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High titer autoantibodies produced by B lymphocytes are clinically important features of many common autoimmune diseases. APECED patients with deficient autoimmune regulator (AIRE) gene collectively display a broad repertoire of high titer autoantibodies, including some which are pathognomonic for major autoimmune diseases. AIRE deficiency severely reduces thymic expression of gene-products ordinarily restricted to discrete peripheral tissues, and developing T cells reactive to those gene-products are not inactivated during their development. However, the extent of the autoantibody repertoire in APECED and its relation to thymic expression of self-antigens are unclear. We here undertook a broad protein array approach to assess autoantibody repertoire in APECED patients. Our results show that in addition to shared autoantigen reactivities, APECED patients display high inter-individual variation in their autoantigen profiles, which collectively are enriched in evolutionarily conserved, cytosolic and nuclear phosphoproteins. The APECED autoantigens have two major origins; proteins expressed in thymic medullary epithelial cells and proteins expressed in lymphoid cells. These findings support the hypothesis that specific protein properties strongly contribute to the etiology of B cell autoimmunity.
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INTRODUCTION

Many severe multifactorial autoimmune diseases are in part defined by pathognomonic antibodies that provide important clinical tests of disease predisposition or status. However, whereas our knowledge of the genetic and cellular factors contributing to multifactorial autoimmune diseases is increasing, we remain largely ignorant of properties of autoantigens and why only selected proteins are targeted in autoimmunity (1). To investigate B cell autoantibody repertoire, we have examined APECED (autoimmune polyendocrinopathy with candidiasis and ectodermal dysplasia) patients (2), defined by rare monogenic defects in the autoimmune regulator (AIRE) gene that drives the expression of tissue-restricted self-antigens in medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTEC) (3–5). T cell
progenitors with strong reactivity toward such self-antigens are eliminated or functionally inactivated. Lacking AIRE function, APECED patients predictably accumulate many autoreactive T cells, but in addition they collectively display high titer autoantibodies to multiple self-proteins (2).

The antibodies with the highest reported titers target type I interferons (IFNs) and have become diagnostic or even prognostic markers for APECED (6). Neutralizing type I IFN antibodies mostly appear early in life (7), inhibit IFN-stimulated gene expression (8), and were recently shown to correlate inversely with the onset of type 1 diabetes (T1D) in APECED (9). A second group of APECED-associated autoantibodies targets Th17-associated cytokines, IL17A, IL17F, and IL22, emerges early in the disease course (7), and is associated with chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis (10, 11), another defining feature of APECED. Interestingly, our in-depth studies of anti-cytokine antibodies harbored by APECED patients showed that the functionally rearranged immunoglobulin gene sequences were heavily mutated, encoding antibodies of extremely high affinity that we hypothesized to result from B cell dysregulation in germinal center reactions (9). Such etiology can be contrasted with the amplification and maturation of natural, polyreactive autoantibodies that are normally of relatively low affinity, which has been proposed to underpin sporadic autoreactivity in otherwise healthy individuals (12).

There is a prominent set of APECED-associated autoantibodies that are shared with multifactorial autoimmune diseases, such as those directed against glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD)1 and GAD2 in T1D (13), or against CYP21A2 in Addison's disease (14). Therefore, the comprehensive analysis of autoantibodies in APECED may offer improved insight into the etiology of such diseases. These autoantibodies have often been identified by candidate or cDNA expression library screenings. However, proteome arrays provide unprecedentedly broad coverage to identify novel target proteins, such as melanoma MAGEB antigens and testis-specific PDILT, which were recently identified as autoantigens in APECED (15).

To gain further insight, we searched common features of autoantigenic proteins by a systematic analysis of a protein microarray platform, which allows large-scale, unbiased screening of autoantibody reactivities. Herein, we report a broad spectrum of autoantigens that is collectively targeted by 82 APECED patients. This APECED “autoimmunome” is a random collection of proteins but comprises two sub-groups, those whose expression is most likely AIRE-regulated in the thymus and those enriched in lymphoid tissue. Moreover, these autoantigens are enriched in evolutionarily conserved cytosolic and nuclear proteins with high propensity for post-translational modifications. Reactivity to an increased number of such autoantigens was a stronger correlate of clinical symptoms than was either patient age or time since disease onset.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Samples

Altogether 100 sera samples from 82 individual patients were profiled in ProtoArray, as described (9). For longitudinal analysis, we collected 2 samples from 11 patients; 3 samples from 2 patients; and 4 samples from 1 APECED patient. Only one serum sample (with maximal number of positive hits) per patient was used in all analyses, except in longitudinal analysis where multiple samples taken at different time points were available from 14 patients. The patients were from Finland, Italy, Norway, Slovenia, and Estonia and diagnosed according to mutational analysis of the AIRE gene and by the presence of autoantibodies to type I IFNs. The mean age of the patients was 31 years. The main characteristics of the patients are given in Table S1 in Supplementary Material. The control group consisted of 20 individuals (12 healthy volunteers and 8 healthy first-degree relatives of the Italian APECED patient cohort). The study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of local ethics committees (Finland: HUS Medical ERB, 8/13/03/01/2009; Slovenia: National Medical Ethics Committee number 22/09/09 and 28/02/13; Italy: Ethics Committee Prot. PG/2015/20440; Norway: Research Ethics Committee of Western Norway, health registry number 047.96, bio-bank number 2013-1504, project number 2012/1850; Estonia: Research Ethics Committee of the University of Tartu, 235/M-23) with written informed consent from all subjects, as described earlier (9). All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Protein Array Screening

The autoantibody screening was performed by ISO9001 certified Custom ProtoArray Service Lab at Invitrogen (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Briefly, the protein arrays (ProtoArray v5.1) were probed as described in Invitrogen's protocol for Immune Response BioMarker Profiling using detection reagent (Alexa Fluor 647 Goat Anti-Human IgG A21445, Invitrogen) and blocking buffer (Blocking Buffer Kit PA055, Invitrogen). Arrays were scanned using a GenePix 4000B fluorescent scanner, and the data were acquired with GenePix® Pro software. The arrays were probed with sera at a dilution of 1:500.

Data Cleaning, Normalization, and Print Contamination

Previous studies involving protein microarrays (16) have shown that raw intensity values should not be compared directly because of technical, physical, chemical, and individual variability, occurring mostly at the production stage. In order to preserve true biological signal and concurrently eliminate potential technical noise, we applied a robust linear model (RLM) approach (17), using rlm function in R. RLM is considered to be the state of the art normalization technique for protein microarray data (18) and is applied on control probes that are assumed to have constant positive signal across all arrays. We used human-IgG and V5 control series for normalization as reported (17). Background subtracted signal was log-transformed prior to applying RLM to approximate for normal distribution (Figure S1 in Supplementary Material). To address printing contamination, we excluded all autoantibody targets that showed high correlation (>0.6) with previously reported autoantigens. In addition, we identified and eliminated highly correlated proteins located in the neighboring wells on the array. In total, we identified and removed 31 false positives, some of which were prevalently positive across many samples (Figure S2 in Supplementary Material). The normalized signal was standardized using mean and SD of healthy samples.
(including healthy heterozygous relatives). Proteins, with standardized signal (z-score) above 3 in three or more patients were regarded as autoantigens. The full list of the positive proteins is provided in Table S2 in Supplementary Material.

Differential Data Analysis and Clustering of Autoantibody Reactions

In order to identify the proteins correlating with various clinical manifestations, we used moderated t-statistics implemented in eBayes function from limma R package (19) on normalized intensities from all 9,000 proteins. Obtained p-values were corrected using false discovery rate correction method and top significant proteins (corrected p-value <0.05) were extracted for each manifestation resulting in six significant associations. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering using heatmap package in R, which internally uses hclust function for clustering rows and columns of matrix. Linear regression analysis of dependency between number of positive hits and number of manifestation was analyzed with lm method in R.

Variability of APECED Autoantigen Profile over Time

We extracted data for 14 patients with multiple samples and formed all possible pairs of samples that belong to the same patients and divided these pairs into two broad categories: pairs of samples that were obtained >10 years apart (eight samples, on average 24.4 years apart) and samples that were obtained <10 years apart (13 samples, on average 1.5 years apart). Reactive proteins were compared between samples of the same patient and the percentage of the proteins that were specific to early, late, or shared between samples for both of the categories was computed. As expected, samples taken close in time had more reactive proteins in common, then samples obtained more than 10 years apart. Samples taken more than 10 years apart show higher percentage of late sample specific proteins, which might mean that with time repertoire of reactive proteins gets larger.

Correlation with APECED Mutations

We divided the patients into three groups based on their mutations: (1) homozygous for p.R139X, (2) homozygous for p.R257X, and (3) homozygous p.L323fsX373 or compound heterozygotes of either p.L323fsX373 or missense mutations. We used pairwise comparisons of Tukey and Kramer (Nemenyi) test with Tukey-Dist approximation for independent samples.

The Enrichment Analyses for Protein Characteristics

To assess intrinsic features of positive reactivities, we used data from the following public databases: Human Protein Atlas (20), Compartments DB (21), dbPTM (22), UniProt Knowledgebase (23), Ensembl (24), OrthoDB (25), and SUPERFAMILY (26). We used gconvert function from gProfileR package in R (27) to convert names of genes and proteins into Ensembl gene identifiers (ENSG). Size of the overlap between our group of positive proteins and every set extracted from each database was estimated. Using hypergeometric test for each overlap, we computed probability values to estimate how likely it was to observe this overlap or larger by random chance. We used false discovery rate procedure to adjust obtained p-values and significance threshold of 0.05 to reject a null-hypothesis. To double check our findings, we used g:Profiler web-tool (27) by submitting our list of positive proteins and running unordered query with ProtoArray content as a background to account for any design bias.

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) and Evolutionary Conservation Analysis

For SNP analysis, we retrieved all SNPs associated with each gene on our platform that was found in Ensembl database (7,284 genes). To retrieve this information, we used R command useMart and the following parameters: useMart(“ENSEMBL_MART_ENSEMBL”, dataset = ’hsapiens_gene_ensembl’, host = “www.ensembl.org”). We then calculated the number of SNPs within boundaries of the gene and SNPs that are located at the exon regions of the gene. We normalized these values by associated gene length (distance between two furthest associated SNPs), by gene length, and by accumulative length exon regions of each gene, respectively. To compare positive proteins with the rest of platform in terms SNP counts, we first sampled 10,000 random groups of genes of the same size as our group of reactive proteins. For each group, we computed mean counts of two categories. For evolutionary conservation analysis, the data were obtained from ftp://cegg.unige.ch/OrthoDB8/Eukaryotes/. We downloaded four pairs of genes and annotations for all species, mammalia, metazoa, and vertebrata. In all four gene files, we filtered out genes not related to Homo sapiens and converted Ensemble protein IDs into gene IDs using biodbnet (http://biodbnet.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/db/db2db.php) software. We calculated an average evolution rate for our group of reactive proteins (for all genes that had evolutionary rate recorded in DB). Then we sampled 10,000 random groups of the same size as our reactive group and calculated an average evolutionary rate.

Luciferase Immunoprecipitation (LIPS) Analysis

Luciferase immunoprecipitation assay was performed as reported (28, 29). Autoantigen cDNAs were cloned into modified pNanoluc vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) downstream of naturally secreted NanoLuc luciferase (Nluc). HEK293 cells were transfected with the plasmid constructs and cell culture medium containing Nluc-fusion proteins was collected after 48 h. Serum samples were first incubated with the fusion protein solutions overnight, then Protein G agarose beads were added and incubated at room temperature for 1 h in 96-well microfilter plates (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) to capture antibodies and immune complexes to the beads. After the washing to remove unbound fusion proteins, a luciferase substrate (furimazine) was added to reaction, and luminescence intensity (LU) was measured in Victor X Multilabel Plate Reader (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Waltham, MA, USA). Results were expressed as relative units LU sample/average LU of healthy control samples. The positive/
negative discrimination level was set to the mean plus three SDs of the healthy control samples.

RESULTS

Protoarray Screening of APECED Sera

The basis for our unbiased study of APECED autoreactivities was a protein microarray (Protoarray) of approximately 9,000 proteins printed to a single glass slide. Against this array, we screened 100 sera from 82 patients of Finnish, Norwegian, Slovenian, Sardinian, and Estonian origins, together with control sera obtained from healthy heterozygous relatives (n = 8) and unrelated healthy controls (n = 12) across the same age range.

The arrays were normalized by RLM (17) based on control protein intensities with further standardization and limitation of false-positives (described in Materials and Methods). The positive proteins were defined as signals with a z-score (the number of standard deviations from the mean of combined controls) of greater than 3 (which is more stringent than the common practice of calling hits at z > 2). Although APECED patients altogether displayed significantly different set of autoreactivities in comparison to controls, the reactivity profiles contained multiple patient-specific reactivities in addition to shared autoantibodies (9). Therefore, to intensify the likely biological and clinical significance of hits, we considered a protein to be an autoantigen only when it was recognized by ≥3 individual patient samples (see Materials and Methods). When this additional stringency was applied, proteins encoded by 963 unique Refseq genes qualified as autoantigens.

Autoantigen Repertoire Contains Shared and Individual Reactivities

As expected, multiple sera displayed strong reactivities toward approximately 20 proteins that were previously reported as APECED autoantigens, including type I IFNs (Figure 1A), Th17 cytokines, GAD1, GAD2, DDC, TGM4, GILF, HSD3B2, BP1IF1B1, TSQA10, CYP1A2, KCNRG, and AQP2 (Figure 1B). Moreover, most such reactivities were also detected by LIPS assay (Figure S1 in Supplementary Material). It should be noted that apart of HSD3B2, the set of proteins on Protoarray did not include the steroidogenic enzymes CYP21A2, CYP17A1, and CYP11A1, which have been demonstrated as autoantigens in APECED.

Among shared reactivities, the highest titers were shown by interferon-α (IFNA)-specific antibodies, for which we identified some strong correlations (coefficients from 0.41 to 0.98), the highest being between IFNA2 and IFNA4 (correlation ~0.98), and IFNA6 and IFNA17 (correlation ~0.93) (Figure 1C). These correlations could not be explained by the levels of primary sequence similarity or by close phylogenetic relationships (Figure S2 in Supplementary Material), and are instead likely to reflect shared conformational epitopes in IFNA proteins. Likewise, there was commonly a strong correlation (coefficient from 0.41 to 0.62) of IFNA reactivity with reactivity toward IFN-α (IFNW) (Figure 1C).

In addition to shared reactivities, we found high inter-individual variation in the number and identity of autoantigens (Figure 1D). To analyze a covariance of sample groups, we performed a principal component analysis, which showed that the relatively homogenous group of combined healthy relatives and control samples readily segregated from the patient samples that displayed a large intra-group variability (Figure 1E).

Multiple Specificities Include Cancer–Testis and Testis-Specific Autoantigens

Among the strong, "rare" specificities, there was a number of potential autoantigens depicted in Figure 2A. Of these, LCN1 was reported as an autoantigen in Sjögren syndrome patients (30), and HMGB1 was reported to be associated with the production of anti-DNA autoantibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus (31). To extend the Protoarray results, we used LIPS to assay reactivity toward eight of these proteins (LCN1, MKNK2, POMZP3, BAALC, FGF12, HMGB1, RPL12, and S100A7A) of sera from a subgroup of 30 Finnish APECED patients (Figure 2B). The first three showed particularly strong positive correlations between Protoarray and LIPS results (Figure 2C), but given that the reactivities were often rare and that the patient groups tested in the two assays did not completely overlap, it was not surprising that correlations were not always statistically significant. Of note, however, this did not reflect a general tendency of the Protoarray to reveal false positives, since some sera showed much stronger LIPS reactivity to defined targets (e.g., RPL12, FGF12, HMGB1; Figure 2C). Indeed, this may reflect the potential of the Protoarray to underestimate reactivities of conformation-specific autoantibodies, because of the denatured states of many of the arrayed proteins and protein fragments.

Interestingly, we noted reactivities toward ~20 so-called “cancer-testis autoantigens” (CT-As) (Figure 2D). CT-As can be expressed in a wide variety of malignant tumors, where they are known to be immunogenic, but their expression in normal tissue is mostly restricted to germ cells in testis, fetal ovary, and placenta (32). The extent of reactivity revealed by our screen far exceeded the previous report of shared APECED reactivity to CT-As PDILT and MAGEB2 (Figure 2D) in a large-scale screening of another APECED cohort (15). Rather, we found reactivities toward several proteins from the MAGE-A—MAGE-B family that are expressed in melanoma and other tumor types but which in normal tissues are expressed only in the testis; reactivities toward GAGE1 and GAGE7B, which are members of another X-chromosome linked, CT-A family; and reactivities toward SPAG8 and SPAG16 (Figure 2D). The latter two in particular highlight the cross-over of APECED serum autoreactivities with other pathophysiologies, in that SPAG8 was initially identified as a sperm-associated antigen target of serum of an infertile woman (33), while SPAG16 is expressed in sperm and in reactive astrocytes of lesions in multiple sclerosis patients in whom it has been identified as an autoantibody target (34). Of note, both males and females showed autoreactivities toward several sperm-specific proteins (Figure 2E). Protoarray reactivities toward 11 testis specific and CT-antigens tested showed good overall correlation with LIPS (Figures 2E,F).
Autoantibody Correlations with Clinical Manifestations

We next analyzed whether immunoreactivities to certain autoantigens correlated with disease components of individual APECED patients (Figure 3). Pernicious anemia correlated with seven reactivities, including gastrointestinal factor GIF that is an established autoantigen in pernicious anemia patients (35); five specificities, including GAD1 and GAD2, correlated with vitiligo; and autoantibodies to GABPB2 correlated with autoimmune hepatitis in APECED patients. By contrast, several associations described previously among small-scale studies, e.g., DDC with AIH and vitiligo (36, 37), were not revealed. In addition, we confirmed that reactivity toward prostate specific antigen, TGM4, was almost restricted to post-pubertal males (Figure S3 in Supplementary Material) (38).

To test whether the autoantibody reactivities might segregate APECED patients into subgroups, we performed unsupervised hierarchical clustering of patients and the 50 most-reactive autoantigens, from which we excluded type I IFNs. This analysis clearly revealed two patient sub-groups with overall low and high reactivity repertoires, respectively (Figure 4A). An overlay of this heatmap with clinical data showed that the number of autoantigens targeted was positively correlated with the number of clinical manifestations, as confirmed by linear regression (Figures 4B,C).

As the number of disease components in APECED patients is known to increase over time, we tested whether the number of autoantibody targets in each patient is likewise related to the age of onset of the first clinical manifestation. However, the trend toward correlation between the number of diseases and either age or time since diagnosis was not significant (Figure S4
in Supplementary Material), arguing that neither can independently explain the accumulation of multiple clinical entities in APECED. Instead, these findings add further weight to the conclusion that the complexity of the autoantibody response is a major underlying factor for the expansion of clinical profile in APECED.

Previous studies have shown changes in autoantibody profiles over time. To understand the dynamics of autoantibody
repertoire, we studied 14 APECED patients whose sera were collected at least twice in different time points and, thus, analyzed longitudinally by Protoarray. In most patients (n = 11), the number of proteins recognized by autoantibodies increased with time (Figure 4D), whereas in only three patients the number of reactivities decreased or remained almost unchanged. We next studied whether the target antigen profile in APECED patients broadens over time. For this, we compared the samples taken less than 10 years apart with the samples spanning more than 10 years in three separate categories: (i) target specific to early sample, (ii) target shared in early and late sample, and (iii) target specific to late sample. Expectedly, the proportion of targets specific to late sample was larger indicating that the samples taken closer in time shared more reactivity to autoantigens than samples obtained more than 10 years apart (Figure 4E).

**Nature of APECED Autoantigens**

Of the many AIRE truncating mutations identified in APECED patients, the most prevalent are p.R257X creating a premature stop codon in exon 6, and a 13bp deletion, p.L323fsX373, changing the reading frame and causing a premature stop codon in exon 8. Although both mutations are present in APECED patients with various ethnic backgrounds, the R257X is commonly found among Finnish (83% of APECED alleles), Norwegian and Slovenian patients. By contrast, the earliest AIRE truncation occurs in Sardinian patients who share a p.R139X mutation that introduces a premature stop codon prior to the SAND domain (Sp100, AIRE-1, NucP41/75, DEAF-1), an ~80 residue region common to many chromatin-regulating factors. When we divided the patients into three groups based on these genetic etiologies [(1) homozygous for p.R139, (2) homozygous for p.R257X, and (3) homozygous p.L323fsX373, or compound heterozygotes of either p.L323fsX373 or missense mutations], we found patients in Groups 1 and 2 to react to a wider spectrum of autoantigens (Figure 5A), thereby correlating autoantibody reactivities with the p.R139X and p.R257X truncation mutations.

Intrinsic features of proteins that might promote their autoantigenicity are not known. Indeed, the available information on the repertoire of autoantibody target proteins is fragmented, and based on this, few if any overt similarities have emerged among proteins identified as autoantigens. Given the scale of the analysis described here, we systematically analyzed our list of autoantibody target proteins for any parameters that might be enriched relative to proteins that were not targets (Figure 5B; Table S2 in Supplementary Material).

We first studied the subcellular localizations of APECED autoantigens by employing two different approaches: (i) the Compartments resource that integrates sequence-based and manually curated subcellular localization information from...
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PSORT and YLoc databases and (ii) g:Profiler that searches for a cellular component as one of the Gene Ontology categories (27). The majority of autoantigens were significantly associated with intracellular location (adj. p-values 2.62e−07 and 7.20e−03 for Compartments and g:Profiler analysis, respectively), and in particular with either a cytosolic location (adj. p-values 6.04e−07 and 3.01e−05 for Compartments and g:Profiler analysis, respectively) or, to a lesser extent, a nuclear location (p = 0.0054) (Table S2 in Supplementary Material).

Posttranslational modifications have been proposed to contribute to autoimmune responses (39). Indeed, when we compared our database with dbPTM datasets (22) comprising an integrated resource for protein posttranslational modifications, we found that the autoantigens were strongly enriched in proteins with histidine/serine/threonine phosphorylation (adj. p = 3.34e−06 for HST). The association was significant even when threonine and serine phosphorylation were compared separately (adj. p-value 4.22e−06 for threonine only and 8.43e−04 for serine only). However, tyrosine phosphorylation alone was not enriched and adding tyrosine phosphorylation as a category to the accumulated list of phosphorylated proteins decreased the significance level. We searched for the enrichment
of specific protein features in UniProtKB and Superfamily databases comprising a collection of protein function information. This analysis surprisingly revealed that autoantigens were enriched for proteins from which the initiator methionine is processed by methionine aminopeptidases (adj. $p = 0.0070$) and representation of proteins with DNA binding potential.
The genes encoding autoantigens have been suggested to be enriched for SNPs (40). However, when we studied SNP data from Ensembl.org, the genes encoding APECED autoantigens displayed fewer SNPs in their gene regions ($p = 0.0126$) as well as in exons ($p = 0.0592$) (Figure 6A). To study how evolutionary conserved the APECED autoantigen gene families (41) are, we used OrthoDB8 database to retrieve the calculated evolutionary rates of the autoantigen genes (as human counterparts) against ortholog genes in four different taxonomic levels (all species, metazoan, vertebrata, and mammalian species). We found that the genes encoding APECED autoantigens showed significant association with the two conserved taxonomic levels (all species, $p = 0.0225$; metazoan species, $p = 0.024$; and a similar trend in mammalian and vertebrata species categories) (Figure 6B) indicating an evolutionary conserved nature of APECED autoantigens. Thus, in comparison to all genes in the genome, APECED autoantigen genes have less genetic polymorphisms and are more conserved in evolution.

**Autoantigens Segregate Based on mTEC Expression and AIRE Dependence**

The key role of AIRE is considered to be the establishment of tolerance toward proteins restricted to discrete peripheral tissues, the so-called tissue-restricted (TR) antigens. However, unexpectedly, we did not find the APECED autoimmunome to be appreciably enriched in TR antigens. In fact, when each tissue was analyzed separately using the Human Protein Atlas database (20), the APECED autoantigens showed the strongest significant correlation with gene expression in lymphoid tissues, specifically tonsils, spleen, appendix, and lymph nodes (Figure 5C; Table S2 in Supplementary Material).

These findings notwithstanding, given that AIRE is the driving cause of APECED, we hypothesized that the autoantibody repertoire might target two components: TR antigens and non-tissue-restricted (NTR) antigens enriched in AIRE-independent lymphoid tissue proteins. To test this hypothesis, we divided the genes encoding the autoantigens according to their TR or NTR expression pattern (Table S2 in Supplementary Material). We then cross-referenced mouse databases on the expression of genes by mature mTECs and to its subsets, including those where thymic AIRE expression is limited or lacking by knockout approach (42) (note the necessity to use mouse databases reflected by the lack of comprehensive human thymus gene expression data). Having converted human gene accession numbers to mouse counterparts, we found a very strong overlap of genes encoding APECED TR autoantigens with genes more highly expressed in mature mTEC compared to two Aire-negative subsets: immature mTEC ($p = 0.00022$) and Aire-negative mTEC subpopulation ($p = 0.00016$) (Figure 7). The genes downregulated in Aire-deficient mTEC cells were likewise enriched in genes encoding APECED TR autoantigens ($p = 0.00022$). By contrast, the NTR group showed no such correlations. Furthermore, the NTR group was associated even more strongly with intracellular phosphoproteins, expressed in lymphoid tissues.

**DISCUSSION**

Our profiling of APECED autoantibody reactivities yielded a broad autoantibody repertoire and, except type I IFN and Th17 related cytokines, remarkable inter-individual variability. The number of candidate autoantigens ranged to almost thousand reactive proteins. Many of these proteins had lower signal intensities than type I IFN and Th17 cytokines and may partly represent a physiological (i.e., non-pathological) autoimmunity in the patients, similarly to natural autoantibodies in healthy individuals. However, in contrast to the genes activated by Aire in mTECs, only the minority was tissue-restricted proteins as we found many of the targets expressed in cell types with shared presence in lymphoid organs. Our study is in contrast to previous report (15), which identified only two novel targets (PDILT and MAGE-B2), also detected by our screening here, and with a key observation that autoimmunity in APECED preferentially targets molecules with restricted tissue expression profiles. The discrepancy may be related to conditions of serum samples, serum dilutions, differences in the versions of the Protoarray platforms, screening process and data analysis. While the previous study set highly stringent criteria considering only antigens that were common to the patient group we included the rare patient-specific reactivities, which to some extent may contain false positives.
Among tissue-restricted proteins, we found reactivity to many CT-As, implying a central role of the thymus in antitumor immunity. These included members of MAGE-A, MAGE-B, and GAGE families, and in addition sperm-specific proteins, recognized by several (including female) patient sera. By contrast, we did not detect reactivities to MAGE-D or MAGE-E, the non-CT-A members of MAGE protein family (43) that are expressed in all tissues. Importantly, the only cell type expressing CT-As outside of tumors and testis is mTEC (44), and in agreement with our findings of extensive anti-CT-A immunity in APECED, Aire-deficient mice confer strong rejection of melanoma by thymus-dependent T cell responses (45–47). Furthermore, Aire-deficient mice confer strong rejection of melanoma by thymus-dependent T cell responses (45–47). Furthermore, Aire-deficient mice confer strong rejection of melanoma by thymus-dependent T cell responses (45–47). Furthermore, Aire-deficient mice confer strong rejection of melanoma by thymus-dependent T cell responses (45–47).

In AIRE-deficient thymoma samples, some APECED autoantigens are expectedly under-expressed, but many are not, including several adrenocortical, gonadal and neuro-ectodermal targets (60, 62). Hence, other cell types in the thymus should contribute to the negative selection of autoreactive T cells. Thus, other mechanisms should be considered, in addition to the role of AIRE in shaping negative selection by upregulating the expression of TR antigens. Indeed, the very early onset of clinical symptoms in APECED patients have suggested that AIRE deficiency may create an actively immunizing tissue environment where tolerance of AIRE-independent antigens is broken (63).

Despite the fact that approximately 90% of the overall group of autoantigens were not tissue-restricted, the correlation of TR-specific subset with mature mTEC population and Aire dependency was strikingly strong. Thus, our results demonstrate two distinct subgroups of autoantigens; first, the smaller subgroup, which consists of approximately 10% of autoantigens, is expressed in specific tissues, and of which many are expressed in mTECs under Aire regulation. The breakdown of tolerance to these APECED autoantigens is likely driven by their lack of expression in the thymus, causing the defect in negative selection of autoreactive thymocytes. The characteristic members of this group are GIF, CYP2A7, LCN1, as well as GAD2, the expression of which followed AIRE’s expression pattern in thymomas (62), and conceivably CT-As, for which AIRE-dependency in human thymus remains unknown. By contrast, the second subgroup of NTR autoantigens is associated with intracellular phosphoproteins, expressed in multiple tissues with enrichment in lymphoid tissues (lymph node, spleen, bone marrow, and tonsils), and as such represents the mTEC-independent breach of tolerance, albeit caused by AIRE mutations. Autoantibodies to these proteins emerge by actions of yet unknown mechanisms associated, for example, with apoptotic cell death or by dysfunctional B cell tolerance during differentiation in lymphoid tissues. Our findings are supported by RNA-seq analysis of autoantigen-encoding genes, which identified a subset of autoantigens, associated with autoimmune diseases, to be expressed ubiquitously but enriched in immune tissues (64). It should be noted that, in contrast to other immune tissues, the thymus was not available via Human Protein Atlas (20). Nevertheless, given the proposed hypothesis of active autoimmunization in AIRE-deficient thymus, this raises intriguing questions of whether the second set of lymphoid autoantigens might represent the antigens acquired form apoptotic thymocytes.

Finally, we identified so far unrevealed clinical associations with pernicious anemia, vitiligo, and autoimmune hepatitis. These correlations need further studies as well as the analysis of the expression of target proteins in corresponding diseased organs and tissues. Despite several outstanding correlations of clinical disease and specific autoantibodies in APECED, the majority of autoantibody reactivities seem not to have relevance to specific clinical entities. They can be just a bystander result of T cell responses, not necessarily reflecting full-blown autoimmune attack or their reactivity may depend on other factors, for example, the presence of posttranslational modifications or complexes with nucleic acids, which can operate as adjuvants. In contrast to single autoantigen responses, our results highlight...
the overall spread of autoantibody repertoire as a driver for the expansion of clinical profiles in APECED. Alternatively, these autoantibodies may have unexpected protective roles as we recently showed a negative correlation of anti-IFNA antibodies with the incidence of T1D (9). The unexpectedly broad APECED autoimmunome forms a unique platform for further analysis of B cell autoimmunity toward self-antigens and their correlation with clinical manifestations.
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