



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1503/cmaj.732865](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.732865)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Sheehan, K. J., Sobolev, B., Guy, P., & Canadian Collaborative Study of Hip Fractures (2017). Response to "After hours surgery and mortality: the potential role of acute care surgery models as a factor accounting for results". *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*, 189(5), E220. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.732865>

### **Citing this paper**

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## RESPONSE TO LARDNER ET AL

---

Katie Jane Sheehan, Boris Sobolev and Pierre Guy for the Canadian Collaborative Study of Hip Fractures.

We thank Lardner and colleagues for their interest in our recent article. We found more deaths after admission to medium and small community hospitals than teaching hospitals. We also found more deaths for those surgically treated at medium community hospitals compared to teaching hospitals.(1) The next step is to explore potential mechanisms for our reported associations.

We agree that factors of care may help to explain the association between treatment setting and mortality after hip fracture. Indeed we offered factors related to access (bed occupancy, presence of orthopaedic trauma services, staff and equipment levels) and delivery (surgical volume, treatment style, and prioritization) as potential mechanisms reported in the literature.

Lardner and colleagues further suggest the procedure time as a potential mechanism which relates both to access and delivery. Patients admitted in the evenings may have to wait longer for their surgery. They may receive their surgery from a lower-grade surgeon. Further, postoperatively these patients may be transferred to a ward after-hours where there is less available nursing staff to monitor for complications than during daytime hours. Yet, it remains unclear whether delayed access and reduced resources following after-hours admissions or procedures increases the risk of death.(2-4)

The Canadian Collaborative Study on Hip Fractures will explore the effect of after-hours admission time, procedure volume and bed occupancy on risk of death after hip fracture.(5) Further, the British Columbia Hip Fracture Redesign Program is collecting prospective data on procedure time to determine the effect of after-hours procedures on outcomes.(6) We hope these analysis will shed light on the mechanism underlying our reported association between treatment setting and death. At that time we may begin to implement and evaluate interventions (such as dedicated daytime orthopaedic trauma rooms) to combat the underlying mechanisms, and hopefully, improve outcomes at all treatment settings for these vulnerable patients.

1. Sheehan KJ, Sobolev B, Guy P, Kuramoto L, for The Canadian Collaborative Study on Hip F. In-hospital mortality after hip fracture by treatment setting. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2016;188:1219-25.
2. Khan SK, Jameson SS, Avery PJ, Gray AC, Deehan DJ. Does the timing of presentation of neck of femur fractures affect the outcome of surgical intervention. *EurJEmergMed*. 2013;20(3):178-81.
3. Kristiansen NS, Kristensen PK, Norgard BM, Mainz J, Johnsen SP. Off-hours admission and quality of hip fracture care: a nationwide cohort study of performance measures and 30-day mortality. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2016;28(3):324-31.
4. Tracey J, Forte T, Fagbemi J, Chaudhary Z. Wait time for hip fracture surgery in Canada. *HealthcQ*. 2007;10(4):24-7.
5. Canadian Collaborative Study of Hip Fractures. [Available from: <http://www.c2e2.ca/research/health-services-and-outcomes-research-program/evaluating-48-hour-benchmark-surgery-canadian>]

6. BC Hip Fracture Redesign Project [Available from:  
[http://www.hiphealth.ca/research/research-projects/Hip-Fracture-Redesign/Hip-Fracture-Redesign/.](http://www.hiphealth.ca/research/research-projects/Hip-Fracture-Redesign/Hip-Fracture-Redesign/)]