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**Simon of Genoa’s Clavis sanationis: a Study of Thirteenth-Century Latin Pharmacological Lexicography.**

**Introduction**

In the course of the thirteenth century, medieval medicine was in the process of adapting and evaluating certain elements recently introduced by the twelfth-century Latin translations of Arabic and Greek works. Although some of the early translators attempted to eliminate foreign words from their works, at other times new terms were invented by simply transliterating Arabic words into Latin. Moreover, forgotten Classical Greek terms, that had been preserved by Islamic authors, now reappeared. At the same time, after the fall of Constantinople to the Crusaders in 1204 and the creation of various Western principalities in Greece and the Middle East, there was a consequent influx of Westerners to the East. This stimulated the exchange of ideas and developed the interrelationships between East and West, which resulted in the circulation of Greek and Arabic medical texts, often previously unknown to Western scholars. Thus, a vast number of new terms and details on various aspects of medicine from ophthalmology to
surgery and pharmacology, previously unexplored or little discussed, were now available. In particular, in the field of pharmacy, the separation of the profession of physician from that of apothecary by an edict of the Holy Roman Emperor Frederick II (1220 – 1250) promulgated sometime between 1231 and 1240, confirms the concern at the time for the provision of well-researched and well-prepared medicaments.⁵

Two main categories of texts, connected with the identification of various pharmacological ingredients and the preparation of drugs, were available at that time in the West. Firstly, there are alphabetical books of simples, with the various versions of the work of Dioscorides (fl. AD 65) foremost among them.⁶ The various herbals usually derived from earlier Dioscorides manuscripts, come into the same category; these books with their colorful illustrations constitute the most important tool for the identification of various plant-based ingredients.⁷

Related to the texts devoted to simples mentioned above, there are also lists of compound drugs called *antidotaria*. Such texts are usually arranged alphabetically in accordance with the type of compound, i.e. oils, ointments, powders, collyria, purgatives, etc.⁸ The second category includes works with titles such as: *glossaria*, *hermeneumata*, *synonyma*, etc.⁹ These texts provide lists of technical terms in alphabetical order with the formula *id est* followed by the corresponding Latin
or vernacular word. A vast number of their entries are related to pharmacology, mentioning various substances. Finally, there are numerous other texts of the same nature, which were composed particularly as handbooks to facilitate the reading of the recent Latin translations of Islamic authors.

It is quite evident that in parallel with the great activity in the area of translation and revision of Classical works, considerable efforts were made in the field of lexicography. MacKinney, emphasizing the extraordinary number of such works, aptly states that 'during the early Middle Ages [...] it was the epitomizers and lexicographers who held the center of the stage.' Simon of Genoa, however, in contrast to other thirteenth-century authors, makes a considerable advance in composing a comprehensive, updated work, the *Clavis sanationis*, which could be considered a medical dictionary rather than simply a glossary. The work consists of 6,500 entries in alphabetical order and is substantiated by Simon's own comments. This paper presents a study of the pharmacological sections of the *Clavis sanationis* focusing on and interpreting various categories of the data provided, but also exploring their practical value. As I would like to demonstrate below, the work could be seen as a substantial response to contemporary needs, providing apothecaries and physicians with essential details.

### Simon’s Life and the Thirteenth-Century Papal Court

There is little biographical data for Simon of Genoa's life. From the incipit of his *Clavis* we can deduce that Simon was a member of the papal curia of Pope Nicholas IV (1288–1292), serving as subdiaconus and capellanus medicus.

---

10 One of the earliest texts of such kind is the *Synonyma alphita*, a glossary of probably Salernitan origin, which consists of 1269 entries and seems to have been written before 1250. For a new edition and commentary, see González, *Alphita*, 139-575.

11 For example, in the *Synonyma alphita* almost half of the entries, 57%, are dedicated to the interpretation of medicinal plants; *ibidem*, 25-28.

12 For example the *Synonyma Rasis* and those for the works of Serapion, Avicenna, Haly Abbas etc. For a discussion of various handbooks with synonyms for words used by Islamic authors, see Danielle Jacquet, “Arabisants du Moyen Age et de la Renaissance: Jérôme Ramusio († 1486) correcteur de Gérard de Crémone († 1187).” *Bibliothèque de l’École des Chartes* 147 (1989): 407-408; and Danielle Jacquet and Françoise Micheau, *La médecine arabe et l’Occident médiéval*. (Paris: Maisonneuve et Larose, 1990), 163-164.

13 MacKinney “Medieval medical dictionaries and glossaries”, 267.

14 Quotations of Simon’s *Clavis sanationis* follow the online transcription in *Simon Online* at http://www.simonofgenoa.org. *Clavis*, Incipit: ‘Incipit clavis sanationis elaborare per venerabilem virum magistrum Simonem lanuensem domini pape subdiaconum et capellanum medicum quondam felicis recordationis domini Nicolai pape quarti qui fuit primus de ordine minorum.’
Although the subdeaconate had traditionally been a sacred office, it seems that during the pontificate of Nicholas IV it mainly involved medical duties.\textsuperscript{15} The first pontificate to demonstrate the existence of a ‘papal doctor’ was that of Innocent III (1198–1216).\textsuperscript{16} Various famous physicians were employed by popes for their medical services; it is notable that, according to the sources, we can attest more than seventy papal physicians serving at the thirteenth-century court at one time or another.\textsuperscript{17} Thus Simon’s project regarding the writing of \textit{Clavis} must have played a significant role in his entering and getting the support of the highly medicalized thirteenth-century papal court.

He finished his work after the death of the Pope Nicholas IV and seems to have spent at least two years at the papal curia under Boniface VIII (1296 – 1303).\textsuperscript{18} This may be deduced from his reference to Campano of Novara, a famous astrologer, mathematician, and physician, who served the papal court initially under Pope Innocent IV (1243 – 1254), remaining at the curia until his death in September 1296. Simon must have benefited a great deal from the presence of other scholars at the papal court. In referring to Campano he confirms that the latter not only encouraged him in writing the \textit{Clavis} but also provided him with valuable comments.\textsuperscript{19} Finally, the success of Simon’s time in Rome is further


\textsuperscript{17} Cf. Paravicini-Bagliani, \textit{Medicina e scienze della natura...}, 3-51.

\textsuperscript{18} \textit{Clavis}, preface, § 2: ‘[…’ medicum quondam felicis memorie domini Nicolai pape quarti: qui fuit primus de ordine minorum. [...]’ A great many translations of medical works were sponsored in the pontificate of Boniface VII further contributing to the enrichment of medical knowledge; see Paravicini-Bagliani, \textit{The Pope’s Body}, 225-234. According to some surviving manuscripts, Simon, with the help of the Jewish-Spanish scholar Abraham of Tortosa (died c. 1330) translated three Arabic treatise into Latin. Two of them are related to simples: a) Abulcasis, \textit{Liber servitoris de preparacione medicinarum simplicium}, and b) Serapion, \textit{Liber aggregatus in medicinis simplicibus}. The third work is an Arabic version of the sixth book of Hippocrates’ \textit{Epidemics}. Since, as far as I know, even the necessary philological study has not yet been done, I have not included the aforementioned works in my study. For a general discussion of the translations in connection with Simon’s biographical details, see Jacquart, “Arabisants du Moyen Age et de la Renaissance...”, 163-164; and Paravicini-Bagliani, \textit{Medicina e scienze della natura...}, 197-198.

\textsuperscript{19} \textit{Clavis}, preface, § 1: ‘Opusculum iam dudum a vobis postulatum quasi quid utile continens cum quanta potui dilligentia qualitercumque ad finem usque perductum ingenio vestro dirigere censui iudicandum[...].’ On Campano of Novara and his medical activity at the papal court, see Paravicini-Bagliani, \textit{The Pope’s Body}, 189-190.
attested by his possession of a canonry at Rouen and an ecclesiastical benefice at the cathedral of Padua, which must have been given to him by the Pope in recognition of his services.\textsuperscript{20}

\textit{Clavis sanationis}

Having given an overview of Simon’s connection with the thirteenth-century papal court, I shall now return to the \textit{Clavis sanationis}, the \textit{Key of healing}.\textsuperscript{21} Simon reports that he spent almost thirty years completing his project, in the course of which he undertook many research trips.\textsuperscript{22} His great spirit of enquiry is also attested by his attempts to establish connections with scholars from other countries such as Roger Bacon (c. 1214 – 1294) in order to check rare manuscripts and thus different versions of various terms.\textsuperscript{23} The \textit{Clavis} was written after consulting a great number of texts with significant variations in length, style, and credibility.\textsuperscript{24} The pharmacological entries consist of a heterogeneous mix of details derived from Greek and Latin sources such as Dioscorides, Cassius Felix (fl. fifth century AD), Alexander of Tralles (c. 525 – 605 AD), or even the twelfth-century Italian translator Stephen of Antioch. Among the Islamic authors,
we can find Rhazes (865 – 925 AD), Abulcasis (936 – 1013 AD), Avicenna (c. 980 – 1037 AD), and others. There are also less rational medical texts such as the Kyranides – a popular Greek collection of magical remedies, which has its origins in the first or second century AD.

Simon’s pharmacological awareness and his great concern to provide accurate data is clear in his introductory statement: ‘Regarding all simple medicines that are classified into three categories, namely plants, animals, and minerals, it is not enough to rely only on our knowledge of the writings, when we could apportion frequent visits and careful inquiry to support this study, as it is very much related to the diversity among plants.’

Observation was not of primary importance in pre-Renaissance medicine and authors usually adopted passages uncritically. However, Simon feels it necessary to cross-check textual evidence with personal observation. A reference to his encounter with a woman from Crete, where he had the chance to check some herbs, is a remarkable illustration of his words.

To provide a convenient starting point for the discussion of certain entries, it is important to mention that of the 770 entries starting with ‘A’, 581, i.e. almost 75%, contain some pharmacological connotation. The percentage remains roughly the same throughout the entire work. Simon mainly uses two terms to signify a medicament: farmakon and medicamen. Farmakon is a complex medicament, usually made up of various ingredients: a compound drug. He goes even further when he applies the term to a more specific category of drug, classified according to its action: e.g. ‘however, we appropriate this name to laxatives’. This is probably due to popular use of various kinds of purgatives.


26 For example, see Clavis, s.v Strutho cameleos: ‘Strutho cameleos grece struthio avis deiformis si avis dici potest apud kiranidam strutho cameleon, arabice vero vocatur naham.’

27 All translations of Latin are my own. Clavis, preface, § 5: ‘Tripli ci existente omnium medicinarum simplicium genere plantarum videlicet animalium et mineralium non satis ad eorum cognitionem solis scripturis in nitemendum deputaverim quando frequenti visitatione ac diligenti investigatione ad id studium impendatur, quam maxime circa plantarum distantiás [...]’

28 Clavis preface, § 4: ‘[...] Nec his solum contentus sed ad diversas mundi partes per sedulos viros indagare ab advenis sciscitari non piguit usque adeo quod per montes arduos nemorosas convalies campos ripasque sepe lustrando aliquando comitem me feci cuiusdam anicule cretensis admodum sciole non modo in dignoscendis herbis et nominibus grecis exponendis [...]’

29 Clavis, s.v. Farmakon: ‘Farmakon medicamentum maxime compositum: nos autem laxativis appropriavimus hoc nomen [...]’
thought to remove the noxious humors and bring balance to the body according to humoral theory. However, when he refers to drugs, he generally chooses to use the current Latin term *medicamen*, indicating either a healing substance, a remedy, or an antidote.\(^{30}\)

The majority of the relevant substances described in the *Clavis* as having therapeutic properties can be identified with relative certainty. They are divided into five large groups: a) substances of plant origin, b) substances of animal origin, c) substances of mineral origin, d) *composita*, and e) *preparata*. More than four hundred different species of plants can be identified in the *materia medica*. The inclusion of large numbers of animal products taken from mammals, birds, fish, reptiles, and amphibians, but also various species of insects, is noticeable.\(^{31}\) Furthermore, although the identification of particular minerals is often uncertain, there is a clear attempt to enumerate Arabic terms for substances of mineral origins, mostly without any explanatory details.\(^{32}\) The fourth group deals with compound drugs. Details of preparation and dosage are not usually given and many of them have specific names such as *Collirium theodoricon* or *Talasa mellis*. The last group, *preparata*, consists of a large number of substances such as oil, wine, honey, butter, and flour which can either be used alone or as ingredients in a compound drug.

We can also note a considerable variety of pharmaceutical dosage forms. Simon considers it important to give an explanation of each type before he makes further use of it. For example, we see that among the entries beginning with ‘T’, there are seventeen different kinds of lozenges (*trociscus*). Before he mentions the first lozenge dosage form, he provides a short reference to the word *trociscus*: ‘lozenge in Greek is called *trochos*, which is a wheel or something resembling a round [object]. Cassius Felix calls *rotulas* what Greeks call *trociscus* and so on.’\(^{33}\) Simon usually mentions the Latin equivalent in addition to some morphological characteristics such as shape. There are also cases where we have a quite long description, such as for eye drops. He gives details on both

\(^{30}\) For example, see *Clavis*, s.v. *Citron*: ‘*Citron medicamen ad splenem quod scribitur a Cassius Felix capitulo de splene*;’ *Clavis*, s.v. *Echeon*: ‘*Echeon apud Plinius vocatur medicamen ad visum quod fit ex cinere vipere vive cinerate et melle et succo feniculi*;’ and *Clavis* s.v. *Antidotum*: ‘*Antidotum liber de doctrina greca, antidotos remedium medicamen levamen adiutorium*.’

\(^{31}\) For instance, there are seven different entries dealing with frogs, referring to at least three different species: *Clavis*, s.v. *Batracos*, *Difdhah*, *Gecazum*, *Girinos*, *Miosos*, *Vatrachos*, and *Vatrachi kampite*.

\(^{32}\) *Clavis*, s.v. *Hager alcamar*: ‘*Hager alcamar lapis lune*;’ and *Clavis* s.v. *Hager alsfengi*: ‘*Hager alsfengi lapis spongie*.’

\(^{33}\) *Clavis*, s.v. *Trociscus*: ‘*Trociscus dictus a trochos greco quod est rota vel aliquid simile rotundum Cassius Felix rotulas quas greci trociscos vocant et cetera*.’
administration and preparation: ‘collirium is an ophthalmic drug of oblong shape which is rubbed on a whetstone [and diluted] with any liquid when it is placed in the eyes and Arabs call it sief.’ Simon refers to more than fifteen different forms in total including various types of plasters, pills, suppositories, and decoctions.

Since the length of entries varies considerably, my study is based on some characteristic examples of data, which are commonly encountered in the work. These are divided into the following categories:

I. Synonyms
II. Etymological Data
III. Descriptive Data
IV. Healing Uses
V. Empirical Statements

Each group will be examined individually below.

I. Synonyms

One of the most commonly found types of information in the dictionary is a list of synonyms. For example, there are cases of simple disjunction where different names are given in Latin for the same substance such as ‘calcitis and calciteos or calcididos [...]’. But by far the most common examples concern entries with details of synonyms in Greek and Arabic. There are straightforward cases such as ‘hager’ is the Arabic word for stone’ where a direct Latin synonym is provided for an Arabic term. This attests Simon’s efforts to include a number of mostly

---

34 Clavis, s.v. Collirium: ‘Collirium est medicina ocularis forma oblonga que fricatur super cotem cum aliquo liquore quando in oculis ponitur et dicitur arabice sief [...]’


One might suggest additional categories corresponding to less common or rare details such as supplementary explanatory anatomical details. For example see Clavis, s.v. Alcula: ‘Alcula vel alcola arabice pustule ulcerose que in ore et lingua fiunt.’ However, this study focuses on details related primarily to pharmacology or connected with the properties and the identification of a pharmacological substance rather than inductive explanations of certain terms mentioned in the entry.

36 Clavis, s.v. Calcitis: ‘Calcitis et calciteos vel calcididos [...]’

37 Clavis, s.v. Hager: ‘Hager arabice Lapis inde hec.’
Arabic terms and substances that were not widely known at that period in the West. We can also observe some cross-referencing between entries. In the case of *Agalugim*, we can see Simon referring to its Greek synonym, *xiloaloes*: ‘[*…*] *agalosia* est *xiloaloes* [*…*].’38 As we will see later, the Greek word is also discussed alone in a separate entry. Thus, Simon provides interconnections between individual entries facilitating his readers’ recognition of various names and quick searches.

Furthermore, there are more complicated examples with a long list of synonyms. These entries consist of various versions of the same substance as found in a variety of languages and sources: ‘*Atriplex* is called in Greek *andrafaxis* and in Dioscorides *crisolacana*, and in Latin that means *aureum olus*. In Arabic it is called *kataf* and *sarmeth*, for which Stephen writes *coatutum* and *sermach*.39 Simon gives the common Latin term, which is accompanied by two Greek words, both found in Dioscorides.40 Then he provides a literal Latin translation of the word, i.e. *aureum olus* (golden vegetable), which is followed by the current Arabic equivalent and the versions that can be found in Stephen of Antioch’s *Synonyma*.41 This entry is also indicative of Simon’s compiling methods. He uses a variety of sources just for a single word, comparing different versions. His decision to name the authors of earlier works should not be considered a mere copying technique, but a systematic attempt to provide a kind of brief reference for his readers and enhance the credibility of his words.

II. Etymological Data

Simon sometimes supplies the various synonyms with a short etymological explanation. Connecting the etymology of the name of a substance with its uses had long ago been abandoned. Thus Simon does not relate names to healing

38 *Clavis*, s.v. *Agalugim*: ‘[*…*] *agalosia* est *xiloaloes* [*…*].’
39 *Clavis*, s.v. *Atriplex*: ‘*Atriplex* grece vocatur *andrafaxis* apud *Dyascoridem* et *crisolacana*, et est dictu *aureum olus* ut infra, sed arabice *kataf* et *sarmeth* dicitur. *Stephanus* *coatutum* et *sermach*.’
40 Dioscorides, II, 119.
41 Stephen of Antioch or Pisa was an Italian translator of Arabic works, who was active in Antioch in the first part of the twelfth century. He is also the author of *Synonyma Stephani*, a trilingual glossary, in Latin, Greek (in Latin characters), and Arabic, of technical terms arranged alphabetically in parallel columns, which remains unedited. For a short description of Stephen’s glossary, see MacKinney “Medieval medical dictionaries and glossaries…”, 265-266. On Stephen’s works and activity, see Charles Burnett “Stephen, the Disciple of Philosophy, and the Exchange of Medical Learning in Antioch”. Crusades 5 (2006): 113-129.
properties, but specifies various names of the same plant in order to make clear the association of names with particular substances. A good example is the word *Xiloaloes*. As I have shown above, readers can see its synonymous versions without searching for the word itself. If the reader decides to do so, there is a short etymological phrase explaining that it is ‘the Greek term for the wood of aloe’. Thus Simon simply gives the literal Latin translation corresponding to the two-part compound, i.e. ξύλον (wood) and ἀλόη (aloe).42

An etymology also serves an additional purpose: to indicate the nature and origin of a particular substance. This is clearly shown when he discusses the etymology of the compound drug *Talasa mellis*. He provides an etymologically elaborate comment explaining the first word, that is *talasa*: ‘[…] the name itself is a compound of Greek *talasa*, which means sea, and honey; for it is sea-water that is used in this preparation […].’43 Thus, Simon is clearly not interested in providing an etymology of a ‘philological’ nature, but only to facilitate the correct preparation of the drug.

III. Descriptive Data

There are some entries containing descriptive data such as the recognition, origin, and preparation of certain substances for use in their own or as ingredients of compound drugs. The first group of such details deals with botanological identification. For example, Simon compares one plant to another: ‘*Gariofilata* is a plant similar to *agrimonie* […]’.44 For Simon, it is quite usual to supply details in relation to geographical origin and habitat. It normally takes the form of a very general description as in the case of *Costum* (‘it is found in many locations’) or a more specific geographical location such as in the case of *Cabrusium* (‘from the island of Cyprus’), indicating a plant native to Cyprus.45 Moreover we have cases

---

42 Clavis, s.v. *Xiloaloes*: ‘*Xiloaloes grece lignum aloeis*’. See also, Petros Bouras-Vallianatos, ‘*Xiloaloes*’, with English translation and commentary in Simon Online, (www.simonofgenoa.org/index.php5?title=Xiloaloes, 2011): ‘The word is in the genitive case following the most common type of case for the ingredients of compound drugs. Greek υ /υ/ is phonetically transcribed into the itacism ‘ii’ and pronounced accordingly. Although an interior elision would normally be expected to occur (with the expulsion of the omicron ο /ο/ in ξύλον / xylon/ before the alpha α /α/ in ἀλόη /aloe/), Simon’s form retains the omicron ο /ο/ by analogy with the orthography of other entries beginning with the compound stem /xilo-/, such as *Xilobalsamum* or *Xilocarti*.

43 Clavis, s.v. *Talasa mellis*: ‘[…] docet et est compositum nomen a talasa quod est mare et melle nam aqua maris in ea confectione ingreditur […].’

44 Clavis, s.v. *Gariofilata*: ‘*Gariofilata est planta similis agrimonie* […].’

45 Clavis, s.v. *Cosum*: ‘[…] inventur autem in multis locis […];’ and Clavis, s.v. *Cabrusium*: ‘[…] et est dictum cyprense a cypro insula […].’
of ancient binomials, where the adjective denotes a country or province (e.g. *Vinum creticum* and *Macedonicum oxilatrum*).

By far the longest descriptions are usually connected with morphological features of plants or plant extracts. This may take the form of a short qualifying statement (e.g. ‘costum has a bitter root’) or a long phrase mostly adopted from Dioscorides (e.g. ‘according to Dioscorides the *tragagantum* root is broad and woody, and has short, strong branches that spread over the ground etc.’).\(^4\)

Sometimes these descriptions may include rather subjective characteristics as in the case of *Ebenus*, where the wood appears ‘black’ and ‘solid’, but also ‘beautiful’\(^5\). There are also a limited number of cases that include a long discussion, such as in the case of *Yris* where Simon uses various comparative criteria such as smell and taste in order to identify three different species, i.e. ‘Macedonian’, ‘Illyrian’, and ‘Libyan’\(^6\). As I have shown, recognition of certain plants could clearly have been facilitated by comparing them with various morphological features in plants of the same family or genus. However, it is noteworthy that Simon’s dictionary does not constitute a botanological inventory and it does not have the form of Dioscorides’ text, which provided such features in every single entry. These kinds of details are encountered only in a certain number of entries and probably occur only when the identification of a particular substance was questionable by the standards of the day.

**IV. Healing Uses**

An essential part of Simon’s information relates to various healing uses. This kind of information is usually very brief and is provided either by an indirect or direct statement. For many entries the relevant chapter of the book from which the data had been taken was indicated, thus: either ‘*Achates* [...] Alexander in the composition of hydrocollyriums’, or ‘*Anchusa* [...] Paul’s chapter on

\(^{4}\) *Clavis*, s.v. *Costum*: ‘*Costum radix amara* [...]’ and *Clavis*, s.v. *Tragagantum*: ‘*Tragagantum Dioscorides radix est lata et lignosa virgas habet breves et fortes et super terram declives in quibus virgis folia sunt plurima et minuta que circa se spinas multas et subcelatas habet que specie aene sunt et fortes radix eius cum maturaverit ferro percussa lachrymum emittit que in sole coagulatur et tragagantum appellatur. [...]’

\(^{5}\) *Clavis*, s.v. *Ebenus*: ‘*Ebenus sive ebanus lignum cuius interius nigrum solidum pulchrum* [...]’

\(^{6}\) *Clavis*, s.v. *Yris*: ‘[...] pendentes sicande sunt melior est tamen macedonica yris an ylirica et maxime durior et colore ruffo et odore bono. Eligenda est gustu calidior que cum tunditur sternutamenta producti, libica etiam albidior est et gustu amarior [...]’
dysentery [...], or ‘Berilon [...] in the decoctions for paralysis [...]’. Although no direct statement concerning a particular use is provided, the reader is given the necessary details in order to relate the substance to the treatment of a specific disease. The most common example of entries, which use a direct statement, have the following simple form: either ‘Antimonium [...] is an ophthalmic drug [...]’ or ‘ebenus [...] suitable for eye medicines [...]’. Furthermore, we can find cases with entries that contain therapeutic properties similar to those of another plant. For example, ‘Tragagantum [...] its healing virtue is similar to the paremplastic nature of gum [...]’ or ‘Armech [...] is an Indian medicine similar to cinnamon [...]’. The reader is able to understand and connect the use of a substance with one that is already familiar to them. Thus, Simon’s work provides essential information about substances of previously unspecified use. Finally, we may sporadically find various forms of the word ‘efficient’ either as a noun efficacia or an adjective efficacius in connection with the effectiveness of certain substances.

We can see that the space allowed for the various uses is usually restricted to one little phrase or simply a word. Thus, compared to the usually much longer space allowed for the descriptive data, it may seem weird to modern tastes. However, we have to bear in mind that in ancient and medieval medicine most substances had a healing property and paramount importance was given to the process of distinguishing and administering the most suitable substance.

V. Empirical Statements

And last of all there is another type of information occasionally found and included for reasons similar to those already discussed: empirical statements showing Simon’s concern to provide the reader with the most useful details resulting from his considerable research and practical experience. It is striking that among other entries, he feels it necessary to give a short explanation of the


50 Clavis, s.v. Antimonium: ‘Antimonium [...] est medicina ocularis [...]’ and Clavis, s.v. Ebenus: ‘Ebenus [...] aptum ocularibus medicis [...]’

51 Clavis, s.v. Tragagantum: ‘Tragagantum [...] virtus est ei similis gummi et paremplasta et cetera [...]’ and Clavis, s.v. Armech: ‘Armech [...] est medicina inda similis cinamomo [...]’

52 For example, see Clavis, s.v. Acacia: ‘... nos vero tali acacia carentes de fructu prunellarum silvestrium succo expresso et de cocto ad spissitudinem facimus et inventur in ea efficacia [...]’
Greek word Empyria: ‘Empyria is Greek for experience, prudence, the wisdom [coming from] experience’.53

The most obvious case is when he uses the first-person singular, in an attempt to increase the plausibility of his words, by providing a personal tone to his statement. A couple of interesting examples, which also illustrate Simon’s scholarly approach, are: ‘I found it in some book of ancient synonyms in the form ginda which is in Latin iusquiamus, but I do not know whether they are the same’ or ‘I have never seen or heard of anyone who has seen it’.54 In a similar vein, when he refers to the use of swallow’s stones, which were usually employed as an amulet against various diseases, he reports that he had only found white stones in contrast to the sources which mention a black and a red stone.55 Although he must have encountered a great number of the substances he mentions in the course of his career, he sometimes supplements an entry with a direct statement regarding the medicinal activity of particular substances. For example, referring to certain species of the Yris, he states that ‘[…] as for medicinal strength it is only in the second division […].’56 Thus, it is important to mention that Simon’s statements were not only authenticated by the juxtaposition of information from textual sources, oral sources, and actual observation, but also by the fact that he was a practicing physician.

Conclusions

The Clavis sanationis constitutes an ambitious project, which clearly fulfilled a contemporary need. It is not a vade mecum with selected and effective recipes and it cannot replace the texts of its cited authors. The Clavis has the primary function of providing a quick-reference guide to well-chosen details for substances otherwise scattered among a great number of sources. We can only imagine the difficulty of collecting and collating so many different manuscripts and recording findings without the use of modern technology. Moreover, the constant refining of the data provided thanks to his personal wealth of experience, making the work unique for its time. The rich afterlife of Simon’s work, attested

53 Clavis, s.v. Empyria: ‘Empyria grece experientia prudentia exercitatio paritia prudentia.’
54 Clavis, s.v. Ginga: ‘Ginga [...] invenio in antiquis synonimis ginda quod est iusquiamum, an sit idem nescio;’ and Clavis, s.v. Costum: ‘Costum [...] invenitur autem in multis locis costum dulce scriptum in confectionibus, sed nec vidi nec audiivi ab aliquo vidisse.’
55 Clavis, s.v. Lapis Chelidoneus: ‘Lapis chelidoneus invenitur in ventribus yrundinum: cuius genera sunt duo, niger et ruffus qui colliguntur captis pulis yrundinum ex nido et fixis eorum ventribus inventur et cetera, ego vero inveni cum his et albos [...]’
56 Clavis, s.v. Yris: ‘[...] hec in virtute ponitur in seicundo loco [...].’
by numerous references in later pharmacopoeias of the succeeding centuries, confirms its widespread use. Finally, the fact that it has preserved a huge number of names and their relationship with known substances could prove vitally important in the future study of as yet unpublished early Renaissance or late Byzantine pharmacological works.

57 An abridged version of Simon’s Clavis appeared as early as the fourteenth century; see Collins, Medieval Herbals, 269-70, who discusses one of the earliest surviving manuscripts, Parisinus Latinus 6823, of this version. Around the middle of the 15th c., Saladin of Ascoli, physician to the prince of Taranto, included Clavis among six texts, which he suggested should be used by contemporary apothecaries; see Teresa Huguet-Termes, “Islamic Pharmacology and Pharmacy in the Latin West: An Approach to Early Pharmacopoeias”. European Review 16 (2008): 229-239. One more notable example can be found in the Florentine Nuovo Receptario, the oldest ‘pharmacopoeia’, published in 1498, which considers Simon’s Clavis a prerequisite for the establishment of a new apothecary; see Anna Maria Carmona i Cornet, Nuovo Receptario Composto dal Famossisimo Chollegio degli Eximii Doctori della Arte et Medicina della Incita Cipta di Firenze. (Barcelona: Institut Médico-Farmacèutic de Catalunya, 1992) 6r.
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