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ABSTRACT
Many teachers are able to recognise that students can find programming difficult – it is not as easy for teachers to know how to help struggling students to gain confidence and a secure understanding of programming concepts. This is particularly acute where the curriculum requires the teaching of text-based programming from age 11. In this paper we describe an approach to teaching programming we call PRIMM – Predict-Run-Investigate-Modify-Make. This builds on three areas of research: the Use-Modify-Create methodology, levels of abstraction used in programming, and tracing and code comprehension research. The PRIMM approach has been trialled with teachers new to programming and is now being implemented in a pilot study in secondary schools.
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1 INTRODUCTION TO PRIMM
The recent introduction of computer science into the school curriculum means that children are being taught programming from a young age. There are many visual environments that can support learners of programming, but sooner or later students will be required to write code in a text-based language, and this can cause many difficulties, frustration, and a tendency to try to get code working with a trial and error approach. Relatively inexperienced computer science teachers may need support in planning appropriate lessons. In this short paper, we introduce a new approach known as PRIMM, which draws directly on a body of research around the learning of programming, including work on use-modify-create, levels of abstraction and code comprehension. PRIMM stands for Predict, Run, Investigate, Modify and Make:

• **Predict**: summarise what given code will do on execution
• **Run**: execute code to test prediction
• **Investigate**: explain, trace, annotate, debug
• **Modify**: edit program to change its functionality
• **Make**: design a new program

A study is being carried out to implement and evaluate this approach in the classroom.
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There is more relevant research in these three areas which has impacted on our work but limited space to report on it in a short paper. In the following section, we describe the beginnings of a study to implement this in the classroom.

2.4 The Study
The PRIMM study has three phases:

- Phase 1: teacher training
- Phase 2: pilot study in school
- Phase 3: larger-scale study in school

Prior to the first stage, the framework was discussed with groups of teachers and pre-service teachers in a variety of fora.

2.5 Phase 1: teacher training pilot
In the first stage, PRIMM was implemented with 15 non-specialist teachers attending an 8-week course on the teaching of computer science at Grades 6-8. The course also included unplugged methods and active learning approaches to teaching computer science as well as learning to program using PRIMM. A survey instrument was designed to evaluate teachers’ perception of their learning and the pedagogical approaches used at the end of the course.

2.6 Phase 2: pilot study in school
In the second phase of the study, 7 experienced teachers were recruited to use the PRIMM approach in their classrooms. This pilot study involved three stages: training of the teachers in the PRIMM method, the intervention in school over a period of 4 - 6 weeks, and an interview. Teachers took part in a session where they became familiar with the PRIMM method and adapted materials so that they were suitable for their own classes. Multiple-choice questions were used as pre-test and post-test for the students and teachers completed a journal while they were using the PRIMM lessons. The teachers were interviewed at the end of the 4-6 week period.

2.7 Phase 3: larger-scale study in school
In the third phase, still to be implemented, a study will be run in more schools over a whole academic year. This phase is in the early stages of development.

3 INITIAL RESULTS FROM PHASE 1
In phase 1, 15 teachers completed the end-of-course questionnaire. The course modelled good pedagogy during all activities, as well as teaching computer science concepts and Python programming for novices. The feedback from all aspects of the course was highly positive. As regards PRIMM, teachers were asked whether they found learning programming using PRIMM was helpful. 47% reported that it was very helpful, another 47% reported that it was helpful and only 1 respondent (7%) reported that this approach was slightly helpful. As regards their own teaching, 80% of teachers stated that they were planning to use the PRIMM method, 13% said that they possibly would and only 7% (the same respondent) said that they would not. Although a small sample, this feedback is encouraging as we move on to Phase 2.

4 CONCLUSION
The PRIMM project is an attempt to not only propose, but rigorously evaluate, an approach to teaching programming that can be directly implemented in the secondary classroom by teachers, experienced or otherwise. This classroom method directly builds on influential work in the computer science education literature. This work is important on two levels: on one level it is important that as computer science is increasingly taught in schools around the world we draw together what the research says and implement it in schools. At another level, we have an opportunity to find out on a large scale whether the findings reported are valid when used over a period of time in classrooms, and not simply in experimental studies. A third important feature of this work is that by engaging teachers in the project, we provide a focus for them to reflect on their teaching, engage directly with research, and contribute to the debate on how to teach programming effectively.
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