School-based group interpersonal therapy for adolescents with depression in rural Nepal: a mixed methods study exploring feasibility, acceptability, and cost
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Abstract

Background. Adolescents with depression need access to culturally relevant psychological treatment. In many low- and middle-income countries treatments are only accessible to a minority. We adapted group interpersonal therapy (IPT) for adolescents to be delivered through schools in Nepal. Here we report IPT’s feasibility, acceptability, and cost.

Methods. We recruited 32 boys and 30 girls (aged 13–19) who screened positive for depression. IPT comprised of two individual and 12 group sessions facilitated by nurses or lay workers. Using a pre-post design we assessed adolescents at baseline, post-treatment (0–2 weeks after IPT), and follow-up (8–10 weeks after IPT). We measured depressive symptoms with the Depression Self-Rating Scale (DSRS), and functional impairment with a local tool. To assess intervention fidelity supervisors rated facilitators’ IPT skills across 27/90 sessions using a standardised checklist. We conducted qualitative interviews with 16 adolescents and six facilitators post-intervention, and an activity-based cost analysis from the provider perspective.

Results. Adolescents attended 82.3% (standard deviation 18.9) of group sessions. All were followed up. Depression and functional impairment improved between baseline and follow-up: DSRS score decreased by 81% (95% confidence interval 70–95); functional impairment decreased by 288% (249–351). In total, 95.3% of facilitator IPT skills were rated superior/satisfactory. Adolescents found the intervention useful and acceptable, although some had concerns about privacy in schools. The estimate of intervention unit cost was US $96.9 with facilitators operating at capacity.

Conclusions. School-based group IPT is feasible and acceptable in Nepal. Findings support progression to a randomised controlled trial to assess effectiveness and cost-effectiveness.

Introduction

Improving the mental health of adolescents aged 10–19 is a global priority. Estimates suggest that 13% of adolescents are living with a mental disorder, equating to around 166 million worldwide (UNICEF, 2021). Poor mental health in adolescence can impair physical health, socio-emotional development, and educational attainment, limiting opportunities to thrive in adulthood (Thapar et al., 2012). Moreover, mental disorders are causally linked to suicide which is the fifth most prevalent cause of adolescent death (UNICEF, 2021).

Depression is a common mental disorder which accounts for 11% of total years lived with disability among adolescents aged 15–19 and 7% among adolescents aged 10–14 (Mokdad et al., 2016). The burden is highest in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), yet the availability of mental health care in these settings is especially low (Patel et al., 2018). In an effort to overcome this treatment gap, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends evidence-based psychological interventions for treating depression in adolescents (World Health Organization, 2016). Some of these interventions were developed in high-income countries...
and exporting them to LMIC settings poses multiple challenges (Benish et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2016; Cuijpers et al., 2018). Mental health care in LMICs tends to be institutionalised and trained mental health personnel at the community level are scarce. Primary health care systems are often under-resourced and overburdened, with limited mental health care capacity. Cultural challenges relate to divergent local concepts of distress and community perceptions of the acceptability and utility of mental health diagnoses and care (Heim and Kohrt, 2019; Sangraula et al., 2021).

The past decade has seen a rise in randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of psychological treatments adapted for LMICs, but the evidence base for treatments in children and adolescents is trailing for adults. Recent meta-analyses demonstrated that effect sizes of psychological treatments are smaller in children than in adults (Cuijpers et al., 2020). An umbrella review of psychological interventions in humanitarian settings found adequate evidence to support treatments for children with conduct problems and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), but not depression (Barbui et al., 2020). Research that tests psychological interventions for children and adolescents with depression is needed to build the evidence base in LMICs.

Interpersonal therapy (IPT) is one such psychological intervention that was developed in the USA to treat depression among adults and has been successfully adapted for adolescents in LMIC settings (Mufson et al., 2004a, 2004b; Bolton et al., 2007; Rosselló et al., 2008; O'Shea et al., 2015). The therapeutic focus of IPT is on the interpersonal context of depression, codified into key interpersonal triggers of depressive episodes, the four ‘interpersonal problem areas’: grief, disputes, role transitions, and social isolation. The persons are helped therapeutically by understanding the connection between their depression and the problem areas associated with it, and by building skills to manage these problems more effectively. Meta-analyses suggest IPT reduces depressive symptoms in adolescents ($d = −1.48$) and may be more acceptable to them than other psychological therapies (Zhou et al., 2015; Duffy et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2020).

Nepal is a lower middle-income country where psychological intervention for depression in adolescents is urgently needed. The earthquakes in 2015 compounded effects of a decade-long war on the stability and prosperity of Nepali society and the economy. Over 8000 people were killed, over 16 000 injured, and many more left homeless or displaced (Ministry of Home Affairs, 2015). Monsoon rains, flooding, and landslides continue to drive people from their homes. After the earthquakes, the prevalence of adolescent depression was 40% in some areas (Silwal et al., 2018). Violence at home and in school, poverty, and parental migration are key risk factors for depression among Nepali adolescents. The COVID-19 pandemic and national lockdown pose further risks to their mental health (Orben et al., 2020; Kola et al., 2021). Existing mental health services are concentrated in urban centres and specialised services for children and adolescents are virtually non-existent (Luitel et al., 2015).

In this study we aimed to evaluate the feasibility of IPT for depressed adolescents in Nepal. We chose IPT because it is potentially compatible with Nepali conceptualisations of identity and distress, can be delivered by non-specialist workers, and can be conducted in groups which is likely to be more cost-effective and culturally acceptable than one-to-one therapy (Tol et al., 2005; Rose-Clarke et al., 2021). We adapted IPT for delivery in schools based on adolescents’ and parents’ preferences, and evidence that school-based psychological interventions are effective, scalable, and sustainable (Michelson et al., 2020, Clarke et al., 2021).

**Methods**

We conducted a mixed-methods, uncontrolled pre-post evaluation to explore the feasibility of IPT and inform decisions to progress to an RCT. We use feasibility as an umbrella term that includes acceptability, utility, fidelity, outcome trends, and cost (Eldridge et al., 2016).

**Setting**

Four government secondary schools in Sindupalchowk took part. Sindupalchowk is a mountainous district on the Nepal–Tibet border. The population (c. 288 000) mainly lives in rural areas and agriculture is the main source of income (UN Women, 2016). Life expectancy is 62 years. Net enrolment rates for lower secondary and secondary level schools are 78 and 43% respectively (UN Women, 2016).

**Intervention**

We culturally and developmentally adapted the WHO group IPT intervention for delivery in schools in Nepal. Intervention components and adaptations made are detailed elsewhere (Rose-Clarke et al., 2020) and included: (i) replacing the term depression with heart-mind problems (manko samasya), which is a non-stigmatising Nepali term (Kohrt and Harper, 2008); (ii) framing the intervention as life skills training to mitigate stigma towards participants; and (iii) including singing, dancing, and storytelling to help build relationships within groups and improve engagement. We included two pre-group sessions: the first with the adolescent at school to identify the most relevant IPT problem areas, help the adolescent link their depressive symptoms to the problem area, and gather information about their interpersonal relationships and history of depression; the second with the adolescent and their parent, ideally at home, to mobilise parental support and build rapport with the adolescent’s family. Adolescents and parents initially provided consent to participate in the first pre-group session (adolescents took an information sheet and consent form home from school for signing). The IPT facilitator collected consent for the group sessions in the second pre-group session. Adolescents were then invited to 12 weekly group sessions. Groups were gender specific. The initial session focused on encouraging adolescents to review and share their problems, and instilling hope for recovery. In middle sessions (2–11) adolescents practiced new interpersonal skills and offered and received support to resolve their problems. In the last session, they reviewed and celebrated their progress, and made plans to tackle future problems.

In each session adolescents reviewed their depressive symptoms using the Patient Health Questionnaire – Adolescent Version (PHQ-A) (Johnson et al., 2002). This was an integral part of IPT to help adolescents link changes in their symptoms to events in their daily lives. It also enabled facilitators to identify deterioration and suicidality. We developed a standard operating procedure to manage adolescents reporting suicidal thoughts, which included risk assessment, consultation with an IPT supervisor, communication with parents, and one-to-one intervention with a psychosocial counsellor.

We initially recruited and trained nine IPT facilitators. We recruited staff nurses because of a national policy to appoint nurses in secondary schools across the country. However, most nurses in Nepal are female and in the formative research
adolescents said they preferred facilitators of the same gender, so we also recruited community lay facilitators. Facilitator training involved: (i) a 10-day in-person training to build basic psychosocial skills, including interpersonal communication, listening, non-verbal communication, and group management skills; (ii) a didactic 10-day workshop using the IPT manual; (iii) an IPT knowledge test; and (iv) practice using IPT skills with small groups of adolescents. Supervisors assessed facilitators’ competency using the ENhancing Assessment of Common Therapeutic factors (ENACT) rating scale and the Working with children – Assessment of Competencies Tool (WeACT) (Kohrt et al., 2015; Jordans et al., 2021). Supervisors also used standardised lists to rate IPT-specific tasks carried out by facilitators in the sessions. From the nine facilitators we selected six (two nurses and four lay workers) based on their competency and availability, ensuring an equal number of male and female facilitators.

Facilitators worked in pairs. Six out of eight groups were facilitated by a nurse-lay worker pair. Group sessions took place between December 2019 and April 2020, in a classroom, library, or outside the school if space was unavailable. We ran eight groups (four female, four male) of five to nine adolescents. Facilitators were supervised by Nepali IPT supervisors (IP and PS). Supervisors were trained and supervised themselves by IPT master trainers (HV, KC, and AKR).

Participants

IPT participants were 62 adolescents (32 boys and 30 girls) with depression and functional impairment. We used a two-stage process to recruit adolescents from four mixed gender, government secondary schools. First, we used the Community Informant Detection Tool (CIDT) adapted for adolescents to identify those with depression (Jordans et al., 2015). The tool comprised of a vignette and illustrations of adolescent depression. In schools, researchers discussed the vignette with adolescents and asked those who felt they were experiencing similar symptoms to complete the CIDT. This involved the adolescent matching symptoms presented in the vignette with their own symptoms and evaluating the extent to which symptoms compromised daily functioning. Second, we invited probable cases for further screening. Inclusion criteria were: adolescents aged 13–19; score of 14 or more on the Depression Self Rating Scale (DSRS); and score of four or more on a measure of functional impairment (Jordans et al., 2010; Kohrt et al., 2011). Exclusion criteria were current suicidal ideation with a current plan or a plan in the last 3 months; suicide attempt in the past 3 months; alcohol or substance abuse; and inability to participate in interviews due to severe cognitive or physical impairment, including severe mental disorders such as bipolar disorder or schizophrenia.

Quantitative measures of feasibility

We calculated the participation rate as the number of adolescents who participated in group sessions as a percentage of all those eligible. We calculated the mean percentage attendance at group sessions (attendance at pre-group sessions was a prerequisite for receiving the intervention). IPT supervisors observed 27 of 90 group sessions. For each group, they aimed to observe at least one session from initial, middle, and termination phases. During these in-person observations supervisors assessed intervention fidelity using standardised lists to rate how well facilitators carried out key components of the session. Lists were adapted from tools in the WHO IPT manual (World Health Organization and Columbia University, 2016). Supervisors rated each session component as ‘superior’, ‘satisfactory’, ‘needs improvement’, ‘failed to attempt’, ‘not applicable’, or ‘could not assess’. Fidelity was calculated as the percentage of components rated superior or satisfactory. We used the follow-up rate and percentage of missing data to assess the feasibility of study procedures.

Outcome measurements

We assessed adolescent mental health outcomes at three time points: immediately before the first individual session (baseline); within 2 weeks of the 12th group session (post-treatment); and 8–10 weeks after the 12th group session (follow-up). Primary outcomes were depression measured with the DSRS, and functional impairment measured with a locally developed tool. The DSRS is an 18-item screening tool for children and adolescents that has been used in various cultural contexts (Iverson et al., 1994; Denda et al., 2006; Panter-Brick et al., 2009). In the adapted Nepali version items are presented as questions, for example ‘Are you able to sleep well?’, ‘Do you feel like eating when you see food?’ and response options are ‘never’, ‘sometimes’, or ‘mostly’ (Kohrt et al., 2011). Responses were summed to give a total score out of 36 with higher scores indicating more severe depression. The functional impairment tool is a 10-item scale to assess adolescents’ ability to participate in the past 2 weeks in locally relevant daily activities including working in the fields, doing house chores, and spending time with friends (Jordans et al., 2010). Items are in question format and responses are ‘none of the time’, ‘a little of the time’, ‘some of the time’, and ‘all the time’. Scores range from 0 to 30 with higher scores indicating more impairment. The tool was originally developed for a study in southwestern Nepal using methods outlined by Bolton and Tang (2002). We adapted it for Sindhupalchowk using free listing to identify tasks that are important to adolescents in this setting. Secondary outcomes were anxiety, PTSD, and disruptive behaviour, measured with the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI, 21 items); Child PTSD Symptom Scale (CPSS) (17 items); and Disruptive Behaviour International Scale – Nepal (DBIS-N, 24 items) (Kohrt et al., 2003; Jordans et al., 2010; Burkey et al., 2016; Burkey et al., 2018). Tools were translated into Nepali and previously validated in Nepal.

We wanted to use different tools to assess the primary outcome and in-session depression assessments because we anticipated adolescents would become very familiar with the latter, increasing the risk of social desirability bias and a testing effect. We also wanted a shorter tool in the sessions to mitigate interview fatigue. We therefore used the Patient Health Questionnaire – Adolescent Version (PHQ-A, 9 items) to monitor session-by-session change (Johnson et al., 2002). The PHQ-A is a modification of the Patient Health Questionnaire which was validated in Nepal among adults (Kohrt et al., 2016). Validation of the PHQ-A among adolescents in Nepal is underway.

Cost analysis

We did an activity-based cost analysis of the design and implementation of IPT from the provider perspective. Costs from monthly project accounts were entered in an Excel tool, divided into start-up or implementation costs, and allocated to different...
cost centres (capital, staff, and materials) and intervention activities (e.g. adaptation, training, facilitation, etc.). Interviews with project staff before implementation and at follow-up informed how they divided their time across activities and thus how to allocate their salaries. The total cost of the intervention was annualised then divided by the number of participants in a year to estimate a unit cost per IPT participant. We calculated a unit cost per participant based on four assumptions. First, the IPT manual, training materials, and training of trainers were treated as single capital items with a useful life of 7 years (Greco et al., 2018). Like other capital costs, these were annualised over their useful life using a discount rate of 8.00% (Nepal Government Bond yield in 2020) (Greco et al., 2018; International Monetary Fund, 2020). Second, each facilitator pair can run three groups of 10 adolescents simultaneously, and a total of 36 groups per year (360 adolescents). Third, facilitators need annual refresher training and supervisors need sporadic support from master trainers. Fourth, when estimating unit costs for 360 participants, we assumed a linear increase of the recurrent costs incurred to provide the intervention to 62 participants. This is because sessions would run in the same place and additional participants would come from the same area with similar accessibility. All costs were inflated to 2020 and converted from Nepali rupees to international and US dollars using World Bank data on consumer price index and exchange rates (World Bank, 2020). Last, univariate sensitivity analyses were carried out to test the key assumption and cost driver relating to the annual number of participants that facilitators could serve at capacity.

**Quantitative data collection and analysis**

We based the sample size on the minimum number of groups needed to pilot various facilitator combinations (e.g. both female facilitators, both male, one female, and one male). Facilitators recorded attendance and adolescents completed the PHQ-A at each session. We collected quantitative data on mental health, costs, and sociodemographic characteristics through interviews with adolescents at baseline, post-treatment, and follow-up. Researchers conducted the interviews using tablets programmed with ODK data collection software.

Mental health outcomes were analysed as continuous outcomes. We conducted descriptive analyses comparing outcomes at baseline to post-treatment, and baseline to follow-up and calculated a measure of effect size (Cohen’s d). We repeated these analyses excluding three participants referred to the psychosocial counsellor to see how it affected outcomes. We conducted subgroup analyses to see if changes in outcomes were concentrated by gender, caste/ethnic group, income level (low v. not low, with low defined as sufficient family income for no more than 6 months), family type (nuclear or extended), baseline mental health comorbidities, IPT group, and school.

**Qualitative evaluation of feasibility**

Researchers conducted semi-structured interviews with 16 adolescents and all six facilitators. We sampled female and male adolescents with low (less than four group sessions), medium (4–7), and high attendance (8–12) at group sessions, across age and caste/ethic groups. Topic guides included questions on positive and negative aspects of IPT and adverse events. Interviews were in Nepali, audio-recorded, transcribed, and translated into English. Data were analysed using the Framework approach which involved developing a coding framework used to open-code interview transcripts, and mapping summaries into a matrix (Excel spreadsheet) (Gale et al., 2013).

**Impact of COVID-19 on implementation and data collection**

Face-to-face research in Nepal was banned in March 2020 so we had to conduct 25/62 post-treatment surveys and all follow-up surveys by phone. Using regression analysis with interactions, we tested whether the change in mental health outcomes between post-treatment and follow-up differed by whether the post-treatment interview was conducted on the phone or in person. We found no statistically significant differences in any outcome between individuals who had their post-treatment interview conducted in person v. over the phone.

Supervisors were unable to complete all planned observations of sessions and supervisions were done by phone. We conducted qualitative interviews with facilitators using phone/video conferencing. Qualitative interviews with adolescents were delayed until after the lockdown in June 2020. Due to COVID-related restrictions some IPT groups did not receive 12 in-person group sessions: one male group received 11 group sessions; one male group received 9/12 in-person group sessions with the final session conducted individually by phone; and one male group received 10 in-person group sessions plus a final session by phone.

**Ethics**

The Nepal Health Research Council (637/2018) and King’s College London Research Ethics Committee (RESCM-18/19-8427) approved the research.

**Results**

Table 1 presents adolescent baseline characteristics. More than two-thirds were from low-income households defined as those having sufficient income for no more than 6 months. Mean scores for depression, anxiety, PTSD, and disruptive behaviour were similar across genders. Baseline characteristics by school are reported as online Supplementary materials (Appendix A). The intra-class correlation coefficient for DSRS at baseline across schools was 0.07.

**Feasibility of IPT and study procedures**

The participation rate was 93.9% (62/66) and mean attendance was 82.3% [standard deviation (s.d.) 18.9, n = 62]. Online Supplementary Appendix B is a participant flowchart. Supervisors rated 95.3% of IPT-specific tasks as superior or satisfactory across 27/90 sessions observed. All adolescents were followed up at post-treatment and follow-up. There were no missing data.

**Outcome trends**

Table 2 and Fig. 1 show that all outcomes except for disruptive behaviour improved between baseline and post-treatment, and between baseline and follow-up. Facilitators referred three adolescents at high risk of suicide to a psychosocial counsellor. Results remained the same after excluding these participants from the analyses. Most improvements happened between baseline and post-treatment. Cohen’s d ranged from 1.5 for anxiety to 2.5 for...
functional impairment, indicating large effect sizes. Across outcomes, fidelity to the IPT manual and effect size were not correlated.

The rate of improvement was highest in the first three sessions and boys improved faster than girls (Fig. 2). Males and females had similar levels of depression, anxiety, and PTSD symptoms at baseline, both groups improved up to follow-up, but males improved more than females across these outcomes (Table 2 and Fig. 1).

Improvements in mental health did not differ by caste/ethnic group, income level, or family type. Graphs of outcomes by age (13–14 v. 15–19 years) and number of comorbidities are given in online Supplementary Appendices C and D. Adolescents in schools 3 and 4 improved more than those in schools 1 and 2 for all outcomes except for disruptive behaviour. Because schools 3 and 4 ran male-only groups, it was unclear if differences in mental health reflected differences in improvement due to gender or the school environment. All eight IPT groups improved from baseline to follow-up across depression, anxiety, and PTSD outcomes with some exceptions: depression measured using the DSRS did not improve in one female group, and anxiety did not improve in two female groups.

Cost analysis
The total intervention cost was NPR 6 682 849 (Int’l $199 310; US $57 457) between September 2018 and 2020, with an annualised cost of NPR 3 341 424 (Int’l $99 655; US $27 728; online Supplementary Appendix E). The unit cost was NPR 53 894 (Int’l $1607; US $447.2) per participant (n = 62). If facilitators were operating at capacity (n = 360) the annualised total cost is NPR 4 055 368 (Int’l $120 947; US $34 867) and unit cost is NPR 11 265 (Int’l $336.0; US $96.9). The main driver of unit cost is the number of groups a pair of facilitators can run at capacity. Assuming they run two groups simultaneously (n = 240) the unit cost is NPR 16 470 (Int’l $491.2; US $141.6); if they run four groups (n = 480) the unit cost is NPR 8663 (Int’l $258.4; US $74.5).

Perceptions of acceptability, feasibility, and utility
Qualitative interviews captured adolescents’ mixed feelings about being recruited to IPT (Table 3). Nine felt happy to join. One girl initially worried that the programme was a front for human trafficking. Others, especially girls, were worried they would damage their ‘family prestige’ (pariwarko ijja janu) by sharing about their family in a group. However, having completed the programme all adolescents emphasised the value of sharing and the advice and support they received from group members.

Adolescents thought IPT sessions were ‘fun’ and enjoyed the singing and games. They were unanimously positive about facilitators whom they described as like ‘friends’ or siblings who understood their problems, listened, and created a comfortable group environment. Adolescents and facilitators thought the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean outcome scores (s.d.)</th>
<th>Total (n = 62)</th>
<th>Girls (n = 30)</th>
<th>Boys (n = 32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depression self-rating</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td>16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.96)</td>
<td>(3.42)</td>
<td>(2.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional impairment</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>12.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.5)</td>
<td>(4.71)</td>
<td>(4.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.96)</td>
<td>(4.17)</td>
<td>(3.71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck Anxiety Inventory</td>
<td>16.68</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>14.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.11)</td>
<td>(7.84)</td>
<td>(5.89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child PTSD</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>27.23</td>
<td>22.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7.51)</td>
<td>(7.82)</td>
<td>(6.67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioural index</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.48)</td>
<td>(3.83)</td>
<td>(3.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariates (% in each group)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean, s.d.)</td>
<td>14.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>15.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>14.6 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caste: Brahman/Chhetri</td>
<td>41.9 (n = 26)</td>
<td>40.0 (n = 12)</td>
<td>43.8 (n = 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janajati</td>
<td>53.2 (n = 33)</td>
<td>53.3 (n = 16)</td>
<td>53.1 (n = 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalit</td>
<td>4.8 (n = 3)</td>
<td>6.7 (n = 2)</td>
<td>3.1 (n = 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income* (v. not low)</td>
<td>69.4 (n = 43)</td>
<td>73.3 (n = 22)</td>
<td>65.6 (n = 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear family (v. extended)</td>
<td>59.7 (n = 37)</td>
<td>60.0 (n = 18)</td>
<td>59.4 (n = 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with parents (v. no)</td>
<td>66.1 (n = 41)</td>
<td>60.0 (n = 18)</td>
<td>71.9 (n = 23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

s.d., standard deviation.  

*Low income = family income sufficient for no more than 6 months.
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### Table 2. Results overall, and by gender with within-group effect sizes (Cohen’s $d$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Baseline (1)</th>
<th>Post-treatment (2)</th>
<th>$d$ size (2) − (1)</th>
<th>Follow-up (3)</th>
<th>$d$ size (3) − (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depression self-rating scale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17.21 (16.46; 17.96)</td>
<td>9.32 (8.06; 10.58)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>9.50 (8.45; 10.55)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18.23 (16.96; 19.51)</td>
<td>12.63 (11.13; 14.13)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11.23 (9.89; 12.58)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional impairment</td>
<td>12.55 (11.40; 13.69)</td>
<td>4.05 (3.11; 4.99)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.23 (2.53; 3.92)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12.53 (10.95; 14.11)</td>
<td>2.81 (1.62; 4.00)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.81 (1.81; 3.81)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12.56 (10.81; 14.33)</td>
<td>5.37 (4.00; 6.74)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.67 (2.67; 4.66)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire</td>
<td>10.00 (8.99; 11.01)</td>
<td>2.47 (1.62; 3.31)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.50 (1.92; 3.08)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9.37 (8.04; 10.71)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.48; 1.84)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.69 (1.06; 2.31)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10.67 (9.11; 12.23)</td>
<td>3.87 (2.39; 5.34)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.36 (2.42; 4.31)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck Anxiety Inventory</td>
<td>16.68 (14.87; 18.48)</td>
<td>6.98 (5.50; 8.46)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.15 (5.84; 8.45)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14.84 (12.72; 16.97)</td>
<td>4.13 (3.05; 5.20)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.53 (3.13; 5.93)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18.63 (15.70; 21.56)</td>
<td>10.03 (7.57; 12.49)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.93 (8.09; 11.77)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child PTSD Symptom Scale</td>
<td>25.03 (23.12; 26.94)</td>
<td>9.89 (7.92; 11.85)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.71 (7.03; 10.39)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22.97 (20.56; 25.38)</td>
<td>6.56 (4.42; 8.71)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.84 (3.85; 7.84)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>27.23 (24.31; 30.16)</td>
<td>13.43 (10.48; 16.39)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.77 (9.38; 14.15)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disruptive Behaviour International Scale Nepal</td>
<td>6.20 (5.30; 7.07)</td>
<td>5.80 (5.14; 6.46)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.19 (4.28; 6.09)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6.00 (4.86; 7.14)</td>
<td>6.47 (5.51; 7.42)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6.40 (4.97; 7.83)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Fig. 1.** Outcome means and 95% confidence intervals by gender at baseline, post-treatment, and follow-up time points.
most useful skills were in decision-making, communicating, role-play, anger management, and relaxation. Facilitators described how they competently managed adolescents with suicidal ideation, but how it made them feel ‘heavy’ and anxious. Adolescents said that even though the programme had finished, they continued to use and share the skills they learned to help themselves and their friends.

School was perceived to be a suitable and convenient location for IPT sessions though some adolescents reported being disturbed by noise and concerns about privacy. They suggested sessions should be in a room with a lockable door and scheduled for the early morning or lunchtime to avoid having to miss class or return home late. A female participant highlighted the need to include out of school adolescents in groups:

‘There was one sister in the village who had left school. I wanted to bring her to the session and so I was planning to tell [the facilitator] but unfortunately it was too late and she committed suicide. I feel very bad about that. If only I could have taken her to the session I would have saved her life. […] I think you shouldn’t just ask about the cases [of depression] in school but ask in the village as well’. Female 16

Adolescents and facilitators agreed that IPT participants with more heart-mind problems required more sessions than those with fewer problems. All facilitators and some adolescents recommended reducing the number of sessions. Facilitators thought most participants’ problems were resolved after session eight and that they were less likely to attend subsequent sessions. Facilitators identified illness, exams, school/home/paid work, festivals, and sporting events as reasons for absence.

Most parents supported their child’s participation and reminded them to attend. Facilitators stressed the importance of initially meeting parents to build rapport and learn more about the adolescent but found it difficult to travel to remote villages and find a mutually convenient time.

Fourteen adolescents said they had not experienced stigma related to participating in IPT. One said that friends had teased him, and another described how a teacher shouted at him for missing class. In one school facilitators asked the principal to intervene because a teacher referred to a participant as ‘psycho’ and IPT groups as ‘psycho groups’. Adolescents and facilitators recommended school-level psychoeducation and programme orientation to explain that IPT can help adolescents solve problems and improve their studies.

Discussion

The results of the study support progression to an RCT to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of IPT for adolescents with depression in Nepal. Adolescents found IPT acceptable, evidenced by high recruitment and attendance rates and qualitative data suggesting they thought groups were useful and fun. Adolescents perceived that IPT reduced their heart-mind problems and outcome trends suggest improvements in depression, functioning, anxiety, and PTSD symptoms between baseline and follow-up. Training and supervision of nurses and lay workers to deliver IPT was feasible, evidenced by high levels of fidelity to the manual. The recruitment process identified sufficient adolescents with depression, although recruitment of more out of school adolescents is needed. Ethics and safety procedures ensured high risk suicidal adolescents were identified and treated. Data collection procedures were feasible evidenced by no missing data. A cluster RCT which randomises schools rather than individuals to trial arms could help to minimise any contamination related to adolescents sharing IPT skills with their peers.

There are several strengths of our study including the mixed methods approach used to test a culturally adapted psychological treatment that is potentially scalable through the education system. The lack of a control arm means we cannot infer causality and attribute adolescents’ improvements in mental health to IPT. Although outcome assessments were conducted by research assistants, not IPT facilitators, we cannot exclude the possibility of social desirability bias in surveys and qualitative interviews. COVID-19 lockdown measures in 2020 halted face-to-face IPT sessions and data collection but it was still possible to conduct sessions and assessments with adolescents by phone. Moreover, despite the unprecedented and potentially distressing circumstances during lockdown, we observed maintained improvements in participants’ mental health.

Our study is relevant beyond Nepal and contributes to a growing body of global research showing the feasibility of psychological treatments delivered by people who are not specialists in...
### Table 3. Findings from qualitative interview with adolescents and facilitators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Utility**                   |                                               | ‘People who fight, quarrel, have heart mind related problems due to the poor relationship with parents, also those who have poor relationships with their friends, etc. would benefit the most’. Male 14  
‘I used to fight with my friends […]. In that program they taught us about the proper ways of talking and explaining to friends at such times. It helped me a lot’. Male 14  
‘The sessions helped us; it helped us with the fights at home. My friends [in the group] used to tell me that they no longer feel alone’. Female 16  
‘It had a positive effect on everything. My studies got better along with the relationship with my friends. The relationship with my family also got better’. Male 15  
‘I liked IPT very much. As we started the training, I felt it was new and I got excited and curious to know more about it. I wasn’t confident in the beginning to conduct the session, but later as we proceeded, their problems lessened. They took our suggestions positively. These things make me feel very happy. I have realised that IPT is a very good technique, I had also used some of the techniques to relieve stress. It’s not just limited to managing depression, but also helps to live life better for those who know the techniques. We get to learn ways to manage anger and stress that we have with other people’. Facilitator  
‘For instance, let’s take “dispute/argument” as a problem. There we were taught to implement interpersonal skill development. We have to implement that technique when people have problems in relationships. The anger management technique was very effective. Clients had also implemented it in their real lives as most of them had this problem. It was the most implemented technique. Thus, techniques such as anger management and interpersonal skill development were very effective for participants’. Facilitator  
‘Every solution comes by discussing with friends. We can tell them if we have any solution to their problems, similarly they can also do the same. If it doesn’t help entirely, it would surely help in some way. That way, they would feel good as well’. Male 14  
‘Problems helped by IPT’  
‘Usefulness and suitability of IPT technique’  
‘Positive and negative feelings about participating in IPT’  
‘Identifying reasons for absences’  
‘Acceptability of facilitators’  
‘At first, when I was unaware, I thought that [facilitators] had come from outside [the community] and might sell us. Later, I participated in the weekly meetings and began sharing about heart mind problems […]. I was happy sharing with them’. Female 16  
‘All of us could share about heart mind related problems in the group, we could also make fun of each other, laugh, and play games as well. […] If someone gets sad then everyone can create a cheerful environment and that person can speak cheerfully. That’s why I could be happy with the help from my friends. We used to play as well’. Male 15  
‘It is beneficial in a group. If we had to sit alone then we would have been unaware about other peoples’ problems. If I were alone in the session and if I were the only one with such problems, then I would have been confused about what needs to be shared. But since everyone would share in the group, we could feel normal knowing that everyone was suffering with the same problem’. Female 16  
‘It was nice. I could share my heart mind related problems with my friends and listen to theirs’ as well. My friends in the group suggested various ways to solve the problems and I could also suggest them’. Male 15  
‘Everyone listened to each other’s problems. If we hadn’t participated in the session, then everything would have been within ourselves and stuck within us.’ That would have made us very sad and unhappy. In those sessions, we could listen to each other’s problems and realise that it’s not just me but others as well with such similar problems. [… I] felt happy knowing all those things’. Female 16  
‘Going [to the sessions] I could relieve my heart mind problems. That’s the reason that made me feel like going. Also, we used to have fun during the sessions’. Female 16  
‘The reason for their absence was that they had household work, some were sick, some did not come to school, while some had informed us that they couldn’t join the session as they had some emergency work at home’. Facilitator  
‘We […] asked the participant’s reason for not joining the session. They said that their problems were minor compared to others and they didn’t like participating in the session. They also lived far away, so it was difficult as they had to get assistance to get home. So, having to go alone, covering such a long distance, that person left the session’. Facilitator  
‘They are very close to us. The facilitators are like my sisters. It was very comfortable being in the session with them’. Female 16  
‘I liked [the facilitators] very much. They understood us, they weren’t like others who get lost and ignore us whilst we are speaking or divert the conversation in between. They weren’t like our teachers but friends. They were fun and they made it easy to express everything. They listened to us and provided suggestions. That’s why I liked them a lot’. Female 16  
‘Acceptability’ |

(Continued)
### Table 3. (Continued.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fidelity</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Facilitators’ preparedness for sessions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘I was prepared as I had taken 20 days of training before. All of us had difficulties and it’s natural to have trouble in the beginning. As we kept on working, we gradually gained the experience from the practice group, which trained us for the real group session. […] We had to look up in the manual to prepare ourselves. We sensed that we must be prepared a lot, such feelings also made us prepared. We had two days [refresher] training before the real session. At that time [our supervisors] had said that we did well’. Facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Deviations from the IPT manual</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Sometimes, when we talk about things, we might need to go outside the content in the manual. For instance, there are no techniques for those who take marijuana, weed, etc. and we have to go beyond the manual to deal with such cases’. Facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Suitability of school as the venue</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘My friends used to peep inside the room, but later as we began locking the door, they stopped disturbing us. Also, our sessions used to take place during school hours, so it went smoothly’. Male 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘There was one sister in the village who had left school. I wanted to bring her to the session and so I was planning to tell [the facilitator] the next time she came to the village, but unfortunately it was too late, and she committed suicide. She had some problems at home. I feel very bad about that. If only I could have taken her to the session, I would have saved her life. […] I think you shouldn’t just ask about the cases [of depression] in school but ask in the village as well’. Female 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Suitability of group size</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘The number [of people in the group] is good: eight people is enough. If there were more it would be disturbing. With eight it will be fun to share. If there are more people, then some may not share, some may be shy. There were some shy people in my group but when the facilitators assured them a safe environment for sharing […] they began sharing in the group’. Female 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘There were just four clients in the beginning but now there are many. Due to this, there wasn’t enough time to talk about everyone’s problems. We used to ask if anybody else had similar problems and gave priority to those who had problems. For the following week we used to talk to people based on their PHQ score’. Facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Ideal timing of sessions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘For me, the morning hours are best… You may also manage the evening hours, after school but those who live far away will have to walk a long distance and return alone. All their friends would have gone by that time, and it would be difficult on the way home’. Female 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘My study was affected a bit as I had to go to the session during the classes. It happened two or three times’. Female 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Experiences of involving parents in the programme</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘The advantages that I saw were that the adolescents didn’t always have cell phones with them, so when we had to call them for the session, we would rather call their parents, and they would inform their kids about it. The participants feel that if us facilitators will call their parents then they would easily let their kids go. Even if the participants reached home late after the session or left early in the morning for the session, their parent wouldn’t scold them. That’s why I think that family meetings are important’. Facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘There wasn’t any problem as such because we had already visited adolescents even before we met the parents. During the second meeting the clients would be together with parents, which might be a problem for the clients, as they would be scared all the time that we facilitators might say something about their heart-mind problems in front of their parents. But we had already mentioned to them about confidentiality, promising them that we will not mention anything if it includes the problem with the parents. That’s why there wouldn’t be any problem as such’. Facilitator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Experiences of adverse events</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘There were one or two people from among seven or eight who had suicidal tendencies, and they were from both genders, [We] felt that it was more prevalent among females than males. We used to work according to the [IPT] manual and carry out the processes but didn’t find any serious cases among the male participants. The clients used to share with us about the reasons for getting such thoughts. They had attempted suicide once but didn’t do it again. And when they were asked if they currently had such thoughts they replied saying that they didn’t. Also, when asked about the reasons for wanting to live they had some answer for that, which made them realise that they...’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Earlier formative research suggests that out of school adolescents, we did not proactively search for them. Burgess have more mental health problems than school-going adolescents, are at greater risk of early marriage and child labour and are likely to come from poorer households, are at risk of being in schools that have failed to reach them. In Nepal this is around 12%.

The rate of attendance at group sessions suggests school is a convenient and accessible location for adolescents. This contrasts with trials of IPT in healthcare settings reporting low uptake (51%) and high dropout rates (28%) in South Africa and Kenya, respectively (Petersen et al., 2014; Meffert et al., 2021). Evidence for school-based mental health interventions in LMICs is just emerging but results are promising (Fazel et al., 2014; Michelson et al., 2020; Bradshaw et al., 2021). Schools vary greatly in terms of environment, resources, and their suitability as a venue for mental health care. In Nepal, corporal punishment is common, and schools may have a quiet, private room for group sessions. Adolescents participating in a mental health intervention may experience stigma and discrimination from peers and teachers (Gronholm et al., 2018). Participant confidentiality and safety are paramount so assessment of a school’s suitability and readiness, and parallel anti-stigma and psychoeducation activities must be pre-requisites for school-based psychological intervention in Nepal and beyond.

For all the potential advantages of school-based programmes (accessibility, scalability, and efficacy), they usually exclude adolescents who are not in education. In Nepal this is around 12% of adolescents who tend to be from poorer households, are at greater risk of early marriage and child labour and are likely to have more mental health problems than school-going adolescents (Burgess et al., 2022). Although we did not explicitly exclude out of school adolescents, we did not proactively search for them. Earlier formative research suggests that out of school adolescents would consider attending school-based programmes alongside school-going adolescents. One possible solution could therefore be a more inclusive community-based recruitment strategy. This could involve training adolescents, community leaders, and health workers to use the Community Informant Detection Tool to find and refer out-of-school adolescents (Jordans et al., 2015). Elsewhere, IPT sessions have been held in community healthcare settings which could be feasible in parallel or combined with sessions in schools (Patel et al., 2010; Petersen et al., 2012).

The main aim of our study was to assess the feasibility and acceptability of IPT, rather than its effects. We report large effect sizes for mental health outcomes but these are likely inflated due to the pre-post study design without a control group and clustering at the school level which, with only four schools, we could not account for in the analysis (Bryan and Jenkins, 2015). More modest effects have been reported in RCTs of adolescent IPT (Mufson et al., 1999; Mufson et al., 2004b; Bolton et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2009). Our strategy of selecting the six most competent facilitators to deliver the intervention from the nine we trained likely facilitated the study outcomes and may not be feasible in a larger community-based programme. However, there are several reasons to suggest IPT may be effective in rural Nepal. First, IPT was the result of an evidence-based cultural adaptation of IPT in this setting (Rose-Clarke et al., 2020). Evidence from meta-analyses suggests therapies that have been culturally adapted may be more effective than those that have not (Benish et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2016). Second, the group-based delivery of IPT facilitated locally relevant reciprocal support, empathy, and compassion, which participants valued highly (Kohrt et al., 2020). Third, experiences of physical and sexual abuse are prevalent among adolescents in Nepal (Ministry of Health and Population (MoHP), 2012). Research suggests IPT may be particularly helpful for those with a history of maltreatment and/or trauma (Betancourt et al., 2012; Toth et al., 2020). Last, Gunlicks-Stoessel et al. (2010) found greater benefits of IPT among adolescents reporting high levels of conflict with their mother or peers (Gunlicks-Stoessel et al., 2010). Our qualitative data suggest many IPT participants experienced such difficulties and found communication analysis and anger management techniques particularly useful (Rose-Clarke et al., 2021). Whether the lack of correlation between fidelity to IPT and mental health outcomes is due to a ceiling effect or because adolescents mainly benefitted from the supportive group environment rather than the IPT content should be explored through an RCT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contagion</td>
<td>Dissemination of IPT techniques beyond participants</td>
<td>‘I didn’t share my heart mind problems with my friends in the past but now they call me on my phone and share their feelings. They had told me that the program helped them as well’. Female 16 ‘I used to tell them that it’s related to heart and mind, for instance the problem arises when someone quarrels at home and is dominated by other people. I explained to them that way’. Female 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. (Continued.)
We observed a greater improvement in depression among male than female participants which warrants further investigation in an RCT powered to detect gender differences. We aimed to recruit an equal number of male and female participants, but it was harder to find males with a sufficiently high DSRS score. This is likely because the prevalence of depression is lower among males, with the gender difference peaking in adolescence (odds ratio 3.02 between the ages of 13 and 15) (Hyde and Mezulis, 2020). Whether this gender difference is due to boys under-reporting depression because it is perceived to be unmasculine, or because distress in boys manifests more in externalising symptoms that are not captured by the DSRS remains unclear (Chaplin and Aldao, 2013; Hyde and Mezulis, 2020; Rice et al., 2021).

Qualitative data suggest some adolescents and facilitators preferred fewer than 12 group sessions. This is supported by data showing that the rate of reduction in depressive symptoms was highest in the first three sessions and symptoms stabilised around the ninth group session. IPT was originally designed to be delivered fewer than 12 group sessions. This is supported by data that the rate of reduction in depressive symptoms was highest in the first three sessions and symptoms stabilised around the ninth group session. IPT was originally designed to be delivered over 12–16 sessions although it has been delivered in eight group sessions (Markowitz and Weissman, 2004; Swartz et al., 2014; World Health Organization and Columbia University, 2016). Alternative intervention models with fewer sessions and/or additional sessions for adolescents with persistent symptoms could be more acceptable and cost-effective. However, reducing the number of sessions reduces time for participants to consolidate IPT skills and techniques, which could reduce effect sizes and any longer-term treatment benefits. Moreover, in a two-stage model, adolescents requiring additional sessions may feel a sense of failure and lose hope of recovery. Research is therefore needed to explore IPT treatment duration as a potential effect modifier.

Taken at face value, unit costs for IPT in Nepal appear high, but our estimates are in line with those reported in a cluster-randomised trial of a group psychotherapy intervention for adults living with HIV with mild to moderate depression in rural Uganda (Nakimuli-Mpungu et al., 2020). This intervention reduced depression and was highly cost-effective: the study estimated that costs would have to increase by 1000% before the intervention stopped being cost-effective. While it is challenging to predict costs at scale, this evidence suggests that IPT in Nepal could be cost-effective. Moreover, additional adjustments such as reducing the number of group sessions would reduce costs further.

**Conclusion**

A culturally adapted version of group IPT for adolescents delivered through schools in Nepal is feasible and acceptable. Our results support progression to an RCT to assess the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of IPT in this setting. Research is needed to develop alternative recruitment strategies for out of school adolescents, and to explore how treatment duration and social factors could modify IPT’s effects to optimise intervention delivery and impact.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/gmh.2022.46.
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