King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.exger.2017.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2017.09.014)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Ku, P-W., Liu, Y-T., Lo, M-K., Chen, L-J., & Stubbs, B. (2017). Higher levels of objectively measured sedentary behavior is associated with worse cognitive ability: Two-year follow-up study in community-dwelling older adults. *Experimental Gerontology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2017.09.014>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Higher levels of objectively measured sedentary behavior is associated with worse cognitive ability: Two-year follow-up study in community-dwelling older adults

Po-Wen Ku, Yi-Te Liu, Ming-Kuei Lo, Li-Jung Chen, Brendon Stubbs

PII: S0531-5565(17)30562-4
DOI: doi:[10.1016/j.exger.2017.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2017.09.014)
Reference: EXG 10157

To appear in: *Experimental Gerontology*

Received date: 23 July 2017
Revised date: 18 September 2017
Accepted date: 23 September 2017

Please cite this article as: Po-Wen Ku, Yi-Te Liu, Ming-Kuei Lo, Li-Jung Chen, Brendon Stubbs , Higher levels of objectively measured sedentary behavior is associated with worse cognitive ability: Two-year follow-up study in community-dwelling older adults. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Exg(2017), doi:[10.1016/j.exger.2017.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2017.09.014)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Higher levels of objectively measured sedentary behaviour is associated with worse cognitive ability: Two-year follow-up study in community-dwelling older adults

Po-Wen Ku, Yi-Te Liu, Ming-Kuei Lo, Li-Jung Chen*, Brendon Stubbs*

Running title: Objectively-assessed sedentary behaviour and cognitive ability

1st author: Po-Wen Ku, PhD (Epidemiology), PhD (Exercise & Health)
Graduate Institute of Sports and Health, National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Email: powen.ku@gmail.com

2nd Author: Yi-Te Liu, MSc
Department of Sports, National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Email: brianliu0404@yahoo.com.tw

3rd Author: Ming-Kuei Lo, MPE
Department of Leisure Business Management, Nan Kai University of Technology, Taiwan
Email: kuei@nkut.edu.tw

4th and corresponding author: Li-Jung Chen, PhD*
Department of Exercise Health Science, National Taiwan University of Sport, Taiwan
E-mail: ljchen@ntupes.edu.tw

5th and corresponding Author: Brendon Stubbs, PhD*
Physiotherapy Department, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AZ, UK
Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, De Crespigny Park, London, Box SE5 8AF, UK
Faculty of Health, Social Care and Education, Anglia Ruskin University, Chelmsford, UK
E-mail: brendon.stubbs@kcl.ac.uk

* **Corresponding Author:** Brendon Stubbs PhD, Head, Physiotherapy Department, South

London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London, United Kingdom. Tel:

0044 208 3003100, fax 00442032282702 email: brendon.stubbs@kcl.ac.uk

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Abstract (249/250)**Background**

A number of cross-sectional studies have suggested that higher levels of sedentary (SB) are associated with worse cognitive abilities in older age. There is a paucity of longitudinal studies investigating this relationship utilizing objective SB. This study investigates the relationship between objective SB and future cognitive abilities in a cohort of older adults.

Methods

A longitudinal study over 22.12 ± 1.46 months including 285 community-dwelling older adults across 14 regions in Taiwan was undertaken. Cognitive ability was ascertained using a Chinese version of the Ascertain Dementia 8-item Questionnaire (AD8) and SB captured by 7 days accelerometer data. Multivariable negative binomial regression models adjusted for confounders were undertaken.

Results

274 community-dwelling older adults finished the study (age = 74.6 ± 6.2 , % female = 54.4%). At baseline, 20.1% (n= 55), 48.5% (n= 133) and 31.4% (n= 86) of the sample engaged in high (>11 hours), medium (8-10.99) and low (<8 hours) of SB respectively. In the fully adjusted model, higher levels of SB were associated with an increased risk of worse cognitive ability at follow up (adjusted rate ratio (ARR)1.09 (95%CI:1.00-1.19), with the

strongest relationship evident in those engaging in over 11 hours of SB (ARR 2.27 (1.24-4.16). The relationship remained evident after adjusting for depressive symptoms and physical activity.

Conclusion

Our data suggests that objective SB, particularly when over 11 hours a day, is independently associated with worse cognitive ability over a two year period. Our data adds to the pressing reasons to reduced SB in older age.

Key words: Sedentary behavior, physical activity, cognition, cognitive ability, older age

Introduction

There is now robust evidence demonstrating that people are living longer, yet with more years with disability ^{1,2}. As the number of older people increases, the total number of people affected by reduced or impaired cognitive abilities such as subjective memory complaints ³, mild cognitive impairment and dementia is also anticipated to increase ^{4,5}. Given the deleterious impact of such cognitive deteriorations, including reduced independence, decreased quality of life, premature mortality, high levels of healthcare utilization and cost ^{4,6}, there is a need to identify potentially modifiable risk factors.

There is widespread acceptance that physical activity is a modifiable risk factor for future cognitive decline ^{7,8}. In the medical literature, there is increasing recognition that high levels of sedentary behavior (SB) are independent from physical activity, associated with a range of deleterious outcomes such as cardiovascular disease, cancer and mortality ^{9,10}. There is however, a paucity of studies investigating SB and cognition in older adults¹¹. Nonetheless, a recent systematic review found that SB may be associated with reduced cognition in older age in cross sectional studies ¹². However, the authors ¹² were unable to identify any published longitudinal study investigating the relationship between SB and cognitive impairment in older age. Since the publication of that systematic review, two recent prospective studies ^{13,14} have suggested that self-report SB is associated with worse cognition in older adults. Whilst helpful, both studies reliance on the use of self-report measurement

of SB is not validated and has unconfirmed accuracy, particularly in the context of older people with impaired cognition. Thus, there is a need for studies relying on the use of more accurate and reliable objective means to identify the relationship between SB and cognitive ability in older age.

Given the aforementioned, we set out to conduct a prospective study investigating the relationship between objectively measured SB and cognitive ability in a cohort of community dwelling older adults.

Methods

Study design and sample

The current study includes data from a two-year follow-up study of a community-based project, conducted in Hunei District, Kaohsiung, the second largest city in Taiwan. This project was designed to examine the associations between objectively assessed physical activity and mental health among community-dwelling older adults ¹⁵. Between August and October 2012 (baseline), 285 community-dwelling older adults (aged 65 or older, mean $\pm$ SD = 74.5 $\pm$ 6.1 years) were invited to take part in the study and were subsequently assessed using standardized face-to-face household interviews and accelerometry (see details below). Across 14 villages of Hunei District, approximately 20 people were recruited from each community center using quota sampling. Individuals were drawn based on a national distribution according to sex and age in 2011 ¹⁶. The second-wave interviews were performed between May to July 2014. Among the baseline sample, 274 participants (96.1%) participated in the follow-up after an average of 22.12 $\pm$ 1.46 months ¹⁵. The research was approved by the National Taiwan University of Sport Institutional Review Board ethical committee (reference number: NTUPES-HSC-100-09). All participants provided written informed consent.

Measures

Cognitive ability

Cognitive ability was captured with the Chinese version of the Ascertain Dementia

8-item Questionnaire (AD8)^{17,18}. Respondents were requested to rate changes in memory, orientation, problem-solving abilities, and daily activities (yes=1, no=0) with a potential range between 0 and 8. A higher score indicated a worse cognitive ability on the AD8^{17,19}. The AD8 has demonstrated adequate reliability and validity among community-dwelling Taiwanese older adults has been verified²⁰. Within the current sample, the Cronbach's alpha for the AD8 ranged between 0.79 (first-wave) and 0.81 (second-wave).

Accelerometer-assessed sedentary time

The amount of time spent in SB was assessed using triaxial accelerometry (GT3X+, ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA). Participants were instructed to wear the accelerometer on an elastic band on their waist at all times for 7 days, including 5 weekdays and 2 weekend days. In data analysis, a minimum of 10 hours of monitoring on a minimum of 5 days was required for data inclusion²¹. If the accelerometer had recorded data contained less than 5 valid days or had malfunctioned during wearing period, participants were requested to re-wear the accelerometer²².

To get a better understanding on the relationships between SB and cognitive ability, the time spent in SB was then computed and converted into both continuous (hours/day) and categorical forms such as high (11+ hours/day), medium (7-10.99 hour/day), and low (< 7 hours/day). The cut-off-points were based on previous research suggesting that the cut-off point for risk be as low as 7 or 8 hours a day and being sedentary for more than 11 hours a

day may impair health ²³. Physical activity parameters such as time spent in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity was also estimated and recoded as a covariate (150+ min/week: no vs. yes) for multivariable adjustment ^{25,26}.

Covariates

Underlying covariates were identified and assessed at baseline based on previous reviews ²⁷⁻²⁹: comprising (1) socio-demographic variables: age, gender, years of formal education, marital status, and source of income (self [pension/savings] vs. offspring), which may reflect adult children's social and financial support. Adult children provide remittances may increase older parents' financial stability and improve their mental well-being ^{30,31}; (2) lifestyle factors: time spent in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, and; (3) health and chronic diseases: weight status category using body mass index (BMI) (<18.50, 18.50-23.99, 24-26.99, 27+), ³², number of comorbidities (0, 1, 2+) including hypertension, stroke, diabetes, heart disease, cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, liver disease, renal disease, and arthritis etc.; depressive symptoms assessed by the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) using cutoff of 5 (yes vs. no), ^{33,34}; and difficulties with activities of daily living (ADLs, no difficulties at all vs. some or great difficulties); (4) cognitive ability at baseline; (5) mean accelerometer wear time (hours/day) ³⁵.

Data analysis

The sample size of the original study was determined for cross-sectional group comparisons. We therefore performed a post-hoc power analysis for negative binomial regression models using version 11.0 of Power Analysis and Sample Size Software (NCSS 2011, Kaysville, UT) for the longitudinal analysis. After adjusting for R-squared and over-dispersion parameter with the mean exposure time of 2 years, the total sample of 274 participants achieved 91.40% power at a 0.05 significance level to detect an incidence rate ratio of 1.53 (i.e. the average rate ratio based on our fully adjusted models).

The characteristics of the study sample were described using descriptive statistics. Mann Whitney U tests and Kruskal-Wallis tests were employed to examine the differences in cognitive ability in 2014 (follow-up) between categories of sedentary time and covariates in 2012 (baseline) due to the violation of normality. Variables with a p value (< 0.05) were then included in the subsequent multivariable regression models for adjustment.

To explore the simple correlations between objectively assessed SB (continuous and categorical) and cognitive ability in 2012 (baseline) and 2014 (follow-up) after adjusting for accelerometer wear time, partial correlation coefficients were calculated. Variables with a p value (< 0.05) were then included in the subsequent multivariable regression models for adjustment.

Given that the outcome variable was an over-dispersed count with a highly skewed

distribution, multivariable negative binomial regression was conducted. To assess the potential confounding effect and reverse causality bias, four separate models were conducted to assess the prospective associations between SB (continuous and categorical form) and cognitive ability. The first model was adjusted for age, gender, and accelerometer wear time (Model 1). Then, years of education, marital status, income, number of comorbidities, and depressive symptoms were further included into the second model (Model 2). Because activities of daily living and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity were both related to physical function, which may influence SB at baseline and subsequent cognitive ability, the third model further included the two variables to test the confounding effect (Model 3). Finally, sensitivity analysis was carried out to test for the potential reverse causality bias of physical limitations on the associations of SB with subsequent cognitive ability, the model 3 was conducted again after removing those with difficulty in activities of daily living at baseline ($n=13$) (Model 4).

All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS 20.0 software and a p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

From the 285 people at baseline (mean $\pm$ SD = 74.5 $\pm$ 6.1 years), 274 community-dwelling older adults completed the follow up assessment. Full details of the baseline information of the participants is illustrated in table 1. Regarding physical activity, most (86.9%) did not comply with the recommended 150 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity. One fifth (20.1%), almost half (48.5%) and 31.4% of the sample engaged in high (>11 hours), medium (8-10.99) and low (<8 hours) of SB respectively. Participants who were older, female, with a lower level of educational attainment, widowed/divorced, and whose income source were pension/savings, and had mild or severe comorbidities or depressive symptoms and experienced difficulty in activities at baseline were more likely to have lower levels of cognitive ability follow-up ($p < 0.05$). Individuals who spent more time in SB or did meet the recommended 150 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity in 2012 had poorer cognitive ability in 2014.

Table 1 here

Table 2 shows the partial correlations between time spent in SB coded as a continuous and categorical form and cognitive ability at baseline, and subsequent cognitive ability. After adjusting for accelerometer wear time, partial correlation coefficients demonstrated that

higher levels of time spent in SB at baseline were cross-sectionally and longitudinally associated with worse cognitive ability regardless of SB coded as a continuous or categorical form ($p < 0.05$).

Table 2 here

The age-sex adjusted regression models demonstrated that SB as a continuous variable was significantly associated with worse future cognitive ability (model 1). The associations were slightly attenuated, but the pattern remained after further adjusting for other underlying covariates (model 2) and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (model 3). The sensitivity analysis supported this association even after excluding participants with difficulty in activities of daily living. Notably, when SB was coded as a categorical variable, only those engaging in the highest amount of SB (> 11 hours/day) were significantly more likely to have worse cognitive ability compare to the least sedentary, which was consistent across the four models (see table 3).

Table 3 here

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first to prospectively examine the association between objectively measured SB and cognitive ability in a cohort of community dwelling older adults. Our study found that higher levels of objective SB were independently associated with worse cognitive ability over the course of the study follow up. The relationship was independent of various potential confounding factors, including physical activity, depressive symptoms and difficulties with ADL. Moreover, our categorical analysis suggests that this effect is most pronounced in those engaging in over 11 hours of SB.

A recent systematic review ¹² was unable to identify any longitudinal study capturing objective SB and cognitive impairment, however, it was noted from cross sectional and self-report data that SB may be related to worse cognition. The authors ¹² made recommendations that future research is required to understand the potential long term influence of SB and cognitive status and in particular attempt to explore if any affect is evident after adjusting for physical activity. However, there is some recent evidence from two studies relying on self-report SB ^{13,14}, which have suggested that engaging in higher levels of SB is associated with worse cognitive outcomes. Our data provide the first evidence that higher levels of objectively assessed SB are associated with worse cognitive abilities in a cohort of community older adults, helping to advance the literature from the

recent cross sectional and self-report research in this area. The potential reasons why SB may negatively influence cognitive ability in older age are not clear at this early stage. There has been speculation that the established negative impact of SB on glucose metabolism, diabetes risk, obesity and cardiovascular disease^{9,36,37} (all of which are also risk factors for cognitive impairment) could potentially act as mechanisms which subsequently increase the risk of cognitive abilities in older age. It may also be posited that the unfavorable inflammatory profile associated with SB reported in working age adults^{38,39}, including increased c-reactive protein (CRP) or interleukin -6 (IL6)^{38,39} and confirmed in recent randomized controlled trials^{40,41}, could contribute to the potential cognitive deterioration we observed⁴². Despite this evidence of the deleterious outcomes of SB in working age adults, a recent systematic review found very few studies had investigated such underling biochemical or neurobiological markers specifically in older adults⁴³. Thus, clearly future research is required to explore such hypothesis. There is a growing body of evidence to suggest that sedentary behavior is also associated with depression⁴⁴, which is another risk factor that has been associated with worse cognition in older age⁴⁵. In the crude analyses at baseline, we found some evidence that SB was associated with depression but the relationship between SB and cognitive ability remains robust after adjusting for depression scores, thus indicating some potential independent relationship in our sample between SB and cognition.

Given the findings of our study and the wider literature considering the deleterious impact of SB on multiple health outcomes ⁹, it is essential that older adults seek to limit SB time and future studies should consider the cognitive impact of such interventions. This could include interrupting periods of prolonged SB and specifically increasing light physical activity which has favourable cognitive benefits ⁴⁶. A number of promising interventions are currently underway testing novel strategies to reduce SB in older adults including the use of behavior change techniques ^{47,48} and a large multi-country self-management and exercise referral scheme ⁴⁹. Such interventions will provide important evidence for the expanding elderly population to reduce SB.

Whilst our data are novel, and address an important gap within the literature it is important to note the observational nature of the data, precluding any indication of a causal link. Thus, clearly further longitudinal research is required to confirm/ refute our findings. Another important limitation is that we did not make the distinction between different types of SB, which may be associated with cognition to differing degrees. Thus, future longitudinal research should for instance attempt to explore the relationship between cognitively active SB (e.g. reading) and cognitively inactive SB (e.g. TV viewing) and overall cognitive abilities in older age. In addition, future interventional work should seek to investigate the impact of changing SB levels of cognition. Moreover, the number and length of breaks in total

sedentary time should be considered in future studies given that prolonged unbroken bouts of sedentary behaviors are found to yield more detrimental health effects than those with short and interrupted break ⁵⁰. Whilst we recruited participants from 14 different sites, the data is only from one country. Nonetheless, strengths of our paper include the objective measurement of SB, the longitudinal design and the fact that we adjusted for multiple underlying confounders (e.g. baseline cognitive status, depressive symptoms, ADL difficulties and physical activity) all of which can influence both SB and cognitive abilities.

In conclusion, in the current study we observed that higher levels of SB were associated with a deterioration in cognitive abilities in a multi-site cohort of community dwelling older adults. The greatest deterioration in cognitive abilities was in those older people who were sedentary for over 11 hours per day. These relationships appear to be independent of confounders such as ADL difficulties, depressive symptoms and physical activity, among others.

Acknowledgements

The authors declare no conflicts of interest and acknowledge funding support from Taiwan Ministry of Science and Technology (104-2410-H-018-028).

BS is part funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors confirm that there are no financial conflicts of interest associated with this paper.

Table 1 Characteristics of participants aged 65 or older in 2012 (baseline) with cognitive ability in 2014

Variables at baseline	n= 274 (%)	Cognitive ability (Mean $\pm$ SD)	<i>p</i> -value ^a
Demographic			
Age			0.001
75+	43.1	1.45 $\pm$ 1.74	
65-74	56.9	0.81 $\pm$ 1.22	
Gender			0.003
Female	54.4	1.29 $\pm$ 1.61	
Male	45.6	0.85 $\pm$ 1.31	
Years of formal education			0.002
No formal schooling	41.2	1.27 $\pm$ 1.66	
6 years	41.2	1.17 $\pm$ 1.49	
9 years or more	17.6	0.46 $\pm$ 0.82	
Marital status			0.002
Widowed/divorced/unmarried	29.9	1.48 $\pm$ 1.71	
Married	70.1	0.92 $\pm$ 1.37	
Source of income			0.001
Self (pension/savings)	49.3	1.35 $\pm$ 1.61	
Offspring	50.7	0.83 $\pm$ 1.37	
Lifestyle			
Sedentary time (hours/day)			0.001
High (11+)	20.1	1.95 $\pm$ 1.90	
Medium (7-10.99)	48.5	1.05 $\pm$ 1.45	
Low (< 7)	31.4	0.62 $\pm$ 1.66	
Moderate-to-vigorous PA (≥ 150 min/week)			0.006
No	86.9	1.18 $\pm$ 1.47	
Yes	13.1	0.45 $\pm$ 1.49	
Smoking			0.122
Former smoker	5.1	1.71 $\pm$ 1.77	
Current smoker	8.0	1.36 $\pm$ 1.65	
Never smoker	86.9	1.03 $\pm$ 1.46	
Alcohol consumption			0.696
Yes	4.7	1.31 $\pm$ 1.75	
No	95.3	1.08 $\pm$ 1.49	
Health and chronic disease			

Weight status (body mass index)			0.888
Underweight (<18.5)	4.0	1.18 (1.78)	
Normal (18.5-23.99)	36.9	1.06 (1.26)	
Overweight (24-26.99)	36.9	1.12 (1.70)	
Obese (27+)	22.2	1.07 (1.47)	
Number of comorbidities			0.041
2 or more	19.0	1.52 (2.00)	
1	38.0	1.13 (1.37)	
0	43.0	0.86 (1.30)	
Depressive symptoms			0.018
Yes	17.9	1.53 (1.78)	
No	82.1	0.99 (1.41)	
Activities of daily living			0.012
Some or great difficulties	95.3	2.23 (2.13)	
No difficulty at all	4.7	1.03 (1.44)	

PA: physical activity

a: Mann Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test

Partial correlation analyses between sedentary time and subsequent cognitive ability

Table 2 Partial correlation coefficients between baseline sedentary time parameters and cognitive ability at follow-up adjusting for mean daily accelerometer wear time (n=274)

Variables	Baseline			Follow-up
	1	2	3	4
1. Sedentary time (categorical) ^a	1.00			
2. Sedentary time (continuous) ^b	0.74***	1.00		
3. Cognitive ability (baseline)	0.24***	0.15*	1.00	
4. Cognitive ability (follow-up)	0.24***	0.21***	0.45***	1.00

a: Low (< 7 hours)= 1, medium (7-10.99 hours)= 2, high (11+ hours)= 3

b: Hours per day

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Multivariable associations between sedentary time and subsequent cognitive ability

Table 3 Negative binomial regression models examining the adjusted effects of time spent in sedentary behaviors at baseline on subsequent cognitive ability

Sedentary time (hour/day)	Model 1 (n=274)		Model 2 (n=274)		Model 3 (n=274)		Model 4(n=261)	
	ARR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	ARR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	ARR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	ARR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
1. Categorical		0.001		0.006		0.036		0.026
High (11+)	2.50 (1.50-4.17)	< 0.001	2.41 (1.40-4.17)	0.002	2.10 (1.19-3.72)	0.010	2.27 (1.24-4.16)	0.008
Medium (7-10.99)	1.24 (0.90-1.69)	0.189	1.29 (0.93-1.80)	0.134	1.27 (0.90-1.79)	0.169	1.26 (0.89-1.80)	0.197
Low (<7)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
2. Continuous	1.13 (1.04-1.22)	0.002	1.11 (1.02-1.20)	0.020	1.10 (1.01-1.20)	0.026	1.09 (1.00-1.19)	0.047

ARR= adjusted rate ratio

Covariates in model 1: baseline cognitive status, sex, age, and wear time of accelerometer

Covariates in model 2: Covariates in model 1 and years of formal education, marital status, income source, smoking, number of comorbidities, depressive symptoms, and wear time of accelerometer

Covariates in model 3 (fully adjusted model): Covariates in model 2 and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, and activities of daily living

Model 4 (sensitivity analysis): Based on model 3, participants (n=13) who had difficulty in activities of daily living were further excluded.

References

1. Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9904):1575-1586.
2. Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2013;380(9859):2163-2196.
3. Mitchell AJ, Beaumont H, Ferguson D, Yadegarfar M, Stubbs B. Risk of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in older people with subjective memory complaints: Meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2014;130(6):439-451.
4. Fiest KM, Jette N, Roberts JI, et al. The Prevalence and Incidence of Dementia: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Can J Neurol Sci*. 2016;43 Suppl 1:S3-s50.
5. Deckers K, van Boxtel MP, Schiepers OJ, et al. Target risk factors for dementia prevention: a systematic review and Delphi consensus study on the evidence from observational studies. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2015;30(3):234-246.
6. Prince M, Bryce R, Albanese E, Wimo A, Ribeiro W, Ferri CP. The global prevalence of dementia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal Of The Alzheimer's Association*. 2013;9(1):63-75.e62.
7. Norton S, Matthews FE, Barnes DE, Yaffe K, Brayne C. Potential for primary prevention of Alzheimer's disease: an analysis of population-based data. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2014;13(8):788-794.
8. Sallis JF, Bull F, Guthold R, et al. Progress in physical activity over the Olympic quadrennium. *Lancet*. 2016.
9. Biswas A, Oh PI, Faulkner GE, et al. Sedentary Time and Its Association With Risk for Disease Incidence, Mortality, and Hospitalization in Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2015;162(2):123-132.
10. de Rezende LF, Rey-Lopez JP, Matsudo VK, do Carmo Luiz O. Sedentary behavior and health outcomes among older adults: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:333.
11. Ku P, Chen LJ, Xu,, ZH. A review of late-life sedentary behaviors and cognitive aging. In. *Physical Education Journal*, 49(S), 1-162016.
12. Falck RS, Davis JC, Liu-Ambrose T. What is the association between sedentary behaviour and cognitive function? A systematic review. *Br J Sports Med*. 2016.
13. Edwards MK, Loprinzi PD. The Association Between Sedentary Behavior and Cognitive Function Among Older Adults May Be Attenuated With Adequate Physical Activity. *J Phys Act Health*. 2017;14(1):52-58.
14. Edwards MK, Loprinzi PD. Combined associations of sedentary behavior and

- cardiorespiratory fitness on cognitive function among older adults. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;229:71-74.
15. Ku PW, Steptoe A, Liao Y, Sun WJ, Chen LJ. Prospective relationship between objectively measured light physical activity and depressive symptoms in later life. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry.* 2017.
 16. Taiwan Ministry of Interior. *Monthly Bulletin of Interior Statistics: Resident Population by Age Group.* Taipei: Taiwan Ministry of Interior; 2012.
 17. Galvin J, Roe C, Powlishta K, et al. The AD8: a brief informant interview to detect dementia. *Neurology.* 2005;65(4):559.
 18. Galvin JE, Roe CM, Coats MA, Morris JC. Patient's rating of cognitive ability: using the AD8, a brief informant interview, as a self-rating tool to detect dementia. *Archives of Neurology.* 2007;64(5):725-730.
 19. Ganguli M, Lee C-W, Snitz B, Hughes T, McDade EM, Chang C-CH. How well do MCI criteria predict progression to severe cognitive impairment and dementia? *Alzheimer disease and associated disorders.* 2014;28(2):113-121.
 20. Yang Y-H, Galvin JE, Morris JC, Lai C-L, Chou M-C, Liu C-K. Application of AD8 Questionnaire to Screen Very Mild Dementia in Taiwanese. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias.* 2011;26(2):134-138.
 21. Koolhaas CM, Dhana K, van Rooij FJ, et al. Sedentary time assessed by actigraphy and mortality: The Rotterdam Study. *Preventive Medicine.* 2017;95:59-65.
 22. Buman MP, Hekler EB, Haskell WL, Pruitt L, Conway TL, Cain KL. Objective light-intensity physical activity associations with rated health in older adults. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2010;172(10):1155-1165.
 23. Australian National Preventive Health Agency. *Obesity: Sedentary behaviours and health.* Sydney: Australian National Preventive Health Agency; 2014.
 24. Seguin R, Buchner DM, Liu J, et al. Sedentary behavior and mortality in older women: the Women's Health Initiative. *American journal of preventive medicine.* 2014;46(2):122-135.
 25. US Department of Health and Human Services. *2008 Physical activity guidelines for Americans* Washington: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2008.
 26. UK Department of Health. *Start active, stay active: a report on physical activity from the four home countries' Chief Medical Officers.* London: Department of Health; 2011.
 27. Coley N, Andrieu S, Gardette V, et al. Dementia prevention: methodological explanations for inconsistent results. *Epidemiologic Reviews.* 2008;30(1):35-66.
 28. Hamer M, Chida Y. Physical activity and risk of neurodegenerative disease: a systematic review of prospective evidence. *Psychological Medicine.* 2009;39(1):3-11.
 29. Ku PW, Chen LJ, Xu Z. A review of late-life sedentary behaviors and cognitive aging. *Physical Education Journal.* 2016;49(S):1-16.

30. Silverstein M, Cong Z, Li S. Intergenerational Transfers and Living Arrangements of Older People in Rural China: Consequences for Psychological Well-Being. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*. 2006;61(5):S256-S266.
31. Ku PW, McKenna J, Fox KR. Dimensions of subjective Well-being and effects of physical activity in Chinese older adults. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*. 2007;15:382-397.
32. Taiwan Department of Health. *Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults in Taiwan*. Taipei: Taiwan Department of Health; 2003.
33. Brink TL, Yesavage JA, Lum O, Heersema PH, Adey M, Rose TL. Screening tests for geriatric depression. *Clinical Gerontologist*. 1982;1(1):37-43.
34. Yesavage JA, Sheikh JI. Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS): Recent evidence and development of a shorter version. In: Brink TL, ed. *Clinical gerontologist: A Guide to Assessment and Intervention*. New York: The Haworth Press; 1986:165-173.
35. Hamer M, Coombs N, Stamatakis E. Associations between objectively assessed and self-reported sedentary time with mental health in adults: an analysis of data from the Health Survey for England. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4(3).
36. Brocklebank LA, Falconer CL, Page AS, Perry R, Cooper AR. Accelerometer-measured sedentary time and cardiometabolic biomarkers: A systematic review. *Preventive Medicine*. 2015;76(0):92-102.
37. Edwardson CL, Gorely T, Davies MJ, et al. Association of sedentary behaviour with metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis. *PloS one*. 2012;7(4):e34916.
38. Yates T, Khunti K, Wilmot EG, et al. Self-Reported Sitting Time and Markers of Inflammation, Insulin Resistance, and Adiposity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2012;42(1):1-7.
39. Henson J, Yates T, Edwardson CL, et al. Sedentary Time and Markers of Chronic Low-Grade Inflammation in a High Risk Population. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(10):1-6.
40. Endrighi R, Steptoe A, Hamer M. The effect of experimentally induced sedentariness on mood and psychobiological responses to mental stress. *The British Journal Of Psychiatry: The Journal Of Mental Science*. 2015.
41. Edwards MK, Loprinzi PD. Experimentally increasing sedentary behavior results in increased anxiety in an active young adult population. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;204:166-173.
42. Sartori AC. *The relationship between inflammatory biomarkers and cognitive function in older adults*. US, ProQuest Information & Learning; 2014.
43. Wirth K, Klenk J, Brefka S, et al. Biomarkers associated with sedentary behaviour in older adults: A systematic review. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2017;35:87-111.
44. Schuch F, Vancampfort D, Firth J, et al. Physical activity and sedentary behavior in

- people with major depressive disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord.* 2016;210:139-150.
45. Bellou V, Belbasis L, Tzoulaki I, Middleton LT, Ioannidis JP, Evangelou E. Systematic evaluation of the associations between environmental risk factors and dementia: An umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2017;13(4):406-418.
 46. Stubbs B, Chen LJ, Chang CY, Sun WJ, Ku PW. Accelerometer-assessed light physical activity is protective of future cognitive ability: A longitudinal study among community dwelling older adults. *Exp Gerontol.* 2017;91:104-109.
 47. Gardner B, Smith L, Lorencatto F, Hamer M, Biddle SJ. How to reduce sitting time? A review of behaviour change strategies used in sedentary behaviour reduction interventions among adults. *Health Psychology Review.* 2015:1-44.
 48. White I, Smith L, Aggio D, et al. On Your Feet to Earn Your Seat: pilot RCT of a theory-based sedentary behaviour reduction intervention for older adults. *Pilot Feasibility Stud.* 2017;3:23.
 49. Gine-Garriga M, Coll-Planas L, Guerra M, et al. The SITLESS project: exercise referral schemes enhanced by self-management strategies to battle sedentary behaviour in older adults: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials.* 2017;18(1):221.
 50. Healy GN, Matthews CE, Dunstan DW, Winkler EA, Owen N. Sedentary time and cardio-metabolic biomarkers in US adults: NHANES 2003–06. *European heart journal.* 2011;32(5):590-597.

Highlights

- First prospective study to investigate objectively sedentary behaviour (SB) and cognition in older adults.
- Higher levels of objective SB independently associated with worse cognitive ability over two years.
- This relationship was most pronounced in older people spending over 11 hours a day being sedentary.