King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1007/s00134-018-5047-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-018-5047-6)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Shankar-Hari, M., Bruun Madsen, M., & Turgeon, A. (2018). Immunoglobulins and Sepsis. *Intensive Care Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-018-5047-6>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Immunoglobulins and Sepsis

Manu Shankar-Hari^{1,2C}, Martin Bruun Madsen³, Alexis F. Turgeon^{4,5}

¹Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, ICU support Offices, 1st Floor, East Wing, St Thomas' Hospital, SE1 7EH, UK; ²School of Immunology & Microbial Sciences, Kings College London, SE1 9RT; ³Department of Intensive Care, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁴Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Division of Critical Care Medicine, Université Laval, Québec City, QC, Canada; ⁵Population Health and Optimal Health Practices Research Unit (Trauma – Trauma – Emergency – Critical Care Medicine), CHU de Québec – Université Laval Research Center (Enfant-Jésus Hospital), Québec City, QC, Canada.

°Current address and affiliations of the corresponding author

Dr Manu Shankar-Hari MSc PhD FRCA FFICM

1st Floor, East Wing, St Thomas' Hospital, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust London, UK, SE1 7EH

email: manu.shankar-hari@kcl.ac.uk

Tel: +44 20 7188 8769

Fax: +44 20 7188 2284

Author contributions

All authors developed the outline. MSH wrote the first draft. All authors contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Sources of support

Dr Shankar-Hari is supported by the National Institute for Health Research Clinician Scientist Award (CS-2016-16-011). Dr Turgeon is the Canada Research Chair in Critical Care Neurology and Trauma and is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health.

Key words: Immunoglobulin, sepsis, septic shock, B lymphocytes, free light chains

Intravenous immunoglobulins are considered as potential adjuvant therapy in sepsis patients. We present a narrative review of recent research into the associations between immunoglobulins and sepsis.

Immunoglobulins and free light chains

Immunoglobulins are glycoproteins secreted by plasma cells. Each immunoglobulin molecule monomer consists of identical heavy and light chain pairs held together by electrostatic forces and disulphide bonds. Based on their heavy chain, there are five immunoglobulin isotypes namely IgG, IgA, IgM, IgD and IgE [1]. There are two types of light chains (kappa and lambda), which are also present in circulation independent of whole immunoglobulin molecules, referred to as free light chains (FLC). The *Variable* regions of immunoglobulin molecules enable cross-linking to bacterial and other antigens (antigen binding). The *Constant* region transduces signals in response to antigen binding (effector function). IgG has four subclasses (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, and IgG4) and the main functions are secondary antibody responses, opsonisation and complement activation. IgA has two subclasses (IgA1, IgA2) and the main function is mucosal immunity. The key functions of IgM are complement activation and primary antibody responses.

Low immunoglobulins and high free light chains are common in sepsis

Low immunoglobulin concentrations [2] as well as abnormally high FLC levels [3] are seen in most adult sepsis patients. Although, low IgG is the commonest quantitative immunoglobulin abnormality in sepsis, a number of reasons explain why low IgG alone does not increase the risk of death in sepsis patients [2]. First, the nadir of immunoglobulin drop is often seen on day 3 following sepsis diagnosis [2, 3]. Second, low levels of multiple endogenous immunoglobulins (IgG1, IgM and IgA) may be required to increase the risk of death [2-4]. Third, the association between low immunoglobulins and mortality is observed in sepsis patients with less severe organ dysfunction [5]. These reasons suggest that the risk of death *caused* by low immunoglobulins is either lower than other stronger risk factors such as organ dysfunction / comorbidity in sepsis patients or that our understanding of the mechanisms behind this high prevalence of low immunoglobulins in sepsis is incomplete. For example, endothelial abnormalities in sepsis include endothelial dysfunction and endothelial apoptosis leading to leaky capillaries. IgG and albumin are recycled through the Fc neonatal receptors in endothelial cells. There may also be impaired immunoglobulin recycling and leak of immunoglobulin into the extra vascular space resulting in low immunoglobulin levels [1].

Immunoglobulin consumption secondary to pathogen opsonisation and neutralisation of toxins could also contribute to low immunoglobulin levels. There is impaired in vitro IgM production by lymphocytes from sepsis patients [6]. In health, light chains are produced in excess of heavy chains. Raised light chains are surrogates for new immunoglobulin production [7]. Therefore, the observation that low immunoglobulin levels with concurrent raised FLC levels suggest impaired immunoglobulin assembly [3]. Importantly, raised FLC levels in sepsis could also result from release of stored light chains during accelerated B-lymphocyte death [8] and impaired excretion due to renal dysfunction, independent of immunoglobulin assembly.

Intravenous immunoglobulins and previous clinical trials in adults with sepsis

Intravenous immunoglobulins are produced by pooling together of serum immunoglobulins from multiple donors. There are two types of intravenous immunoglobulin products – IVIG containing only IgG and IVIGAM containing IgG, IgA, and IgM. The newer IVIGAM products contain higher levels of IgM. The manufacturing processes, concentrations of different immunoglobulins and the herd immunity of the donors influence the therapeutic effects of IVIG/IVIGAM [1]. The pleiotropic immunomodulatory properties of IVIG are mediated through Fc gamma receptors (FcγR), scavenging of mediators, by negating the biological effects of B-lymphocyte apoptosis and replenishing low immunoglobulins in sepsis [1]. Infection and sepsis increase leukocyte FcγR expression. As the relative expression of inhibitory FcγRIIB versus stimulatory FcγR in sepsis patients is unknown, the extent of immunomodulation with IVIG/IVIGAM therapy may unpredictably differ between patients.

Systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have showed potential benefits of IVIG/IGAM in sepsis, but important limitations preclude their utilization as a standard of care therapy in sepsis patients [9, 10]. Key limitations include variable trial quality, uncertainty around best responder characteristics, the ideal preparation IVIG vs IVIGAM, or the dosage regimen, timing, duration of therapy, low product availability and lack of cost effectiveness data (Table 1) [9, 10]. Importantly, although IVIG are often used in sepsis from group A streptococcus infection, the level of evidence that could support such recommendation is lower than for the overall population of patients with sepsis [9, 10]. In addition, IVIG/IVIGAM therapy is associated with adverse reactions such as fever, headache, thromboembolic events, renal dysfunction, aseptic meningoencephalitis, anaphylaxis, and detrimental effects of the positive fluid balance on outcomes such as respiratory dysfunction [9, 10]. These issues also highlight the need for better designed IVIG/IVIGAM trials.

Designing future intravenous immunoglobulins trials in sepsis

Sepsis is a heterogeneous illness. Sepsis characteristics such as site of infection and organ dysfunction influences mortality differently [11]. Sepsis related host responses differ by site of infection [12]. These differences may lead to different IVIG/IVGAM treatment effects in trials. These differences could also inform IVIG/IVGAM treatment responder characteristics (predictive enrichment) or identify subpopulations (such as patients with exaggerated inflammation) who benefit the most in future trials [13]. As the biological rationale for IVIG/IVGAM therapy is immunomodulation, the highest tolerated dose with the greatest potential effect need to be determined. Phase II clinical trials looking at identifying dominant mechanism affecting endogenous immunoglobulin pathways could also inform future trials. For example, patients with low levels of immunoglobulins with concurrently raised free light chains imply impaired immunoglobulin production which may be a major mechanism contributing to death in sepsis. Interventional cohort studies highlight the potential utility of immunoglobulin therapy in patients with multidrug resistant bacterial infections [14] and in patients with sepsis associated coagulopathy [15], which should be followed through to inform future immunoglobulin trials in sepsis.

Conclusions

Immunoglobulin and B-lymphocyte homeostasis is acutely altered in sepsis. Despite biological plausibility, further trials addressing the limitations in current evidence base are required prior to using intravenous immunoglobulins as adjuvant therapy for sepsis patients.

Conflict of interest declaration:

The Department of Intensive Care, Rigshospitalet, receives support for research from CSL Behring, Ferring Pharmaceuticals and Fresenius Kabi. MS-H's department acknowledges receipt of honoraria from Biotest for two talks MSH gave at meetings.

Legends

Table-1: Reasons precluding the current use of IVIG/IVGAM in sepsis [9]

References

1. Shankar-Hari M, Spencer J, Sewell WA, Rowan KM, Singer M, (2012) Bench-to-bedside review: Immunoglobulin therapy for sepsis - biological plausibility from a critical care perspective. *Crit Care* 16: 206
2. Shankar-Hari M, Culshaw N, Post B, Tamayo E, Andaluz-Ojeda D, Bermejo-Martin JF, Dietz S, Werdan K, Beale R, Spencer J, Singer M, (2015) Endogenous IgG hypogammaglobulinaemia in critically ill adults with sepsis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 41: 1393-1401
3. Shankar-Hari M, Singer M, Spencer J, (2017) Can Concurrent Abnormalities in Free Light Chains and Immunoglobulin Concentrations Identify a Target Population for Immunoglobulin Trials in Sepsis? *Crit Care Med* 45: 1829-1836
4. Bermejo-Martin JF, Rodriguez-Fernandez A, Herran-Monge R, Andaluz-Ojeda D, Muriel-Bombin A, Merino P, Garcia-Garcia MM, Citores R, Gandia F, Almansa R, Blanco J, Group G, (2014) Immunoglobulins IgG1, IgM and IgA: a synergistic team influencing survival in sepsis. *J Intern Med* 276: 404-412
5. Martin-Loeches I, Muriel-Bombin A, Ferrer R, Artigas A, Sole-Violan J, Lorente L, Andaluz-Ojeda D, Prina-Mello A, Herran-Monge R, Suberviola B, Rodriguez-Fernandez A, Merino P, Loza AM, Garcia-Olivares P, Anton E, Tamayo E, Trapiello W, Blanco J, Bermejo-Martin JF, group G, (2017) The protective association of endogenous immunoglobulins against sepsis mortality is restricted to patients with moderate organ failure. *Ann Intensive Care* 7: 44
6. Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Apostolidou E, Lada M, Perdios I, Gatselis NK, Tsangaris I, Georgitsi M, Bristianou M, Kanni T, Sereti K, Kyprianou MA, Kotanidou A, Armaganidis A, Hellenic Sepsis Study G, (2013) Kinetics of circulating immunoglobulin M in sepsis: relationship with final outcome. *Crit Care* 17: R247
7. Nakano T, Matsui M, Inoue I, Awata T, Katayama S, Murakoshi T, (2011) Free immunoglobulin light chain: its biology and implications in diseases. *Clin Chim Acta* 412: 843-849
8. Shankar-Hari M, Fear D, Lavender P, Mare T, Beale R, Swanson C, Singer M, Spencer J, (2017) Activation-Associated Accelerated Apoptosis of Memory B Cells in Critically Ill Patients With Sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 45: 875-882
9. Soares MO, Welton NJ, Harrison DA, Peura P, Shankar-Hari M, Harvey SE, Madan JJ, Ades AE, Palmer SJ, Rowan KM, (2012) An evaluation of the feasibility, cost and value of information of a multicentre randomised controlled trial of intravenous immunoglobulin for sepsis (severe sepsis and septic shock): incorporating a systematic review, meta-analysis and value of information analysis. *Health Technol Assess* 16: 1-186
10. Turgeon AF, Hutton B, Fergusson DA, McIntyre L, Tinmouth AA, Cameron DW, Hebert PC, (2007) Meta-analysis: intravenous immunoglobulin in critically ill adult patients with sepsis. *Ann Intern Med* 146: 193-203

11. Shankar-Hari M, Harrison DA, Rowan KM, (2016) Differences in Impact of Definitional Elements on Mortality Precludes International Comparisons of Sepsis Epidemiology-A Cohort Study Illustrating the Need for Standardized Reporting. *Crit Care Med* 44: 2223-2230
12. Burnham KL, Davenport EE, Radhakrishnan J, Humburg P, Gordon AC, Hutton P, Svoren-Jabalera E, Garrard C, Hill AVS, Hinds CJ, Knight JC, (2017) Shared and Distinct Aspects of the Sepsis Transcriptomic Response to Fecal Peritonitis and Pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 196: 328-339
13. Shankar-Hari M, Rubenfeld GD, (2017) The use of enrichment to reduce statistically indeterminate or negative trials in critical care. *Anaesthesia* 72: 560-565
14. Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Tziolos N, Routsis C, Katsenos C, Tsangaris I, Pneumatikos I, Vlachogiannis G, Theodorou V, Prekates A, Antypa E, Koulouras V, Kapravelos N, Gogos C, Antoniadou E, Mandragos K, Armaganidis A, Hellenic Sepsis Study G, (2016) Improving outcomes of severe infections by multidrug-resistant pathogens with polyclonal IgM-enriched immunoglobulins. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 22: 499-506
15. Ishikura H, Nakamura Y, Kawano Y, Tanaka J, Mizunuma M, Ohta D, Nishida T, Murai A, (2015) Intravenous immunoglobulin improves sepsis-induced coagulopathy: A retrospective, single-center observational study. *J Crit Care* 30: 579-583

Table-1: Reasons precluding the current use of IVIG/IVIGAM in sepsis [9]

Parameter	Explanation from previous trials
<i>Trial quality</i>	Many of these trials were small, were prone to bias primarily due to lack of blinding, had suboptimal adverse event reporting and had low quality when evaluated using standard randomised controlled trial quality assessment instruments.
<i>Population</i>	The trial populations varied in specific characteristics such as infection site, illness severity and organ dysfunction. In meta-analysis of trials, patients with higher illness severity (severe sepsis and shock vs. sepsis) were more likely to benefit from IVIG/IVIGAM therapy.
<i>Product</i>	In meta-analysis of trials, IVIGAM had a higher treatment effect compared to IVIG, albeit with significant between trial heterogeneity. Thus, the ideal therapeutic product to use in sepsis patients is unknown. Further, the IVIG/IVIGAM products used differed between trials and this may have contributed to differences in the beneficial (and/or adverse) immunomodulatory effects.
<i>Dosing, timing and duration of therapy</i>	Trials have tested widely different IVIG/IVIGAM doses (between 0.2 to 2 g/kg) and different treatment durations (from 2 to 7 days). At low doses only replacement of low immunoglobulin levels is achieved. For immunomodulation, doses greater than 0.5g/kg are required. In meta-analysis of trials, patients receiving higher doses (≥ 1 g/kg vs. < 1 g/kg) over a longer period (more than 2 days) may benefit more from IVIG/IVIGAM therapy.
<i>Mechanism of action</i>	Exact mechanism(s) by which intravenous immunoglobulins provide benefit to sepsis patients are unclear. Therefore, no trial to date had targeted or evaluated specific mechanisms, other than generic improvements in inflammation.
<i>Adverse effects</i>	Although IVIG/IVIGAM products have several adverse effects well observed in clinical studies. Some of the adverse effect overlap with sepsis manifestations and as such the safety of these products still remains uncertain.
<i>Availability and costs</i>	IVIG/IVIGAM being a blood product coming from several human donors, its production is resource intensive, costly and limited in capacity. The cost effectiveness of IVIG/IVIGAM in sepsis remains uncertain.

<i>Standard of care</i>	Most trials were conducted more than a decade ago, when the standard of early sepsis management (resuscitation goals, fluids and antibiotic therapy) were different. Therefore, an argument often highlighted is that the IVIG/IVIGAM treatment effects were observed in the context of a suboptimal early sepsis care.
-------------------------	---