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Abstract

This paper uses corruption in Mexico City’s markets as a lens to examine state-society relations and the construction of the PRI’s hegemony in post-1946 Mexico. It presents three case studies that show how some ostensibly corrupt practices supported state functioning, while others contributed to the process of party building. It demonstrates that these practices were driven by shifting social dynamics and conflicts among vendors, which operated through networks of formal and informal power. Historicizing corruption illuminates the strengths and weaknesses of the Mexican state as well as the balance between co-optation and popular demands that lay behind it in this period.
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Introduction

Throughout the twentieth century Mexico City's municipal markets were hubs of corruption, informal politics, and social conflict. This paper examines the links between the three in the period from the formation of the Partido Revolucionario Institucional (Institutional Revolutionary Party, PRI) in 1946 to the general elections of 1958, when the party obtained its best ever result in the Federal District.¹ Market vendors were central to the PRI’s success. As members of the ‘popular sector’, they had joined the Confederación Nacional de Organizaciones Populares (National Confederation of Popular Organisations, CNOP) when it was created in 1943. The incorporation of the CNOP was a key innovation of the national leadership and it soon became an essential component of urban electoral machines, acting as a counter-balance to the agrarian and labour movements.² As such, the CNOP helped sustain the political bargain behind the so-called ‘Mexican miracle’.³

¹ The PRI replaced the popular frontist Partido de la Revolución Mexicana, (Party of the Mexican Revolution, PRM) which had been established eight years earlier to gather the support of organised workers and peasants. On electoral trends and results, see: Jacqueline Peschard, ‘Las elecciones en el Distrito Federal (1946-1970),’ Revista Mexicana de Sociología 50, no. 3 (July-Sept. 1988). In this period the Federal District included Mexico City, but extended beyond it.

² In addition to vendors the CNOP included state employees, professionals, small-business owners, and other self-employed groups, as well as associations of low-income residents and shantytown dwellers (colonos), youths and women. David Schers, The Popular Sector of the Partido Revolucionario Institucional in Mexico (Tel Aviv: The David Horowitz Institute for the Research of Developing Countries, Tel Aviv University, 1972), pp. 1-20; Luis Javier Garrido, El partido de la revolución institucionalizada. La formación del nuevo estado en México (1928-1945) (Mexico City: Siglo Veintiuno Editores, 1982), pp. 331-340; Tiziana Bertaccini, El régimen priísta frente a las clases medias, 1943-1964 (Mexico City: Consejo Nacional para la Cultura y las Artes, 2009), pp. 256-266.

The consolidation of the PRI required a dramatic transformation of Mexico City’s markets. In 1946, the capital’s forty-two markets were in a dismal state. Most of them were over forty years old and had received little maintenance. They were also insufficient to host the city’s tens of thousands of vendors who, as a result, set their stalls on streets, plazas and pavements. While competing with one another, sometimes violently, many vendors agreed on the need for better urban infrastructure. The vendor movement played no small role in the state-led developmentalist drive that, by 1958, showcased the construction of sixty-nine market halls with room for more than twenty nine thousand vendors. Both this process of urban renewal and the consolidation of the PRI were conflictual and coercive. They also involved practices that can be described as corrupt to the extent that they entailed the misuse of public offices to further the material and political interests of the implicated government officials, politicians, and vendors.

Corruption in History

Until recently historians of Mexico rarely ventured beyond 1940. In one of the first monographs to do so, Stephen Niblo reveals the scope of corruption in Mexican mid-century politics, mining the archives for case after case of politicians involved in shady deals, outright theft, graft, kickbacks, and every other imaginable form of abuse.

---

4 While the most intense market building spree took place between 1955 and 1958, the trend continued, so that by 1966 the Department of the Federal District had built one hundred and seventy-four market halls with capacity for over fifty thousand stalls. John C. Cross, Informal Politics. Street Vendors and the State in Mexico City (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1998), pp. 164, 171.
of office and political connections for private gain. His findings dovetail with a current historiography on Mexico’s political system of the 1940s and 1950s, which over the last decade has shown that the state was weaker than historians had assumed, and politics more contested. Even if repression was rampant, the system itself was at best ‘softly authoritarian.’ Among these studies, the topic of corruption emerges time and time over. In the introduction to *Dictablanda: Politics, Work, and Culture in Mexico, 1938–1968*, a 2014 anthology that synthesises the state of our knowledge of Mexico during the early, golden days of the PRI, editors Paul Gillingham and Benjamin T. Smith describe the period as one of ‘baroque corruption,’ and the terms ‘corruption’, ‘corrupt’ and ‘corrupted’ appear a total of seventy six times throughout the volume. Yet while corruption and references to it are ubiquitous, the essays rarely

---

5 Stephen R. Niblo, *Mexico in the 1940s. Modernity, Politics, and Corruption* (Wilmington, DE: SR Books, 1999), pp. 253-303. Niblo also shows that businessmen, both Mexican and foreign, were often implicated in this vast corruption.


7 Paul Gillingham and Benjamin T. Smith (eds.), *Dictablanda: Politics, Work, and Culture in Mexico, 1938–1968* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2014). Corruption appears in twelve of the volume’s sixteen chapters, in addition to the introduction and concluding remarks. We encounter, among others: corruption in the allocation of military contracts and military-run protection rackets; fraud, violence and nepotism in state politics; bitter complaints about corruption at the municipal level; corrupt labour leaders leading moralisation campaigns; venal sugar cooperatives’ managers colluding with corrupt field inspectors; corrupt forest wardens and other forestry officials linked to crony capitalism; corrupt political bosses in charge of a corrupt agrarian reform apparatus; *nota roja* journalists using their pages to ventilate corruption and impunity among bureaucrats, policemen, prosecutors, and judges; and corrupt student representatives and university authorities.
interrogate these instances of corruption regarding their larger implications for Mexican society and politics.\(^8\)

This paper refocuses this discussion with three case studies of corrupt practices in Mexico City’s markets between 1946 and 1958 that help us map the PRI’s institutionalisation of the city’s informal politics. While they all cover behaviours that are perceived as corrupt, what amounts to corruption is far from unambiguous.\(^9\) According to Joseph Nye’s canonical definition, modern political corruption is ‘behavior which deviates from the formal duties of a public role because of private-regarding (personal, close family, private clique), pecuniary, or status gains; or violates rules against the exercise of certain types of private-regarding influence.’\(^10\) Yet this dependence on the notion of ‘formal duties’ seems too narrow, leading Michael Johnston to describe corruption as ‘behavior seen as abusing—a public role or resource for private benefit.’ Thus he allows for the possibility of different, often contradictory, and ever changing conceptions of ‘society’s legal or social standards.’\(^11\)

---

\(^8\) The exception is Benjamin T. Smith’s chapter, which analyses the federal government’s attempts at fiscal centralisation as key to the process to state formation. It finds that the country’s mid-century fiscal system was dependent on ‘low-level corruption.’ Because state and municipal governments were deprived of the right to charge some taxes such as the much-hated alcabalas, they had to resort to bribes and illegal fines and licenses to fund their operations. Benjamin T. Smith, ‘Building a State on the Cheap: Taxation, Social Movements, and Politics,’ in Dictablanda, pp. 255-275.


\(^11\) Michael Johnston. ‘Historical Conflict and the Rise of Standards.’ Journal of Democracy 2.4 (1991), p. 49. Corruption and the preoccupation with corruption are present throughout Mexican history, but their meanings, functions and consequences are as changing as Mexican society, and specific to
Indeed, in the first case study below accusations of corruption against the head of the markets office reveal how the perceived ‘formal duties of a public role’ change over time. They also illustrate the weakness of the Mexican state in the 1940s, in particular its dependence on informal mechanisms of revenue collection. This case study argues that the embezzlement of market fees can be interpreted as a remnant of a system of tax farming, or the outsourcing of revenue collection to semi-autonomous agents by a state that lacked the capacity to perform this most essential function through other means. As officials sought to ‘modernise’ revenue collection towards the end of the decade, these practices were increasingly decried as ‘immoral’.

If historicizing corruption demonstrates the fluidity of public duties, it also problematises the insistence on ‘private benefit’. In his analysis of the role of corruption in Mexico’s political system under the PRI, Stephen Morris points out that corrupt acts can also be committed for the sake of a corporate interest, an institution, or a political organisation.\textsuperscript{12} Or as Alan Knight puts it, while government may be at the service of graft, graft may also be at the service of government.\textsuperscript{13} Morris finds that in Mexico the combination of a strong supra-constitutional presidency, extensive corporatist mechanisms, and clientelistic networks weakened civil society, undermined the rule of law, and cemented informality and corruption. Yet, he argues,

\begin{flushleft}

\textsuperscript{13} Alan Knight, ‘Corruption in Twentieth Century Mexico,’ p. 227, in Walter Little and Eduardo Posada-Carbó (eds.) \textit{Political Corruption in Europe and Latin America} (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 1996). Within this ‘systemic’, system-maintaining corruption Knight distinguishes between two contrasting forms, which he calls allocative and coercive corruption, or the carrots and the sticks of Mexican politics.
\end{flushleft}
by allowing the deployment of patronage, they also contributed to the regime’s longevity. Consequently, Morris proposes a broader notion of corruption that includes any rational act by an official of the state that violates the rules of the state, even if it may support its functioning. Corruption then can be construed as ‘discord or incongruence between two dimensions of a single state.’

Morris and Knight’s perspectives draw attention to the blurred lines between corruption and informal politics. The incongruences of the Mexican state stemmed from its particular blending of formal and informal institutions, which sometimes operated at the margins of legality. As Alberto Cayeros indicates, while formal institutions were highly centralised, the PRI built its legitimacy around a set of alliances with power centres outside of the state. In the same line, Andrew Selee maintains that, while they were supported by clientelism, particularism and patronage, these informal networks went beyond the unequal exchange of votes for resources and favours. They also served as channels both for citizens’ demands’ and for state agencies to deliver social programmes. In other words, they constituted a form of


16 Andrew Selee, Decentralization, Democratization, and Informal Power in Mexico (University Park, PA: The Pennsylvania University Press, 2011). See also Daniel M. Sabet, Police reform in Mexico. Informal Politics and the Challenge of Institutional Change (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2012). An earlier sociological literature on urban informality argues that patronage networks fostered co-optation and dependency, the price the poor in Mexico City paid to be able to stake claims to land, shelter, and services. Susan Eckstein, The Poverty of Revolution: The State and the Urban Poor in Mexico (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1977); Alan Gilbert and Peter M. Ward, Housing, the State, and the Poor (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985). A more recent literature on urban informality, Ananya Roy discusses informality as ‘a technique of the state’, a result of state action, because it is the state that decides which types of work or property are formal and which are not, and which forms of informality are allowed to thrive and which ones need to be eliminated. State attempts
institutionalisation of the links and mediation mechanisms between state and society.\textsuperscript{17}

The quintessential mediator in Mexico’s historiography is the \textit{cacique}, the broker, leader, or patron at the heart of these informal networks. Throughout the twentieth century, caciques operated at all levels of the political system, from the local to the national, in both rural and urban settings.\textsuperscript{18} While they facilitated social control, most caciques also enjoyed a degree of legitimacy among their clients, with cacical rivalries typically reflecting factional disputes driven by a combination of class, ethnic, and cultural conflicts.\textsuperscript{19} Wil Pansters emphasises the complex, non-linear nature of caciques’ relationship to the process of state formation. Some forms of cacical power virtually disappeared in the process of the strengthening of the state, whereas others flourished as they facilitated its expansion. The rise of the developmentalist state in the 1940s and 1950s, in particular, created new opportunities for caciquismo.\textsuperscript{20}

---


\textsuperscript{18} Since the 1960s cacical studies have been central to Mexican political history. Alan Knight and Wil Pansters (eds.), \textit{Caciquismo in Twentieth-Century Mexico} (London: Institute for the Study of the Americas, 2005).

\textsuperscript{19} Alan Knight “\textit{Caciquismo in Twentieth-Century Mexico}” in Knight and Pansters (eds.) \textit{Caciquismo}, pp. 16-17; 33-36.

\textsuperscript{20} Wil Pansters, “Goodbye to the Caciques? Definition, the State and the Dynamics of \textit{Caciquismo} in Twentieth-century Mexico,” in idem, pp. 360-363.
The second and third case studies presented in this paper explore the overlap between caciquismo, informal politics and corruption. In both, public officials exploited their positions to establish networks that linked vendor organisations to an expanding state, in the process enhancing their own political status. The second case depicts the struggle for control of the Bank of Small-Scale Commerce, a publicly owned bank with the mission of serving Mexico City’s vendors. Guillermo Martínez Domínguez, the head of the Bank’s Office for the Promotion and Organisation of Credit Associations, used his office and the Bank’s resources to favour some vendor groups over others, and to take over the Bank’s directorship. His cacical practices can be seen as an early attempt at facilitating the PRI’s institutionalisation of vendor politics. The third case study describes the extension of this form of political management to the vendor movement throughout the Federal District, by examining the patronage politics of the Head of the Markets Department, Gonzalo Peña Manterola. Through arbitrary allocation of stalls and particularistic deployment of repression he restructured the vendor movement and obtained vendors’ political support. These corrupt practices were an essential part of the strategy that led the PRI to its electoral success in 1958.

At first glance the cases of Martínez Domínguez and Peña Manterola support Selee’s claim that informal politics fragmented popular demands by turning rights that the state should impersonally and universally enforce into negotiations for favours from political intermediaries.\(^\text{21}\) Yet what petitions from vendor organisations suggest is that vendor demands were fragmented long before these caciques encroached upon

\(^{21}\) Selee, *Decentralization*, pp. 15; 42-43.
their markets. Competition over customers, over space and stalls, over subsidised loans all generated cleavages within the growing vendor movement that preceded, and shaped, the creation of informal political networks and the abuse of power that came with them. Corruption was not necessarily the result of a demobilised civil society, as Morris suggests. On the contrary, organised vendors used patronage networks, clientelism, and the burgeoning party structures in struggles among themselves. While the losers in these struggles accused their opponents of corruption, to a significant extent caciques’ actions were responses to the demands of other vendors. Losing sight of this can lead us to overestimate the agency of the PRI and the state, while limiting our understanding of corruption.

The Embezzlement of Market Revenues: Tax Farming as Corruption

In 1945 Mexico City’s markets were in disarray. In May that year a study by the National Chamber of Commerce estimated the number of small-scale vendors in the city at no less than seventy thousand. Of those, it claimed, only 2 per cent based themselves inside market halls. The rest plied their ‘poor and primitive’ trade in fixed, semi-fixed, and portable stalls around markets and on the streets and sidewalks more generally.22 In a standard diatribe against vendors, the Chamber of Commerce accused them of posing a risk to public health by selling poor quality, contaminated or adulterated products and giving the city a deplorable aspect. For their part, the most

22 ‘El problema del comercio en pequeño es de higiene.’ Novedades, 25 May 1945, in Archivos Económicos, Biblioteca Lerdo de Tejada, (hitherto AE, BLT; NB the clippings in this collection do not include the page the articles appear in). It would suit the Chamber of Commerce, which established merchants, to exaggerate the number in its complaints about ‘unfair competition’. In this instance they harangued the government to take all necessary measures to ensure that ‘all of that energy spent on small-scale trading should be employed in agricultural production and in industries, allowing commercial interests to become decent enterprises that yield true profits.’ Idem.
active federation of market vendors, the CNOP-affiliated National Federation of Small-Scale Vendors and Industrialists, denounced the ‘peasants that come to the city to fail.’ This organisation informed the press that it intended to request the Department of the Federal District’s protection against ‘the disadvantageous competition from a floating mass of more than one hundred thousand maladjusted workers, who one day are garbage pickers or porters, and another [day] penny-vendors of fruit and trinkets.’ The Federation’s prejudices aside, while the city was growing fast, rural migrants were only part of the story; natural population growth implied a young population, with many children taking to peddling to provide for themselves, or to contribute to their family’s income. More vendors meant heightened competition and increasing discontent.

Growing numbers of vendors also overwhelmed an already deficient market infrastructure and highlighted the Department of the Federal District’s financial and institutional limitations. In January 1947 the director of Public Works attended the meeting of the Department’s Advisory Board, the Consejo Consultivo, to discuss the

23 ‘El pequeño comercio en mala situación,’ Excélsior, 11 April 1945, in AE, BLT. Vendor organisations had reasons of their own for inflating the numbers. The Department of the Federal District was the local government of Mexico City (and the contiguous urbanisations in the Federal District). The head of the Department was appointed by the President and a member of his cabinet.


25 Eileen Ford draws attention to the fact that between 1940 and 1960 children, defined as people aged 14 and under, went from 34.8 to 41.5 per cent of the population of the Federal District, which she aptly calls a ‘city of children.’ Eileen Mary Ford, ‘Children of the Mexican Miracle: Childhood and Modernity in Mexico City, 1940-1968’, unpub. Ph.D. Dissertation, History Department, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2008, p. 40.
works programme for Mexico City proposed by the newly-appointed Head of the Federal District, Fernando Casas Alemán.\textsuperscript{26} His intervention was sobering. He acknowledged that all urban services and infrastructure required attention, but regretted that the Department lacked funds to tackle them. One ‘of the most serious problems of the city is the absence of humane markets … [but] the whole public works budget of several years would not be enough’ to solve it. He estimated that while the city needed ten large and 250 small markets, no funds had been allocated to market construction in that year’s plans.\textsuperscript{27}

In reaction to this account, the Consejo Consultivo asked the director of the Department’s Markets Office, Iñigo Noriega, for a follow-up, including a report on his management of the city’s markets. In April 1947 Noriega informed the Consejo that he could not say how many vendors there were in the city’s forty-two markets. Most trade took place in zonas de mercado, or market areas – in many cases the streets adjacent to market halls – where vendors set up their stall with the

\textsuperscript{26} The Consejo Consultivo was created in January 1929, following the abolition of the Ayuntamiento de la Ciudad de México, or City Council. While it would not have executive or legislative powers, the Consejo was given the mandate to collaborate with the Department of the Federal District in the management of the city, particularly in the design of policies pertaining to the provision of public services. Convening at least bimonthly, it was to be composed of thirteen councilors chosen by the president from organisations representing diverse interests, the so-called fuerzas vivas de la ciudad. It included three representatives of workers’ unions, and one from associations of each of the following groups: small-scale merchants, small-scale industrialists, peasants, public employees (including teachers), and tenants (colonos and inquilinos). Capitalist merchants were represented by a delegate from the local Chamber of Commerce, large industrialists by a delegate from the Chamber of Industry, and the remaining three councilors represented, respectively, professionals (mostly architects and engineers), property owners, and mothers’ associations (madres de familia). Diane Davis, \textit{Urban Leviathan Mexico City in the Twentieth Century} (Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press, 1994), pp. 65-72.

\textsuperscript{27} 28 January 1947, Actas y Versiones del Consejo Consultivo, Archivo Histórico del Distrito Federal (hitherto AVCC, AHDF).
authorisation of local officials. He had ordered all administrators to take a census of the zonas de mercado under their responsibility, but the task was proving difficult. In anticipation of the results of his enquiry, however, he had requested the printing of forty-five thousand extra licenses, which, if issued, would bring the total to eighty-five thousand for the Federal District as a whole. Noriega had also instructed market administrators to survey the material state of each market, and to create a list of repairs needed. He only got a few replies, but he was aware of the urgency of the works. Most markets were over forty years old, and in poor conditions. Drainages had collapsed, pavements and metallic structures that were in disarray. Several markets lacked lighting and water provision. ‘It’s a true catastrophe,’ he concluded. The period’s developmentalism and economic growth were not yet translating into public works for the city.

The following year the chaos of the city’s markets would hit Noriega personally. In April 1948 he communicated to the Department’s under-treasurer that he suspected the falsification of market fees receipts. The under-treasurer suggested an audit, but Noriega preferred to bring in the secret services to corroborate his suspicions instead. This seems to have led nowhere. Two months later, in June 1948, the Department’s Treasury performed its own audit of the Markets Office,

28 For all practical purposes the zonas de mercados functioned as markets. Market administrators treated zonas de mercado as extensions of (or substitutes for) market halls, awarding licenses and charging fees for stalls in these areas.

29 23 April 1947, AVCC, AHDF.


31 Unless otherwise stated, the following account is based on ‘El Peculado de mercados,’ Departamento del Distrito Federal. Resumen de Actividades 1949 (Mexico City: [s.n.], 1950), pp. 79-81.
during which treasury officials discovered more funds than were registered on the books. The markets accountant and cashier fled the premises, located in the top floor of the Abelardo Rodríguez Market. In mid-July the judiciary took over the case. The accountant and the cashier, who had been at large since the audit, were immediately found and incarcerated, together with the office’s typist and an accountant aide. In the meantime Noriega was transferred to the Department’s Public Works Directorate. He was detained as a suspect himself in September, but released due to a lack of evidence. Then, in June 1949, a year after the audit took place, Casas Alemán temporarily shut down the Markets Office; further testimonies by the former office accountant, accountant aid, deputy director of markets, and head of market inspectors resulted in another arrest warrant against Noriega. This time he absconded, leaving the capital. The story took a dramatic turn on 3 December 1949, when Noriega wrote to President Miguel Alemán to denounce ‘monstrous machinations’ and persecution against himself by Treasury officials. This obscure episode ends with his death, allegedly by suicide, immediately after that.

---

32 ‘Investígate el fraude en mercados,’ La Prensa, 9 July 1948, p. 2; ‘Fue consignado el fraude de mercados. La Tesorería del D.F., demandante,’ El Nacional, Primera Sección, 15 July 1948, pp. 1, 8.

33 ‘Guapa taquígrafo inodada en el escandaloso fraude a la oficina de mercados,’ La Prensa, 23 July 1948, pp. 2, 15.

34 ‘Cambios en el Depto. del DF. Nombramientos y remociones,’ Novedades, 1 July 1948, pp. 1, 8; ‘Reorganización en el Departamento del D.F.,’ El Universal, 1 July 1948, pp. 1, 10.

Whether he misappropriated market revenue or not, Noriega was probably a scapegoat. In the aftermath of the July 1948 devaluation of the peso, the Alemán administration desperately sought ways to assuage public discontent. Accusations of currency speculation and insider trading at the highest levels put the government on the defensive.\textsuperscript{36} In addition, managing the cost of living had become politically urgent as the government suppressed organised labour’s demands for higher wages, offering price controls and food subsidies instead.\textsuperscript{37} That the story about the embezzlement of market revenues first hit the headlines at just this time is unlikely to be a coincidence. Public markets, where most people made their daily purchases, exposed the government’s failure to control inflation. Intelligence service agents, who began to make daily visits to city’s markets in order to monitor the public mood, left no doubt that consumers blamed corruption for high prices. They recorded interminable attacks on the government, including the president, and expressed fears that ‘people were losing respect for the high office.’ The public also railed against the secretaries of Hacienda and Economía, and above all the Jefe del Departamento del Distrito Federal, Fernando Casas Alemán, who, rumours had it, was amassing a fortune profiting from the situation.\textsuperscript{38}

\textsuperscript{36} Niblo, \textit{Mexico in the 1940s}, p. 272.


\textsuperscript{38} 7/22/1948, AGN, DGIPS, c. 111, exp. 1, 15-16. While intelligence agents’ reports provide a fascinating window into the period’s politics, the agents’ incentives to exaggerate threats and thus raise
Organised labour also made the connection between corruption and high prices explicitly. Agents reported that in a speech at a demonstration against the high cost of living on 21 August 1948, one Adán Nieto, on behalf of the miners’ union, declared that ‘the people, like myself, ask what is the cause of the increase in the cost of living, and we respond that it is caused by corrupt politicians, the usual hambreadores, and bad officials.’ With regards to price controls, he lamented that ‘unfortunately in Mexico the law only punishes the poor meat vendors, and not the real hoarders, the influential, or the president’s cronies [amigazos].’ Indeed, President Alemán and the top officials of his administration are estimated to have deposited between 500 and 800 million dollars in foreign banks between 1946 and 1952.40 In this context it would not be surprising if the head of the Markets Office were made to fall on his sword.41

Regardless of Noriega’s fate, there was unquestionably something curious about market revenues: from 1930 to 1940 they had hovered around MX$ 2.5 million annually in nominal terms, rising to a higher plateau of around MX$ 3.3 million over 1941 to 1946 (figure 1). It seems implausible that such similar quantities of market

their own profiles should not be overlooked. Also, because they were under the purview of the Secretary of Gobernación, the content of the reports, especially any criticism of other high-level party and government officials, has to be read in the light of the secretary’s political agenda. After all, beginning with Alemán, four of the next five presidents would be former Secretaries of Gobernación. In 1948, Ruiz Cortines might have hoped to discredit Casas Alemán, who would later be his rival for the PRI’s presidential nomination.


41 Morris shows that presidents routinely used legal charges of corruption and ritualistic anti-corruption campaigns to garner legitimacy. Morris, Corruption and Politics, pp.75-78, 85, 88-101.
revenue had in fact been collected each year, given the substantial inflation and variable levels of economic activity that characterised the period. In contrast, total nominal Federal District revenues doubled over the 1930s, and more than doubled again between 1940 and 1946.\textsuperscript{42}

\[ \text{Insert Figure 1} \]

The Treasury’s audit of the case concluded that funds had been consistently misappropriated during the previous five-and-a-half years, and that the Markets Office still could not account for 18 per cent of market revenue during the first semester of 1948.\textsuperscript{43} The embezzlement it uncovered, therefore, started before Noriega had become director of markets in December 1946, a fact that Noriega had used to defend himself from the charges. For their part, the Department of the Federal District and its Treasury tried to distance themselves from the scandal. In a striking abdication of responsibility, they claimed that the Markets Office had long operated as an autonomous unit in which the director appointed his own people to run the city’s markets. They stressed that market administrators collected vendor fees each day and delivered them to the accountant in the Markets Office, only depositing them in the Treasury several days later. As a result, there was ample opportunity for fees to go missing before they were handed over.

\textsuperscript{42} Sound price data are unavailable, which is why the comparison of nominal market revenues with overall Federal District revenue is particularly useful. The only consumer price index available for the 1930s is the index of food prices in Mexico City (INEGI, \textit{Estadísticas Históricas de México} 2014, table 17.13, in www.inegi.gob.mx (September 1, 2015). This shows prices increasing by 70% in the 1930s trough-to-peak, and rising five-fold from the mid-1930s to 1946. Total nominal Federal District revenues are in Luis Aboites Aguilar, \textit{Excepciones y privilegios. Modernización tributaria y centralización en México, 1922-1972} (Mexico City: El Colegio de México, 2003), pp. 398-399.

\textsuperscript{43} In total, according to the Departamento del Distrito an estimated Mex$ 5.2 million went missing during the five-and-a-half-year period they investigated – 1.2 million more than the revenue markets produced in the second half of 1948.
While the Department’s portrayal of the workings of the Markets Office sounds self-serving, it was probably accurate. It also suggests a different interpretation of the practices publicly declared corrupt in 1948. In this case, the government was unable to uphold the rule of law because it did not have the administrative and institutional capacity to tax vendors, fairly allocate permits, and more generally manage markets through formal and legal means. Even a census of vendors had proved beyond reach. It therefore had to give the Markets Office space to perform these duties as it saw fit, allowing its officials to extract payments over and above their formal salaries. Put another way, the embezzlement might have formed part of an established practice of tax farming. Underlying this ostensible case of corruption was a motivational mechanism used by a state otherwise unable to carry out essential functions.

If market revenue collection operated as tax farming then whatever quantity the Markets office collected, it reported and passed to the Treasury the same expected amount, retaining the surplus as private remuneration. This would explain the otherwise-puzzling stability of nominal revenues. It would also have been unexceptional. As recently as 1939 tax farming had been common at the local and state levels of government throughout Mexico. But notions of what amounted to acceptable fiscal practices were changing. That year the national Secretaría de Hacienda produced a study that censured ‘the immoral practice of entering into contracts, issuing concessions, or renting out to individuals the collection and administration of the most productive taxes.’\textsuperscript{44} This study indicated that these

\textsuperscript{44} Quoted in Aboites Aguilar, \textit{Excepciones y privilegios}, p. 82.
arrangements often involved nepotism, with collectors typically appropriating around 10 per cent of the tax in question. In official discourse, overcoming tax farming was central to the quest for fiscal ‘efficiency’ and ‘modernisation.’ In this light, the press coverage of the charges against Noriega can be read as part of a public debate redefining the boundaries between valid means of collecting municipal revenues and corruption.

Ironically, under Noriega reported nominal market revenues had begun to rise substantially, from their plateau of MX$3.3 million to MX$ 5.6 million in 1947 and MX$ 7.2 million in 1948 (see figure 1), representing a jump from 1.9% of total Federal District revenues in 1946 to 3.2% in 1948. One possibility is that he was farming taxes more effectively than his predecessors, retaining a share for himself as his accusers claimed. Another, however, is that the rise in revenues was the result of attempts by Noriega to bring order to the ‘true catastrophe’ that he had found in the city’s markets and to eradicate the ‘immoral practices’ condemned by the national Secretaría de Hacienda. In this case, Noriega represented efforts to increase the state’s formal fiscal capacity. Unfortunately for him, his timing could not have been worse.

45 In an extreme case, in 1946 the governor of the state of México cancelled the contract for the collection of taxes on aguardientes and alcoholes, leading to an increase in annual revenues from MX$ 120,000 to MX$ 540,000. Ibid, p.83.

46 Ibid. Aboites Aguilar demonstrates that behind a narrative of fiscal modernisation laid attempts at centralisation. These were contested by state and municipal governments, but generally successful.

47 Pablo Piccato finds that in this period, despite government repression and control of the press, an analysis of the police section of Mexican newspapers reveals a public debate about corruption and immorality among politicians and government officials, and to some extent a critical dialogue with the state. Pablo Piccato, ‘Pistoleros, Ley Fuga, and Uncertainty in Public Debates about Murder’ in Dictablanda, pp. 321-340.

48 From 1946 to 1950 inflation averaged 4% per year, which means that not only did market revenues grow as a share of total revenues, but there was also a significant increase in real terms.
The Bank of Small-Scale Commerce: Vendor Conflicts and Political Entrepreneurship as Corruption

In a fast growing city that lacked adequate physical infrastructure and regulatory frameworks, rising vendor numbers led inevitably to conflict. Competition between vendors could be violent, often disrupting their efforts to make a living, and generating distrust among them. This made it difficult for vendors to cooperate with each other even when it would be to their mutual advantage, such as in disputes with their suppliers, with larger established merchants, and with newcomers to the city attempting to join their ranks. In this context, vendor leaders and government officials, two categories that increasingly overlapped in this period, responded to rank-and-file appeals for protection and conflict mediation. In order to advance their constituents’ interests vendor leaders needed access to resources, which in some cases they obtained by misappropriating public funds. They may have enriched themselves along the way or increased their political status, but at least in part their actions were led by vendor demands.

Jesús Bautista, secretary general of the National Federation of Small-Scale Vendors, gave a newspaper interview in September 1949 drawing attention to the pressures affecting the vendors of La Villa de Guadalupe. In what may have been a commentary on the ongoing markets embezzlement case, he stated that La Villa’s vendors deplored the voracity of the market administrators and authorities, whose first concern was their own personal gain. Bautista also complained that vendors were again facing pressure to reduce the size of their stalls, noting that each time they had reduced the size of stalls in the past, the space freed up had been given to new arrivals, so that problems had grown instead of being resolved. He believed the
resulting violence among vendors would subside only once the authorities built ‘a large and modern market’ with enough capacity for all the vendors operating in the area. ‘Today the matter has taken the proportion of a class problem.’

Clashes among vendors were everyday occurrences in the city’s zonas de mercados. La Lagunilla market, for example, spilled over the streets of Peru, Honduras, Juan Álvarez, Paraguay, Allende, and República de Chile, the plaza and streets of Comonfort, the alleys La Vaquita, Altuna, Incas, and beyond. Jesús Guzmán Olmos, on behalf of the seven hundred families that comprised the Union of Small-Scale Vendors of La Lagunilla Market, implored President Alemán to revoke permits allowing new vendors to set up stalls on Honduras Street, and order the police to patrol the area (figures 2 and 3). The vendors in his union assumed they had a standing agreement with the local authorities that the area would be protected, dating back to 1933 when they had vacated those streets and moved into the market hall on that understanding. Guzmán Olmos had also been lobbying, unsuccessfully, for improvements to La Lagunilla Market for quite some time. After two years of asking for urgent repairs for his market, he now requested President Alemán’s intervention, speaking up for the city’s vendors at large: ‘We consider it’s time the Government of the Department of the Federal District create a programme to protect

49 ‘A vuelo de pluma trata importantes problemas el compañero Jesús Baustista, viejo comerciante,’ La Gaceta de México, Quincenal de Información y Variedades, Sept. 1949, p. 4, in Fondo Presidente Miguel Alemán Valdés, Archivo General de la Nación (hitherto MAV, AGN), c. 318, exp. 433/152-A.

50 ‘Carece la capital de buenos mercados,’ Excélsior, 12 Sept. 1945, in AE, BLT.

51 Jesús Guzmán Olmos to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 2 June 1949, MAV, AGN, c. 286, exp. 418.5/2.

52 Jesús Guzmán Olmos to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 7 Oct. 1948, idem.
public market vendors, and to put a check on street vending, because avenues of the largest city of the Republic look like Arab souks, while the city’s market halls are themselves half-empty [owing to competition from the streets].

Conflicts spiked during religious festivities, when the influx of customers attracted more vendors. Macario Juárez, from a rival organisation, the Association for the Defence of Small-Scale Vendors of La Lagunilla Market, denounced Olmos and other ‘dirty leaders of the La Lagunilla Market, [who] try to deprive us of our places in the annual fair of the Three Kings, hurting our humble vendors.’ Juárez also had a history of defending the rights of vendors on Honduras Street against market officials and other vendors alike. He too begged President Alemán for his ‘just protection.’

[Insert Figures 2 and 3]

Vendor competition and conflicts increased the need for leadership and organisation. They also led to state actors’ attempts to gain control over the vendor movement. In May 1950 Jesús Bautista intervened again for the National Federation of Small-Scale Vendors, writing to President Alemán with a sorry tale. He described how, from its formation in 1932, the Federation had lobbied for the creation of a Bank of Small-Scale Commerce to serve its members. After its creation in 1943, the Federation had continued to work for Bank’s stability and survival. When it was

53 Jesús Guzmán Olmos to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 2 June 1949, idem.

54 Macario Juárez to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, Dec. 13 1949, idem.

55 Macario Juárez to President Manuel Ávila Camacho, 6 March 1946, Fondo Presidente Manuel Ávila Camacho, Archivo General de la Nación, c. 370, exp. 415.2/1; Macario Juárez to President Manuel Ávila Camacho, 11 March 1946, idem.

56 Macario Juárez to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 13 Dec. 1949, MAV, AGN, c. 286-418.5/2.
about to be dissolved in 1948 vendor leaders, Bautista among them, had met with President Alemán and obtained the MX$3 million that saved it. But then things started to go awry for the Federation. In December 1948 a law modified the statutes of the Bank. From then on, instead of lending to individual vendors, the Bank would work with bank-sponsored, market-based credit associations. In March 1949 the personnel of the Bank was renewed, and Guillermo Martínez Domínguez became the head of the Office for the Promotion and Organisation of Credit Associations. And therein lay the problem: ‘From that day, Mr. Martínez Domínguez has used all means available to him … to systematically develop a web of hostilities, hatred, intrigues, and slander against this Federation, its affiliated organisations, and even against the small-scale vendors whose only crime is to be members of this Federation.’

In particular, Bautista insisted that Martínez Domínguez had denied credit to the members of his Federation for the past fourteen months, and that nobody had corrected this irregularity. He had also paid one Macario Lucero a large amount of money to herd in outside vendors (‘comerciantes paracaidistas’) to displace Federación members from the Mercado San Juan, the Mercado Bugambilia, and the Mercado Lago Garda. In a separate letter Bautista had already recently warned President Alemán that Martínez Domínguez had been using bank funds ‘to create

57 Jesús Bautista to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 2 May 1950, MAV, AGN, c. 579, exp. 545.22/466.
59 Jesús Bautista to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 2 May 1950, idem.
60 Idem.
groups to support his own ambitions.‘61 Now, Bautista continued, Martínez Domínguez ‘is not only going to bankrupt the bank, but he is also widening the enormous division within small commerce in the Federal District, instead of attempting to harmonise and unify it.‘62

The Federation of Small-Scale Vendors and Industrialists was not alone in denouncing Martínez Domínguez. Juan de Dios Bojórquez, Director General of the Bank by presidential appointment, had his own objections. In March 1950, within three months of having taken over the Bank, he wrote to Secretary of Hacienda Ramón Beteta that he wanted to resign over a conflict with Martínez Domínguez. In accordance with the objections of the Federation of Small-Scale Vendors, Bojórquez accused him of forming the new credit associations to benefit people who responded to him, to whom he appeared as a ‘protector or leader,’ giving them money without safeguarding the interests of the Bank.63 The director general also feared the Bank was heading towards bankruptcy. Internecine conflict was not confined to vendors: the Bank’s management was divided and politicking was rampant. As an organ of the state, the Bank was far from monolithic.

For their part, the representatives of Martínez Domínguez’s new credit associations refused to be blamed for the precarious financial situation of the Bank. The same day that the director general wrote to Beteta, they wrote to President

61 Jesús Bautista to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 4 April 1950, MAV, AGN, c. 318, exp. 433.152.

62 Jesús Bautista to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 2 May 1950, MAV, AGN, c. 579, exp. 545.22/466. After twenty years at the head of the Federation of Small-Scale Vendors these were Bautista’s last interventions on behalf of the city’s market vendors.

63 Juan de Dios Bojórquez to Secretary Ramón Beteta 30 March 1950, MAV, AGN, c. 318, exp. 433.152.
Alemán to denounce the chaos that, they argued, Bojórquez himself had created. They claimed that he broke the law, refused to listen to them, paralysed the operations of the Bank, and spent its money in absurd ways.\(^6^4\) A year later, in February 1951, they renewed the offensive, attacking Bojórquez for irregularities including moving the Bank’s offices to an overpriced property owned by his son.\(^6^5\) This time vendors threatened to ‘cause a public scandal of large proportions, since each and every one of our five thousand compañeros would be a voice in the public plazas against the immoral behaviour we are denouncing.’ Furthermore, the representatives of the credit associations argued that panic would prevail and payments to the bank would be suspended, forcing it into immediate insolvency. ‘We do not want to lose this patrimony you have given us. We will fight to preserve it. But we are at a dead-end whose only exit is your personal intervention, and we trust you fully.’\(^6^6\)

Later that month President Alemán received another sorry tale, this time from Bojórquez. Having failed to put a stop to Martínez Domínguez’s manoeuvres, the director general was preparing to leave the Bank, and wanted to put his objections on record. From the beginning of its operations, Bojórquez recounted, the Bank had functioned with political aims. During its first six years the bank had been under the control of the Federation of Small-Scale Vendors, which had almost finished the institution, leaving it with bad debts for six hundred and fifty thousand pesos. At the

---

\(^{6^4}\) Raúl Abaurre López, Eleazar T. Cruz, and Arnulfo Basurto Basurto to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 30 March 1950, idem.

\(^{6^5}\) Stephen Niblo catalogued Bojórquez’s actions under ‘abuse of authority,’ though he judges this abuse as not too blatant and rather unimaginative, Niblo, *Mexico in the 1940s*, p. 261.

\(^{6^6}\) Raúl Abaurre López, Eleazar T. Cruz, and Arnulfo Basurto Basurto to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 6 Feb. 1951, MAV, AGN, c. 318, exp. 433.152.
end of 1948, following the change of its statutes, the bank began fomenting the formation of credit associations, leading to the creation of forty of them across forty markets. In Bojórquez’s opinion, these ended up being worse than the Federation. Credit began flowing exclusively to the members of these associations, and after a year and a half of this policy, they had bad debts of around three hundred thousand pesos. ‘The leaders, and even the members [of the associations], have got it in their heads that they are the owners of the Bank, and they are ready to cause scandals and demand rights, when in fact they are only debtors and its sole beneficiaries.’ His parting recommendation was to reorganise the Bank in order to make direct loans to individual vendors, and avoid dealing with vendor organisations altogether; he wanted the Bank to treat vendors as no more than subjects of credit. Despite the protestations of Bojórquez and the Federation, in March 1951 Guillermo Martínez Domínguez was made the Bank’s new director, to the delight of the representatives of the credit associations.

Bojórquez’s objections to how the Bank operated point to another perspective on ostensibly corrupt practices. In Mexico’s weak, disorganised mid-century state, rational vendor leaders and, more generally, politicians—even those who might have

67 Juan de Dios Bojórquez to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 23 Feb. 1951, idem.

68 Bojórquez proposed to offer vendors day-long loans at 0.5 per cent interest, which implied an annualised rate of 61.7 per cent. He defended this rate by comparing it with the usureros’ practice of charging 5 per cent, or even 10 per cent for 24-hour loans.

69 Raúl Abaurre Lópezez, Eleazar T. Cruz, and Arnulfo Basurto Basurto to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 8 March 1951, MAV, AGN, c. 318, exp. 433/152. By 1952 Guillermo Martínez Domínguez was a man on the up. After leaving the Bank in 1955, he became Oficial Mayor of the Federal Electricity Commission, later becoming its director general. In 1970 he was appointed director general of the public financing agency Nacional Financiera, a post he held until 1974. His brother Alfonso rose even higher: After two stints as federal deputy for the Federal District (1946-1949 and 1952-1955), he became head of the PRI’s Federal District regional committee in 1955, then Secretary General of the CNOP between 1960 and 1965, and head of the Federal District in 1970-1971.
been personally honest—knew that they needed their own independent treasuries if they were to respond to the demands they faced from their constituents, advance their cause, and accomplish anything administratively coherent in their own zone of responsibility. The struggles over the Bank of Small-Scale Commerce highlight the way in which conflicts among vendors translated into conflicts among and between both vendor leaders and representatives of the state; the control of public institutions and public funds was both the means by which vendor groups fought one another, and the prize that went to the winner.

Furthermore, the confrontation between Bojórquez and Martínez Domínguez epitomised the changes in the city’s political landscape. Bojórquez said he wanted a purely commercial relationship with vendors. He had failed to understand that in early 1950s Mexico City even the Bank of Small-Scale Commerce had a more important role to play. Martínez Domínguez’s strategic use of the Bank represents an early attempt to manage a divided vendor movement and institutionalise the relationship between vendors and the state.

*Vendors and the PRI: Political Management and State Building as Corruption*

Endemic conflict within the vendor movement posed a serious challenge to the PRI. For the party to reverse its poor performance in the Federal District’s elections it had to learn to manage this movement, reshape its contours, and gain some measure of control.70 Guillermo Martínez Domínguez’s actions at the Bank of Small-Scale Commerce

---

70 Like the Partido Nacional de la Revolución and the Partido Revolucionario Mexicano before, between 1946 and 1955 the PRI found it most difficult to control the Federal District. Both general and mid-term elections were characterised by low electoral participation and a high proportion of opposition votes compared to national figures. In the 1946 general elections, the PRI obtained only 50.34 per cent of the vote in the capital, compared to 73.52 per cent in the country at large. In the 1952
Commerce were part of this larger political endeavour. But from early 1952, political responsibility for the vendor movement fell primordially on the new director of the Markets Office, Gonzalo Peña Manterola. His story illustrates how picking sides in the conflicts between vendors, offering licenses to some and not others, and deploying arbitrary repression were instruments used for personal advancement, as a weapon in intra-party struggles, and as part of the PRI’s management of the city’s electorate. These were corrupt practices in as much as they were arbitrary and particularistic, and deviated from the official rules governing markets. Yet another reading is possible: the same discretionary application of favours and extortion was key to the construction to the PRI’s hegemony in the capital.

Peña Manterola began his incursions into intra-vendor politics as soon as he was appointed, quickly drawing the anger of the Federation of Small-Scale Vendors. In April 1952 Jesús Bautista’s replacement as Federation’s secretary general, Francisco Ruvalcaba, denounced Peña Manterola for his ‘policy of division and hostility towards this National Federation and its member organisations.’ The Federation produced a detailed memo complaining that Peña Manterola ‘openly protects elements that have no representation whatsoever,’ and accusing him of ‘harassing our members with the purpose of dividing our organisation.’ In particular this document cited the case of the Mercado 1° de Mayo, which the Federation claimed was left empty because vendors under the protection of Peña Manterola had encircled the premises, poaching customers and impeding access to the market. Peña Manterola, it maintained, had organised these vendors to further his personal political

---

general elections, the figures were 49.01 per cent and 74.31 per cent respectively. The PRI’s performance in the city was better in the 1949 and 1955 mid-terms, but still significantly worse than the national averages. Peschard, ‘Las elecciones en el Distrito Federal.’
goals. The Federation believed the same dynamic was taking place in the zona de mercado of La Dalia, and on Honduras Street in La Lagunilla. In addition, in the Markets of Beethoven and La Merced, Peña Manterola had removed vendors to make room for paving work, but once the works were completed, he refused to allow those from the Federation to retake their places, giving them instead to other vendors. The memo ended with a plea that it not be forwarded to the head of the Federal District, Peña Manterola’s boss, because that would only feed the vicious circle.71

Based on these arguments, Ruvalcaba requested that President Alemán remove Peña Manterola, this being the only way to restore harmony to the city’s markets. With Presidential and Congressional elections scheduled for July that year, he believed that reference to his organisation’s official connections would improve his chance of a favourable resolution; he reminded Alemán that the Federation had always operated in agreement with the instructions of the PRI’s Central Committee, and the National Confederation of Popular Organisations (CNOP for its Spanish acronym), to which it belonged. He ended his letter reiterating his assurance of their collective support. Ruvalcaba’s strategy made sense. Small-scale comerciantes, and in particular the Federation, had been active in the CNOP from its creation in 1943. By the early 1950s the so-called popular sector had gained influence within the party, giving the Federation the hope that by flaunting its affiliation it could sway market policy. But the gambit did not pay off. Peña Manterola would remain head of markets, joining the new administration under President Adolfo Ruiz Cortines’ appointed Head of the Department of the Federal District Ernesto P. Uruchurtu.

71 Francisco Ruvalcaba to President Miguel Alemán Valdés, 4 April 1952, MAV, AGN, c. 1265, exp. 243.2/34416.
The Federal District was the stronghold of the CNOP, and the growing influence of the latter in the PRI increased the city’s importance for national politics. This raised the profile of the head of the Federal District, and the previous two, Javier Rojo Gómez and Fernando Casas Alemán, had entertained presidential hopes. But their office was a tricky position to hold. If the CNOP had become an indispensable component of Mexico’s urban political machine by the early 1950s, it also represented the most diverse and divided of all the party sectors. Its internal conflicts would consume more than one political career. To make things worse, its rivalry with the Confederation of Mexican Workers for influence within the PRI further complicated the city’s politics.\(^{72}\) As the new head of the Federal District Uruchurtu and his director of markets Peña Manerola understood, vendor politics were key to the governability of the city. As vendors also understood, the higher stakes in the city increased the peril of political action and threats of disruption, as well as their potential effectiveness.\(^{73}\)

Sociologist John Cross and historian Gary Gordon analyse the politics of street vending during the Uruchurtu years, arguing that a combination of market construction and repression helped the government bring vendor organisations under tighter control.\(^{74}\) There is no doubt this was true. But this interpretation suggests that


\(^{73}\) Benjamin T. Smith’s account of the centrality of female market vendors to Oaxaca’s social movements in the 1940s and early 1950s also highlights their apt navigation of the state’s intra-party conflicts, as well as their entanglement in caciquista struggles and their participation in oppositional politics. Smith, *Pistoleros and Popular Movements*, chapters 7-10.

there was a coherent state attempting to bend vendor organisations to its will. The process, however, was more nuanced. Market politics were a core part of the city’s CNOP politics. Peña Manterola, rather than representing a unified state, was actively attempting to increase his own power and that of his camarilla within the CNOP, and the power of the CNOP within the PRI and the government. Moreover, like Martínez Domínguez at the Bank of Small-Scale Commerce, his political manoeuvring responded to the conflicting demands of the city’s vendors. Political expediency required the management of everyday competition among peddlers of tomatoes, chillies, and all manner of household necessities.

In June 1953, then Congressman Víctor Manuel Ávila, in his role as Secretary General of the United Front of Locatarios and Small-Scale Vendors of the Federal District, wrote to president Ruiz Cortines to bring to his attention another memo detailing Peña Manterola’s advances, in this case, against the United Front. According to Ávila, Peña Manterola had created a rival vendor Federation, ‘to which he is giving all the help and support imaginable.’ Congressman Ávila left no doubt of his opinion. Peña Manterola, he insisted, was trying to dissolve the United Front by slandering its leaders in the press, forcefully removing the stalls of its rank and file, imposing illegal fines, and encouraging violent confrontations. ‘These scandalous practices,’ he claimed, ‘had already caused them the loss of several organisations’.

75 Congressman Víctor Manuel Ávila to President Adolfo Ruiz Cortines, 17 June 1953, Fondo Presidente Adolfo Ruiz Cortines, Archivo General de la Nación (hitherto ARC, AGN), c. 677, exp. 521/1. The list of organisations that Ávila claimed had left the Frente Único included: Obrero Mundial, Colima, Ambulantes, Churubusco, San Juan de Letrán, Arenal, Lagunilla, Circumvalación, Jesús María, and Venustiano Carranza.
These accusations are repeated and expanded in a document dated 2 February 1954. Its provenance is unclear, but it is part of a file in the presidential archives devoted to complaints about Peña Manterola. The first section of this document reproduced Congressman Ávila’s accusations, using almost his exact words. It then broadened the scope, stating that Peña Manterola sought to dissolve all vendor federations in order to favour his own, and that to do this he played them against each other, and was willing to accuse their leaders of being enemies of the government. What is more, it related how Peña Manterola and his camarilla had ‘managed to impress the regional executive president of the PRI, Francisco Galindo Ochoa, who receives them and gives them preferential treatment.’ Following their request, Galindo Ochoa had convoked the representatives of all vendor federations to ask them to merge into one. This unification, the document claimed, would allow Peña Manterola to eliminate uncooperative leaders.76 Consistent with these complaints, in June 1955 secret service agents recorded that La Merced vendor leaders were calling the director of the Markers Office ‘Maquiavelo Manterola.’77

The documented attacks on Peña Manterola are the traces left by vendor conflicts as they turned into political conflicts, but they should also be understood as the objections of the losing side in the drive to manage and renovate the city. The efforts of the head of markets to consolidate the vendor movement under his control

76 2 Feb. 1954, ARC, AGN, c. 1240, exp. 703.2/209.

77 Report addressed to Colonel Leandro Castillo Venegas, Director Federal de Seguridad, 11 June 1955, Dirección Federal de Seguridad, Archivo General de la Nación, 30-21-55 H-79 L-1. The Federal Security Directorate was central to the PRI’s electoral dominance in this period. Navarro, Political Intelligence, p. 186. The spying of market leaders by DFS agents underscores the growing importance of vendors in local and national politics. Until then intelligence gathering efforts in markets had focused on consumers, not on vendor politics.
went hand-in-hand with Uruchurtu’s ambitious public works programme. If the vendor movement was divided politically, it was unified in its need for more market halls and other infrastructure. One of the achievements of the Head of the Federal District was to mobilise fiscal resources in accordance with these needs. As repression and political interventions gained momentum, Uruchurtu’s market building campaign reached its peak. Between 1955 and 1958 he inaugurated sixty-nine markets with room for more than twenty nine thousand vendors. Twenty thousand stalls were built in 1957 alone, a year in which the Departamento del Distrito spent one quarter of its budget on the construction of thirty-eight market halls.\(^78\) This was the most intensive rate of market building that Mexico City had ever seen. In the new markets vendors were provided with refrigerators and scales, while maintenance, security, electricity and water were paid for by the city, all for a notional rent. They had day-care facilities, and vendors also received medical check-ups and were offered literacy programmes in the markets’ offices.\(^79\) In other words, for the tens of thousands of organised vendors who found a place in the new markets, they represented dramatic economic progress, providing access to conveniences and social services that had seemed unattainable ten years earlier.

As the 1958 election approached vendors showed their gratitude to the government. In February, combining support for both the government’s registration campaign and its efforts to reduce the cost of living, representatives of five organisations, claiming to represent seventy thousand vendors, announced they would

\(^78\) Gordon, ‘Peddlers, Pesos and Power,’ p. 87.

be offering a 10 per cent discount to customers who showed them their registered voter card.\textsuperscript{80} That same day, forty thousand vendors attended a rally for the presidential candidacy of the PRI’s López Mateos; they explicitly connected their backing with his promise for a continuity of Uruchurtu’s policies.\textsuperscript{81} The July 1958 election would be the PRI’s all time best in the Federal District.\textsuperscript{82} The combination of Uruchurtu’s leadership with Peña Manterola’s politicking, including his alleged selective use of violence, favouritism in the allocation of market stalls, and other abuses of office, was a success.\textsuperscript{83} From the point of view of vendors both the pay-offs for participating in official politics as well as the costs of remaining independent had never been higher.\textsuperscript{84}

\textit{Conclusion}

\textsuperscript{80} ‘Descuento a quienes se empadronen,’ \textit{Excélsior}, 12 Feb. 1958, in AE, BLT.

\textsuperscript{81} Cross, \textit{Informal Politics}, pp. 170-1.

\textsuperscript{82} The PRI won 68.59 per cent of the vote in the Federal District in 1958, having achieved between 49.01 per cent and 61.90 per cent in the previous four general elections since the PRI’s creation in 1946. Peschard, ‘Las elecciones en el Distrito Federal,’ p. 233.

\textsuperscript{83} Both retained their positions for an unprecedented fourteen years. This was not a small accomplishment. Yet they aspired to more. According to Gobernación agents in 1955 Uruchurtu was considered a potential presidential contender, the candidate of the ‘organised casasalemanismo,’ 3/26/55, DFS, exp. 10-26-55. Peña Manterola sought Uruchurtu’s post. In July 1957 he campaigned in the press and in the city’s markets with a leaflet reading ‘Whoever becomes president, Peña Manterola will be regent. Long live the protector of the poor! Long live the protector of the Mexican society and family!’ 11/22/1957, DFS, 30-25-57. Instead he was elected Deputy for the Federal District for the 44\textsuperscript{th} Legislature (1958-1961) alongside his position as head of the markets department. Roderic A. Camp, \textit{Mexican Political Biographies, 1935-1993} (Austin: The University of Texas Press, 2011), p. 1100. The two appear to have abandoned public life after 1966.

\textsuperscript{84} The experiences of vendors in Mexico City in the 1950s contrast with those in Puebla in the 1970s and 1980s. Sandra Mendiola finds that from 1973, the combination of repression and lack of investment led downtown vendors to organise independently of the CNOP, in an alliance with left-wing students. The politics of urban renewal in the age of neoliberal reform then further pushed vendors away from the PRI in the 1980s. Sandra C. Mendiola García, \textit{Street Democracy. Vendors, Violence, and Public Space in Late Twentieth-Century Mexico} (Lincoln, NE: The University of Nebraska Press, forthcoming 2017).
While the mid-century Mexican state could claim significant achievements, governing was a difficult and disordered business. Corruption in Mexico City’s markets was an essential part of its growing pains not just because it struggled to develop the capacity to tax vendors and manage markets, but because the process through which political entrepreneurs helped to build the state, and the organisations and institutions that supported it, involved the arbitrary allocation of stalls, bestowing of favours, withholding of services, taking of bribes, and application of extortion. To the extent that these practices furthered political ambitions and yielded material benefits we can describe them as corruption. Yet they were the means agents of the state found to extend its scope and power over the streets of the capital city.

Such corrupt practices cannot be understood in isolation from the system of informal politics that they helped create. The patron-client networks that enabled the PRI to manage the vendor movement also served as channels for vendors’ voices. The politicians who incorporated the vendor movement into the burgeoning institutions of the state did not simply co-opt vendors; they acted as mediators between state and society during a delicate process of urban renewal. Exploring the overlap between informal politics and corruption problematises the dichotomy between corruption and good governance that development narratives take for granted. Sometimes corruption represented governance under hard constraints.

Political entrepreneurs mediated not just between state and society, but also between factions of the vendor movement. Much of the corruption highlighted here was driven by vendors’ demands for dispute settlement and leadership in conflicts stemming from everyday competition for vending spaces, customers, and public resources. It was their clashing social and material needs and the resulting divisions
within the vendor movement that underlay the informal politics of the city. Put differently, the configuration of power at the local level was the result of vendors’ socio-economic relations, not just of political considerations or manipulation from above. If we are to explain the country’s persistent social and political ailments we need to expand our focus beyond the state and towards the conflicts within society itself.