intervention in those who undergo physical health monitoring remains uncertain.

Evidence for physical health monitoring
A greater understanding of the risk factors associated with cardiovascular disease has led to the development of clinical prediction rules such as the Framingham Score and QRISK to identify people at greater risk in the general population.
recently, a cardiovascular risk prediction tool for people with serious mental illness has been developed (PRIMROSE).25 and the QRISK tool has been updated to include severe mental illness and atypical antipsychotic medication as predictors of cardiovascular disease.26 Clinical prediction rules are used in physical health monitoring programmes to identify individuals at high risk who may benefit from interventions such as smoking cessation, antihypertensives and lipid-lowering therapies with the aim of reducing future cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. The National Health Service (NHS) health check programme is an example of a general population screening programme that offers physical health checks for adults aged between 40 and 74 in the UK at an annual cost of £165 million.27 A recent observational study evaluating the programme found that those who attended were more likely to be diagnosed with diabetes, hypertension and chronic kidney disease, and were more likely to be prescribed a statin than those who did not attend.28

However, several studies have indicated that physical health monitoring programmes have limited benefits in terms of reducing morbidity and mortality.29 A systematic review of 16 randomised trials investigating clinical outcomes of physical health monitoring found that although screening programmes resulted in an increase in the diagnosis of hypertension and hypercholesterolaemia, they had no significant impact on cardiovascular morbidity or mortality.30 Furthermore, a systematic review investigating the use of clinical prediction rules to screen for cardiovascular disease suggests that although cardiovascular disease risk assessment (and offering interventions to those considered to be at high risk) may result in reductions in blood pressure, cholesterol and smoking, there is no evidence that this strategy is associated with significant reductions in cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.31

Although the implementation of physical health screening programmes in the general population has been widely studied, a recent systematic review revealed there are no randomised clinical trials or economic evaluations investigating the effectiveness of physical health monitoring in people with serious mental illness.32 Therefore as of yet, there is no evidence to support the current NICE guidelines for physical health monitoring in people with serious mental illness.

**Improving physical health through intervention**

A number of strategies to improve physical health in people with serious mental illness have been investigated, including support for smoking cessation, dietary interventions, exercise interventions and avoiding prescription of antipsychotics associated with adverse metabolic outcomes.33 Such interventions are relevant to all patients with serious mental illness and not just those who are found to have abnormal results from physical health monitoring.

**Pharmacological support for smoking cessation**

Tobacco smoking rates in the UK general population have dropped considerably from 46% of adults in 1974 to 19% in 2016.34 However, around 60–70% of those with schizophrenia are smokers, making them more than three times as likely to smoke compared with the general population.35 A network meta-analysis has demonstrated that pharmacological therapies such as nicotine replacement therapy (NRT), bupropion and varenicline are effective in supporting smoking cessation in the general population.36 Bupropion and varenicline have also been found to significantly increase the chances of smoking cessation in people with schizophrenia.37 NRT and e-cigarettes could also help to support smoking cessation in people with mental disorders.38 However, prescribing data from UK general practices suggests that despite providing financial incentives to healthcare providers, there has been little change in the rates of prescribing pharmacological interventions to reduce smoking and prescription rates are likely to be lower in those with serious mental illness.39 A much more assertive strategy to promote smoking cessation using pharmacological interventions could lead to an enormous improvement in the physical health of people with serious mental illness.40

**Dietary and exercise interventions**

People with serious mental illness have a poorer diet than the general population. This may contribute towards obesity, dyslipidaemia and impaired glucose regulation, which can increase the risk of cardiovascular disease.41 A meta-analysis of randomised trials investigating dietary interventions in people with serious mental illness showed that dietary interventions result in a significant reduction in weight (−2.7 kg), BMI (−0.87 kg/m²), waist circumference (−2.3 cm) and blood glucose levels (−0.47 mmol/L), particularly if interventions are led by dieticians.42

A review of randomised trials investigating exercise interventions in people with schizophrenia found no significant change in BMI but that exercise interventions may improve physical fitness and are associated with a reduction in psychiatric symptoms.43 However, reduced motivation can make it difficult to sustain adequate participation in exercise interventions.44 Exercise interventions that incorporate education and coaching sessions may help to improve uptake in people with serious mental illness who may otherwise have low levels of physical activity.45 In contrast, a systematic review investigating the effect of general physical health advice in people with serious mental illness found limited evidence for an improvement in outcomes.46 This suggests that improving diet and exercise in people with serious mental illness requires a more assertive approach than simply providing general health advice. However, there are relatively few studies investigating the cost-effectiveness of dietary and exercise interventions in people with serious mental illness and further evaluation is required to determine which interventions could be feasibly implemented in clinical practice.47

**Choice and duration of antipsychotic prescribing**

Some antipsychotics (particularly clozapine, olanzapine and risperidone) may contribute towards increased cardiovascular risk in people with serious mental illness because of increased weight gain, dyslipidaemia and impaired glucose regulation.48 There is growing debate about whether long-term treatment with antipsychotics is beneficial for all patients with schizophrenia, not only because of their adverse metabolic effects but also their long-term effects on brain structure and dopamine receptor function.49 This raises the question of whether psychiatrists should be more cautious about avoiding long-term prescription of antipsychotics associated with adverse metabolic effects in people with serious mental illness and to consider reducing or stopping these in patients at greater risk of cardiovascular disease.50

**Conclusions**

People with serious mental illness die up to 20 years younger because of preventable physical disorders.51 In England, the NHS
Appendix

National institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)/Department of Health guidelines on physical health monitoring in people with serious mental illness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Description of guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2002 | NICE guidelines on schizophrenia are released (CG1) that state that clinicians should ‘regularly monitor the physical health’ of patients, stating that ‘particular attention should be paid to the risk of metabolic and cardiovascular disease’.  

2006 | The Department of Health release best practice guidance for supporting the physical health needs of people with severe mental illness.  

2009 | NICE guidelines on schizophrenia are updated (CG2) to recommend that patients should receive annual physical health check reviews, including blood tests and urinalysis, basic health checks (including blood pressure, pulse and body mass index), and lifestyle review (including diet, physical activity and smoking), with a ‘focus on cardiovascular disease risk assessment’.  

2014 | Current NICE guidelines on psychosis and schizophrenia in adults (CG17) recommend more frequent regular physical health monitoring for patients receiving antipsychotic medication. The guidelines state the following physical health markers should be measured:  

(a) weight: baseline, then weekly for the first 6 weeks, at 12 weeks, at 1 year and then annually;  
(b) waist circumference: baseline, and then annually;  
(c) pulse and blood pressure: baseline, then at 12 weeks, at 1 year and then annually;  
(d) fasting blood glucose, HbA1c and blood lipid levels: baseline, then at 12 weeks, at 1 year and then annually;  
(e) overall physical health (nutritional status/diet/physical activity): baseline and at regular intervals.  

There are similar NICE guidelines that recommend regular physical health monitoring for young people which psychosis and schizophrenia (CG15),  

and for patients with bipolar disorder (CG18). |

has attempted to address this issue by incentivising physical health monitoring programmes to reduce risk factors in those who are deemed to be at high risk of future cardiovascular events.  

However, there is no evidence that physical health monitoring in itself is effective in reducing cardiovascular risk in people with serious mental illness.  

Healthcare services should consider a shift away from physical health monitoring strategies and instead focus their resources on primary prevention strategies that are provided to all people with serious mental illness from the moment they first present to mental healthcare services. These include assertive smoking cessation (with pharmacological support), diet and exercise interventions and where possible, to avoid long-term prescription of antipsychotics associated with adverse metabolic outcomes. This approach could help to substantially improve the long-term health and life expectancy of people with serious mental illness.
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