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Spectra of sparse non-Hermitian random matrices determine the dynamics of complex processes on graphs. Eigenvalue outliers in the spectrum are of particular interest, since they determine the stationary state and the stability of dynamical processes. We present a general and exact theory for the eigenvalue outliers of random matrices with a local tree structure. For adjacency and Laplacian matrices of oriented random graphs, we derive analytical expressions for the eigenvalue outliers, the first moments of the distribution of eigenvector elements associated with an outlier, the support of the spectral density, and the spectral gap. We show that these spectral observables obey universal expressions, which hold for a broad class of oriented random matrices.

Introduction Directed graphs represent the causal relations between the degrees of freedom of a dynamical system. Neural networks, transportation networks, and the Internet are examples of systems modelled by directed graphs. The dynamics of processes governed through directed graphs can be modeled with sparse non-Hermitian matrices, for example, Markov matrices define the dynamics of stochastic processes [1, 2], and Jacobian matrices determine the stability of dynamical systems [3].

The dynamics of complex systems can be studied from the spectra of sparse non-Hermitian random matrices, even when the interactions between the relevant degrees of freedom are not known. Sparse non-Hermitian random matrices generalize random-matrix ensembles with independent and identical distributed matrix elements [4–12]. A general theory has been developed for the spectral density of sparse and non-Hermitian random matrices [13–20], but other spectral properties of these ensembles are still poorly understood.

Of particular importance are eigenvalue outliers, which are isolated eigenvalues located outside the continuous (bulk) part of the spectrum (see Fig. 1(a)). Eigenvalue outliers of sparse non-Hermitian random-matrix ensembles, and their associated eigenvectors, are of key interest for studies on the dynamics of complex systems, and for the evaluation of ranking and inference algorithms on graphs. The stationary state of a stochastic process is given by the left eigenvector associated to an outlier of a Markov matrix, the relaxation time is given by the corresponding spectral gap [2, 21], and the large-deviation function of an observable is given by an outlier of a modified Markov matrix [22–26]. Complex dynamical systems, such as, neural networks [27–30] or ecosystems [31, 32], are often modelled in terms of differential equations coupled through random matrices. The eigenvalue with the largest real part, which is often an outlier, determines the local stability of these systems [33, 34]. The PageRank algorithm of Google Search ranks pages of the World Wide Web with the eigenvector associated to the outlier of a generator matrix of a stochastic process [35, 36]. Spectral algorithms detect communities in sparse graphs based on the eigenvectors of outliers in the spectrum of the non-backtracking matrix [18, 37, 38]. If these outliers exist, then it is possible to detect communities. Conversely, if these outliers do not exist, then it is impossible for any algorithm to detect communities. Quite apart from these applications, the study of outliers of random matrices is also a topic of interest in mathematics [39, 40].

In this Letter we present a general theory for the outliers of matrices with a local tree structure. We present a set of exact relations for the outliers of sparse non-Hermitian random matrices, and for the left- and right-eigenvector elements associated to the outlier. For oriented random matrices or oriented random graphs, i.e., directed graphs that have no bidirected links, we present explicit expressions for the eigenvalue outliers, the spectral gap, and the first two moments of the distribution of eigenvector elements associated to the outlier. Interestingly, we show that the eigenvalue outliers of oriented random matrices, and the associated eigenvector moments, obey universal expressions.

Outliers of non-Hermitian matrices We consider an $n \times n$ random matrix $A_n$ with probability density $p(A_n)$. The matrix $A_n$ has $n$ complex-valued eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$, and its empirical spectral distribution is [41]:

$$\mu_{A_n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_j},$$

with $\delta_{\lambda_j}$ the Dirac measure, i.e., $\delta_{\lambda_j}(S) = 0$ when $\lambda_j \notin S$ and $\delta_{\lambda_j}(S) = 1$ when $\lambda_j \in S$, with $S$ a Lebesgue-measurable subset of $\mathbb{C}$. We assume that the matrix ensembles considered here are self-averaging, i.e., $\mu_{A_n} \to \mu$ for $n \to \infty$, with $\mu$ a deterministic measure. The Lebesgue decomposition theorem [42] states that $\mu$ consists of an absolute continuous part $\mu_{ac}$, a singular continuous part $\mu_{sc}$, and a pure point part $\mu_{pp}$. The
s spectral density function $\rho(\lambda)$, also called the density of states, is the probability-density function of $\mu_{pp}$ [43]. Its support is the subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{C}$ for which $\rho(\lambda) > 0$, and $\partial \Omega$ is the boundary of $\Omega$. The measure $\mu_{pp}$ is discrete, i.e., it consists of a collection of Dirac measures, $\mu_{pp} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}} a_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ defines a countable set and $a_{\alpha}$ is the weight of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{\alpha}$. The outliers of a random matrix are the values of $\lambda_{\alpha}$ that lie outside the support of the spectral density $\Omega (\lambda_{\alpha} \notin \Omega)$. In Fig. 1(a) we show for a random matrix the outlier $\lambda_{isol}$ and the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of the support of the spectral density.

Sparse matrices

We consider a sparse random and non-Hermitian matrix $A_{n}$. The matrix elements of $A_{n}$ are $[A_{n}]_{jk} = C_{jk} J_{jk}$, with $C_{jk}$ the elements of the adjacency matrix of a random and directed graph [44], and $J_{jk}$ complex-valued weights that determine the dynamics of a process on a graph. A connectivity element $C_{jk}$ is equal to either 0 or 1; if there is a directed link from vertex $j$ to vertex $k$, then $C_{jk} = 1$, whereas if there is no link between the two vertices, then $C_{jk} = 0$; we set diagonal elements $C_{ii}$ to one. We consider graph ensembles of finite connectivity, in other words, the outdegrees $K_{out}^{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{jk}$ and the indegrees $K_{in}^{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \{ k \neq j \} C_{kj}$ are finite and independent of $n$. Additionally, we consider that the random graph with adjacency matrix $C_{jk}$ is locally tree-like [45], which means that a typical neighbourhood of a vertex has no cycles of degree three or higher [46]. Examples of local tree-like ensembles are the regular directed graph [15, 17], and the directed Erdős-Rényi or Poisson ensemble [14].

General theory

We present a theory for the outliers $\lambda_{isol}$ of locally tree-like random matrices $A_{n}$, and their corresponding left and right eigenvectors, which we denote by $\langle l_{isol} \rangle$ and $\langle r_{isol} \rangle$, respectively. We first write down the right and left eigenvectors of a given outlier $\lambda_{isol}$ in terms of the resolvent $G_{n}$ of a matrix $A_{n}$. We define the resolvent $G_{n}(\lambda)$ of the matrix $A_{n}$ as

$$G_{n}(\lambda) \equiv (A_{n} - \lambda 1_{n})^{-1},$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The resolvent $G_{n}$ is singular at the eigenvalues $\lambda = \lambda_{j}$ of $A_{n}$. Indeed, when we apply the eigen-decomposition theorem to $G_{n}$, we find

$$G_{n} = \frac{\langle r_{isol} \rangle \langle l_{isol} \rangle}{\lambda_{isol} - \lambda} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{\langle v^{(r)}_{j} \rangle \langle v^{(l)}_{j} \rangle}{\lambda_{j} - \lambda},$$

with $\langle v^{(r)} \rangle$ and $\langle v^{(l)} \rangle$, respectively, the right and left eigenvectors associated to $\lambda_{j}$. If we set $\lambda = \lambda_{isol} - i \eta$, with $\eta$ a small real-valued regularizer, then we have

$$\lim_{\eta \to 0} i \eta G_{n}(\lambda_{isol} - i \eta) = \langle r_{isol} \rangle \langle l_{isol} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\eta).$$

Since $\lambda_{isol}$ is an outlier, the relation (4) holds, and is well defined in the infinite-size limit $n \to \infty$.

We compute the elements of the resolvent $G_{n}(\lambda - i \eta)$ using the local tree structure of sparse ensembles in the infinite-size limit. The outcome of our procedure is a set of recursive equations for the eigenvector elements $r_{j} = \langle j | r_{isol} \rangle$ and $l_{j} = \langle j | l_{isol} \rangle$ (see Supplement [47]):

$$r_{j} = -g_{j} \sum_{k \in \partial j} A_{jk} v^{(j)}_{k},$$

$$l_{j} = -g_{j} \sum_{k \in \partial j} \left( t^{(j)}_{k} \right)^{*} A_{kj},$$

with the "neighbourhood" $\partial_{j}$ the set of vertices $k(\neq j)$ for which $C_{jk} \neq 0$ or $C_{kj} \neq 0$. The variables $g_{j}$ are the diagonal elements of the resolvent $G_{n}$, i.e.,

$$g_{j} = \langle G_{n}(\lambda - i \eta) \rangle_{jj}.$$  

They solve the equations

$$g_{j} = \frac{1}{-\lambda + i \eta + A_{jj} - \sum_{k \in \partial_{j}} A_{jk} g_{k} A_{kj}},$$

$$g_{j}^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{-\lambda + i \eta + A_{jj} - \sum_{k \in \partial_{j} \setminus \{ \ell \}} A_{jk} g_{k} A_{kj}},$$

for $\lambda \notin \Omega$. The random variables $r_{j}^{(\ell)}$ and $l_{j}^{(\ell)}$ in Eqs. (5)-(6) solve

$$r_{j}^{(\ell)} = -g_{j}^{(\ell)} \sum_{k \in \partial_{j} \setminus \{ \ell \}} A_{jk} v^{(j)}_{k},$$

$$l_{j}^{(\ell)} = -g_{j}^{(\ell)} \sum_{k \in \partial_{j} \setminus \{ \ell \}} \left( t^{(j)}_{k} \right)^{*} A_{kj},$$

with $\ell \in \partial_{j}$. An outlier value $\lambda_{isol}$ is given by a value $\lambda$ for which the Eqs. (5)-(10) admit a non-trivial solution, i.e., a solution for which all eigenvector components $r_{j}$ and $l_{j}^{*}$ are neither zero-valued nor infinitely large. The Eqs. (5)-(10) apply to non-Hermitian matrices with a local tree structure, and extend studies on the largest eigenvalue of sparse symmetric matrices [55-58].

Oriented matrices

We illustrate our theory on oriented random-matrix ensembles. Oriented matrices contain only directed links, i.e., $C_{jk} C_{kj} = 0$ for all $j \neq k$. For oriented matrices the resolvent Eqs. (7) and (8) simplify and admit the solution

$$g_{j} = g_{j}^{(\ell)} = (-\lambda + A_{jj})^{-1}.$$  

The eigenvector components are then given by

$$r_{j} = r_{j}^{(\ell)}$$ for all $\ell \in \partial_{j}^{in}$,

$$l_{j} = l_{j}^{(\ell)}$$ for all $\ell \in \partial_{j}^{out},$$

where the random variables $r_{j}^{(\ell)}$ and $l_{j}^{(\ell)}$ represent a non-trivial solution to the Eqs. (9)-(10). The "in-neighbourhood" $\partial_{j}^{in}$ is the set of vertices $k(\neq j)$ with $C_{jk} \neq 0$, and the "out-neighbourhood" $\partial_{j}^{out}$ is the set of vertices $k(\neq j)$ with $C_{kj} \neq 0$.

We derive explicit analytical and numerical results by ensemble averaging the Eqs. (12)-(13). An outlier value $\lambda_{isol}$, and its associated eigenvector moments $\langle r^{\ell m} \rangle = N^{-1} \langle \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j}^{(\ell m)} \rangle$ and $\langle l^{\ell m} \rangle = n^{-1} \langle \sum_{j=1}^{n} l_{j}^{(\ell m)} \rangle$, with
FIG. 1. The outlier $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$, spectral gap $\gamma$ and first moment $\langle r \rangle$ of the eigenvector, associated to $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$, of oriented adjacency matrices. Direct-diagonalization results of matrices of finite size $n = 1000$ (markers) are compared with our theory for infinite-sized matrices, given by Eqs. (14)-(17) (solid lines). \textit{Subfigure} (a): eigenvalues of one $c$-regular matrix with Gaussian distributed off-diagonal elements, mean degree $c = 3$ and $y = 0.5$, with $y = \langle J^2 \rangle_J / \langle c(J) \rangle$ the disorder parameter. The boundary $\partial \Omega$ of the support of the spectral density, the spectral gap $\gamma$ and the outlier $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ are indicated. \textit{Subfigures} (b)-(d): the eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ with the largest real part, the spectral gap $\gamma$, and the first moment $\langle r \rangle$ of the right eigenvector associated to $\lambda_1$, all plotted as a function of $y$. The eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ is an outlier for $y < 1$, i.e., $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{\text{isol}}$, and $\lambda_1 \in \partial \Omega$ for $y > 1$. Results shown are for four different ensembles of oriented matrices. The ensembles are either $c$-regular or Poissonian with mean connectivity $c$; nonzero off-diagonal elements are i.i.d. with either a bimodal distribution $p_d(J) = (1 - \Delta)\delta(J + 1) + \Delta\delta(J - 1)$, or a Gaussian distribution with mean $\langle J \rangle_J = 1$; diagonal matrix elements are set to zero. Direct diagonalization results in subfigures (b)-(d) are from 1000 samples. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the sampled population and $\alpha = \sqrt{\langle (K_{\text{out}})^2 \rangle_{K_{\text{out}}} - \varepsilon^2}$. For $m = 1, 2$, are given by a non-trivial solution to these ensemble-averaged equations; the symbol $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes here the ensemble average with respect to the distribution $p(A_n)$. Additionally, we can compute the associated ensemble-averaged distribution of eigenvector elements using the population dynamics algorithm [47, 59–62]. We illustrate this ensemble-averaging procedure on two paradigmatic examples of sparse matrix ensembles: adjacency matrices and Laplacian matrices of oriented random graphs.

\textit{Adjacency matrices} We consider random adjacency matrices $A_n$, which represent an oriented random graph with a given joint distribution $p_{K_{\text{in}}, K_{\text{out}}}$ of in- and out-degrees [44, 63, 64]. The off-diagonal weights $A_{kj}$, with $k \neq j$, are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) with distribution $p_D$, and the diagonal weights $J_{jj}$ are i.i.d. with distribution $p_J$.

The oriented adjacency matrices we consider here have either exactly one outlier (see Fig. 1(a)), or do not have any outlier. If the outlier exists, we call the random-matrix ensemble gapped. Conversely, if the outlier does not exist, we call the ensemble gapless. If the outlier exists, its value $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ solves [47]

$$\left\langle (\lambda_{\text{isol}} - D)^{-1} \right\rangle_D = \frac{1}{c} \langle J \rangle_J$$

with $\langle \ldots \rangle_D$ and $\langle \ldots \rangle_J$ denoting, respectively, the average with respect to the distributions $p_D$ and $p_J$. The quantity $c = \langle K_{\text{in}} \rangle_{K_{\text{in}}} = \langle K_{\text{out}} \rangle_{K_{\text{out}}}$ is the mean degree of the graph, where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{K_{\text{in}}}$ and $\langle \cdot \rangle_{K_{\text{out}}}$ denote averages with respect to the indegree and outdegree distribution, respectively. Equation (14) follows from solving the ensemble averaged version of the Eqs. (5) and (6) for the eigenvector moments. The first two moments of the distribution of right- and left-eigenvector elements read [47]

$$\langle r \rangle_2 / \langle r \rangle^2 = \frac{Q}{\langle (K_{\text{out}})^2 \rangle_{K_{\text{out}}} - c},$$

$$\langle l \rangle_2 / \langle l \rangle^2 = \frac{Q}{\langle (K_{\text{in}})^2 \rangle_{K_{\text{in}}} - c},$$

with $Q = \langle J^2 \rangle_J / \langle J \rangle_J^2$. Additionally, we find the support $\Omega$ of $\rho(\lambda)$ from a stability analysis around the solution (11) to the resolvent Eqs. (7) and (8); the set $\Omega$ contains the values $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right\rangle_D^{-1} < c \langle J^2 \rangle_J.$$
and converge to the theoretical expressions for large matrix sizes \( n \gg 1 \) (for which the ensembles become local tree-like).

Equations (14)-(17) imply that the outlier of oriented adjacency matrices, and the first moments of its associated eigenvector distribution, are universal. In order to illustrate this universality, we plot in Fig. 1(b)-(d), for different matrix ensembles, the eigenvalue outlier, the spectral gap and the first two moments of the eigenvector distribution, as a function of the disorder parameter \( y = \langle J^2 \rangle_{\lambda} / \langle c J \rangle^2 \). The curves for the different ensembles collapse on the universal curve given by our analytical expressions Eqs. (14-17).

A characteristic feature of Fig. 1 is the phase transition from a gapless phase at high disorder, \( y > 1 \), to a gapped phase at low disorder, \( y < 1 \). Note that this phase transition is generic and it also appears in symmetric random matrix ensembles [55–58, 63, 66].

For large mean connectivities, \( c \gg 1 \), the distributions of right- and left-eigenvector elements become universal, and from Eqs. (5) and (6), it follows that they are Gaussian. In Fig. 2(b) we illustrate the universality of the eigenvector distributions at high connectivities \( c \). At low connectivities \( c \), the distributions are not universal, but direct-diagonalization results are in good correspondence with numerical solutions of Eqs. (5) and (6) using the population dynamics algorithm (see Fig. 2(a)).

**Laplacian matrices** Laplacian matrices are the generator matrices of the dynamics of a random walk on a graph. The defining feature of a Laplacian matrix is the constraint \( J_{jj} = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} J_{jk} \) on its diagonal elements. Symmetric Laplacian matrices have been studied in [67, 68]. Here we study the spectra of unnormalized Laplacian matrices of oriented graphs with off-diagonal matrix elements \( J_{jk} = 1 \) and with a given joint degree distribution \( p_{K_{\text{in}},K_{\text{out}}} [44, 63, 64] \).

The outlier of Laplacian matrices is given by \( \lambda_{\text{out}} = 0 \), and the distribution of right-eigenvector elements reads \( p_n(r) = \delta(r-1) \). The distribution of left-eigenvector elements \( p_L(l) \) encodes the statistics of the steady-state probability distribution of a random walk on the associated graph. We take the average of Eqs. (6) and find the moments for the distribution of left-eigenvector elements (see Supplement [47]):

\[
\langle l^2 \rangle / \langle l \rangle^2 = \frac{\left\langle \left( \frac{K_{\text{in}}}{K_{\text{out}}} \right)^2 c \right\rangle_{K_{\text{in}},K_{\text{out}}}}{\left\langle c K_{\text{out}}^2 / \langle K_{\text{out}}^2 \rangle_{K_{\text{out}}} - \left\langle c \right\rangle_{K_{\text{out}}} \right\rangle_{K_{\text{out}}}}. \tag{18}
\]

We also derive an expression for the support \( \Omega \) of the spectral-density function. We find that \( \Omega \) consists of values \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) for which either

\[
\left\langle \frac{K_{\text{out}}^2}{|\lambda + K_{\text{out}}|^2} \right\rangle_{K_{\text{out}}} > 1, \text{ or } \left\langle \frac{K_{\text{in}}^2}{|\lambda + K_{\text{out}}|^2} \right\rangle_{K_{\text{in}},K_{\text{out}}} > 1. \tag{19}
\]

In Fig. 3(a) we compare the Eqs. (19) for \( \Omega \) with direct-diagonalization results for Laplacian matrices of finite size. We also compare direct-diagonalization results for the spectral gap \( \gamma \) and the ratio of the moments \( \langle l^2 \rangle / \langle l \rangle^2 \) with the exact expressions given by Eqs. (18)-(19). Numerical results converge to the analytical expressions for large matrix sizes \( n \).

![Fig. 3](image-url) **FIG. 3.** Results for Laplacian matrices defined on an oriented Erdős-Rényi random graph with off-diagonal matrix elements \( J_{jk} = 1 \) for \( k \neq j \). We consider here an ensemble with correlated in- and out-degrees: \( p_{K_{\text{in}},K_{\text{out}}} = \delta(k_{\text{in}}; k)\delta(k_{\text{out}}; k)p_{\text{deg}}(k) \). The degree distribution \( p_{\text{deg}}(k) \) is Poissonian, i.e., \( p_{\text{deg}}(k) = Ne^{-\gamma}k! / k! \) if \( k \geq k_0 \), and \( p_{\text{deg}}(k) = 0 \) if \( k < k_0 \). Direct diagonalization results (markers) are compared with analytical results (solid lines) for \( k_0 = 2 \) and \( \gamma = 4 \). Subfigure (a): spectrum of a single matrix with \( n = 4000 \). The red line shows the boundary of the support of the spectral density \( \partial \Omega \), given by Eqs. (19). Subfigures (b)-(c): spectral gap \( \gamma \) and moments \( \langle l^2 \rangle / \langle l \rangle^2 \) are shown to converge to the theoretical values for \( n \rightarrow \infty \). Direct diagonalization results are averages over one matrix.

**Discussion** We have presented an exact theory for the outliers of random matrices with a local tree structure. Remarkably, for oriented matrices we find general analytical expressions for the outliers, the associated statistics of eigenvectors, and the support of the spectral density. These results show that the spectral properties of outliers of oriented matrices are universal. It will be interesting to explore the implications of these results for the dynamics of complex systems with unidirectional interactions, which often appear in biological systems that operate far from thermal equilibrium, for example, neural networks [69, 70] or networks of biochemical reactions [71]. Our theory, based on the Eqs. (5)-(10), applies also to non-oriented matrices, and we illustrate this on the elliptic regular ensemble in the supplement [47]. Following Refs. [15, 16] it is possible to extend our approach to random matrices with many cycles. We expect that studies along these lines will lead to a general theory for the outliers of sparse random matrices.

I.N. thanks José Negrete Jr. for a stimulating discussion.
[33] The phase of a complex system depends also on the non-linearity of the differential equations [34].
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S1. INTRODUCTION

In this Supplement we derive the Eqs. (5)-(10) yielding the isolated eigenvalue, and its associated left and right eigenvectors, of sparse non-Hermitian random matrices. Additionally, we derive the analytical expressions, given by Eqs. (15)-(20), for the outlier, the moments of the associated left and right eigenvectors, and the spectral gap of adjacency and Laplacian matrices of oriented graphs. We end the supplement with an illustration of our theory on a non-oriented sparse random matrix ensemble.

The Supplement is structured as follow. In section S2, we discuss some definitions on eigenvalues of random matrices. In section S3, we introduce the Hermitization method, which is a regularization method for non-Hermitian random matrices. In section S4, we first formulate the eigenvalue-outlier problem as a matrix inversion problem, we then show how this problem can be solved using the local tree structure of sparse matrices, and we finally derive the main Eqs. (5)-(10). In section S5, we illustrate our theory on oriented matrices with a local tree structure. In the following two sections S6 and S7, we apply our theory on oriented adjacency and Laplacian matrices, respectively, and derive the Eqs. (15)-(20). In the last section S8, we illustrate our theory on a non-oriented random matrix ensemble.

S2. PRELIMINARIES

We consider a square non-Hermitian random matrix \( A_n \), of size \( n \) with matrix elements \( A_{k\ell} = (A_n)_{k\ell} = J_{k\ell}C_{k\ell} \). The random matrix \( A_n \) is sparse: the variables \( C_{k\ell} \) are the matrix elements of the adjacency matrix of a sparse directed graph, and the complex-valued variables \( J_{k\ell} \) determine the interactions between the degrees of freedom of a process on this graph (see main text).

The matrix \( A_n \) has \( n \) complex-valued eigenvalues \( \lambda_k(A_n) \), which are the \( n \) roots of the characteristic polynomial \( \det (A_n - \lambda I_n) = 0 \). We label the eigenvalues \( \lambda_1(A_n), \lambda_2(A_n), \ldots, \lambda_n(A_n) \) with indices such that \( |\lambda_1(A_n)| \geq |\lambda_2(A_n)| \geq \ldots \geq |\lambda_n(A_n)| \); if \( |\lambda_j| = |\lambda_{j+1}| \) we label the eigenvalues such that the real part of \( \lambda_j \) is larger than the real part of \( \lambda_{j+1} \). We write the right and left eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue \( \lambda_j \) as \( |v_j^r\rangle \) and \( \langle v_j^l| \), respectively.

We call \( \lambda_1 \) an outlier if, with probability one, \( \lambda_1 \notin \Omega \) for \( n \to \infty \). Here, the set \( \Omega \) is the support of the spectral density, i.e., the set of all values \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) for which the spectral density function \( \rho(\lambda) > 0 \). The spectral density \( \rho(\lambda) \) is the absolute continuous part of the empirical spectral distribution in the limit \( n \to \infty \) (see main text). In the examples we consider, the outlier is always given by \( \lambda_1 \) (if it exists), and we write \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} = \lambda_1 \), \( |r_{\text{isol}}\rangle = |v_1^r\rangle \) and \( \langle l_{\text{isol}}| = \langle v_1^l| \).

We also define the singular values \( \{s_j\}_{j=1,n} \) of \( A_n \), which are the eigenvalues of the matrix \( \sqrt{A_n A_n^\dagger} \), i.e., \( s_j(A_n) = \lambda_j \left( \sqrt{A_n A_n^\dagger} \right) > 0 \). The singular values are also the eigenvalues of the Hermitized matrix

\[
H_{2n} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
0_n & A_n \\
\overline{A_n} & 0_n
\end{array} \right),
\]

which has the eigenvalues \( \pm s_j(A_n) \). We denote conjugate-transposition by the symbol "\( ^\dagger \)" and, we denote complex conjugation by the symbol "\( ^* \)".

S3. HERMITIZATION METHOD FOR NON-HERMITIAN MATRIX ENSEMBLES

We revisit the Hermitization method [1, 2]. The Hermitization method regularizes the resolvent \( G_n = (A_n - \lambda I_n)^{-1} \) of a matrix \( A_n \), in other words, it removes its singular points from its domain. Through Hermitization we can work
directly in the asymptotic limit \( n \to \infty \), albeit using a regularized ensemble. Working in the asymptotic limit \( n \to \infty \) is of advantage, since sparse non-Hermitian ensembles are local tree like in this limit, and therefore become tractable with recursive methods [3, 4].

We regularize the ensemble \( A_n \) by defining the normal matrix \( M_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) \) [2–4]:

\[
M_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix}
\eta I_n & -i(A_n - \lambda 1_n) \\
-i(A_n^\dagger - \lambda^* 1_n) & \eta I_n
\end{pmatrix} .
\]  

(S2)

Since \( M_{2n}^\dagger M_{2n} = M_{2n}M_{2n}^\dagger \), the matrix \( M_{2n} \) is unitarily similar to a diagonal matrix. The matrix \( M_{2n} \) can also be written as

\[
M_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) = \eta 1_{2n} - i B_{2n}(\lambda) ,
\]  

(S3)

with \( B_{2n} \) a Hermitian matrix. The Hermitian matrix \( B_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) \) is the same as in Eq.(2) of Ref. [4], and appears also in the work [3]. In these references the spectral density function \( \rho(\lambda) = -\lim_{n \to \infty} (n \pi)^{-1} \partial^* \text{Tr} G_n \) of sparse non-Hermitian ensembles is computed using the regularized random matrix \( B_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) \) ("\( \text{Tr}^* \)" is the trace operator and \( \partial^* = 1/2(\partial_x + i \partial_y) \)).

The inverse of the matrix \( M_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) \) is:

\[
-i M_{2n}^{-1}(\lambda, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix}
C_n(\lambda, \eta) & \tilde{G}_n(\lambda, \eta) \\
G_n(\lambda, \eta) & D_n(\lambda, \eta)
\end{pmatrix} ,
\]  

(S4)

with

\[
C_n = \frac{-i \eta}{\eta^2 1_n + (A_n - \lambda 1_n)(A_n^\dagger - \lambda^* 1_n)} ,
\]  

(S5)

\[
\tilde{G}_n(\lambda, \eta) = (A_n^\dagger - \lambda^* 1_n) \left( -\eta^2 1_n + (A_n - \lambda 1_n)(A_n^\dagger - \lambda^* 1_n) \right)^{-1} ,
\]  

(S6)

\[
D_n = -i \eta^{-1} \left[ 1_n - (A_n^\dagger - \lambda^* 1_n) \left( \eta^2 1_n + (A_n - \lambda 1_n)(A_n^\dagger - \lambda^* 1_n) \right)^{-1} (A_n - \lambda 1_n) \right] ,
\]  

(S7)

The matrix \( \tilde{G}_n(\lambda, \eta) \) has no singularities for values \( \eta > 0 \), and the procedure thus regularizes \( G_n(\lambda) \) when \( \eta > 0 \). This approach is not rigorous, since it is not known whether the two limits \( \eta \to 0 \) and \( n \to \infty \) commute. Nevertheless, comparisons with direct diagonalization results for the spectral density of sparse matrices provide evidence that the method is exact [3–6], which indicates that the two limits commute.

In the following we apply the Hermitization method to the outlier problem of sparse non-Hermitian matrices.

### S4. Derivation of the Equations for the Outliers of Local Tree-Like Matrices

We derive the recursive Eqs. (5)-(10) using the Hermitization method and the local tree-like property of sparse ensembles in the limit \( n \to \infty \).

#### A. Outliers and the Hermitization method

We first show how the outliers of sparse non-Hermitian matrix ensembles can be calculated within the framework of the Hermitization method. Indeed, we show that the eigenvectors of an outlier \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \) can be written in terms of the inverse of the normal matrix \( M_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) \).

The matrix \( M_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) \) has the eigenvalues \( \{ \eta + i s_j(\lambda 1_n - \lambda 1_n) \}_{j=1..n} \) and \( \{ \eta - i s_j(\lambda 1_n - A_n) \}_{j=1..n} \). Since \( M_{2n}(\lambda, \eta) \) is normal, the right and left eigenvectors associated to these eigenvalues are the same. If we set \( \lambda \) equal to an eigenvalue of the matrix \( A_n \), for instance \( \lambda = \lambda_k(A_n) \), then \( M_{2n}(\lambda_k, \eta) \) has the eigenvalue \( \eta \) with algebraic multiplicity two.
Let us for now consider that \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_{\text{isol}} \), an isolated outlier of the random matrix ensemble. The eigenspace associated to \( \eta \) is spanned by the two orthogonal vectors \( |v_{\text{isol}}^\sigma\rangle = (|l_{\text{isol}}\rangle, |r_{\text{isol}}\rangle)^T \), and \( |v_{\text{isol}}^-\rangle = (-|l_{\text{isol}}\rangle, |r_{\text{isol}}\rangle)^T \). We write \( M_{2n}(\lambda_{\text{isol}}, \eta) \) in terms of its eigenvalues and eigenvectors

\[
M_{2n}(\lambda_{\text{isol}}, \eta) = \eta \sum_{\sigma = \pm} |v_{\text{isol}}^\sigma\rangle\langle v_{\text{isol}}^\sigma| + \sum_{j=3}^{2n} m_j |v_j\rangle\langle v_j| ,
\]

which is possible since \( M_{2n} \) is normal. Here, \( \{m_j\}_{j=3,\ldots,2n} \) are the \( 2(n-1) \) remaining eigenvalues of \( M_{2n} \), given by \( \eta + i s_j(\lambda_{\text{isol}}1_n - A_n) \) and \( \eta - i s_j(\lambda_{\text{isol}}1_n - A_n) \), for \( j \neq 1 \); \( |v_j\rangle \) are the corresponding eigenvectors. The inverse of \( M_{2n} \) is thus:

\[
M_{2n}^{-1} = \eta^{-1} \sum_{\sigma = \pm} |v_{\text{isol}}^\sigma\rangle\langle v_{\text{isol}}^\sigma| + \sum_{j=3}^{2n} m_j^{-1} |v_j\rangle\langle v_j| .
\]

Since \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \) is an outlier, it is isolated from all the other eigenvalues \( \lambda_j \) by a gap \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} - \lambda_j \sim O(1) \). Therefore, we have

\[
\lim_{\eta \to 0^+} M_{2n}^{-1} = \eta^{-1} \sum_{\sigma = \pm} |v_{\text{isol}}^\sigma\rangle\langle v_{\text{isol}}^\sigma| + O(1) .
\]

We find thus the following expressions for the eigenvectors associated to an outlier \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \)

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha |l_{\text{isol}}\rangle \\ \beta |r_{\text{isol}}\rangle \end{array} \right) = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \eta M_{2n}^{-1}(\lambda_{\text{isol}}, \eta)|1\rangle,
\]

with \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) constants, and with \( |1\rangle = (1\ 1\ \ldots\ 1)^T \). Without loss of generality we can set the constants \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) to one, i.e., \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( \beta = 1 \). These constants merely reflect the fact that any scalar multiple of an eigenvector is still an eigenvector.

We have thus shown that the left and right eigenvectors associated to an outlier \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \) can be expressed as the inverse of a matrix, \( \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \eta M_{2n}^{-1}(\lambda_{\text{isol}}, \eta)|1\rangle \). In the next subsection we show how to compute the inverse of \( M_{2n}^{-1} \).

\section*{B. Matrix inversion with methods from sparse-random-matrix theory}

We show how to compute the vector \( \eta M_{2n}^{-1}(\lambda, \eta)|1\rangle \) with methods from sparse-random-matrix theory. We use Gaussian belief propagation to compute the inverse of the normal matrix \( M_{2n}^{-1} \) \cite{3, 7–9}; in physics Gaussian belief propagation is often called the cavity method \cite{3, 10}. In the first paragraph, we formulate the inversion of a normal matrix as an inference problem of marginals of a Gaussian distribution. In the second paragraph, we solve this inference problem with Gaussian belief propagation.

\subsection*{1. Matrix inversion and inference in Gaussian distributions}

We formulate the matrix-inversion problem \( \eta M_{2n}^{-1}(\lambda, \eta)|1\rangle \) as an inference problem on a Gaussian distribution. Let us therefore introduce the multivariate Gaussian distribution \cite{11}:

\[
p_{\phi}(x, x^1; M_{2n}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left( -x^\dagger M_{2n}x + i \phi^1 x + i x^1 \phi \right),
\]

\[
Z_\phi = \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{\det M_{2n}} \exp \left( -\phi^\dagger M_{2n}^{-1} \phi \right),
\]

where \( x \) and \( \phi \) are \( 2n \)-dimensional vector with complex components, and \( p_\phi \) is a distribution defined on the domain \( x \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \). For simplicity, we write \( p_\phi(x, x^1; M_{2n}) = p_\phi(x, x^1) \). For \( \eta > 0 \), the Hermitian part of \( M_{2n} \) is positive-definite, which ensures the convergence of integrals involving the distribution of Eq. (S12). Note that this corresponds with the fact that the regularized resolvent \( G_\alpha(\lambda, \eta) \) has no singularities for \( \eta > 0 \), as discussed in Section S3. Moreover,
since $M_{2n}$ is a normal matrix it can be diagonalized by a unitary transformation. Using these two properties, and
the formal marginalization of a Gaussian distribution, i.e.,
\begin{equation}
    p_{\hat{\Phi}}(x_j, x_j^*) = \int \left( \prod_{k=1(k \neq j)}^{2n} dx_k dx_k^* \right) p_{\hat{\Phi}}(x, x^t),
\end{equation}
we find the identity
\begin{equation}
    i \left( M_{2n}^{-1} \right)_{jj} = \int dx_j dx_j^* x_j p_{\hat{\Phi}}(x_j, x_j^*).
\end{equation}
We apply Eq. (S15) to the vector $\hat{\Phi} = \eta |1\rangle$, from which we find an expression for the elements of the vector
$\eta M_{2n}^{-1} (\lambda, \eta) |1\rangle$ in terms of the distribution $p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*)$, viz.,
\begin{equation}
    \eta(j | M_{2n}^{-1} | 1) = -i \int dx_j dx_j^* x_j p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*).
\end{equation}
The function $p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*)$ is the marginal distribution of the $j$-th vertex of the Gaussian distribution
\begin{equation}
    p_{|1\rangle}(x, x^t) = \frac{1}{Z_{|1\rangle}} \exp \left[ -x^t M_{2n} x + i \eta \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (x_j + x_j^*) \right].
\end{equation}
The probability distribution $p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*)$, of the $j$-th vertex of the Gaussian distribution (S17), gives thus the solution
to our original problem $\eta(j | M_{2n}^{-1} (\lambda, \eta) | 1)$. This implies that we have translated a matrix inversion problem into an
inference problem.

2. Gaussian belief propagation for sparse random matrices

With Gaussian belief propagation it is possible to infer the single-vertex marginal of a Gaussian distribution on a
class of graphs [7, 8]. Here we apply this method to the inference of $p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*) [3, 9]$.

Before proceeding, we introduce a convenient change of variables. We define the two-dimensional vectors
\begin{equation}
    x_j = \begin{pmatrix} x_j + n \\ x_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,
\end{equation}
and write the Gaussian distribution, given by Eq. (S17), in terms of the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$:
\begin{equation}
    p_{|1\rangle} \left( \{x_j, x_j^t\}_{j=1, \ldots, n} \right) = \frac{1}{Z_{|1\rangle}} \exp \left[ -i \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^t \left( -i \eta \mathbf{1}_2 + \lambda \right) x_k + i \sum_{k,j=1}^{n} x_k^t A_{kj} x_j + \eta \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( u^t x_k + x_k^t u \right) \right],
\end{equation}
with the two-dimensional vector $u = (1 \ 1)^T$. The $2 \times 2$ matrices $\lambda$ and $A_{kj}$ are given by:
\begin{equation}
    A_{kj} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{kj} \\ A_{kj}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}
Equation (S19) is a Gaussian distribution of two-dimensional state vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ placed on the nodes of a random
graph, and interacting through the couplings $\{A_{kj}\}_{k,j=1, \ldots, n}$. The single-vertex marginal $p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*)$ is the marginal
of a Gaussian distribution, and can thus be parametrized in terms of a square matrix $G_j$, and a column vector $H_j$,
both of dimension two:
\begin{equation}
    p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*) \sim \exp \left( -ix_j^t (G_j)^{-1} x_j + ix_j^t H_j + i (H_j)^t x_j \right),
\end{equation}
where $j = 1, \ldots, n$. The approximation symbol in Eq. (S21) means that the distribution $p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*)$ is normalized,
\textit{i.e.}, $\int dx_j dx_j^* p_{|1\rangle}(x_j, x_j^*) = 1$, with $dx_j dx_j^t \equiv dx_j dx_j^* dx_{j+n} dx_{j+n}^*$. In terms of these new variables, all components of
\( \eta M^{-1}_{2n}(\lambda, \eta)|1\rangle \) are expressed as

\[
\eta \langle j|M^{-1}_{2n}|1 \rangle = -i \int dx_j dx_j^\dagger \langle x_j | \langle j|M^{-1}_{2n}|x_j \rangle = -i(G_j H_j)|1\rangle, \quad (S22)
\]

\( \eta \langle j+n|M^{-1}_{2n}|1 \rangle = -i \int dx_j dx_j^\dagger \langle x_j | \langle j+n|M^{-1}_{2n}|x_j \rangle = -i(G_j H_j)|2\rangle. \quad (S23)
\]

We identify the components of the isolated eigenvectors according to Eq. (S11), and find a relation for the eigenvector components as a function of the variables \( G_j \) and \( H_j \):

\[
\langle j|_{\text{isol}} = -i \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} (G_j H_j)^l_{1 \lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}}},
\]

\( \langle j|_{\text{isol}} = -i \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} (G_j H_j)^l_{2 \lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}}}. \quad (S24)\)

The next step consists in deriving a relation for the variables \( G_j \) and \( H_j \), or equivalently, the marginal \( p_{\{1\}}(x_j, x_j^\dagger) \).

We thus need to solve following inference problem

\[
p_{\{1\}}(x_j, x_j^\dagger) = \int \left( \prod_{k \neq j} \int dx_k dx_k^\dagger \right) p_{\{1\}} \left( \{x, x^\dagger\}_{\ell=1,...,n} \right), \quad (S26)
\]

with the distribution \( p_{\{1\}} \left( \{x, x^\dagger\}_{\ell=1,...,n} \right) \) given by Eq. (S19). We separate in Eq. (S19) the terms coming from the \( j \)-th vertex from the other terms

\[
p_{\{1\}} \left( \{x, x^\dagger\}_{\ell=1,...,n} \right) \sim \exp \left[ -i x_j^\dagger \left( -i\eta 1_2 + \lambda - A_{jj} \right) x_j + i \eta u^\dagger x_j + i \eta x_j^\dagger u \right]
\]

\[
\times \exp \left[ i x_j^\dagger \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} x_k + i \sum_{k \in \partial_j} x_k^\dagger A_{kj} x_j \right] p_{\{1\}}^{(j)} \left( \{x_k, x_k^\dagger\}_{k=1,...,n,k \neq j} \right), \quad (S27)
\]

where \( \partial_j \) is the set of nodes adjacent to \( j \) (i.e., the indices \( k \) for which either \( A_{kj} \neq 0 \) or \( A_{jk} \neq 0 \)); \( p_{\{1\}}^{(j)} \left( \{x_k, x_k^\dagger\}_{k=1,...,n,k \neq j} \right) \) is the distribution associated to the cavity matrix \( A_{n-1}^{(j)} \). The cavity matrix \( A_{n-1}^{(j)} \) is the \((n-1)\)-dimensional submatrix of \( A_n \), which we obtain from \( A_n \) by removing the \( j \)-th row and the \( j \)-th column [4].

The approximation symbol in Eq. (S27) means again normalization. Inserting Eq. (S27) in Eq. (S26), and integrating out all variables \( x_k \) and \( x_k^\dagger \) with \( k \notin \partial_j \cup \{j\} \), we get

\[
p_{\{1\}}(x_j, x_j^\dagger) \sim \exp \left[ -i x_j^\dagger \left( -i\eta 1_2 + \lambda - A_{jj} \right) x_j + i \eta u^\dagger x_j + i \eta x_j^\dagger u \right]
\]

\[
\times \int \left( \prod_{k \in \partial_j} \int dx_k dx_k^\dagger \right) \exp \left[ i x_j^\dagger \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} x_k + i \sum_{k \in \partial_j} x_k^\dagger A_{kj} x_j \right] p_{\{1\}}^{(j)}(x_{\partial_j}, x_{\partial_j}^\dagger), \quad (S28)
\]

with \( p_{\{1\}}^{(j)}(x_{\partial_j}, x_{\partial_j}^\dagger) \) denoting the joint distribution of the state variables belonging to the \( \partial_j \). For sparse random ensembles in the limit \( n \to \infty \), the vertices in the neighbourhood set \( \partial_j \) form disconnected branches. This implies that the joint distribution \( p_{\{1\}}^{(j)}(x_{\partial_j}, x_{\partial_j}^\dagger) \) factorizes

\[
p_{\{1\}}^{(j)}(x_{\partial_j}, x_{\partial_j}^\dagger) = \prod_{k \in \partial_j} p_{\{1\}}^{(j)}(x_k, x_k^\dagger), \quad (S29)
\]

in the infinite size limit. The above independence property is the essence of Gaussian belief propagation, and is here a consequence of the local tree structure of \( A_n \). Substituting Eq. (S29) back in Eq. (S28) leads to

\[
p_{\{1\}}(x_j, x_j^\dagger) \sim \exp \left[ -i x_j^\dagger \left( -i\eta 1_2 + \lambda - A_{jj} \right) x_j + i \eta u^\dagger x_j + i \eta x_j^\dagger u \right]
\]

\[
\times \prod_{k \in \partial_j} \int dx_k dx_k^\dagger \exp \left( i x_k^\dagger A_{jk} x_k + i x_j^\dagger A_{kj} x_j \right) p_{\{1\}}^{(j)}(x_k, x_k^\dagger), \quad (S30)
\]
In order to proceed further, we use the following parametrization of the marginals \( p_{11}^{(j)}(x_k, x_k^\dagger) \)

\[
p_{11}^{(j)}(x_k, x_k^\dagger) \sim \exp \left( -i x_k^\dagger \left( G_k^{(j)} \right)^{-1} x_k + i x_k^\dagger H_k^{(j)} + i (H_k^{(j)})^\dagger x_k \right),
\]

(S31)

with \( G_k^{(j)} \) and \( H_k^{(j)} \) matrices and column vectors of dimension 2; the variables \( G_k^{(j)} \) and \( H_k^{(j)} \) for the matrix \( A_{n-1}^{(j)} \) are equivalent to the variables \( G_k \) and \( H_k \) for the matrix \( A_n \). Inserting the above relation in Eq. (S30) and integrating out the state variables \( \{ x_k, x_k^\dagger \}_{k \in \partial_j} \), we obtain

\[
p_{11}^{(j)}(x_j, x_j^\dagger) \sim \exp \left[ -ix_j^\dagger \left( -i \eta 1_2 + \lambda - A_{jj} - \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} G_k^{(j)} A_{kj} \right) x_j \right]
\times \exp \left[ i x_j^\dagger \left( \eta u + \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} G_k^{(j)} H_k^{(j)} \right)^\dagger + i \left( \eta u + \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} G_k^{(j)} H_k^{(j)} \right) x_j \right].
\]

(S32)

Finally, we substitute Eq. (S32) in Eqs. (S22) and (S23), and find a set of equations for \( G_j \) and \( H_j \):

\[
G_j = \left( -i \eta 1_2 + \lambda - A_{jj} - \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} G_k^{(j)} A_{kj} \right)^{-1},
\]

(S33)

\[
H_j = \eta 1_2 + \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} G_k^{(j)} H_k^{(j)}.
\]

(S34)

The random variables \( G_j \) and \( H_j \) depend on \( \{ G_k^{(j)} \}_{k \in \partial_j} \) and \( \{ H_k^{(j)} \}_{k \in \partial_j} \), both defined on a cavity matrix \( A_{n-1}^{(j)} \). At this level of our approach, these variables remain undetermined, and we need a closed set of equations for the single site marginals \( p_{11}^{(j)}(x_k, x_k^\dagger) \).

In order to derive a set of equations in the random variables \( G_j^{(\ell)} \) and \( H_k^{(\ell)} \), with \( \ell \in \partial_j \), we repeat the same procedure as described above, but we apply it now to the cavity matrix \( A_{n-1}^{(\ell)} \). In other words, we are developing a recursive procedure. We have now the following inference problem to solve

\[
p_{11}^{(\ell)}(x_j, x_j^\dagger) = \int \left( \prod_{k=1}^{2n} dx_k dx_k^\dagger \right) p_{11}^{(\ell)}(\{ x_k, x_k^\dagger \}), \quad \ell \in \partial_j.
\]

(S35)

The marginal \( p_{11}^{(\ell)}(x_j, x_j^\dagger) \) on the cavity graph is given by an expression similar to the right hand side of Eq. (S30), but in this case the product runs over \( \partial_j \setminus \ell \), since \( \ell \in \partial_j \). After following the aforementioned program, we find that the random variables \( G_j^{(\ell)} \) and \( H_j^{(\ell)} \) solve the following equations:

\[
G_j^{(\ell)} = \left( -i \eta 1_2 + \lambda - A_{jj} - \sum_{k \in \partial_j \setminus \{ \ell \}} A_{jk} G_k^{(j, \ell)} A_{kj} \right)^{-1},
\]

(S36)

\[
H_j^{(\ell)} = \eta 1_2 + \sum_{k \in \partial_j \setminus \{ \ell \}} A_{jk} G_k^{(j, \ell)} H_k^{(j, \ell)}.
\]

(S37)

Equations (S36) and (S37) are equivalent to the Eqs. (S33) and (S34), with the difference that Eqs. (S36)-(S37) apply to the matrix \( A_n \), whereas the Eqs. (S33)-(S34) apply to the cavity matrix \( A_{n-1}^{(\ell)} \). We see that the random variables \( G_j^{(\ell)} \) and \( H_j^{(\ell)} \) are now expressed in terms of random variables \( G_k^{(j, \ell)} \) and \( H_k^{(j, \ell)} \). We need to repeat the recursion procedure to find expressions for the random variables \( G_k^{(j, \ell)} \) and \( H_k^{(j, \ell)} \).

In order to close the recursion, we set \( G^{(j, \ell)} = G^{(j)} \) and \( H^{(j, \ell)} = H^{(j)} \). These identities are valid for sparse ensembles.
in the limit \( n \to \infty \), because they are local tree like. The Eqs. (S36) and (S37) become:

\[
G^{(t)}_j = \left( -i\eta 1_2 + \lambda - A_{jj} - \sum_{k \in \partial \setminus \{t\}} A_{jk} G^{(j)}_k A_{kj} \right)^{-1},
\]

\[
H^{(t)}_j = \eta 1_2 + \sum_{k \in \partial \setminus \{t\}} A_{jk} G^{(j)}_k H^{(j)}_k.
\]

Equations (S33), (S34), (S38) and (S39) form a closed set of equations that determine the spectral properties of sparse non-Hermitian matrices. The limit \( n \to 0^+ \) is implicit in these equations.

The outlier \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \) is given by values \( \lambda \) for which the Eqs. (S34) and (S39) admit a non-trivial solution, i.e., a solution for which \( H_j \neq 0 \) \( (\forall j) \) or \( H_j \neq \pm \infty \) \( (\forall j) \). This non-trivial solution determines then the eigenvectors associated to the outlier \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \) via Eqs. (S24)-(S25). Apart from the outlier, the Eqs. (S33) and (S38) determine also the spectral density of the ensemble via \( \rho(\lambda) = (n\pi)^{-1} \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \partial_+ \sum_{j=1}^n (G_j H_j)_2 \) \([3, 4]\).

Note that our formalism unifies a theory for the outliers of sparse non-Hermitian matrices with the theory for the spectral density function \( \rho(\lambda) \) of Refs. \([3, 4]\). In the following section, we show that the resultant theory can be simplified.

C. Simplification for \( \lambda \not\in \Omega \)

Outliers lie, by definition, outside the support \( \Omega \) of the spectral density. Since the resolvent is well defined outside the support of the spectral density, the Eqs. (S33), (S34), (S38) and (S39) simplify for values \( \lambda \not\in \Omega \). The Hermitization procedure provides advantage in our calculations, which deal with normal matrices. But, conceptually there seems no need to regularize the ensemble \( A_n \) outside the support of the spectral density.

Here we show how that, indeed, the final Eqs. (S33), (S34), (S38) and (S39) on the regularized ensemble \( M_{2n} \), can be simplified into equations of random variables defined on the original ensemble \( A_n \). The final result of this procedure are the Eqs. (5)-(10) in the main text.

The Eqs. (S33) and (S38) admit the solution

\[
G_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -g^*_j \\ -g_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G^{(t)}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\left( g^{(t)}_j \right)^* \\ -g^{(t)}_j & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

(S40)

which is stable for all values \( \lambda \not\in \Omega \). The random variables \( g_j \) are the diagonal elements of the resolvent \( (A_n - \lambda 1_n)^{-1} \), i.e., \( g_j = \left[ (A_n - \lambda 1_n)^{-1} \right]_{jj} \). Analogously, the random variables \( g^{(t)}_j \) are the diagonal elements of the resolvent of the cavity matrix \( A^{(t)}_{n-1} \), i.e., \( g^{(t)}_j = \left[ (A^{(t)}_{n-1} - \lambda 1_n)^{-1} \right]_{jj} \).

Since here we are interested in the spectral properties of the outlier, which satisfies \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \not\in \Omega \), we use the simplified solution, given by Eq. (S40), in the Eqs. (S33) and (S38). We find that \( g_j \) and \( g^{(t)}_j \) solve the equations

\[
g_j = \frac{1}{-\lambda + i\eta + A_{jj} - \sum_{k \in \partial} A_{jk} g^{(j)}_k A_{kj}},
\]

\[
g^{(t)}_j = \frac{1}{-\lambda + i\eta + A_{jj} - \sum_{k \in \partial \setminus \{t\}} A_{jk} g^{(j)}_k A_{kj}},
\]

(S41) (S42)

for \( \lambda \not\in \Omega \), and for which the limit \( \eta \to 0^+ \) is implicit. Note that for real and symmetric matrices the resolvent relations (S118)-(S116) are identical to the resolvent equations in the Refs. \([10, 12, 12–14]\).

The relations for the random variables \( H_j \) and \( H^{(t)}_j \), given by (S34) and (S39), simplify as well when we use solution (S40). Let us first introduce some novel notation. We write for the elements of the right- and left-eigenvectors of \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \), respectively, \( r_j = \langle j | r_{\text{isol}} \rangle \) and \( l_j = \langle j | l_{\text{isol}} \rangle \). From Eqs. (S24)-(S25) it follows that

\[
l_j = -i \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} (G_j H_j) \bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}}},
\]

\[
r_j = -i \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} (G_j H_j)_2 \bigg|_{\lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}}},
\]

(S43) (S44)
When we apply the same arguments to the cavity matrix $A_{n-1}^{(l)}$, we find

$$i_j^{(l)} = -i \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \left( G_j^{(l)} H_j^{(l)} \right)_1 |_{\lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}}} ,$$  \hfill (S45)

$$r_j^{(l)} = -i \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \left( G_j^{(l)} H_j^{(l)} \right)_2 |_{\lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}}} ,$$  \hfill (S46)

for the eigenvector elements $r_j^{(l)} = \langle j | r_j^{(l)} | \rangle$ and $l_j^{(l)} = \langle j | l_j^{(l)} | \rangle$ of the eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ of the cavity matrix $A_{n-1}^{(l)}$. After substitution of Eq. (S40) in the Eqs. (S34) and (S39), we find that the random variables $r_j$, $l_j$, $r_j^{(l)}$ and $l_j^{(l)}$ solve the equations:

$$r_j = -g_j \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} C^{(j)}_{kk},$$  \hfill (S47)

$$l_j^* = -g_j \sum_{k \in \partial_j} \left( l_k^{(j)} \right)^* A_{kj},$$  \hfill (S48)

$$r_j^{(l)} = -g_j^{(l)} \sum_{k \in \partial_j \setminus \{l\}} A_{jk} r_k^{(l)},$$  \hfill (S49)

$$\left( l_j^{(l)} \right)^* = -g_j^{(l)} \sum_{k \in \partial_j \setminus \{l\}} \left( l_k^{(j)} \right)^* A_{kj}. $$  \hfill (S50)

We have thus derived the Eqs. (5)-(10) in the main text.

### S5. THEORY FOR ORIENTED RANDOM MATRICES

We demonstrate our theory on oriented random matrices. Oriented matrices are matrices for which all links between two vertices are directed, i.e., for which $C_{jk} C_{kj} = 0$ for all values $j \neq k$.

In subsection A, we apply the relations (5)-(10) to oriented matrix ensembles. In subsection B, we derive a closed set of equations for the average of the distribution of eigenvector elements $r_j$ and $l_j$ associated to the outlier $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$, and we discuss how to solve this equation numerically with the population dynamics algorithm. In subsection C, we present a closed set of equations for the first moments the average distribution of eigenvector elements. In the last subsection D, we derive an exact equation for the boundary of the support of the spectral density function.

#### A. Generic equations for an oriented random matrix

For an oriented random matrix, the Eqs. (S118)-(S116) simplify considerably. We find the following explicit expression for the resolvent elements

$$g_j = g_j^{(l)} = \frac{1}{\lambda + i\eta + A_{jj}}. $$  \hfill (S51)

We use the explicit expression for the resolvent elements, given by Eq. S51, in the Eqs. (S123)-(S50) for the right- and left-eigenvector elements:

$$r_j = r_j^{(l)}, \quad \ell \in \partial^\text{in}_j ,$$  \hfill (S52)

$$l_j = l_j^{(l)}, \quad \ell \in \partial^\text{out}_k ,$$  \hfill (S53)

$$r_j^{(l)} = \frac{1}{\lambda - J_{jj}} \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{out}_j} J_{jk} r_k^{(l)} ,$$  \hfill (S54)

$$l_j^{(l)} = \frac{1}{\lambda - J_{jj}} \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{out}_j} J_{kj} l_k^{(l)} .$$  \hfill (S55)

Here, the in-neighbourhood $\partial^\text{in}_j$ of the $j$-th vertex is the set of vertices $k \neq j$ with $C_{kj} \neq 0$, and the out-neighbourhood $\partial^\text{out}_j$ of the $j$-th vertex is the set of vertices $k \neq j$ with $C_{jk} \neq 0$. 
The Eqs. (S54) express the random variables \( r_j \) and \( r^{(l)}_j \) in terms of local random variables that are statistically independent for \( n \to \infty \). Indeed, in this limit the variables \( \{ r^{(j)}_k \}_{k \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{in}}} \) are mutually independent, and they are also independent of the couplings \( \{ J_{jk} \}_{k \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{in}}} \). A similar statement holds for the Eqs. (S55). The statistical independence of the random variables on the right hand side of Eqs. (S54) and (S55) is important, since it allows us to derive a closed and self-consistent equation for the ensemble-averaged distribution of eigenvector elements; this statistical independence allows us also to derive a closed set of equations in the first moments of the average distribution of eigenvector elements. Oriented random matrices are thus exactly solvable, in the sense that we can derive exact analytical expressions for several spectral quantities, such as the eigenvalue outlier and the first moments of the associated eigenvectors. Note that the fact that oriented matrices are exactly solvable seems to be related to the fact that the dynamics of spin models on oriented graphs is also exactly solvable [15, 16]. In the following subsections we derive a closed set of equations for the ensemble averaged distribution of eigenvector elements.

### B. Population dynamics algorithm

We derive a closed set of equations in the distribution of eigenvector elements \( p_{R,L}(r,l) \) associated to the outlier \( \lambda_{\text{isol}} \). We define the distribution of eigenvector elements as

\[
p_{R,L}(r,l) = \left\langle n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta(r - r_j)\delta(l - l_j) \right\rangle.
\]

We take the average of Eqs. (S52)-(S55) over the ensemble \( p(A_n) \) and find that \( p_{R,L}(r,l) \) solves the following equation

\[
p_{R,L}(r,l) = \sum_{K^{\text{in}}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{K^{\text{out}}=0}^{\infty} p_{\text{deg}}(K^{\text{in}}, K^{\text{out}}) \int dD \prod_{k=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} dJ_k \prod_{k=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} dJ'_k \ p(D, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J'_1, J'_2, \ldots, J'_{K^{\text{in}}}) \int \prod_{k=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} dr_k \prod_{k=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} L_k p_{\text{R}}(r_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} L_k p_{\text{L}}(l_k) \delta \left( r - \frac{1}{(\lambda - D)} \sum_{k=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_k r_k \right) \delta \left( l - \frac{1}{(\lambda^* - D^*)} \sum_{k=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} (J'_k)^* l_k \right).
\]

Here \( p_{\text{deg}}(K^{\text{in}}, K^{\text{out}}) \) is the distribution of in- and out-degrees. Recall that the random variables \( K^{\text{in}}_j \) and \( K^{\text{out}}_j \) are, respectively, the indegree and the outdegree of the \( j \)-th vertex. They are defined as the size of the sets \( \delta^{\text{in}}_j \) and \( \delta^{\text{out}}_j \), respectively. The degrees \((K^{\text{in}}_j, K^{\text{out}}_j)\) are thus random variables taken from the distribution \( p_{\text{deg}}(K^{\text{in}}, K^{\text{out}}) \).

We have also introduced a new notation in order to distinguish clearly between diagonal and off-diagonal matrix elements: \( D \) represents an arbitrary diagonal element, whereas the random variables \( \{ J_j \} \) and \( \{ J'_j \} \) denote off-diagonal elements. In the language of graph theory, \( J_1, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J'_1, \ldots, J'_{K^{\text{in}}} \) are the weights of the outgoing (incoming) links of a certain node. The function \( p(D, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J'_1, J'_2, \ldots, J'_{K^{\text{in}}}) \) is the joint distribution of non-zero matrix elements associated to one vertex. We have also introduced the distributions \( p_{\text{L}}(l_k) = \int dr \ p_{R,L}(r,l) \), and \( p_{\text{R}}(r) = \int dr \ p_{R,L}(r,l) \).

We solve the Eq. (S57) through a Monte Carlo method, which is often called the population dynamics algorithm [10, 12, 13, 17]. This algorithm solves the Eq. (S57) by representing the unknown distribution \( p_{R,L}(r,l) \) as a population of \( M \) samples \( \{(r_j, l_j)\}_{j=1}^{M} \). We evolve this population randomly and sequentially according to a dynamical process. The stationary state of this process corresponds to the solution to the Eq. (S57). The algorithm consists in repeating the following steps sequentially. We first sample two random degrees \( K^{\text{in}} \) and \( K^{\text{out}} \) from the distribution \( p_{\text{deg}}(K^{\text{in}}, K^{\text{out}}) \); we then sample a set of elements \( D \), \( \{ J_k \}_{k=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} \), \( \{ J'_k \}_{k=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} \) from the distribution \( p(D, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J'_1, J'_2, \ldots, J'_{K^{\text{in}}}) \); we further sample \( K^{\text{in}} \) elements \( l_k \) and \( K^{\text{out}} \) elements \( r_k \) from the population of \( M \) samples. After having sampled all these random variables, we replace one randomly chosen sample \( (r_j, l_j) \) in
the population by the value

\[(r_j, l_j) = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda - D} \sum_{k=1}^{K_{out}} J_k r_k, \frac{1}{\lambda^* - D^*} \sum_{k=1}^{K_{in}} (J_k^*)^* l_k \right) \]  

(S58)

We repeat the above steps sequentially until the population has reached a stationary state. In the stationary state the population consist of \( M \) random samples taken from the distribution \( p_{R,L}(r,l) \) that solves the Eq. (S57).

C. Ensemble averages for the moments of the eigenvector distribution

We derive a closed set of equations in the first moments of the eigenvector distribution \( p_{R,L}(r,l) \). We write for the average of a function \( f(r,l) \): \( \langle f(r,l) \rangle = \int dr dl f(r,l) p_{R,L}(r,l) \). We take the ensemble average of the Eqs. (S54)-(S55), and find the following equations for the first and second moments of the distribution of eigenvector elements Eq. (S56):

\[ \langle r \rangle = \langle r \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{out}} J_j}{\lambda - D} \right), \]  

(S59)

\[ \langle l \rangle = \langle l \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{in}} (J_j^*)^*}{\lambda^* - D^*} \right), \]  

(S60)

\[ \langle |r|^2 \rangle = \langle |r|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{out}} |J_j|^2}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right) + \langle |r|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j}^{K_{out}} J_k J_k^*}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right), \]  

(S61)

\[ \langle |l|^2 \rangle = \langle |l|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{in}} |J_j|^2}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right) + \langle |l|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j}^{K_{in}} (J_k^*)^* (J_k^*)^*}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right), \]  

(S62)

\[ \langle r^2 \rangle = \langle r^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{out}} J_j^2}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right) + \langle r^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j}^{K_{out}} J_k J_k^*}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right), \]  

(S63)

\[ \langle l^2 \rangle = \langle l^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{in}} ((J_j^*)^*)^2}{|\lambda^* - D^*|^2} \right) + \langle l^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j}^{K_{in}} (J_k^*)^* (J_k^*)^*}{|\lambda^* - D^*|^2} \right). \]  

(S64)

The symbol \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) stands for the average over the joint distribution of the degrees \( K_{in}, K_{out} \), the off-diagonal elements \( \{J_j\} \) and \( \{J_j^*\} \), and the diagonal elements \( D \).

The solutions of the above set of equations describe the first two eigenvector moments of a broad class of oriented random matrices, including the case where the matrix elements are correlated. In Section S6 we solve the above equations analytically for ensembles of adjacency matrices with statistically independent off-diagonal and diagonal elements, and in Section S7 we solve the above equations for the ensemble of Laplacian random matrices characterizing a continuous time Markov process.

D. Boundary of the support of the spectral density

We derive a set of equations for the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) of the support of the spectral density of oriented matrices. We write \( \lambda_o \) for values of \( \lambda \) located at the boundary of the support, i.e., \( \lambda_o \in \partial \Omega \). The approach here is based on a stability analysis of the solution (S40) to the Eqs. (S33) and (S36). The solution, given by Eq. (S40), is stable for values \( \lambda \) outside the support of the spectral density function, i.e., \( \lambda \notin \Omega \). If however \( \lambda \in \Omega \), then the solution, given by Eq. (S40), is unstable under small perturbations. An expression for the boundary of the support \( \partial \Omega \) follows therefore from a linear stability analysis [4].

When we apply a linear stability analysis of the solution (S40) to the Eqs. (S33) and (S36) we find the stability
We take now the average over the ensemble with variables the resolvent Eqs. (S38) and get for matrices, given by the Eqs. (S40) and (S51), as follows:

\[
\langle \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{in}_{\ell}} |A_{jk}|^2 \rangle \leq 1, \quad \text{for matrices, given by the Eqs. (S40) and (S51), as follows:}
\]

\[
\langle \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{in}_{\ell}} |A_{jk}|^2 \rangle \leq 1.
\]

If both of the above two stability conditions hold, the solution for the resolvent, given by Eq. (S40), is stable. The support \( \Omega \) is thus the set of values \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) for which

\[
\langle \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{in}_{\ell}} |A_{jk}|^2 \rangle > 1, \quad \text{or, } \quad \langle \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{in}_{\ell}} |A_{jk}|^2 \rangle > 1.
\]

If additionally \( P(\mathbf{A}_n) = P(\mathbf{A}'_n) \), then Eqs. (S65) and (S66) are identical. The eigenvalues \( \lambda_b \) at the boundary of the support solve then

\[
1 = \left\langle \frac{\sum_{k \in \partial^\text{in}_{\ell}} |A_{jk}|^2}{|\lambda - A_{jj}|^2} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{A}_n},
\]

and the support \( \Omega \) is given by the values of \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) for which:

\[
\langle \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{in}_{\ell}} |A_{jk}|^2 \rangle > 1. \quad \text{(S69)}
\]

We now show how to derive the Eqs. (S65)-(S66). We perturb the solution for the resolvent elements of oriented matrices, given by the Eqs. (S40) and (S51), as follows:

\[
G^{(\ell)}_j = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon^{(\ell)}_{j,1} & \lambda - A_{jj} \\
\lambda^* - A^*_{jj} & \epsilon^{(\ell)}_{j,2}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

with variables \( |\epsilon^{(\ell)}_{j,1}| \ll 1 \) and \( |\epsilon^{(\ell)}_{j,2}| \ll 1 \) corresponding to a small perturbation. We substitute the expression (S70) in the resolvent Eqs. (S38) and get for \( \epsilon^{(\ell)}_{j,1}, \epsilon^{(\ell)}_{j,2} \to 0 \):

\[
G^{(\ell)}_j = \left( |\lambda - A_{jj}|^2 \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{s} \setminus \{\ell\}} \epsilon^{(\ell)}_{k,1} |A_{jk}|^2 \right) \left( \frac{\lambda - A_{jj}}{\lambda^* - A^*_{jj}} \right) \left( \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{s} \setminus \{\ell\}} \epsilon^{(\ell)}_{k,2} |A_{jk}|^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).
\]

We take now the average over the ensemble \( p(\mathbf{A}_n) \). For \( \ell \in \partial^\text{in}_j \) we find from Eqs. (S71)

\[
\left( \langle \epsilon_1 \rangle - \langle \epsilon_2 \rangle \right) = \left( \langle \epsilon_1 \rangle + \left( \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{in} \setminus \{\ell\}} \frac{|A_{jk}|^2}{|\lambda - A_{jj}|^2} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda - A_{jj}}{\lambda^* - A^*_{jj}} \right) \left( \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{in} \setminus \{\ell\}} \frac{|A_{jk}|^2}{|\lambda - A_{jj}|^2} \right) \right),
\]

and for \( \ell \in \partial^\text{out}_j \) we find from Eqs. (S71)

\[
\left( \langle \epsilon_1 \rangle + \langle \epsilon_2 \rangle \right) = \left( \langle \epsilon_1 \rangle + \left( \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{out} \setminus \{\ell\}} \frac{|A_{jk}|^2}{|\lambda - A_{jj}|^2} \right) \right) \left( \frac{\lambda - A_{jj}}{\lambda^* - A^*_{jj}} \right) \left( \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{out} \setminus \{\ell\}} \frac{|A_{jk}|^2}{|\lambda - A_{jj}|^2} \right).
\]

The Eqs. (S72)-(S73) give the stability criteria (S65) and (S66).
S6. ORIENTED ADJACENCY MATRICES

We solve the Eqs. (S59)-(S64) analytically for ensembles of adjacency matrices with statistically independent off-diagonal and diagonal elements. We consider sparse non-Hermitian matrix ensembles for which the off-diagonal elements $A_{jk}$ are statistically independent from the diagonal matrix elements $A_{jj}$. In other words:

$$p(D, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J_1', J_2', \ldots, J_{K^{\text{in}}}') = p_D(D) p(J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J_1', J_2', \ldots, J_{K^{\text{in}}}') .$$  \hspace{1cm} (S74)

Additionally, we consider that the off-diagonal elements are statistically independent, such that

$$p(D, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J_1', J_2', \ldots, J_{K^{\text{in}}}') = p_D(D) \prod_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} p_1(J_j) \prod_{j=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} p_3(J_j) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (S75)

We call such random-matrix ensembles, oriented adjacency matrices. Oriented adjacency matrices obey the symmetry property $P(A_n) = P(A_n^\dagger)$, i.e., the distribution of $A_n$ is invariant with respect to conjugate transposition. As a consequence, the distributions of right and left eigenvector elements, $p_R(r)$ and $p_L(l)$, are the same. We choose the following normalization for the eigenvectors

$$|r|^2 = |l|^2 = 1, \quad \langle r \rangle \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \langle l \rangle \in \mathbb{R}. \hspace{1cm} (S76)$$

For oriented adjacency matrices the Eqs. (S59)-(S64) become (given the normalization (S76))

$$\langle r \rangle = c \langle r \rangle J J \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda - D} \right\rangle_D , \hspace{1cm} (S77)$$
$$\langle l \rangle = c \langle l \rangle J J \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda - D} \right\rangle_D , \hspace{1cm} (S78)$$
$$1 = c \langle |J|^2 \rangle J \left\langle \frac{1}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right\rangle_D + \langle r \rangle^2 \left\langle (|K^{\text{out}}|^2) - c \right\rangle \langle J \rangle J \left\langle \frac{1}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right\rangle_D , \hspace{1cm} (S79)$$
$$1 = c \langle |J|^2 \rangle J \left\langle \frac{1}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right\rangle_D + \langle l \rangle^2 \left\langle (|K^{\text{in}}|^2) - c \right\rangle \langle J \rangle J \left\langle \frac{1}{|\lambda - D|^2} \right\rangle_D , \hspace{1cm} (S80)$$
$$\langle r^2 \rangle = c \langle r^2 \rangle J J \left\langle \frac{1}{(\lambda - D)^2} \right\rangle_D + \langle r \rangle^2 \left\langle (|K^{\text{out}}|^2) - c \right\rangle \langle J \rangle J \left\langle \frac{1}{(\lambda - D)^2} \right\rangle_D , \hspace{1cm} (S81)$$
$$\langle l^2 \rangle = c \langle l^2 \rangle (J^*) J \left\langle \frac{1}{(\lambda^* - D^*)^2} \right\rangle_D + \langle l \rangle^2 \left\langle (|K^{\text{in}}|^2) - c \right\rangle \langle J \rangle J \left\langle \frac{1}{(\lambda^* - D^*)^2} \right\rangle_D . \hspace{1cm} (S82)$$

Here $c = \langle K^{\text{in}} \rangle = \langle K^{\text{out}} \rangle$ is the average value of the indegree and the outdegree. The symbols $\langle \ldots \rangle_D$ and $\langle \ldots \rangle_J$ represent, respectively, the average over the diagonal and the off-diagonal matrix elements, with distributions $p_D$ and $p_J$, respectively.

The outliers of the random matrix ensemble are given by the values of $\lambda \notin \Omega$ for which Eqs. (S77)-(S82) have a non-trivial fixed-point solution, i.e., the left and right eigenvector moments converge to well-defined limits under iteration of Eqs. (S77-S82). We find two solutions to the Eqs. (S77-S82). One solution corresponds to the outlier $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ and a second solution $\lambda_b$ applies to the boundary of the support, i.e., $\lambda_b \in \partial \Omega$.

A. The outlier eigenpair

The outlier is given by the value $\lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}}$ for which the Eqs. (S77)-(S82) admit a nontrivial fixed-point solution with $\langle r \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle l \rangle \neq 0$. From Eqs. (S77) and (S78), it follows that $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ solves:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} - D} \right\rangle_D = \frac{1}{c} \langle J \rangle J . \hspace{1cm} (S83)$$
Substitution of the $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ solution, given by Eq. (S83), in Eqs. (S79) and (S80) leads to the following expressions for the first moments $\langle r \rangle$ and $\langle l \rangle$ of the eigenvector associated to $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$:

$$
\langle r \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{\left((K_{\text{out}}^2) - c\right) \langle J \rangle_j^2} \left[ \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} - D^2} \right\rangle_D^{-1} - c \langle |J|^2 \rangle_j \right],
$$

(S84)

$$
\langle l \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{\left((K_{\text{in}}^2) - c\right) \langle J \rangle_j^2} \left[ \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} - D^2} \right\rangle_D^{-1} - c \langle |J|^2 \rangle_j \right].
$$

(S85)

Since $\langle r \rangle^2 \geq 0$, we obtain the following condition for the existence of the outlier:

$$
\left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} - D^2} \right\rangle_D^{-1} \geq c \langle |J|^2 \rangle_j.
$$

(S86)

We compare Eq. (S86) with the Eq. (S69) for the support $\Omega$, and find that Eq. (S86) is equivalent to the condition $\lambda_{\text{isol}} \notin \Omega$. Hence, the outlier exists as long as it is located outside the absolute continuous part of the spectrum.

We find analytical expressions for the second moments $\langle r^2 \rangle$ and $\langle l^2 \rangle$ by substituting the expressions for $\langle r \rangle^2$ and $\langle l \rangle^2$, given by Eqs. (S84)-(S85), in Eqs. (S81) and (S82): 

$$
\langle r^2 \rangle = \frac{\langle J \rangle_j^2}{\langle J \rangle_j^2} \left[ \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} - D^2} \right\rangle_D^{-1} - c \langle |J|^2 \rangle_j \right],
$$

(S87)

$$
\langle l^2 \rangle = \frac{\langle J^* \rangle_j^2}{\langle J \rangle_j^2} \left[ \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} - D^2} \right\rangle_D^{-1} - c \langle |J|^2 \rangle_j \right].
$$

(S88)

Since $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ solves Eq. (S83), we find that $\lambda_{\text{isol}} \in \mathbb{R}$ as long as $J \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies $\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle l^2 \rangle = 1$ from the above two equations. If $A_{jj} = 0$ for all values of $j$, and thus $p_D(D) = \delta(D)$, then $\lambda_{\text{isol}} = c \langle J \rangle_j$.

### B. Eigenvectors at the boundary of the support

The values $\lambda_b$, which determine the boundary of the support of the spectral density function, are given by the non-trivial solution to the Eqs. (S77)-(S82) for which the first moments are equal to zero, i.e., $\langle r \rangle = \langle l \rangle = 0$. From Eqs. (S79) and (S80) we find that $\lambda_b$ solves:

$$
\left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_b - D^2} \right\rangle_D = \frac{1}{\langle |J|^2 \rangle_j}.
$$

(S89)

Equation (S89) is also consistent with the Eq. (S69) for the support of the spectral density derived through a stability analysis. If diagonal elements are zero, i.e., $A_{jj} = 0$ for all values of $j$, then $\langle \lambda_b \rangle^2 = c \langle |J|^2 \rangle_j$.

The eigenvector moments at the boundary of the support satisfy $\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle l^2 \rangle = 0$. Indeed, in this case Eqs. (S81) and (S82) become

$$
\langle r^2 \rangle = c \langle r \rangle \langle J \rangle_j \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_b - D^2} \right\rangle_D,
$$

(S90)

$$
\langle l^2 \rangle = c \langle l \rangle \langle J \rangle_j \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_b^* - D^*} \right\rangle_D.
$$

(S91)

Since $\lambda_b$ is in general a complex solution to Eq. (S89), we have that

$$
c \langle J \rangle_j \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_b - D^2} \right\rangle_D \neq 1,
$$

(S92)

and therefore $\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle l^2 \rangle = 0$. 

S7. LAPLACIAN MATRICES ON AN ORIENTED GRAPH

In this subsection, we consider the solution to the Eqs. (S59)-(S64) for an ensemble of Laplacian random matrices defined on an oriented random graph. For Laplacian matrices the diagonal elements depend on the off-diagonal elements as follows:

$$J_{jj} = - \sum_{k \in \partial^\text{out}_j} J_{jk}. \quad (S93)$$

We thus have

$$p(D, J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J'_1, J'_2, \ldots, J'_{K^{\text{out}}}) = \delta \left( D - \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j \right) p(J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_{K^{\text{out}}}, J'_1, J'_2, \ldots, J'_{K^{\text{out}}}) . \quad (S94)$$

For simplicity, let us further consider real off-diagonal elements that fulfill $J_{jk} \geq 0$. In this case, the Laplacian matrix represents the transition-rate matrix of a continuous-time Markov process and the constraint given by Eq. (S93) ensures the conservation of probability in the corresponding Master equation.

Equation (S93) breaks the symmetry of the ensemble with respect to its conjugate transpose: $P(A_n) \neq P(A_n^\dagger)$. This implies that $p_R(z) \neq p_L(z)$, and the right- and left-eigenvector distributions are different. For Laplacian matrices, the set of self-consistent equations in the eigenvector moments, given by Eqs. (S59)-(S64), are

$$\langle r \rangle = \langle r \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j}{\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j} \right), \quad (S95)$$

$$\langle l \rangle = \langle l \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} J_j}{\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j} \right), \quad (S96)$$

$$\langle |r|^2 \rangle = \langle |r|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j^2}{|\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j|^2} \right) + \langle |r|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_k J_j}{|\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j|^2} \right), \quad (S97)$$

$$\langle |l|^2 \rangle = \langle |l|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} (J_j)^2}{|\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j|^2} \right) + \langle |l|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j}^{K^{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{|\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j|^2} \right), \quad (S98)$$

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle r^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j^2}{(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j)^2} \right) + \langle r^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_k J_j}{(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j)^2} \right), \quad (S99)$$

$$\langle l^2 \rangle = \langle l^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{in}}} (J_j')^2}{(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j)^2} \right) + \langle l^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j}^{K^{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j)^2} \right). \quad (S100)$$

Here $\langle \ldots \rangle$ stands for the average over the joint distribution of $K^{\text{in}}$, $K^{\text{out}}$ and the off-diagonal matrix elements $\{J_j\}$ and $\{J'_j\}$. Note that here we have not normalized the eigenvector distribution (and we have thus not used Eq. (S76)). Just as for adjacency matrices, we find two non-trivial solutions to the Eqs. (S95)-(S100): one corresponding to the outlier $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ and one to the boundary of the continuous part of the spectrum $\lambda_b$.

A. The outlier eigenpair

According to the Perron-Frobenius theorem the outlier $\lambda_{\text{isol}} = 0$. This follows also from the Eq. (S95). Equation (S95) admits a nontrivial fixed-point solution, provided there is a value $\lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}}$ such that

$$\langle \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} + \sum_{j=1}^{K^{\text{out}}} J_j} \rangle = 1. \quad (S101)$$

The simplest solution to the above equation is $\lambda_{\text{isol}} = 0$, which is indeed the outlier of a Laplacian matrix.

We use the normalization $\langle |r|^2 \rangle = 1$ and the value $\lambda_{\text{isol}} = 0$ in Eqs. (S97) and (S99), and we obtain $\langle r \rangle = \langle r^2 \rangle = 1$. These results are also consistent with the Perron-Frobenius theorem for Laplacian matrices, which implies that

$$p_R(r) = \delta(r; 1) \quad [18].$$
We determine the moments of the left-eigenvector at the isolated eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda_{\text{isol}} = 0$. We rewrite therefore the Eqs. (S96) and (S98) as follows

$$\langle l_t \rangle = \langle l_{t-1} \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j'}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} + \sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (S102)

$$\langle |l_t|^2 \rangle = \langle |l_{t-1}|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} (J_j')^2}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} + \sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right) + \langle |l_{t-1}|^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{\lambda_{\text{isol}} + \sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (S103)

with the discrete index $t$ denoting an iteration step. Note that since we can set $\langle |l_t|^2 \rangle = \langle l_t^2 \rangle$ and $\langle |l_t| \rangle = \langle l_t \rangle$, we use $\langle l_t^2 \rangle$ and $\langle l_t \rangle$ in the following. We use $\lambda_{\text{isol}} = 0$ to write:

$$\langle l_t \rangle = \langle l_{t-1} \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (S104)

$$\langle l_t^2 \rangle = \langle l_{t-1}^2 \rangle \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} (J_j')^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right) + \langle l_{t-1} \rangle^2 \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (S105)

We characterize the asymptotic fixed-point behaviour of the moments by normalizing $\langle l_t \rangle$ as follows:

$$\frac{\langle l_t^2 \rangle}{\langle l_t \rangle^2} = \frac{\langle l_{t-1}^2 \rangle}{\langle l_{t-1} \rangle^2} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} (J_j')^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right) - \langle l_{t-1} \rangle^2 \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (S106)

We substitute Eq. (S104) in the above and we find the recursion

$$\frac{\langle l_t^2 \rangle}{\langle l_t \rangle^2} = \frac{\langle l_{t-1}^2 \rangle}{\langle l_{t-1} \rangle^2} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} (J_j')^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right) - \langle l_{t-1} \rangle^2 \left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (S107)

The ratio $\frac{\langle l_t^2 \rangle}{\langle l_t \rangle^2}$ converges to the value:

$$\frac{\langle l_t^2 \rangle}{\langle l_t \rangle^2} = \frac{\left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)^2}{\left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S108)

for $t \to \infty$, and for values $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} (J_j')^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} > \frac{\sum_{k \neq j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j}$. If $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} (J_j')^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \leq \frac{\sum_{k \neq j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j}$, then $\langle l \rangle = 0$ and $\langle l^2 \rangle \neq 0$.

For the particular case for which all off-diagonal elements are equal to one, i.e., $J_j = 1$, as considered in the main text, we have:

$$\frac{\langle l_t^2 \rangle}{\langle l_t \rangle^2} = \frac{\left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)^2}{\left( \frac{\sum_{k \neq j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_k' J_j'}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{in}}} J_j} \right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S109)

B. Eigenvectors at the boundary of the support

The values $\lambda_b$ for the boundary of the support of the spectral density function, $\lambda_b \in \partial \Omega$, are given by the non-trivial solution to the Eqs. (S97)-(S100) for which $\langle r \rangle = \langle l \rangle = 0$.

The existence of a non-trivial solution depends now on the second moments $\langle |r|^2 \rangle$ and $\langle |l|^2 \rangle$. Substituting $\langle r \rangle = \langle l \rangle = 0$ in Eqs. (S97)-(S100), we obtain a nontrivial second moment $\langle |r|^2 \rangle \neq 0$ for the values $\lambda = \lambda_{b(r)}$ where the
following equation holds
\[ \left\langle \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{out}}} J_j^2}{|\lambda_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{out}}} |J_j|^2} \right\rangle = 1. \] (S110)

In addition, a nontrivial second moment \(\langle |l|^2 \rangle \neq 0\) is obtained for the values \(\lambda = \lambda_j^{(l)}\) at which the following equation is fulfilled
\[ \left\langle \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{out}}} (J_j')^2}{|\lambda_j^{(l)}| + \sum_{j=1}^{K_{\text{out}}} |J_j'|^2} \right\rangle = 1. \] (S111)

The Eqs. (S110)-(S111) are consistent with the equations (S65) and (S66) which we have derived from a local stability analysis of the resolvent equations.

S8. REGULAR NON-ORIENTED RANDOM MATRIX ENSEMBLE

Out theory, based on Eqs.(5)-(10), holds for local-tree like matrix ensembles, and is not restricted to oriented ensembles. We illustrate here the application of our theory to a non-oriented random matrix ensemble. We derive an exact analytical expression for the eigenvalue outlier.

We consider here a 2c-regular ensemble of non-Hermitian matrices for which each vertex \(j\) has exactly 2c non-zero off-diagonal elements \(A_{jk}\); for each vertex \(j\), half of the non-zero offdiagonal elements are of the form \((A_{jk}, A_{kj}) = (p_+ e^{i\phi_{kj}}, p_- e^{-i\phi_{kj}})\), and the other half is of the form \((A_{jk}, A_{kj}) = (p_- e^{-i\phi_{kj}}, p_+ e^{i\phi_{kj}})\), with \(p_+, p_- \geq 0\). The phase variables \(\phi_{kj}\) are random, independent and identically distributed with distribution \(p(\phi)\).

We call this ensemble the elliptic 2c-regular ensemble, or more briefly, the elliptic regular ensemble.

For \(p_- = 0\) the elliptic regular ensemble is an oriented matrix ensemble, similar to the ones discussed above in Section S6, whereas for \(p_+ = p_-\) and \(p(\phi) = \delta(\phi - \pi)(1 - \Delta)/2 + \delta(\phi)(1 + \Delta)/2\), with \(\Delta \in [-1, 1]\), the elliptic regular ensemble is identical to the real and symmetric ensemble studied in [9, 19]. We define the asymmetry parameter \(\epsilon = p_-/p_+\). For a phase distribution \(p(\phi) = \delta(\phi - \pi)(1 - \Delta)/2 + \delta(\phi)(1 + \Delta)/2\), the elliptic regular ensemble interpolates between an oriented regular ensemble (\(\epsilon = 0\)) and a symmetric regular ensemble (\(\epsilon = 1\)).

A. Spectral density

An analytical expression for the spectral density of the elliptic regular ensemble has been determined in [4]. For large connectivities \(c \gg 1\) the spectral density is given by the elliptical law [20], which clarifies why we call the ensemble the elliptic regular ensemble. For finite \(c\), the support \(\Omega\) is given by the set of values \(\lambda = x + iy\) for which [4]
\[ \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^2 < 1 , \] (S112)
and with parameters
\[ a = \sqrt{H} + (2c - 1)\frac{p_+ p_-}{\sqrt{H}} , \] (S113)
\[ b = \sqrt{H} - (2c - 1)\frac{p_+ p_-}{\sqrt{H}} , \] (S114)
\[ 2H = c(p_+^2 + p_-^2) + \sqrt{c^2 (p_+^2 - p_-^2)^2 + 4(c - 1)^2 (p_+ p_-)^2} . \] (S115)

Notice that the support is independent of the distribution \(p(\phi)\) of phase variables.

B. Outliers of the ensemble

The novelty of our work is that we can compute outliers of sparse non-Hermitian ensembles. To this aim, we apply the Eqs. (5)-(10) to elliptic regular ensemble. For the elliptic regular ensemble, the resolvent Eqs. (8) read
\[ g^{(+)} = -\left(\lambda + c p_+ p_- g'^{(+)} + (c - 1) p_+ p_- g'^{(-)}\right)^{-1} , \] (S116)
\[ g^{(-)} = -\left(\lambda + (c - 1) p_+ p_- g'^{(+)} + c p_+ p_- g'^{(-)}\right)^{-1} , \] (S117)
and the Eqs. (7) read
\[ g = -\left( \lambda + c p_+ p_- g^{(+)} + c p_+ p_- g^{(-)} \right)^{-1}. \]
(S118)
The solution to the Eqs. (S116)-(S118) is
\[ g^{(+)} = g^{(-)} = \tilde{g}, \]
(S119)
\[ \tilde{g} = -\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4(2c - 1)p_- p_+} \]
(S120)
\[ g = -\frac{2c - 1}{\lambda(c - 1) + c \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 4(2c - 1)p_- p_+}}. \]
(S121)
The random variables \( r_j^{(f)} \) in Eq. (9) solve
\[ r_j^{(f)} = -\tilde{g} \sum_{k \in \partial_j \setminus \{f\}} A_{jk} r_k^{(j)}, \]
(S122)
and a similar equation holds for the random variables \( l_j^{(f)} \). The right eigenvector elements \( r_j \) solve:
\[ r_j = -g \sum_{k \in \partial_j} A_{jk} r_k^{(j)}. \]
(S123)
We take the ensemble average of the Eqs.(S122) to find
\[ \langle r \rangle^+ = -\tilde{g} p_+ c \langle e^{i\phi} \rangle \langle r \rangle^+ - \tilde{g} p_-(c - 1) \langle e^{-i\phi} \rangle \langle r \rangle^-, \]
(S124)
\[ \langle r \rangle^- = -\tilde{g} p_+(c - 1) \langle e^{i\phi} \rangle \langle r \rangle^- - \tilde{g} p_- c \langle e^{-i\phi} \rangle \langle r \rangle^-, \]
(S125)
and
\[ \langle r \rangle = -g p_+ c \langle e^{i\phi} \rangle \langle r \rangle^+ - g p_- c \langle e^{-i\phi} \rangle \langle r \rangle^- . \]
(S126)

FIG. S1: Eigenvalue outlier \( \lambda_{isol} \), the boundary \( \lambda_b \) of the support \( \Omega \) on the real axis, and the distribution \( p_R \) of right-eigenvector elements associated to the outlier, for the elliptic regular ensemble with a phase distribution \( p(\phi) = (1 - \Delta)/2 \delta(\phi - \pi) + (1 + \Delta)/2 \delta(\phi) \), and with parameters \( \Delta = 0.8, p_+ = 1, p_- = \epsilon \) and \( c = 3 \). Subfigure (a): we compare direct-diagonalization results of \( \lambda_{isol} \) and \( \lambda_b \) for finite-sized matrices \( (n = 1000) \) with the theoretical results for infinite-sized matrices, given by Eqs. (S129)-(S130) (lines). Direct-diagonalization results are averages over 1000 samples. Subfigure (b): distribution \( p_R \) of right eigenvector elements associated to the outlier \( \lambda_{isol} \) for three values of the asymmetry parameter \( \epsilon \). We compare direct-diagonalization results of 1000 samples of finite-sized matrices \( (n = 1000) \) with theoretical results of infinite-sized matrices obtained from solving the Eqs. (S122)-(S123) with the population dynamics algorithm (solid lines). The distributions are normalized such that \( \langle r^2 \rangle = 1 \) and \( \text{Im} \langle \langle r \rangle \rangle = 0 \).
The outliers $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ are given by the values $\lambda$ for which the Eqs. (S124)-(S125) provide a non-trivial solution. After some algebra we find

$$\lambda_{\text{isol}} = \frac{\hat{\lambda}^2 + (2c - 1)\epsilon}{\lambda},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S127)\\

with

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_+ c (e^{i\phi}) + p_- c (e^{-i\phi}) + \sqrt{(p_+ c (e^{i\phi}) - p_- c (e^{-i\phi}))^2 + 4p_+ p_- (c - 1)^2 (e^{i\phi}) (e^{-i\phi})} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (S128)\\

The outlier $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ exists as long as $\lambda_{\text{isol}} \notin \Omega$. Let us consider a particular example. We set $p_+ = 1$ and $p_- = \epsilon$, with $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$, and consider a distribution $p(\phi) = (1 - \Delta)/2 \delta (\phi - \pi) + (1 + \Delta)/2 \delta (\phi)$, with $\Delta \in [-1, 1]$. We then find for the outlier $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ the expression:

$$\lambda_{\text{isol}} = \frac{\Delta}{2} \left( c(1 + \epsilon) + \sqrt{c^2 (1 - \epsilon)^2 + 4c(\epsilon - 1)^2} \right) + \frac{2(2c - 1)\epsilon}{\Delta \left( c(1 + \epsilon) + \sqrt{c^2 (1 - \epsilon)^2 + 4c(\epsilon - 1)^2} \right)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S129)\\

which exists as long as $\lambda_{\text{isol}} \notin \Omega$. Note that Eq. (S129) interpolates between the value $\lambda_{\text{isol}} = c\Delta$ for oriented ensembles ($\epsilon = 0$, see Eq. (14)), and the value $\lambda_{\text{isol}} = \Delta (2c - 1) + \frac{\Delta}{2}$ for symmetric ensembles ($\epsilon = 1$, see [9, 19]). Using Eqs. (S112)-(S115) we find the condition $\lambda_{\text{isol}} \geq \lambda_b$, with

$$\lambda_b = \sqrt{H} + (2c - 1)\epsilon/\sqrt{H}$$  \hspace{1cm} (S130)\\

the boundary of the support on the real axis, and $2H = c(1 + \epsilon^2) + \sqrt{c^2 (1 - \epsilon^2)^2 + 4(\epsilon - 1)^2c^2}$. We compare in figure S1(a) the analytical expressions for $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ and $\lambda_b$, given by Eqs. (S129)-(S130), with direct diagonalization results of finite-sized matrices; we plot $\lambda_{\text{isol}}$ and $\lambda_b$ as a function of the asymmetry parameter $\epsilon$. Our analytical expressions are in perfect agreement with direct diagonalization results. Finally, in Fig. S1(b) we compare the numerical solution of Eqs. (S122) and (S123) using the population dynamics algorithm with direct diagonalization results; theoretical results are in perfect agreement with direct diagonalization results.


