



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1093/schbul/sbx121](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbx121)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Murray, R. M., Bhavsar, V., Tripoli, G., & Howes, O. (2017). 30 Years on: How the Neurodevelopmental Hypothesis of Schizophrenia Morphed into the Developmental Risk Factor Model of Psychosis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 43(6), 1190-1196. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbx121>

### **Citing this paper**

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# 30 Years on: How the Neurodevelopmental Hypothesis of Schizophrenia Morphed Into the Developmental Risk Factor Model of Psychosis

**Robin M. Murray<sup>\*,1,2</sup>, Vishal Bhavsar<sup>1</sup>, Giada Tripoli<sup>1</sup>, and Oliver Howes<sup>1,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Psychosis Studies Department, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College, London, UK; <sup>2</sup>National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Mental Health Biomedical Research Centre, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College, London, UK; <sup>3</sup>Psychiatric Imaging Group, Clinical Science Centre, Imperial College, London, UK

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed; robin.murray@kcl.ac.uk

## **Abstract**

At its re-birth 30 years ago, the neurodevelopment hypothesis of schizophrenia focussed on aberrant genes and early neural hazards, but then it grew to include ideas concerning aberrant synaptic pruning in adolescence. The hypothesis had its own stormy development and it endured some difficult teenage years when a resurgence of interest in neurodegeneration threatened its survival. In early adult life, it overreached itself with some reductionists claiming that schizophrenia was simply a neurodevelopmental disease. However, by age 30, the hypothesis has matured sufficiently to incorporate childhood and adult adversity, urban living and migration, as well as heavy cannabis use, as important risk factors. Thus, it morphed into the developmental risk factor model of psychosis and integrated new evidence concerning dysregulated striatal dopamine as the final step on the pathway linking risk factors to psychotic symptoms.

*Key words:* neurodevelopment; sociodevelopment; dopamine; risk factors

## The Origins of the Neurodevelopmental Hypothesis

A neurodevelopmental approach to psychosis was first postulated by the Scottish psychiatrist Thomas Clouston in 1891.<sup>1</sup> However, his ideas were soon displaced by Kraepelin's conceptualization of dementia praecox as an adult onset neurodegenerative disorder,<sup>2</sup> a view which held sway for nearly a century. Indeed, in the late 1970s, when Johnstone et al<sup>3,4</sup> demonstrated that people with chronic schizophrenia had lateral ventricular enlargement and cognitive deficits, they interpreted their findings as confirming "the dementia of dementia praecox."

However, in 1982, we reported that monozygotic (MZ) twins with schizophrenia had larger cerebral ventricles than their MZ but well cotwins. This implied that the larger ventricles were environmental in origin, and we noted that the affected twins had been exposed to more severe perinatal hazards.<sup>5</sup> In a series of articles, we confirmed the role of pre- and perinatal complications in a larger number of discordant as opposed to concordant MZ twins<sup>6</sup> as well as in singleton patients with schizophrenia<sup>7</sup>; the latter had, of course, been previously reported, particularly from Scandinavia.<sup>8</sup> However, now we could link the neuroimaging findings with the emerging paediatric literature showing that periventricular bleeding in the brains of neonates exposed to prematurity and/or hypoxia often resulted in ventricular enlargement.<sup>7,9</sup> We also pointed to the evidence that people with schizophrenia were more likely to have been born in the late winter and spring, possibly due to prenatal exposure to maternal infections.<sup>9</sup>

These facts, and what we termed the "curious epiphenomena" of schizophrenia<sup>10</sup> such as childhood neuromotor and minor physical anomalies, could not readily be accommodated within the Kraepelinian degenerative model. As Jablensky et al<sup>11</sup> have demonstrated elsewhere in this issue, interest in a developmental approach had already begun to revive, and so the neurodevelopmental hypothesis was explicitly proposed by Weinberger<sup>12</sup> in the United States and by ourselves<sup>8</sup> in the United Kingdom. Subsequent studies confirmed that low birth-weight,

hypoxia, and other obstetric hazards<sup>7,13</sup> are linked to increased risk of schizophrenia, as are prenatal exposure to viral infection<sup>14</sup> and nutritional deficiencies.<sup>15</sup> Some studies reported that obstetric events were associated with brain structural abnormalities in schizophrenic patients,<sup>16</sup> but others did not. This latter was surprising since the sequelae of hypoxia and other fetal hazards can readily be seen in the brains of nonpsychotic adults who had been born very preterm.<sup>17</sup> In retrospect, it seems that the developmental changes are masked in people with schizophrenia by the effects of antipsychotics and lifestyle factors such as illicit drug abuse on the brain.<sup>18</sup> An exception is abnormal gyrification which remains a marker of aberrant foetal development in schizophrenia and is associated with lack of treatment response.<sup>19</sup>

Evolution has, of course, provided the brain with resilience to insult. For example, Marin<sup>20</sup> points out that during childbirth, a reduction in the intracellular chloride concentration of neurons leads to an excitatory-to-inhibitory switch of  $\gamma$ -amino butyric acid actions which increases the resistance of neurons to hypoxic damage during delivery. Thus, an important question is whether part of the genetic predisposition to schizophrenia may operate by impairing the resilience of the fetal and neo-natal brain.<sup>21</sup> Furthermore, early insults to the brain can result in the developing neuronal circuits reorganizing well into adulthood. Thus, the pathological neural connectivity in some adults with schizophrenia may be the result of multiple compensatory mechanisms operating throughout development. Certainly, such is the case for adults who were born preterm.<sup>17,22</sup>

An important component of early formulations of the neurodevelopmental hypotheses was neuropathological report of hippocampal aberrations that could have only arisen through abnormal early neuronal migration.<sup>23</sup> Unfortunately, these reports were never replicated and gradually faded from view. However, support for the neurodevelopmental hypothesis came from rodent models such as the neonatal ventral hippocampal lesion model<sup>24</sup> and prenatal exposure to methylazoxymethanol acetate (MAM).<sup>25</sup> The adult offspring of MAM-treated rats displays many characteristics found in schizophrenia, including neuroanatomic changes (thinning of limbic cortices with an

increase in cell packing density, loss of parvalbumin interneurons), behavioral deficits (prepulse inhibition, latent inhibition), and increased locomotion in response to amphetamine.<sup>25</sup> Such animal models are described in detail by Kanyuch and Anderson elsewhere in this issue<sup>26</sup>

The neurodevelopmental hypothesis marched on, fuelled by cohort studies which examined characteristics of preschizophrenic children. Thus, an initial analysis of the British 1946 Birth Cohort by Jones et al<sup>27</sup> showed subtle neuromotor and speech delays, solitariness, and lower educational test scores by age 8. Then, using data from the Dunedin Longitudinal Study, our group reported that minor psychotic symptoms in early adolescence predicted increased risk of adult psychosis<sup>28</sup> and showed how children destined to develop schizophrenia-like psychoses gradually fell increasingly behind normal children in cognitive capacities as they aged from infancy to adolescence<sup>29</sup>

Part of the success of the neurodevelopmental hypothesis can be attributed to its elasticity. For example, early papers postulated that the effects of genetic predisposition<sup>30</sup> and early adverse events<sup>7</sup> would only manifest as psychosis in early adulthood when normative maturational changes unmasked the earlier insult. However, in 1994, Keshavan<sup>31</sup> relaunched the hypothesis originally proposed by Feinberg<sup>32</sup> that the critical process might be aberrant synaptic pruning during adolescence. Support for this latter view has recently come from Sekar et al<sup>33</sup> who claim that variation in complement C4 genes may induce excessive synaptic pruning and from evidence that cortical volume loss appears to occur as psychosis onsets in adolescence and early adult life.<sup>34</sup>

### **The Model Expands to Include Social and Drug Exposures**

Thus, neurodevelopmental models began to allow for disruptions to normal neural development throughout fetal life, childhood, and adolescence. This revision opened the door for consideration of the influence of other environmental exposures. A systematic review by McGrath et al<sup>35</sup> convinced most researchers that there was considerable variation in the incidence of schizophrenia across populations. This had important aetiological implications—findings of variation in

incidence were used to argue for more environmental and contextual influences on schizophrenia risk. Urban birth and upbringing, and indeed degree of urbanicity, were found to be associated with later risk of schizophrenia,<sup>36</sup> and so, risk for schizophrenia was connected to area-level, rather than to purely individual-level, variables.<sup>37</sup> Of course, urbanicity must be a proxy for some other causal factor—crime, social fragmentation, and isolation have all been proposed.<sup>37,38</sup>

Schizophrenia incidence was found to be increased in most migrant populations but especially in black people who had migrated into predominantly white European countries<sup>39</sup>, interestingly, living in areas where there is a substantial population of similar immigrants ameliorates the risk.<sup>40</sup> A range of adversities in childhood such as loss of a parent, maltreatment, physical and sexual abuse, and bullying were also associated with increased risk,<sup>38</sup> as were more proximal adverse life events.<sup>41</sup> Now real-time sampling techniques have shown that patients with schizophrenia have greater sensitivity to everyday hassles than do controls and have linked even mild stress to increases in psychotic symptoms.<sup>42</sup> Such findings extended the neurodevelopmental model and led to the proposal of a parallel sociodevelopmental model.<sup>43</sup>

Increasing attention was also paid to drug-induced psychosis<sup>44</sup> and in particular to the role of cannabis. In spite of residual scepticism,<sup>45</sup> now the consensus is that heavy use of cannabis, especially of high-potency and synthetic forms, has a consistent, dose-related, effect in increasing risk of both psychotic symptoms and schizophrenia-like psychoses.<sup>46,47</sup>

### **All Roads Lead to Dopamine**

Interest turned mechanisms underlying the onset of psychosis, and in particular the role of dopamine as the final common pathway underlying schizophrenia,<sup>48</sup> and more recently, manic psychosis.<sup>49</sup> Increased dopamine synthesis capacity in the associative striatum is characteristic of people with psychotic disorders: furthermore, it is already detectable at the onset of prodromal symptoms and increases with proximity to transition into frank psychosis.<sup>50,51</sup>

In rodents, acute stressors result in increased synthesis and release of striatal dopamine as dose isolation rearing as well as exposure to

inflammatory challenges in utero.<sup>51</sup> Similarly, position in the social hierarchy affects the dopamine system in monkeys.<sup>51</sup>

As Kanyuch and Anderson point out elsewhere in this issue,<sup>26</sup> early developmental disruption makes the dopamine system hyper-responsiveness to stress, particularly during the rodent equivalence of adolescence.<sup>52</sup> Emerging evidence shows similar effects in humans. For example, young adults who were exposed to childhood abuse or who are migrants show increased striatal dopamine synthesis capacity and increased dopamine release to experimentally induced psychosocial stress.<sup>53,54</sup>

In general, risk factors for psychosis seem to be associated with increased striatal dopamine. However, two exceptions have been noted so far. Thus, our follow-up into adult life of infants who were born very preterm with perinatal brain injury show reduced dopamine synthesis capacity compared to those born very preterm without perinatal brain injury and controls born at full term; hippocampal volume was positively correlated with striatal dopamine synthesis capacity but was reduced in the perinatal brain injury group.<sup>55</sup> Similarly, chronic cannabis users, like other drug abusers, have low striatal dopamine, leading to the idea that in such individuals the locus of susceptibility may not be presynaptic but rather due to postsynaptic supersensitivity.<sup>56</sup>

## **Genetics**

In contrast to 1987, we now know that schizophrenia risk is largely mediated by numerous common genetic variants each of tiny effect,<sup>57</sup> with a small proportion resulting from copy number variants with larger effect size. Some of these latter are shared with autism and learning disability, suggesting to Owen et al<sup>58</sup> that there exists a neurodevelopmental continuum of genetic risk.

It is now possible to derive a polygenic risk score for schizophrenia (PRS-SCZ) which reflects polygenic loading for the illness.<sup>57</sup> The PRS-SCZ accounts for about 9% of variance in caseness in studies of psychotic patients and controls.<sup>59</sup> Interestingly, it has been associated with neurodevelopmental problems and/or negative symptoms in several studies of non-ill children,<sup>60</sup> adolescents,<sup>61</sup> and adults.<sup>62</sup> In the

huge UK Biobank sample, the PRS- SCZ predicts lower performance on a variety of cognitive tests.<sup>63</sup> Schizophrenia patients with intellectual disability are particularly likely to show enrichment of rare damaging variants in developmental disorder genes, but a weaker but significant enrichment exists throughout the larger schizophrenia population.<sup>64</sup> Thus, many of the genetic variants associated with schizophrenia impact on brain development and in particular in cognitive development,<sup>65</sup> thus confirming an early article<sup>27</sup> entitled “The Genetics of Schizophrenia is the Genetics of Neurodevelopment.”

Genome-Wide Association studies have not implicated genes directly involved in dopamine synthesis or release but instead point to upstream and downstream pathways linked to dopamine. Thus, a number of schizophrenia risk genes converge on glutamatergic systems which, of course, influence dopamine synthesis and release<sup>66</sup> In addition, other risk genes affect dopamine receptors (eg, DRD2) and postsynaptic signal transduction pathways (AKT1 and 3) and thus modulate postsynaptic dopaminergic neurotransmission; DRD2 and AKT1 appear to influence vulnerability to cannabis-associated psychosis.<sup>67</sup> Thus, risk genes for schizophrenia may play two disruptive roles: those influencing upstream factors render the midbrain dopamine neurons more vulnerable to dysregulation by the sociodevelopmental risk factors discussed earlier, while those influencing downstream factors amplify the effects of dysregulation.<sup>66</sup>

### **The Developmental Risk Factor Model**

By the early years of the 21st century, the neurodevelopmental hypothesis was widely accepted. However, two threats to its viability appeared. First, interest in neurodegeneration began to revive following the demonstration that over the course of schizophrenia, the brain changes appeared to worsen<sup>68,69</sup> Some researchers returned to neo-Kraepelinian notions of progressive brain changes due to some intrinsic schizophrenic process.<sup>68,69</sup> However, it was subsequently shown that the changes were due to a combination of the effects of antipsychotics, illicit drug use, and the unhealthy life-style of people with schizophrenia.<sup>17,70</sup>

At the other extreme, another threat to the plausibility of the hypothesis arose from the uncritical adoption of the reductionist view that schizophrenia is simply a neurodevelopmental disorder.<sup>71</sup> It is obvious that this is not so, at least not in the way that autism or learning disability are neurodevelopmental disorders; rather neurodevelopmental risk factors interact with adverse social and drug risk factors, most of which act during development. Thus, deficits in neuro- and social-cognition, secondary to subtle abnormalities in neural networks, set some children on a trajectory of increasing scholastic difficulties, asociality, and isolation, features which are often rebadged in later life as primary negative symptoms. A cascade of increasing deviance occurs, and finally drug abuse, or exposure to victimisation or other adverse life events results in dysregulated dopamine release, leading to the aberrant assignment of salience to experiences and perceptions. Exposure to repeated social adversity may also bias the cognitive schema that the child uses, to interpret these excessively salient experiences in a paranoid manner.<sup>72</sup> A vicious cycle can then be established: stress increases dopamine dysregulation, leading to more stress as consequence of the emerging psychotic experiences, and so further dopamine release, which eventually hardwires the psychotic interpretation.<sup>51</sup>

Thus, the neurodevelopmental hypothesis has gradually morphed into the Developmental Risk Factor Model,<sup>51,66,73</sup> an integrative framework with some similarities to Developmental Interactive Model outlined by Carpenter in Straus elsewhere in this issue.<sup>74</sup> Such a model has to take into account evidence which has become available and indicates that schizophrenia is not a discrete disease entity but rather the severe end of a broader multidimensional psychosis spectrum.<sup>75</sup> Numerous studies indicate that there exists a continuum of subclinical psychotic symptoms, often associated with subtle cognitive deficits,<sup>76</sup> extending into the general population and that the same factors that influence risk of schizophrenia also influence the prevalence of minor psychotic symptoms in the general population.<sup>75-77</sup>

Thus, liability to psychosis is distributed in the same way as liability to hypertension or obesity. If an individual's blood pressure is persistently above a certain arbitrary level (90 mmHg in many countries), they are

considered hypertensive; if the hypertension is not readily responsive to treatment, they may be further diagnosed as having severe or malignant hypertension. Similarly, if psychotic symptoms go beyond a certain threshold then a diagnosis of clinical psychotic disorder is appropriate, and if this persists and is associated with cognitive impairment of developmental origin, then a diagnosis of schizophrenia is made.

Can we intervene usefully at some point in the developmental cascade toward illness? For a while it was thought that prodromal or “at-risk” clinics could play an important role in preventing psychosis. However, even in those areas with well organized outreach services, only a small proportion of those who develop a first episode of psychosis comes via such clinics; only 4% in South London.<sup>78</sup> Consequently, if we wish to prevent a significant proportion of cases of psychosis, we must intervene at an earlier point.<sup>79</sup>

Initially, it seemed possible that the occurrence of minor psychotic symptoms in early adolescence might specifically predict later psychosis.<sup>22</sup> However, we now know that such minor symptoms indicate increased risk not only of later psychosis but also depression, suicidal ideas, and anxiety.<sup>80</sup> Furthermore, they do not have sufficient predictive power to be useful. One possibility worth pursuing is to target those who carry several markers of deviance. Thus, Laurens et al,<sup>81</sup> who examined children aged 9–12, suggested using a trilogy of antecedent markers (speech or motor delays; minor psychotic symptoms; and social, behavioural, or emotional problems) to identify those at sufficient risk to merit intervention. The Gur group<sup>82</sup> has shown that youth with minor psychotic symptoms show cognitive deficits, reduced executive activation, exaggerated amygdala threat responsivity, and functional network dysconnectivity. Perhaps, an algorithm using some combination of these markers may eventually be found to have predictive value in the clinic.

There may also be a minority of cases which result from a specific remediable cause.<sup>23,26</sup> The Velocardiofacial Syndrome results from a deletion at 22q11.2, is associated with cognitive difficulties, and up to one-third are reported to develop psychosis. A mouse model with a homologous deletion shows deficits in working-memory and impaired

functional connectivity, accompanied by dysregulated Gsk3 $\beta$  signaling, which is part of the same pathway as AKT mentioned earlier.<sup>67</sup> Importantly, these mice can be rescued by Gsk3 antagonists,<sup>83</sup> holding out the hope that eventually specific interventions early in life may prevent some uncommon causes of schizophrenia.

However, such an approach is unlikely to impact on the majority of cases. Here, the knowledge that schizophrenia is the extreme of a continuum of psychosis has important implications. Preventive approaches to hypertension or obesity do not focus on identifying individuals carrying biological markers; rather they encourage members of the general population to take exercise and reduce their calory intake. A similar public health approach should be adopted to psychosis. Clearly, reducing urbanicity or migration is not within the powers of psychiatrists and minimizing childhood adversity is difficult, though not impossible. However, attempting to influence society's consumption of high-potency cannabis is an obvious approach. Estimates of the proportion of cases of first onset of psychosis which could be prevented if no one smoked cannabis have ranged from 8% to 24% in different countries.<sup>84</sup> Unfortunately, public policy in the USA seems to be headed in the other direction with legalisation being accompanied by increases in the consumption and potency of cannabis.<sup>85</sup> Is the USA sleep-walking toward higher rates of psychosis?

## References

1. Clouston TS. *The Neuroses of Development*. Edinburgh, Scotland: Oliver and Boyd; 1891.
2. Kraepelin, E. *Psychiatrie*. 5th ed. Leipzig, Germany: Barth; 1896.
3. Johnstone E, Frith CD, Crow TJ, Husband J, Kreel L. Cerebral ventricular size and cognitive impairment in chronic schizophrenia. *Lancet*. 1976; 308:924–926.
4. Johnstone EC, Crow TJ, Frith CD, Stevens M, Kreel L, Husband J. The dementia of dementia praecox. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 1978; 57:305–324.
5. Reveley AM, Reveley MA, Clifford CA, Murray RM. Cerebral ventricular size in twins discordant for schizophrenia. *Lancet*. 1982;1:540–541. [SEP]
6. Reveley AM, Reveley MA, Murray RM. Cerebral ventricular enlargement in non-genetic schizophrenia: a controlled study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1984;144:89–93. [SEP]

7. Lewis SW, Murray RM. Obstetric complications, neurodevelopmental deviance, and risk of schizophrenia. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1987;21:413–421. [L][SEP]
8. McNeil TF, Kaij L. Obstetric factors in the development of schizophrenia: complications in the births of preschizophrenics and in reproduction by schizophrenia parents. In: Wynne LC, Cromwell RL, Matthyse S, eds. *The Nature of Schizophrenia.* New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons; 1978. [L][SEP]
9. Murray RM, Lewis SW, Reveley AM. Towards an aetiological classification of schizophrenia. *Lancet.* 1985;1:1023–1026. [L][SEP]
10. Murray RM, Lewis SW. Is schizophrenia a neurodevelopmental disorder? *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed.).* 1987; 295: 681–682. [L][SEP]
11. Jablensky A, McNeil TF, Morgan VA. Barbara Fish and a Short History of the Neurodevelopmental Hypothesis of Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2017;43:1158–1163. [L][SEP]
12. Weinberger DR. Implications of normal brain development for the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1987;44:660–669. [L][SEP]
13. Cannon M, Jones PB, Murray RM. Obstetric complications and schizophrenia: historical and meta-analytic review. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2002;159:1080–1092. [L][SEP]
14. Brown AS. Prenatal infection as a risk factor for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2006;32:200–202. [L][SEP]
15. Susser ES, Lin SP. Schizophrenia after prenatal exposure to the Dutch Hunger Winter of 1944-1945. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1992;49:983–988. [L][SEP]
16. Stefanis N, Frangou S, Yakeley J, et al. Hippocampal volume reduction in schizophrenia: effects of genetic risk and pregnancy and birth complications. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1999;46:697–702. [L][SEP]
17. Nosarti C, Nam KW, Walshe M, et al. Preterm birth and structural brain alterations in early adulthood. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2014;6:180–191. [L][SEP]
18. Zipursky RB, Reilly TJ, Murray RM. The myth of schizophrenia as a progressive brain disease. *Schizophr Bull.* 2013;39:1363–1372. [L][SEP]
19. Palaniyappan L, Marques TR, Taylor H, et al. Cortical folding defects as markers of poor treatment response in first-episode psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2013;70:1031–1040. [L][SEP]
20. Marín O. Developmental timing and critical windows for the treatment of psychiatric disorders. *Nat Med.* 2016;22:1229–1238. [L][SEP]
21. Schmidt-Kastner R, van Os J, Esquivel G, Steinbusch HW, Rutten BP. An

- environmental analysis of genes associated with schizophrenia: hypoxia and vascular factors as inter- acting elements in the neurodevelopmental model. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2012;17:1194–1205. [L]<sub>[SEP]</sub>
22. Karolis VR, Froudust-Walsh S, Brittain PJ, et al. Reinforcement of the Brain's Rich-Club Architecture Following Early Neurodevelopmental Disruption Caused by Very Preterm Birth. *Cereb Cortex*. 2016;26:1322–1335. [L]<sub>[SEP]</sub>
  23. Jacob H and Beckman, H. Prenatal development disturbances in the limbic allocortex in schizophrenics. *J. Neural. Transmiss*. 1986;65:303–326. [L]<sub>[SEP]</sub>
  24. Lipska BK, Jaskiw GE, Weinberger DR. Postpubertal emergence of hyperresponsiveness to stress and to amphetamine after neonatal excitotoxic hippocampal damage: a potential [L]<sub>[SEP]</sub> animal model of schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 1993;9:67–75 [L]<sub>[SEP]</sub>25. Grace AA. Dopamine system dysregulation and the pathophysiology of schizophrenia: insights from the methylazox- ymethanol acetate model. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2017;81:5–8.
  26. Kanyuch N, Anderson S. Animal Models of Developmental Neuropathology in Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2017;43: 1172–1175.
  27. Jones P, Murray R, Jones P, Rodgers B, Marmot M. Child developmental risk factors for adult schizophrenia in the British 1946 birth cohort. *Lancet*. 1994; 344:1398–1402.
  28. Poulton R, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Cannon M, Murray R, Harrington H. Children's self-reported psychotic symptoms and adult schizophreniform disorder: a 15-year longitudinal study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2000;57:1053–1058.
  29. Reichenberg A, Caspi A, Harrington H, et al. Static and dynamic cognitive deficits in childhood preceding adult schizophrenia: a 30-year study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010;167:160–169.
  30. Jones P, Murray RM. The genetics of schizophrenia is the genetics of neurodevelopment. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1991;158:615–623.
  31. Keshavan MS, Anderson S, Pettegrew JW. Is schizophrenia due to excessive synaptic pruning in the prefrontal cortex? The Feinberg hypothesis revisited. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1994;28:239–265.
  32. Feinberg I. Schizophrenia: Caused by a fault in programmed synaptic elimination during adolescence? *J Psychiatr Res*. 1982;17: 319–334.
  33. Sekar A, Bialas AR, de Rivera H, et al.; Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Schizophrenia risk from complex variation of complement component 4. *Nature*. 2016;530:177–183.
  34. Cannon TD, Chung Y, He G, et al.; North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study Consortium. Progressive reduction in cortical thickness as psychosis develops: a mul-

tisite longitudinal neuroimaging study of youth at elevated clinical risk. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2015;77:147–157.

35. McGrath J, Saha S, Welham J, El Saadi O, MacCauley C, Chant D. A systematic review of the incidence of schizophrenia: the distribution of rates and the influence of sex, urbanicity, migrant status and methodology. *BMC Med*. 2004;2:13.

36. Vassos E, Pedersen CB, Murray RM, Collier DA, Lewis CM. Meta-analysis of the association of urbanicity with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2012;38:1118–1123.

37. Newbury J, Arseneault L, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Odgers CL, Fisher HL. Cumulative effects of neighborhood social adversity and personal crime victimization on adolescent psychotic experiences [published online ahead of print May 22, 2017]. *Schiz Bull*. 2017. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbx060.

38. Stilo SA, Di Forti M, Mondelli V, et al. Social disadvantage: cause or consequence of impending psychosis? *Schizophr Bull*. 2013;39:1288–1295.

39. Fearon P, Kirkbride JB, Morgan C, et al.; AESOP Study Group. Incidence of schizophrenia and other psychoses in ethnic minority groups: results from the MRC AESOP Study. *Psychol Med*. 2006;36:1541–1550.

40. Boydell J, van Os J, McKenzie K, et al. Incidence of schizophrenia in ethnic minorities in London: ecological study into interactions with environment. *BMJ*. 2001;323:1336–1338.

41. Beards S, Gayer-Anderson C, Borges S, Dewey ME, Fisher HL, Morgan C. Life events and psychosis: a review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Bull*. 2013;39:740–747.

42. Klippel A, Myin-Germeys I, Chavez-Baldini U, et al. Modeling the interplay between psychological processes and adverse, stressful contexts and experiences in pathways to psychosis: an experience sampling study. *Schizophr Bull*. 2017;43:302–315.

43. Morgan C, Charalambides M, Hutchinson G, Murray RM. Migration, ethnicity, and psychosis: toward a sociodevelopmental model. *Schizophr Bull*. 2010;36:655–664. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>

44. Paparelli A, Di Forti M, Morrison PD, Murray RM. Drug-induced psychosis: how to avoid star gazing in schizophrenia research by looking at more obvious sources of light. *Front Behav Neurosci*. 2011;5:1. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>

45. Haney M, Evins AE. Does cannabis cause, exacerbate or ameliorate psychiatric disorders? An oversimplified debate discussed. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2016;41:393–401. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>

46. Marconi A, Di Forti M, Lewis CM, Murray RM, Vassos E. Meta-analysis of the association between the level of cannabis use and risk of psychosis. *Schizophr Bull*. 2016;42:1262–1269. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>

47. Murray RM, Quigley H, Quattrone D, Englund A, Di Forti M. Traditional marijuana, high-potency cannabis and synthetic cannabinoids: increasing risk for psychosis. *World Psychiatry*. 2016;15:195–204. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
48. Howes OD, McDonald C, Cannon M, Arseneault L, Boydell J, Murray RM. Pathways to schizophrenia: the impact of environmental factors. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2004;7 Suppl 1:S7–S13. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
49. Jauhar S, Noor M, Veronese P et al. A Test of the trans-diagnostic dopamine hypothesis of psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2017. In press. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
50. Howes OD, Montgomery AJ, Asselin MC, et al. Elevated striatal dopamine function linked to prodromal signs of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2009;66:13–20. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
51. Howes OD, Murray RM. Schizophrenia: an integrated sociodevelopmental-cognitive model. *Lancet*. 2014;383:1677–1687. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
52. Gomes FV, Grace AA. Prefrontal cortex dysfunction increases susceptibility to schizophrenia-like changes induced by adolescent stress exposure. *Schizophr Bull*. 2017;43:592–600. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
53. Egerton A, Valmaggia LR, Howes OD, et al. Adversity in childhood linked to elevated striatal dopamine function in adulthood. *Schizophr Res*. 2016;176:171–176. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
54. Egerton A, Howes OD, Houle S, et al. Elevated striatal dopamine function in immigrants and their children: a risk mechanism for psychosis. *Schizophr Bull*. 2017;43:293–301. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
55. Froud-Rust-Walsh S, Bloomfield MAP, Veronese M, et al. The effect of perinatal brain injury on dopaminergic function and hippocampal volume in adult life. 2017. *bioRxiv* 128710; doi:10.1101/128710 <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
56. Murray RM, Mehta M, Di Forti M. Different dopaminergic abnormalities underlie cannabis dependence and cannabis-induced psychosis. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2014;75:430–431. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
57. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric GC. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature*. 2014;511:421–427. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
58. Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC, Thapar A, Craddock N. Neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2011;198:173–175. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
59. Vassos E, Di Forti M, Coleman J, et al. An examination of polygenic score risk prediction in individuals with first-episode psychosis. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2017;81:470–477. <sup>[1]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>

60. Riglin L, Collishaw S, Richards A, et al. Schizophrenia risk alleles and neurodevelopmental outcomes in childhood: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4:57–62. <sup>[17]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
61. Jones HJ, Stergiakouli E, Tansey KE, et al. Phenotypic manifestation of genetic risk for schizophrenia during adolescence in the general population. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73:221–228.
62. van Os J, van der Steen Y, Islam MA, Gülöksüz S, Rutten BP, Simons CJ. Evidence that polygenic risk for psychotic disorder is expressed in the domain of neurodevelopment, emotion regulation and attribution of salience [published online ahead of print April 24, 2017]. *Psychological Med*. 2017: 1–17. doi: 10.1017/S0033291717000915.
63. Hagenaars SP, Harris SE, Davies G, et al.; METASTROKE Consortium, International Consortium for Blood Pressure GWAS; SpiroMeta Consortium; CHARGE Consortium Pulmonary Group, CHARGE Consortium Aging and Longevity Group. Shared genetic aetiology between cognitive functions and physical and mental health in UK Biobank (N=112151) and 24 GWAS consortia. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2016;21:1624–1632.
64. Singh T, Walters JTR, Johnstone M, et al.; INTERVAL Study; UK10K Consortium. The contribution of rare variants to risk of schizophrenia in individuals with and without intellectual disability. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49:1167–1173.
65. Touloupoulou T, van Haren N, Zhang X, et al. Reciprocal causation models of cognitive vs volumetric cerebral intermediate phenotypes for schizophrenia in a pan-European twin cohort. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2015;20:1386–1396.
66. Howes OD, McCutcheon R, Owen MJ, Murray RM. The role of genes, stress, and dopamine in the development of schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2017;81:9–20.
67. Colizzi M, Iyegbe C, Powell J, et al. Erratum: interaction between DRD2 and AKT1 genetic variations on risk of psychosis in cannabis users: a case-control study. *NPJ Schizophr*. 2015;1:15049. doi: 10.1038/npjpsychz.2015.25
68. DeLisi LE, Sakuma M, Tew W, Kushner M, Hoff AL, Grimson R. Schizophrenia as a chronic active brain process: a study of progressive brain structural change subsequent to the onset of schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res*. 1997;74:129–140.
69. Lieberman JA. Is schizophrenia a neurodegenerative disorder? A clinical and neurobiological perspective. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1999;46:729–739.
70. Murray RM, Quattrone D, Natesan S, et al. Should psychiatrists be more cautious about the long-term prophylactic use of antipsychotics? *Br J Psychiatry*. 2016;209:361–365.
71. Insel TR. Rethinking schizophrenia. *Nature*. 2010;468:187–193.

72. Garety PA, Kuipers E, Fowler D, Freeman D, Bebbington PE. A cognitive model of the positive symptoms of psychosis. *Psychol Med*. 2001;31:189–195.
73. Murray RM, Fearon P. The developmental ‘risk factor’ model of schizophrenia. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1999;33:497–499.
74. Carpenter WT, Strauss JS. Developmental Interactive Framework for Psychotic Disorders. *Schizophr Bull*. 2017;43: 1143–1144.
75. Guloksuz S, van Os J. The slow death of the concept of schizophrenia and the painful birth of the psychosis spectrum [published online ahead of print July 10, 2017]. *Psychological Med*. 2017:1–16. doi: 10.1017/S0033291717001775.
76. Mollon J, David AS, Morgan C, et al. Psychotic experiences and neuropsychological functioning in a population-based sample. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73:129–138.
77. Johns LC, Cannon M, Singleton N, et al. Prevalence and correlates of self-reported psychotic symptoms in the British population. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2004;185:298–305.
78. Ajnakina O, Morgan C, Gayer-Anderson C et al. Only a small proportion of patients with first episode psychosis come via prodromal services. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017;43:1158–1163. <sup>[17]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
79. Sommer IE, Bearden CE, van Dellen E, et al. Early interventions in risk groups for schizophrenia: what are we waiting for? *NPJ Schizophr*. 2016;2:16003. <sup>[17]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
80. Kelleher I, Cederlöf M, Lichtenstein P. Psychotic experiences as a predictor of the natural course of suicidal ideation: a Swedish cohort study. *World Psychiatry*. 2014;13:184–188. <sup>[17]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
81. Laurens KR, Hodgins S, Maughan B, Murray RM, Rutter ML, Taylor EA. Community screening for psychotic-like experiences and other putative antecedents of schizophrenia in children aged 9-12 years. *Schizophr Res*. 2007;90:130–146. <sup>[17]</sup><sub>[SEP]</sub>
82. Satterthwaite TD, Wolf DH, Calkins ME, et al. Structural brain abnormalities in youth with psychosis spectrum symptoms. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73:515–524.
83. Tamura M, Mukai J, Gordon JA, Gogos JA. Developmental inhibition of Gsk3 rescues behavioral and neurophysiological deficits in a mouse model of schizophrenia predisposition. *Neuron*. 2016;89:1100–1109.
84. Di Forti M, Marconi A, Carra E, et al. Proportion of patients in south London with first-episode psychosis attributable to use of high potency cannabis: a case-control study. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2015;2:233–238.
85. Compton WM, Volkow ND, Lopez MF. Medical marijuana laws and cannabis use: intersections of health and policy. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2017;74:559–560.