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Introduction

Homelessness has been a growing problem in many towns and cities across England since 2010. It can have a serious adverse impact on a person’s health and well-being. People who are homeless and sleeping rough or staying in hostels and shelters have significantly higher levels of physical and mental health problems than the general population. They also have higher rates of problematic drug and alcohol use (Wright and Tompkins, 2006).

There are challenges in meeting the health needs of people who are homeless. Many neglect their health, and their unsettled lifestyle and sometimes chaotic behaviour reduce their likelihood of completing treatment programmes. At the same time, many people who are homeless face barriers in accessing health services, including the inflexibility of services and appointment systems, negative attitudes from some health staff, and the difficulties that services have in treating people with complex and multiple needs.

This summary report presents key findings from a systematic mapping exercise across England of specialist primary health care services for single people who are homeless. It raises questions for consideration by health service commissioners and providers about the provision of primary health care services for this patient group.

The mapping exercise was part of a larger study in progress which is examining the integration, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of different models of delivering primary health care to people who are homeless (HEARTH study). The HEARTH study is funded by the Health Services and Delivery Research Programme of the National Institute for Health Research, and is being conducted at the Social Care Workforce Research Unit, within the Policy Institute at King’s College London, and at the University of Surrey. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from London Bloomsbury Research Ethics Committee (Reference 15/LO/1382).

Other publications from the mapping exercise

Mapping of specialist primary health care services in England for people who are homeless goo.gl/NhRGNP

Inventory of specialist primary health care services in England for people who are homeless goo.gl/NhRGNP
The mapping exercise

The main objectives of the mapping exercise were: (i) to examine the prevalence of specialist primary health care services for single people who are homeless, and their geographical distribution; (ii) to identify the models or types of specialist primary health care services, and the main characteristics of these services; (iii) to determine the extent to which accommodation and day centre services for single people who are homeless have access to specialist primary health care services; and (iv) to collect information from accommodation and day centre services that are not linked to a specialist primary health care service about accessing primary health care for their clients.

Specialist primary health care services were defined as those that: (i) work primarily with single people who are homeless; or (ii) serve the general population but provide enhanced or targeted services to single people who are homeless, such as GP practices that run clinics in a hostel. It did not include GP practices that provide general medical services to people who are homeless, but do not have targeted or additional services or clinics for them. It also did not include specialist health services that did not offer general medical care, but focused on mental health, problematic drug or alcohol use, TB or sexual health.

The mapping exercise started in October 2015 and continued until March 2017. It involved two complementary surveys. The first collected information about the distribution of specialist primary health care services across England and the key characteristics of these services. The second survey collected information from homelessness projects about their arrangements for accessing primary health care for their clients, and the effectiveness of these arrangements. This involved hostels (including night shelters and temporary supported housing projects) and day centres (including drop-in centres) primarily for single people aged 18 years and over who are homeless.

Models of specialist primary health care services and key characteristics

The mapping exercise identified 123 specialist primary health care services in England for single people who are homeless. The types of services varied greatly. Some operated from a ‘fixed’ site, while some were a mobile team that ran clinics in various homelessness projects. Some were primarily for people who were homeless, while some provided health care to the general population but also delivered targeted services to people who were homeless. Some comprised a team of workers, while a few consisted of a single nurse who worked at a hostel or day centre.

A taxonomy was created to group the services, using categories that distinguished their different characteristics (Table 1). The 123 specialist primary health care services were classified into six models plus a seventh group which encompassed ‘other medical / nursing arrangements’:

- Model 1 consisted of 28 ‘specialist health centres’ primarily for people who were homeless. They operated from a fixed site, and many offered
services provided by a multidisciplinary staff team, including mental health, drug and alcohol, and housing or welfare advice workers. Most also ran clinics in hostels or day centres for people who are homeless.

- Model 2 was 61 ‘GP practices with homeless services’. These were mainstream GP practices that served the general population, but also provided enhanced or targeted services for people who were homeless at the GP practice or they ran clinics in hostels and day centres.

- Model 3 was 12 ‘mobile homeless health teams’ that worked with people who were homeless and other vulnerable groups who found it difficult to access mainstream services. The teams mainly consisted of nurses and nurse practitioners. They ran clinics or saw patients in various hostels, day centres, and other temporary accommodation and drop-in facilities.

- Other smaller specialist primary health care service models were:
  
  Four ‘mobile homeless nurses’ who operated single-handedly and ran clinics at several hostels or day centres (model 4); seven ‘nursing services based at a single day centre or hostel’ (model 5); five ‘volunteer health care services’ that operated mainly in hostels or day centres (model 6); and six ‘other medical or nursing arrangements’ (model 7). Some of the latter were run by social enterprises, commissioned by local Clinical Commissioning Groups, and provided specific health services for the general population, such as ‘out-of-hours’ services, and also health care in hostels or day centres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Types of services</th>
<th>Service delivered from fixed health site</th>
<th>Outreach clinic(s) at hostels or day centres</th>
<th>Service primarily for people who are homeless</th>
<th>Service has two or more health workers</th>
<th>Provides GP registration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Specialist health centre</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Most services</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>GP practice with homeless services</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Some services</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Mobile homeless health team</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, multiple sites</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not usually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Single-handed mobile homeless nurse</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, multiple sites</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Nursing service based at hostel or day centre</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, one site</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not usually</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Volunteer health care service</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, one or multiple sites</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Some services</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Other medical / nursing arrangements</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, one site</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not usually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Distribution of specialist primary health care services

The 123 specialist primary health care services were spread across the five NHS England Regions (Figure 1). There was a cluster of such services in the North Region around Greater Manchester and Merseyside, and a single such service was found at several towns along the coast in the South West and South East Regions (Figure 2). Relatively few specialist primary health care services were identified in the northern part of NHS North Region, and in parts of NHS Midlands and East Region.

Figure 1: Availability of specialist primary health care services by NHS regions

The specialist primary health care services were mainly located in urban areas where there are concentrations of people who are homeless, and hostels and day centres for this client group. Few such services were identified in rural areas, although a few of the mobile homeless health teams were based in urban areas and covered several small market towns.

Among the 35 largest cities in England (excluding Greater London), at least one specialist primary health care service was identified in 29 cities. There were six cities where no such service was identified – Lancaster, Peterborough, St Albans, Sunderland, Wakefield and Wolverhampton – despite these cities having people sleeping on the streets, and hostels and day centres for people who are homeless (Department for Communities and Local Government, 2017). As survey responses were not received from some of the homelessness services in these areas, however, it cannot be concluded that these cities had no specialist primary health care service.

In Greater London, 29 specialist primary health care services were identified, but these were not evenly distributed among the boroughs. Such a service was identified in just 14 London boroughs. No specialist primary health care service was found in 18 boroughs nor in the City of London. Most of the boroughs without such a service were in outer London (Figure 3).
Figure 2: Distribution of specialist primary health care services in England by NHS regions

Note: The map shows where the service is based. Some services work at several locations.
Figure 3: Distribution of specialist primary health care services by Greater London Boroughs

Note: The map shows where the service is based. Some services work at several locations.
Homelessness projects and their links to specialist primary health care services

A survey was conducted of 900 homelessness projects (702 hostels and 198 day centres). Of these, 43.4% were linked to a specialist primary health care service, 40.2% were not linked to such a service, and information about health care was unavailable for 16.3% of homelessness projects. Given that data was gathered from most specialist primary health care services, it can be assumed that the majority of homelessness projects where no information was available were not served by such a service. This suggests, therefore, that up to 56.5% of homelessness projects were not linked to a specialist primary health care service.

Day centres were nearly twice as likely as hostels to be linked to a specialist primary health care service. This applied to 68.2% of day centres compared to just 36.5% of hostels. Health clinics run by a doctor or nurse were held at least weekly at 49.5% of day centres and 14.3% of hostels.

Large hostels were more likely to be covered by a specialist primary health care service than smaller ones. This applied to 58.4% of hostels with 41-60 beds, 51.7% with 61 or more beds, but only 23% that had 20 beds or less.

Hostels and day centres that worked exclusively with young people aged 25 years or under were less likely to be linked to a specialist primary health care service than homelessness projects for adults of all ages. This applied to 16.7% of projects for young people compared to 51.3% for adults.

There were differences in the availability of specialist primary health care services according to the NHS Region in which homelessness projects were located. Hostels and day centres in the London region were most likely, and those in Midlands and East region least likely, to be linked to a specialist health scheme (50.6% and 38.0% respectively).

Hostels and day centres in rural areas were much less likely to be served by a specialist health service than those in urban areas. This applied to around 16% of homelessness projects in 'mainly rural' or 'largely rural' areas, compared to nearly two-thirds of projects in urban areas with minor conurbations and nearly one-half in urban areas with major conurbations (Figure 4).
Figure 4: Homelessness projects covered by specialist primary health care services by rural-urban areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rural-urban Classification</th>
<th>Percentage of Homelessness Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mainly rural</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largely rural</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban with significant rural</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban with city &amp; town</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban with minor conurbation</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban with major conurbation</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Rural-urban classification for Local Authority Districts in England (Bibby and Brindley, 2014).

Homelessness projects without specialist primary health care services

Many homelessness projects are reliant on their clients accessing primary health care from mainstream GP practices that do not provide special or enhanced services for people who are homeless. Information was collected from the managers or senior staff of 243 such projects about their views and experiences of primary health care arrangements for their clients.

Among the managers of homelessness projects not linked to a specialist primary health care service, 10.7% said that their clients experienced ‘a lot’ of difficulties accessing primary health care services, 46.7% said that their clients experienced ‘some’ difficulties, and 42.7% said that there were no problems.

There were differences in reports of difficulties accessing primary health care by NHS regions. In the Midlands and East Region, and the South West and South East Regions, nearly two-thirds of homelessness project managers reported difficulties some or a lot of the time. In comparison, just 39.3% of managers in London reported problems.

Difficulties accessing primary health care for clients was most commonly reported by homelessness project managers in the following counties: Berkshire, Buckinghamshire, Cornwall, Gloucestershire, Nottinghamshire, West Midlands and West Yorkshire.

The main difficulties of accessing primary health care were related to registering with a GP, arranging a GP appointment, the poor use of GP services by people who are homeless, the negative attitudes of some healthcare staff and their lack of understanding of the needs of people who are homeless, and poor communication and partnership working between primary health care and homelessness sector staff. In some rural areas, the difficulties were compounded by poor transport links and travel costs.
Considerations for health service commissioners and providers

A great deal of guidance has been issued since the 1990s about the commissioning and provision of primary health care services for people who are homeless. The findings of this mapping exercise, however, raise two important questions for consideration by health service commissioners and providers about what local services should offer.

1. Are the primary healthcare needs of local people who are homeless being met?

The first question for consideration by health service commissioners and providers concerns the extent to which the primary health care needs of people who are homeless are being met in their locality. Several local factors must be taken into consideration with regard to the provision of primary health care services for people who are homeless. These include the scale and nature of homelessness in an area over a period, the availability and accessibility of current primary health care services in the locality to people who are homeless, and the extent to which these services are effectively engaging with and meeting the health care needs of local people who are homeless.

2. What models of primary health care services are needed?

The second question for consideration by health service commissioners and providers is what models of primary health care services are most effective in meeting the health care needs of people who are homeless in particular locations. Although various models have been developed in England since the 1970s, there have been very few evaluations of these services and little is known about their effectiveness and cost-effectiveness in engaging and treating people who are homeless.

Although there are many gaps in evidence about the effectiveness of different models, two trends in recent years in the development of primary health care services for people who are homeless are apparent from this mapping exercise: (i) most specialist services that have been established since 2010 involve mainstream GP practices that provide enhanced or targeted services to people who are homeless, rather than the development of specialist health centres exclusively for people who are homeless; and (ii) several of the specialist primary health care services for people who are homeless have adopted an integrated or ‘Pathway’ model of service provision to bridge the gap between primary and secondary care. This involves staff of specialist primary health care services collaborating with secondary care services to support people who are homeless and admitted to local hospitals or attending A&E departments, or with local authority and voluntary sector staff to deliver intermediate care or reablement services to people who are homeless.

More information is needed, however, to guide health service commissioners and providers about the most appropriate types of primary health care services for people who are homeless according to different settings (rural / urban areas) and different population sizes. Better understanding of the effectiveness of different models in different settings is crucial if the primary health care needs of people who are homeless are to be successfully addressed.
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