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Abstract

Background: Abdominal aortic calcification (AAC) is a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis. Computed tomography (CT) is the gold-standard method for quantifying vascular calcification (VC) but is limited by higher radiation exposure and cost. Vertebral fracture assessment (VFA) scans have been proposed as a useful imaging tool for the semi-quantitative assessment of AAC, as images of the aorta are captured on VFA scans. Pulse wave velocity (PWV) is a simple, non-invasive method of measuring aortic stiffness - a hallmark of atherosclerosis and ageing, with a reduction in normal aortic compliance. In this study we compared VFA and PWV with CT as the gold standard of diagnosis. Lateral VFA scans may be utilised as a secondary utility to detect VC in post-menopausal women with low bone density, and associations between PWV and VC measured using lateral VFA and CT may demonstrate how measures of VC correlate with aortic stiffness.

Methods and results: Four hundred and forty-four healthy post-menopausal women with a mean age of 62 (6.4 SD) years had carotid to femoral PWV measurements, and lateral VFA of the thoracic and lumbar spine, concurrently with bone densitometry measurements (DXA), to quantify AAC. A subgroup of one hundred and thirteen subjects underwent non-contrast, non-diagnostic, ungated CT scans of the chest and abdomen. Results showed AAC was present in 78% of subjects as determined by CT, and 60% with lateral VFA scans. The sensitivity of lateral VFA for detecting AAC was 55% and specificity was 48% with a PPV and NPV of 67% and 36% respectively for binary scores. Sensitivity and specificity improved when CT scores were divided into tertiles with the greatest improvement with scores ≥ 670 AU, ≥ 1530 AU and ≥ 3.35 cm³ for the Agatston, modified Agatston and Volume scores respectively. There were no independent associations observed between PWV and AAC measured using lateral VFA or VC measured using CT.

Conclusion: Lateral VFA scans may provide a low radiation dose, and low-cost alternative to CT for evaluating higher levels of AAC and can be performed concurrently with bone densitometry assessments.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) continues to be the leading cause of mortality in post-menopausal women and the identification of those at risk of CVD and subsequent CV events has relied on the assessment of traditional clinical risk factors such as hypertension, obesity, smoking status, family history and diabetes mellitus [1]. Moreover, abdominal aortic calcification (AAC), a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis, is a predictor of subsequent CV-associated morbidity and mortality [2-5].
Computed tomography (CT) is recognised as the ‘gold-standard’ method for the quantitative assessment of calcification with relatively good precision and accuracy [6-11], however, much of the data available has been limited to measurements of coronary calcification [7,11] and to high risk populations such as those with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) [6,7-9]. Furthermore, the method is limited by high radiation exposure and cost [10-12].

Lateral vertebral fracture assessment (VFA) imaging has been shown to be a reasonable substitute for standard radiography to detect vertebral deformities, and can be readily performed at the time of bone densitometry with minimal additional time and radiation exposure [13-15]. The abdominal aorta (AA) can be visualized on lateral VFA scan images anterior to the lumbar spine, and the use of lateral VFA has been proposed as a useful imaging tool for the semi-quantitative assessment of AAC [16], with reasonably good sensitivity and specificity when compared to conventional radiographs [17]. Previous assessments of the accuracy of lateral VFA scans for quantifying AAC by comparison with the gold-standard of CT have reported good sensitivity of lateral VFA for the assessment of AAC with results closely comparable to that of CT; suggesting that lateral VFA scans may allow concurrent assessment of AAC as well as bone mineral density (BMD) in women referred for routine screening for low BMD and osteoporosis [6]. However, studies have been limited to high risk populations with a high incidence and extent of VC [6,9].

Pulse wave velocity (PWV) is a simple, non-invasive method of measuring arterial stiffness which has been shown to be an independent predictor of CV-related events and mortality [18-24]. PWV is in part dependent on the atherosclerotic burden and/or medial calcification and is widely used in the fields of renal and diabetic research. PWV provides an alternative method for estimating AC, hence the rationale for its inclusion in this study. This study aims to assess whether lateral VFA scan images can be used to detect AAC as determined by the gold-standard of CT in a lower risk population.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

Four hundred and forty-four healthy ambulatory post-menopausal women, mean age of 62 (6.4) years were recruited from the Osteoporosis Unit at Guy’s Hospital following referral by primary care physicians for assessment of their bone mineral density (BMD) by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and as part of a PhD research program. Each participant gave informed consent and the study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the Research and Ethics Committee of Guy’s and St Thomas’ NHS Foundation Trust. All participants were seen in the Osteoporosis Unit and completed questionnaires which recorded information relating to smoking habits, alcohol intake, previous fractures, history of co-medications, and presence of CV risk factors including family history of CV disease, history of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and diabetes mellitus. The following study procedures were performed on the subjects: carotid to femoral PWV ultrasound measurements to assess aortic stiffness, CT scans of the chest and abdomen, and lateral VFA scans to quantify AAC.

**Quantitative assessment of VC Using CT**

A sub-group consisting of one hundred and thirteen subjects underwent non-contrast non-diagnostic, un-gated CT scans of the chest and abdomen. The scans were performed using the Philips Precedence 16-slice SPECT/CT scanner (Philips Healthcare, Best, Netherlands). The scan field of view (FoV) was approximately 50cm and included the iliac arteries, abdominal aorta, thoracic aorta and carotid arteries. Scan images were reconstructed into contiguous 3mm transverse images for viewing on an image analysis workstation. All scan images were viewed and analysed using the semi-automated hybrid viewer function on Hermes Gold™ imaging software. Abdominal aortic calcification was evaluated using CT scans for direct comparison to AAC as measured using lateral VFA scans. Vascular calcification was also assessed at other vascular sites included during the CT scan acquisition. Scans were assessed by one clinical scientist (SE), blinded to subject demographics, BMD results and lateral VFA AAC scores. Each 3 mm transverse image was analysed individually and calcified deposits were defined as any area greater than 1 mm² within the blood vessel 5 being assessed with a HU of ≥ 130. The previously described total Agatston [25,26], modified Agatston [27] and volume score [28] were obtained by summing the scores for all cross-sectional images.

**Semi-quantitative assessment of AAC using lateral VFA imaging**

Four hundred and forty-four subjects had lateral single-energy VFA (IVA-HD) scans of the lumbar spine performed using the Hologic Discovery QDR 4500 densitometer (Hologic, Bedford MA). Scans were obtained using an established local protocol for lateral VFA scan acquisition which was modified to include the lumbar region only using a rotating C-arm which required the subject to lie supine with arms raised. One hundred and four (31%) of the 444 scans could not be evaluated due to poor image quality primarily as a result of abdominal obesity or overlying bowel gas obscuring the walls of the AA. Abdominal aortic calcification was assessed on the lateral VFA scan images by a clinical scientist (SE), blinded to subject demographics, BMD results with scans identified only by the subject’s study number. Scans were analyzed using both the semi-quantitative 24-point and 8-point scoring methods in which both the location and the extent of calcification at each lumbar vertebral segment (L1-L4) were evaluated [16].

**Measurement of vascular stiffness**

Four hundred and forty-four subjects had carotid to femoral artery PWV performed using the SphygmoCor (AtCor Medical LTD, Australia) PWV system. Measurements were made by one clinical scientist (SE), and any readings which did not conform to the internal quality checks provided by the SphygmoCor software were rejected and subsequently repeated. Measurements were made in triplicate, with mean PWV values used for data analysis. Measurements of PWV were not obtained for 82 (19%) of the total
study cohort of 444 subjects, primarily as a result of excessive amounts of adipose tissue at the femoral artery measurement site precluding an accurate recording of the pressure pulse. The validation of this method and its reproducibility has been previously described with intra- and inter-observer repeatability coefficients of 0.935 m/s and 0.890 m/s respectively [29].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 and MATLAB. Study population characteristics are presented as the mean and standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated. The student’s t-test and chi-squared test were used to test for significant differences in characteristics between subject groups for PWV and lateral VFA. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess normality of distribution of measures of VC including lateral VFA AAC scores, CT VC scores and PWV. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated to assess the correlation between lateral VFA and CT AAC scores and the correlation between PWV and calcium scores obtained using lateral VFA and CT. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) were calculated for the 24-point lateral VFA scoring method for identifying calcium detected by CT with a binary cut-off of 0 for zero calcium and 1 for calcium present. To allow an assessment of whether the accuracy of lateral VFA changes with increasing severity of AAC on CT, sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were calculated for tertiles of CT calcium score for the AA with thresholds set at ≥ 117AU, ≥ 670 AU for the Agatston score, ≥ 2161.9 AU, ≥ 15304.4AU for the modified Agatston score and ≥ 0.074 cm³ and ≥ 0.335 cm³ for the volume score. Unlike coronary calcium scores which have established cut-points that are associated with increased CV disease incidence; all CT scores at the AA in this study were divided into equal tertiles as no established cut-off points exist for AAC. The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. Multi-linear regression analysis was used to assess associations between PWV with AAC as measured by lateral VFA and VC measured using CT at multiple vascular sites, correcting for confounders associated with PWV and/or VC. Confounding variables included age, BMI, MAP, anti-hypertension medication and smoking status. A p-value of <0.05 (95% CI) was accepted as statistically significant.

Results

Subjects had a mean age of 62 years (range 50 to 81). Fifty-five per cent (n=244) of subjects had a family history of CV disease and 13% (n=58) had confirmed hypertension with 10% (n=44) taking antihypertensive medication (Table 1). The mean total 24- and total 8-point scores for AAC as measured using lateral VFA images were 2.12 ± 2.42 and 1.19 ± 1.16 respectively (Table 2). Mean VC scores were calculated for each vascular site using CT. The AA and IA had the highest extent of calcification while the lowest incidence and extent of calcification was observed in the CA (Table 2). Univariate analysis revealed significant positive associations between measures of AAC on lateral VFA scans and 1.15 ± 4.57 cm³ respectively (Table 2).

Table 1 Summary of study group characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n=444</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.7 (6.4)</td>
<td>0 – 81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.85 – 2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>65.8 (12.0)</td>
<td>35 – 115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.9 (4.4)</td>
<td>14 – 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.0 – 8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.5 – 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.0 – 4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.0 – 8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>93.6 (10.0)</td>
<td>70 – 120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWV (m/s)</td>
<td>8.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>5 – 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine T-score</td>
<td>-1.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>-3.0 – 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hip T-score</td>
<td>-0.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>-2.0 – 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip neck T-score</td>
<td>-1.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>-3.0 – 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history CVD (%)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0 – 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0 – 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension treatment (%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 – 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of fracture (%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0 – 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker (%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 – 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Calcium scores for individual vascular sites and total scores obtained using CT and lateral VFA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring method</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT Agatston (AU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2442.6 (10129.9)</td>
<td>0 – 98782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid arteries</td>
<td>18.3 (108.0)</td>
<td>0 – 1026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic aorta</td>
<td>202.19 (952.2)</td>
<td>0 – 9355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>1294.9 (5548.6)</td>
<td>0 – 55618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac arteries</td>
<td>927.2 (3910.2)</td>
<td>0 – 33733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Modified Agatston (AU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39787.4 (115565.8)</td>
<td>0 – 1019091.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid arteries</td>
<td>447.1 (3000.0)</td>
<td>0 – 29291.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic aorta</td>
<td>3047.9 (11346.7)</td>
<td>0 – 99876.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>20727.2 (62411.7)</td>
<td>0 – 551780.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac arteries</td>
<td>15565.1 (50491.4)</td>
<td>0 – 366927.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Volume score (cm³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.151 (4.573)</td>
<td>0 – 44.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid arteries</td>
<td>0.220 (0.990)</td>
<td>0 – 0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic aorta</td>
<td>0.776 (1.895)</td>
<td>0 – 4.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aorta</td>
<td>1.752 (3.397)</td>
<td>0 – 24.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iliac arteries</td>
<td>1.736 (2.930)</td>
<td>0 – 15.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA 24-point score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.12 (2.42)</td>
<td>0 – 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA 8-point score</td>
<td>1.19 (1.16)</td>
<td>0 – 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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with measures of AAC on CT scans (Table 3), with the strength of association broadly equivalent between measures of AAC assessed on lateral VFA with AAC assessed on CT scans with r-values averaging 0.28.

Table 4 shows the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and AUC of lateral VFA for detecting AAC measured using CT as the gold-standard. The presence of AAC was correctly identified in 33 (36%) subjects, with false negatives in 27 (30%) subjects who did have AAC (sensitivity 55%). Fifteen subjects were correctly identified as having no AAC with false positives identified in 16 subjects who did not have AAC (specificity 48%). The probability of subjects identified as having AAC as detected by lateral VFA scans that had AAC as measured by CT (PPV) was 67%, and the probability of subjects identified as having no AAC that were negative for AAC on CT (NPV) was 36%. The AUC was 0.52 for AAC. To investigate whether the accuracy of lateral VFA changed with different degrees of AAC as detected by CT, the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and AUC were calculated for tertiles of calcification (Table 4). Thresholds were set at each tertile of the variable for CT AAC scores resulting in the same values for sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and AUC for each of the CT scoring methods. The measures with lateral VFA improved with increasing severity of AAC with moderately-good sensitivity: (64%, 83%), specificity: (55%, 56%) and AUC: (60% to 39%) while NPV increased from 36% to 90% with increasing severity of AAC. The AUC was 0.69 for Agatston, 0.55 for modified Agatston and 0.33 for volume scores respectively and tertile 2 as scores ≥ 670 AU for Agatston, ≥ 2161.9 AU for modified Agatston and ≥ 0.074 cm³ for volume scores respectively.

Table 5 Correlation between PWV and VC measured using CT.

Relationship between vascular stiffness (PWV) and vascular calcification

Three hundred and sixty-two subjects (82%) from the total 444 subjects had carotid to femoral PWV performed with a mean PWV result of 8.8 ± 1.7. The distribution of scores was positively skewed (p ≤ 0.001) with a large proportion of subjects with a low PWV score. There were no significant correlations observed between PWV and AAC measured using lateral VFA in univariate analysis. In contrast, significant positive correlations were obtained when PWV was compared to VC measured using CT (Table 5). There were no independent associations between PWV and VC measured using the CT Agatston, modified Agatston and volume scores following adjustment for confounders associated with both arterial stiffness and VC including age, BMI, MAP and hypertension medication and smoking status (Table 6). Pearson’s correlations showed the three CT calcium scores to be highly correlated and were therefore included separately into the regression model to avoid collinearity. Age, BMI, MAP and the use of hypertension medication were all found to be significantly positively correlated with PWV, with age being the largest contributor to the regression model.

Table 6 Multi-linear regression analysis of arterial stiffness measured by PWV as the dependent variable and the total Agatston, total modified Agatston and total volume VC scores as independent variables.

Discussion

This study investigated the accuracy of lateral VFA for detecting
AAC as measured using CT to determine whether lateral VFA scans could be utilised as a secondary utility to detect VC, in post-menopausal women referred for osteoporosis screening. CT is associated with increased radiation exposure and cost, and lateral VFA scans have been proposed as a reasonable substitute for detecting AAC which can be performed in minimal time with low radiation exposure and cost. Furthermore, the association was examined between PWV and VC measured using lateral VFA and CT scans to establish whether VC correlated with aortic stiffness, which is a strong independent predictor of CV mortality. The results show moderately-good sensitivity of lateral VFA for predicting AAC as measured by CT, and a significant positive association between PWV and VC as measured by CT.

Vascular calcification is an increasingly important clinical concern, particularly in populations with CKD, type 2 diabetes mellitus and atherosclerosis. The pathophysiology of VC is characterised by the deposition of calcium in the intima and/or media of the arterial wall, resulting in increased vascular stiffness. Most individuals aged 60 and over have calcification to some extent in their major arteries [30] leading to a reduction in arterial compliance which impairs CV haemodynamics, resulting in morbidity and possibly mortality [31-33]. Various diagnostic methods are currently used to assess VC, however there is an increased preference for simple, reliable, low radiation dose methods that can be readily implemented into routine clinical practice, such as lateral VFA scans for the semi-quantitative assessment of AAC, which can be performed concurrently with routine bone density screening. The use of lateral VFA for the assessment of AAC during screening for osteoporosis may be beneficial as a tool to identify asymptomatic patients at low to intermediate risk of a CV event, particularly the elderly, who may benefit from risk factor modification and further CV screening.

Although subjects included in the present study were healthy post-menopausal women with a lower risk of CVD, a large proportion of the cohort had VC, with 78% of subjects having VC detected by CT imaging and 60% having AAC as detected using lateral VFA imaging. The population in the present study had a mean age of 62 years which is a similar age to those reported as having increased AAC in other studies of low risk populations [34]; supporting the suggestion that AC is prevalent in later life and independent of known CV risk factors [6]. Subjects in the present study had a high extent of calcification within the AA and iliac arteries (IA) which is similar to another recent study in a cohort of over 4500 subjects with a mean age of 56 years [30].

The present study reports moderate agreement between AAC measured using lateral VFA scans and VFA L1- L4 matched AAC scores measured using CT (Table 3). Although statistically significant, the correlations were weak accounting for less than 10% of the variance. The strength of association was broadly equivalent between the 24- and 8-point scores and each of the CT VFA-matched AAC scores. The correlations observed in the present study were lower than those reported by others [34]. However, the correlation between lateral VFA and CT is expected to be higher in subjects with greater amounts of calcification due to a larger range of values observed. Another important consideration is that there is a degree of inter-rater variability with the semiquantification of AAC from lateral VFA images. Furthermore, satisfactory scan data may not be available if 10 image quality is obscured by intestinal gas and/or obesity. Finally, it is impossible to state whether the moderate correlation seen between AAC measured using VFA and AAC measured using CT in the present study represents atherosclerotic burden or arteriosclerosis. Intimal or atherosclerotic calcification is characteristically patchy whereas arteriosclerotic or medial calcification is characteristically more diffuse in appearance but since the medial and intimal layers of the arterial lumen are in close proximity, it is not possible to distinguish between the two on either lateral VFA or CT images.

The present study reported moderately-good sensitivity with the lateral VFA 24-point score for predicting AAC as detected by the gold-standard of CT, which is consistent with results reported by others [34]. Moreover, the accuracy of lateral VFA imaging for predicting AAC on CT improved with the presence of a higher degree of AAC as detected on CT, which is also consistent with the results from studies on high risk CKD and dialysis patients [35]. Chronic kidney disease accelerates the development of atherosclerosis [36] and therefore a higher sensitivity reported with studies in patients with renal disease is likely to be a reflection of the greater extent of intimal calcification detected on lateral VFA scans in patients with CKD. The specificity of lateral VFA was lower than that reported by others, with studies reporting a higher specificity of 80% [34] and 75% [35]. Due to the subjective nature of calcium scoring on lateral VFA scan images, a proportion of scans were incorrectly identified as having calcification when calcium was not in fact present on CT (i.e., false positives). These results also reflect the subjectivity of calcium scoring on single-energy VFA scan images compared with dual-energy VFA scan images that are not disadvantaged by shadows and artefacts created by soft tissue. However, it is important to consider that the scan acquisition time for single-energy VFA images is considerably quicker and with a lower radiation dose compared with dual-energy VFA, although some present-day scanners now operate with a reduced radiation exposure for dual-energy VFA. The AUC results from this study increased from 0.52 to 0.69 with increasing vascular burden on CT but only reached statistical significance at the highest tertile of calcium scoring on single-energy VFA scan images compared with studies in patients with renal disease is likely to be a reflection of the greater extent of intimal calcification detected on lateral VFA scans in patients with CKD. The specificity of lateral VFA was lower than that reported by others, with studies reporting a higher specificity of 80% [34] and 75% [35]. Due to the subjective nature of calcium scoring on lateral VFA scan images, a proportion of scans were incorrectly identified as having calcification when calcium was not in fact present on CT (i.e., false positives). These results also reflect the subjectivity of calcium scoring on single-energy VFA scan images compared with dual-energy VFA scan images that are not disadvantaged by shadows and artefacts created by soft tissue. However, it is important to consider that the scan acquisition time for single-energy VFA images is considerably quicker and with a lower radiation dose compared with dual-energy VFA, although some present-day scanners now operate with a reduced radiation exposure for dual-energy VFA. The AUC results from this study increased from 0.52 to 0.69 with increasing vascular burden on CT but only reached statistical significance at the highest tertile (Figure 1), and therefore indicate a moderate discriminatory value of lateral VFA in the presence of greater quantities of AAC. These ROC results are comparable to those reported elsewhere [34,35]. The negative and positive predictive values in the present study reflected the prevalence of AAC within this study population of lower risk post-menopausal women. In contrast, the NPV increased which was a reflection of the ability of lateral VFA to accurately predict when subjects did not have 11 AAC. Given the importance of PPV and NPV as a critical measure of the performance of lateral VFA for detecting AAC as measured on CT, the moderate scores obtained in the present study would not be adequate for detecting and quantifying low levels of AAC and demonstrate that lateral VFA may only provide an adequate substitute for CT for the detection of high levels of AAC in clinical practice, with values of 70% and higher considered acceptable.
The NPV in the present study exceeded 70% only at the highest tertile where the greatest amounts of AAC were measured (Table 4). This perhaps should not be considered a negative finding since the use of lateral VFA to identify only those with more severe VC would seem a sensible approach to adopt in clinical practice due to the high incidence of AC with ageing. Reporting the results for only those with the greatest extent of calcification would limit referrals for further investigations to only those patients who may be at greatest risk of a CV event, and importantly avoid unnecessary concern to the patient.

Arterial stiffness as measured by PWV shows little or often no relation to conventional risk factors other than age and blood pressure [37]. Once considered to be largely dependent on atherosclerotic burden, arterial stiffness has been shown more recently to be related to calcified plaque and not non-calcified atheroma [38].

This study found no association between arterial stiffness measured using PWV and AAC as measured using lateral VFA scans (data not shown). These results were surprising considering the high incidence of AAC and increased arterial stiffness reported in this cohort of post-menopausal women, and that both PWV and VC increase with age with PWV primarily dependent on calcified plaque burden. Other research has reported a strong association between PWV and AAC measured using lumbar radiographs in both normal subjects from the general population and in chronic haemodialysis patients [38]. Therefore, the lack of association between PWV and AAC measured using lateral VFA in the present study may, once again, reflect the inter-rater variability of quantifying AAC on single-energy VFA scan images as already highlighted. Furthermore, because VFA imaging, like most imaging methods, is unable to distinguish between intimal and medial calcification, AAC quantified on lateral VFA images may reflect calcified atherosclerotic plaque burden in contrast to medial calcification which is more strongly associated with arterial stiffness.

Pulse wave velocity was initially found to be positively associated with VC as measured using CT. However, the positive associations with univariate analysis disappeared once adjusted for confounders in multivariate analysis, including age, MAP and the use of anti-hypertensives which are significantly associated with arterial stiffness [39,40] which is consistent with findings reported by others [9].

This study had several limitations. It was limited to lower risk, post-menopausal women and results may not reflect those within the male population. Subjects who were known to be taking lipid lowering therapy were excluded, likely lowering both the incidence and extent of VC observed. Only 113 patients had CT scans of the chest and abdomen and the study used only moderate dose CT for image acquisition, unlike diagnostic CT scans used in clinical practice that require a higher radiation dose. The study did not compare single-energy acquired VFA images with those acquired using dual-energy, and the predictive value of PWV for detecting AAC was not assessed in this study.

Conclusion

This study reports moderately-good accuracy of lateral VFA scans for predicting high levels of AAC detected by CT. Results demonstrate that lateral VFA scans can provide a low radiation, low cost alternative to the ‘gold-standard’ of CT for evaluating AAC in a population of post-menopausal women referred for bone density screening for osteoporosis. This is the first study to assess the validity of lateral single-energy VFA scans for detecting AAC compared to CT with directly comparable regions of interest within the aorta. Further clinical studies are required to determine how well AAC measured by lateral VFA imaging correlates with aortic stiffness (PWV) particularly in older patients referred for bone densitometry measurements.
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