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Abstract

Background: Maternal depression and unhealthy diet are well-known risk factors for adverse child emotional-behavioral outcomes, but their developmental relationships during the prenatal and postnatal periods are largely uncharted. This study sought to examine the inter-relationships between maternal depression symptoms and unhealthy diet (assessed during pregnancy and postnatal periods) in relation to child emotional-behavioral dysregulation (assessed at ages 2, 4, and 7 years).

Methods: In a large prospective birth cohort of 7814 mother-child pairs, path analysis was used to examine the independent and inter-related associations of maternal depression symptoms and unhealthy diet with child dysregulation.

Results: Higher prenatal maternal depression symptoms were prospectively associated with higher unhealthy diet, both during pregnancy and postnatal period, which in turn, was associated with higher child dysregulation up to age 7 years. In addition, during pregnancy, higher maternal depression symptoms and unhealthy diet were each independently associated with higher child dysregulation up to age 7 years. These results were robust to other prenatal, perinatal and postnatal confounders (such as parity and birth complications, poverty, maternal education, etc.).

Conclusions: Maternal depression symptoms and unhealthy diet show important developmental associations, but are also independent risk factors for abnormal child development.
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Introduction

Maternal depression is a well-known risk factor for adverse child outcomes including internalizing and externalizing problems (Goodman et al., 2011). This association has often been explained by maladaptive parenting and/or negative maternal cognitions (Silberg and Rutter, 2002), but also by child exposure to risk factors that associate with depression, such as low social support of the mother or poverty (Barker et al., 2012, Goodman and Gotlib, 1999, Jensen et al., 2013, Pachter et al., 2006). Unhealthy dietary patterns have been associated with different forms of mental illness (Scott and Happell, 2011), including depression (Akbaraly et al., 2013), and with co-occurring risk factors, such as poverty (Hiza et al., 2013, Moshfegh, 2007). However, the association between the nutritional environment provided to a child and maternal depression has rarely been assessed.

Mental illness is associated with the type of food that is available in a household (Rao et al., 2008), which may alter the quality of nutrients which are available to a child (Monk et al., 2013). Research robustly shows the important influence of dietary patterns (at different developmental stages) on child cognitive, emotional and behavioral outcomes. More specifically, unhealthy diet in pregnancy and early childhood has been associated with child cognitive function, emotional-behavioral difficulties in adolescence, and criminality in adulthood (Liu et al., 2004, Nyaradi et al., 2013, Raine et al., 2003). Moreover, a recent study showed that unhealthy prenatal diet was associated with poorer child emotional-behavioral development, independent of early postnatal maternal depression symptoms (Jacka et al., 2013). However, this study did not assess the potential developmental inter-relationships between maternal depression symptoms and child nutrition. A recent epidemiological study showed that maternal depression in pregnancy was associated with unhealthy gestational diet, which, in turn, was associated with reduced child cognitive function at age 8 years (Barker et al., 2013). This study also showed stability in the unhealthy nutritional
environment from prenatal periods (i.e. what the mother eats) to postnatal periods (i.e. what the mother feeds her child)

Intervention studies confirm the plausibility of a relationship between maternal depression and dietary patterns during prenatal and postnatal periods, as well as the relationship between poor nutrition and child wellbeing. For example, experimental studies have shown that dietary supplementation with micronutrients may curb depressive symptoms and improve birth outcomes in mothers with perinatal depression (Rechenberg and Humphries, 2013). Studies have also shown that interventions that focus on healthy eating in childhood reduce aggressive behaviors in adolescence and adulthood (Raine et al., 2003).

The present study sought to assess the extent to which maternal depression and unhealthy dietary patterns might developmentally inter-relate during the prenatal and postnatal periods and be negatively associated with child emotional-behavioral development. Specifically, we examined if prenatal maternal depression symptoms would associate with child unhealthy dietary patterns, which, in turn, would increase emotional-behavioral dysregulation in childhood. We also examined potential independent associations of both prenatal maternal depression and prenatal unhealthy nutrition with subsequent child dysregulation. That is, the extent to which prenatal maternal depression symptoms and poor nutrition might prospectively associate with child dysregulation, above and beyond each other (and postnatal assessments).

Methods

Design and Recruitment Procedure.

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a prospective study where all pregnant women residing in the former Avon Health Authority in the South West of England and having an expected date of delivery between 1st April 1991 and 31st December 1992 were invited to participate. 14 541 was the initial number of pregnancies for which the mothers were enrolled in the study and had either returned at least one questionnaire or attended a “Children
in Focus” clinic by 19th July 1999. Of these initial pregnancies, there was a total of 14 676 foetuses, resulting in 14 062 live births and 13 988 children who were alive at 1 year of age (Fraser et al., 2013). This sample was found to be similar to the United Kingdom population as a whole when compared to 1991 National Census Data (Boyd et al., 2013). Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. All participants provided informed consent.

**Measures & Assessments**

During the study, mothers have completed questionnaires about themselves, their children and their demographic characteristics. A comprehensive guide to all measurements in all participants can be found on the ALSPAC website: [http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary/](http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary/). The present study variables and assessment time points are available in Supplementary Table 1.

**Demographics, Pregnancy and Birth Information.** Mother age at the time of child birth was recorded. Education level, marital status/presence of partner, socio-economic status and ethnicity were recorded at 18 weeks gestation from self-reported questionnaires. Ethnicity was recorded using the format asked in the 1991 United Kingdom Census. This categorized the person as White or non-white (Black/Caribbean, Black/African, Black/other, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Chinese and Other (Specified)). Mother parity (primiparity vs. multiparity) was also recorded. Obstetric complications were dichotomized to contrast mothers with one or more complications (1) vs. without any complication (0).

**Maternal depression symptoms.** Maternal depression symptoms were measured by the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS), a 10-item self-report questionnaire that has been validated for its use in the prenatal and postnatal period (Cox et al., 1987, Murray and Carothers, 1990), with higher scores reflecting higher levels of depressive symptoms. The EPDS was collected twice prenatally (at 18 and 32 weeks of gestation), and four times after birth (at 8 weeks, 8 months,
2 years and 3 years). For the current analysis, two latent depression scores were created: one for the prenatal period and one for the postnatal period.

*Unhealthy Diet.* The Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) (Rogers and Emmett, 1998) was used to assess (i) maternal dietary patterns at 32 weeks gestation, and (ii) what the mother reported feeding to the child at 3 and 4.5 years of age. The FFQ contains a set of questions about the frequency of consumption of a wide variety of foods and drinks, with higher scores indicating higher frequency of intake (i.e., never or rarely, once in 2 weeks, 1–3 times/week, 4–7 times/week, more than once daily). An unhealthy diet score had been previously created through the use of confirmatory factor analysis (Barker *et al.*, 2013). Specifically, a second order latent factor, which indicated level of general unhealthy diet (higher = worse), was defined by two first order latent factors: processed food (i.e., fried food, meat pies or pasties, chips) and confectionery (i.e., crisps, chocolate bars, cakes or buns, biscuits). At each age, these models showed acceptable fit to the data: CFI = 0.927 through 0.940; TLI = 0.900 through 0.902; RMSEA = 0.041 through 0.048.

*Child emotional-behavioral dysregulation.* As done previously (Barker, 2013), at child age 2 years, four subscales of the Carey Infant Temperament Scale (Carey and McDevitt, 1978) – activity, adaptability, intensity and mood – were used for the operationalization of a latent construct of child emotional-behavioral dysregulation (hereafter referred as child dysregulation). At child age 4 and 7 years, three subscales of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (Goodman, 2001) – hyperactivity, conduct problems and emotional difficulties – were used for the operationalization of a latent construct of child dysregulation.

Although the Carey Infant Temperament Scales the SDQ provide different measures, their subscales are based on maternal reports assessing levels of child internalizing and externalizing symptoms. Moreover, as shown previously (Barker, 2013), a coefficient of prediction from latent Carey Temperament Infant Scales (at age 2) to the latent SDQ (at age 4) was of a magnitude ($b = 0.597, \text{S.E.} 0.013, p < 0.0001$) suggestive of homotypic stability of the construct.
Control variables were summated into an index and regressed on all study variables, including the child dysregulation outcomes, in the results presented below. Perinatal control variables consisted of parity and birth complications (described above). Prenatal control variables included (1) mother’s involvement with police, (2) substance use, and (3) mother experiencing cruelty from partner. We also controlled for repeated measures of contextual risk factors, via maternal reports, which are known to be prospectively associated with child dysregulation (Barker, 2013, Barker et al., 2012). These assessments spanned pregnancy, child age 0 to 2 years, and child age 2 to 4 years. At each time point, seven total risks (scored 1 with indication, 0 without indication) were assessed: (1) inadequate basic living conditions; (2) inadequate housing; (3) housing defects; (4) poverty; (5) being a single caregiver; (6) early parenthood; and (7) low educational attainment. Further details on the prenatal, perinatal and contextual risk factors and the assessment time points are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Selected sample of ALSPAC mothers and children. Of the original 14,541 mother-offspring pairs, a total of 7814 singleton mothers who completed the SDQ assessment at child age 7 years were included in this study. Mother-offspring pairs who had no data on the SDQ at child age 7 years were excluded. Of the 7814 who were included, 99.3% (n=7758) had complete data for prenatal depression symptoms; 98.8% (n=7721) for postnatal depression symptoms; 94.9% (n=7413) for prenatal unhealthy diet; 92.9% (n=7260) for postnatal unhealthy diet at 3 years; 91.9% (n=7184) for postnatal unhealthy diet at 4.5 years; 93.2% (n=7276) for child dysregulation at age 2 years; and 90.1% (n=7044) for child dysregulation at age 4 years.

In a multivariate model, we tested the extent to which the study variables were associated with exclusion. Prenatal depression symptoms (OR = 1.19; 95% CI = 1.15–1.25), postnatal depression symptoms (OR =1.17; 95% CI = 1.12 -1.23), unhealthy diet in pregnancy (OR =1.12; 95% CI = 1.06–1.19) – and at child age 3 years (OR = 1.34; 95% CI 1= 1.26– 1.42) and 4.5 years (OR = 0.79; 95% CI = 0.73–0.85) – as well as child dysregulation at age 4 years (OR = 1.17; 95%
CI = 1.10 – 1.24) were all significantly associated with exclusion in the present analysis. We note that inclusion of these variables in the analysis – in conjunction with missing data replacement by full-information maximum likelihood – can help to minimize bias and maximize recoverability of ‘true’ scores (Little & Rubin, 2002).

Analysis

The analysis proceeded in two main steps. In the first step, we performed a path analysis examining inter-relations between maternal depression symptoms and unhealthy diet, and their independent associations with child dysregulation. In the second step, four indirect pathways that could lead to child dysregulation at age 7 years were examined. Pathway 1 examined the degree to which prenatal maternal depression associated with increased child dysregulation at age 7 indirectly via an unhealthy postnatal diet. Pathway 2 examined the degree to which unhealthy prenatal diet associated with increased child dysregulation at age 7 indirectly via postnatal maternal depression symptoms. Pathway 3 examined the degree to which prenatal maternal depression symptoms associated with child dysregulation at age 7 years via levels of child dysregulation at ages 2 and 4 years. Pathway 4 examined the degree to which prenatal unhealthy diet associated with child dysregulation at age 7 years via levels of child dysregulation at ages 2 and 4 years.

Indirect pathways were programmed in model constraint statements in Mplus (Muthén and Muthén, 1998-2013). For example, for Pathway 1, the indirect associations were defined by the product term of the two pathways of interest (i.e., maternal depression to unhealthy diet BY unhealthy diet to child dysregulation, and so forth). Because standard errors underlying indirect effects (i.e., product terms) are known to be skewed, we bootstrapped all indirect effects 10,000 times with bias corrected 95% confidence intervals. The indirect pathways reported below are based on the bootstrapped variability around the product of non-standardized
path coefficient estimates. **An example of the Mplus code used in this analysis with the indirect effect of prenatal maternal depression to child dysregulation at age 7, via child dysregulation at age 2 and age 4:**

\[
\begin{align*}
!\text{auto regression child dysregulation} \\
\text{dysage4 on dysage2 (a2);} \\
\text{dysage7 on dysage4 (a3);} \\
!\text{cross-lag child dysregulation on prenatal maternal depression symptoms} \\
\text{dysage2 on pre\_dep (a1);} \\
\text{model constraint: new(indirectdep);} \\
\text{indirectdep = a1*a2*a3;}.
\end{align*}
\]

Model fit was established using root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA; acceptable fit $\leq 0.08$), as well as the Comparative Fit Index and Tucker-Lewis Index (CFI & TLI; acceptable fit $\geq 0.90$) (Bentler, 1990, Browne and Cudeck, 1993). Maximum likelihood estimation with robust standard errors was used to estimate the model parameters, and missing data was handled through Full Information Maximum Likelihood. All analyses were conducted using Mplus version 7.0 (Muthén and Muthén, 1998-2013).

Results

*Descriptive statistics and correlations amongst study variables*

Prior to presenting the overall results, we first show the descriptive statistics. Table 1 contains the demographic, pregnancy and birth characteristics of the mother-child pairs included in this study. Table 2 shows the pair-wise correlation coefficients amongst the study variables – as well as the means and standard deviations. Higher levels of prenatal maternal depression symptoms
were associated with higher levels of prenatal and postnatal unhealthy diet, as well as with higher levels of postnatal maternal depression symptoms. Prenatal unhealthy diet scores were not associated with postnatal maternal depression symptoms. Higher levels of child dysregulation (age 2, 4, and 7 years) were associated with higher levels of (prenatal and postnatal) maternal depression symptoms and unhealthy diet. The control variables were associated with all study variables, particularly prenatal and postnatal maternal depression symptoms. Of note, there was strong temporal stability within construct (i.e., maternal depression symptoms, unhealthy diet and child dysregulation, respectively).

**Step 1: Autoregressive cross-lagged model (ARCL)**

Figure 1 depicts the ARCL model, which showed acceptable fit to the data ($\chi^2 [133] = 3275.632; p < .0001; CFI = 0.910; TLI = 0.884; RMSEA = 0.055, 90\% \text{ CIs} = 0.053, 0.057$). Four results are highlighted. First, higher levels of prenatal maternal depression symptoms were prospectively associated with higher levels of postnatal unhealthy diet. Second, higher levels of both prenatal and postnatal maternal depression symptoms and prenatal and postnatal unhealthy diet were associated with higher levels of child dysregulation, with the exception that unhealthy diet at 4.5 years was not significantly associated with child dysregulation at age 7 years. Third, higher levels of child dysregulation (age 2 and 4 years) were prospectively associated with higher levels of postnatal unhealthy diet (age 3 and 4.5 years), respectively. Fourth, each construct showed high stability over time.

**Step 2: Indirect Pathways**

In the second step of the analysis, four indirect pathways that could lead to child dysregulation at age 7 years were examined (Table 3). Three results are highlighted. First, as seen in **Pathway 1**, prenatal maternal depression symptoms were associated with unhealthy diet at child age 3 years, which, in turn, was associated with higher levels of child dysregulation at age 7 years, via higher levels of child dysregulation at age 4 years. Of note, the counterpart indirect pathway
(Pathway 2) – from prenatal unhealthy diet to postnatal maternal depression symptoms – did not differ from zero (i.e., the 95% CIs crossed zero). Second, with regard to Pathway 3, prenatal maternal depression symptoms were associated with higher levels of child dysregulation at age 7 years, via higher levels of child dysregulation at ages 2 and 4 years. Third, as seen in Pathway 4, prenatal unhealthy diet was associated with higher levels of child dysregulation at age 7 years, via higher levels of child dysregulation at ages 2 and 4 years.

Discussion

Using a large epidemiological birth cohort study, we tested 4 indirect pathways – beginning in pregnancy – that could lead to child dysregulation. Study results indicated that higher levels of maternal depression symptoms during pregnancy were associated with higher unhealthy diet of the child provided by the caregiver(s) – in the case of the present study, mothers – during the postnatal period, which, in turn, led to higher levels of child emotional-behavioral dysregulation at age 7 years (Pathway 1). We did not find evidence that unhealthy diet in pregnancy associated with postnatal maternal depression, which, in turn, increased child emotional-behavioral dysregulation (Pathway 2). The results did indicate that both maternal depression symptoms and unhealthy diet during pregnancy were independently associated with higher levels of child emotional-behavioral dysregulation at age 7 years (Pathways 3 and 4), via stability of child dysregulation at ages 2 and 4 years.

The current study adds to a small but growing body of evidence suggesting that maternal depression and unhealthy dietary patterns are inter-related risk factors that can be synergistically associated with adverse child outcomes (Monk et al., 2013). It is worth noting that one of the key diagnostic features of depressive disorders is presenting with changes in appetite (American Psychiatric Association, 1994), and that maternal anxiety and/or depressed mood during pregnancy associates with unhealthy eating patterns (Barker et al., 2013, Hurley et al., 2005), especially in low-income mothers (Braveman et al., 2010). The current results extend these studies to show that
higher symptoms of maternal depression during pregnancy can be prospectively associated with what a mother feeds her child during the postnatal period.

Prenatal maternal depression symptoms and prenatal unhealthy diet were also independently associated with child dysregulation at age 7 years via stability of dysregulation through childhood. Of note, both of these risk factors can affect fetal development by different biological mechanisms. For example, abnormal hormonal and physiological profiles of depressed mothers – such as reduced blood flow and increased levels of cortisol – can have a negative impact on fetal development (Glover et al., 2010, Weinstock, 2008). Unhealthy (e.g., high-fat) diet has been associated with reduction of neural plasticity and disturbances of fetal serotonergic and dopaminergic systems, independent of nutrient deficiencies (Sullivan et al., 2010, Vucetic et al., 2010, Wu et al., 2003). Hence, both maternal depression and unhealthy diet can impair neural fetal developmental and thereby increase risk and susceptibility for abnormal child dysregulation and cognitive function (Barker et al., 2013, Jacka et al., 2013, Liu et al., 2004).

Other relevant findings from this study include the strong stability in maternal depression symptoms from prenatal to postnatal periods, which is congruent with findings from previous studies (Barker, 2013, Barker et al., 2013, Campbell et al., 2007). Moreover, there was a strong stability in the unhealthy nutrition factor. That said, the type of analysis employed here does not shed light on the degree to which certain children might be (a) temporarily, (b) intermittently or (c) continuously exposed to unhealthy diet and/or maternal depression during the prenatal and/or postnatal periods.

In addition, family processes may explain some of the effects identified here. For example, child dysregulation may be associated with subsequent parental depression, which could further relate to the nutritional environment, but parental depression might also be at least partially related to the association between early unhealthy diet and subsequent child dysregulation (e.g., (Jacka et al., 2013)). An interesting (but not hypothesized) result of the present study was that child
dysregulation was prospectively associated with higher levels of unhealthy diet of the child (as reported by the mothers). Future research might focus on the degree to which children can demand (from caregivers) unhealthy diets once the pattern is established early in the life course. Indeed, recent studies have identified ‘evocative’ child effects on parenting (Elam et al., 2013).

Five main limitations should be considered when interpreting the present results. First, this research is correlational in nature; hence no causative relationship can be derived. Moreover, the magnitude of the prospective association between maternal depression, unhealthy nutrition and child dysregulation was not large, so the associations should not be interpreted as deterministic. That said, measurement error might be impacting the magnitude of the reported associations. For example, dietary pattern analysis using factor analysis usually only detects a small percentage of the variance in dietary intakes. Also, the assessment of diet is prone to extensive measurement error. Thus, it is quite likely that the 'true' association between exposure to unhealthy diet and child outcome are weaker or stronger than those detected in the current study. Second, the key measures were based on maternal self-reports rather than on more thorough clinical observations. Indeed, reliance on a single reporter may artificially inflate the magnitude of associations between constructs considered in the current study. Future studies should incorporate diagnostic interviews, multiple informants and biological indicators (e.g. metabolomics) of the nutritional intake of the mother and/or child (Gow et al., 2013). Third, although the ALSPAC mother-offspring pairs represent a broad spectrum of ethnic and socio-economic backgrounds, the sample includes relatively low rates of ethnic minorities that can limit generalizability of the findings (Goodman et al., 2011). Fourth, although this study controlled for many potential confounding prenatal and postnatal factors, we did not assess other relevant potential confounders (e.g., environmental factors such as quality of parenting). Neither did we control for genetic factors. Indeed, in the present study, mothers were biologically related to their children. In such a design it is not possible to account for common genetic influences, such as passive genotype-environment correlations, which
may underlie mother-to-child effects. Fifth, this study focused on unhealthy dietary intake of the mother and child. Previous research strongly suggests that that people with depression not only preferentially choose high fat, sugary foods, but also eat fewer vegetables and fruits. Hence there is a higher intake of unhealthy and an insufficient intake of nutrition-dense foods (i.e., healthy diets). This is important as high fat and sugar foods can be directly noxious to the brain and body, while nutrient and fibre insufficiency impose their own detrimental outcomes, potentially via different pathways (Akbaraly et al., 2009; Barker et al., 2013, Jacka et al., 2013).

In summary, maternal depression and unhealthy nutrition in pregnancy and early life periods are inter-related and independent risk factors for child dysregulation. As recently proposed by Monk and colleagues, the understanding of the early origins of child and adolescent health and disease needs a developmental framework in which the independent and synergistic influence of early exposures to different environmental risk factors can be assessed at different time points of child development (Monk et al., 2013). Results from the present study have the potential to yield important developmental information to inform public policy, and to be used for preventive interventions.
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Table 1. Sample characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics, pregnancy and birth information</th>
<th>Descriptive</th>
<th>N(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother age at the time of child birth, mean (SD)</td>
<td>25.39 (4.86)</td>
<td>7673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother ethnicity, No. (%) caucasian/white</td>
<td>7423 (95.0%)</td>
<td>7814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother education level, No. (%) achieved A-levels or above</td>
<td>3142 (41.5%)</td>
<td>7578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, No. (%) married</td>
<td>6204 (81.1%)</td>
<td>7653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social class, No. (%) low socio-economic status*</td>
<td>622 (9.6%)</td>
<td>6475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offspring sex, No. (%) male</td>
<td>3992 (51.1%)</td>
<td>7814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (in grams), mean (SD)</td>
<td>3430.0 (536.0)</td>
<td>7717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparity No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>2672 (34.9%)</td>
<td>7653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth complications, No. (%) with at least 1 complication</td>
<td>1414 (19.9%)</td>
<td>7111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother involvement with police - pregnancy, No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>133 (1.9%)</td>
<td>7089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use - pregnancy, No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>1495 (19.2%)</td>
<td>7760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother experiencing cruelty from partner - pregnancy, No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>343 (4.8%)</td>
<td>7104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate basic living conditions - pregnancy, No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>184 (2.4%)</td>
<td>7623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate housing - pregnancy, No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>360 (4.7%)</td>
<td>7693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing defects - pregnancy, No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>831 (10.8%)</td>
<td>7693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty - pregnancy, No. (%) Yes*</td>
<td>601 (8.1%)</td>
<td>7382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being a single caregiver - pregnancy, No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>121 (1.6%)</td>
<td>7671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early parenthood, No. (%) Yes</td>
<td>373 (4.8%)</td>
<td>7814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low educational attainment - pregnancy, No. (%)</td>
<td>844 (11.1%)</td>
<td>7604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)N = number of mothers with available information from the selected sample of 7814.

*via the Registrar General’s social class scale (Surveys, 1991).
Table 2. Correlations and descriptive statistics of the study variables (in N=7814)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.</th>
<th>2.</th>
<th>3.</th>
<th>4.</th>
<th>5.</th>
<th>6.</th>
<th>7.</th>
<th>8.</th>
<th>9.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Child Dys Age 2y&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Child Dys Age 4y&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.568***</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Mat dep Prenatal&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.241***</td>
<td>0.336***</td>
<td>0.278***</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mat dep Postnatal (0-3y)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.303***</td>
<td>0.394***</td>
<td>0.325***</td>
<td>0.848***</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Unhealthy Diet Prenatal&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.104***</td>
<td>0.081***</td>
<td>0.064***</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Unhealthy Diet 3y&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.118***</td>
<td>0.127***</td>
<td>0.094***</td>
<td>0.077***</td>
<td>0.037**</td>
<td>0.401***</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Unhealthy Diet 4.5y&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.092***</td>
<td>0.113***</td>
<td>0.075***</td>
<td>0.059***</td>
<td>0.036**</td>
<td>0.250***</td>
<td>0.621***</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Control Risk Factors&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.092***</td>
<td>0.197***</td>
<td>0.188***</td>
<td>0.276***</td>
<td>0.238***</td>
<td>0.086***</td>
<td>0.121***</td>
<td>0.080***</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>75.17</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>12.61</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(14.13)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(3.82)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(4.08)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(8.44)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(11.53)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(3.51)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(4.03)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(3.82)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(2.14)&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Child Dys: child emotional-behavioral dysregulation; Mat dep: maternal depression; y: years; SD: standard deviation.

* p ≤.05; **p ≤ .01; ***p ≤ .001.

<sup>a</sup>By raw means of the activity, adaptability, intensity and mood subscales of the Carey Infant Temperament Scale.
b By raw means of the hyperactivity, conduct problem and emotional difficulty subscales of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ).

c By raw means of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. A latent depression score was created for the prenatal and postnatal periods, with higher scores meaning higher levels of depressive symptoms.

d By raw means of the food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). An unhealthy diet factor was created at each time point through the use of confirmatory factor analysis, with higher scores meaning higher levels of unhealthy diet.

e Control risk factors included in the overall model are displayed in Supplementary Table 1.
Table 3. Indirect associations of prenatal and postnatal maternal depression symptoms and unhealthy diet with child emotional-behavioral dysregulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Prenatal risk factors</th>
<th>Postnatal risk factors</th>
<th>Child dysregulation</th>
<th>Estimate (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age 2y</td>
<td>Age 4y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathway 1</td>
<td>Maternal depression (+)</td>
<td>Unhealthy diet 3y (+)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathway 2</td>
<td>Unhealthy diet (+)</td>
<td>Maternal depression (+)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathway 3</td>
<td>Maternal depression (+)</td>
<td>Maternal depression (+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathway 4</td>
<td>Unhealthy diet (+)</td>
<td>Unhealthy diet (+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: y: years; CI: bias corrected confidence intervals; [+] = higher; -- = not included in pathway; y = year; CI = confidence interval

* p ≤ .05; **p ≤ .01; ***p ≤ .001.
Fig. 1.

Title: Path Model for Maternal Depression Symptoms, Unhealthy Diet, and Child Emotional-Behavioral Dysregulation

Legend: Path coefficients: Using Cohen’s population effect size statistics, $0.10=$ small effect, $0.24=$ medium effect, $0.37=$ large effect. Solid arrow = $p < .05$ (* $p \leq .05$; **$p \leq .01$; ***$p \leq .001$); dashed arrow = $p > .05$. Abbreviations: Dep: depression; Child dys: child dysregulation; wks: weeks; y: years.