Virtual reality in the psychological treatment for mental health problems: an systematic review of recent evidence.

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to provide a review of controlled studies of the use of Virtual Reality in psychological therapies (VRT). Medline, PsychInfo, Embase and Web of Science were searched. Only studies comparing immersive virtual reality to a control condition were included. The search resulted in 1180 articles published between 2012 and 2015, of these, 24 were controlled studies. The reviewed studies confirm the effectiveness of VRT compared to treatment as usual, and show similar effectiveness when VRT is compared to conventional treatments. Current developments and future research are discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The decreasing costs and increasing convenience and power of digital media is creating a revolution in healthcare and new technologies are affecting the way we provide and access care. Virtual Reality (VR) can enable the assessment of cognitions, emotions and behaviour in an ecologically valid environment. The ecological validity of VR derives from the precise presentation and control of dynamic perceptual stimuli. Indeed, virtual environments may provide valid assessments through presenting situations that combine the control of laboratory measures with the verisimilitude of everyday experiences (Parsons et al., 2011). Another important added value of VR is the sense of presence (the psychological sensation of “being there”) that individuals can experience in immersive VR environments (Slater, 2004). Furthermore, VR enables the manipulation of the environment and can be used to manipulate the environmental triggers that elicit distress in people with mental health problems, allowing them to learn to better manage their difficulties (Rizzo and Kim, 2005). VR has been used in clinical settings to treat a range of cognitive, emotional and motor problems in various psychological and psychiatric disorders and according to a recent poll of 70 psychotherapy experts, VR and other computerized intervention are ranked at the top of interventions which are predicted to increase in use in the next ten years (Norcross and Prochaska, 2013). Until recently, a disadvantage of VR has been its high cost and hardware limitations. However, technological advances in computation speed and graphics processing power, and the integration of VR into the computer games industry have contributed to the creation of a much simpler, immersive VR systems, meaning it can run on a typical desktop computer at a fraction of the costs. These new developments are generating a new impulse in VR research and a substantial number of studies have been published in recent years. The aim of this manuscript is to provide an up-to-date systematic review of the literature about the
effectiveness of VR in the psychological treatment for mental health problems. A previous comprehensive review (Eichenberg and Wolters, 2012), described in detail the studies conducted before 2012, therefore in this short communication we will briefly summarize those findings but focus on papers published after 2012. To facilitate access to more disorder specific information, whenever possible we will also provide up-to-date references to recent disorder specific reviews.

2. METHODS

2.1 Design

A systematic review was conducted including pilot randomised clinical trails and randomized controlled trials, which have utilized VR in psychological treatment (VRT). Only studies comparing immersive and or interactive VR to a control condition were included. By immersive VR we mean a VR environment displayed in colour and in 3D using a head mounted display. The computer generated images and the movements of the user are syncronised, generating a virtual world in witch the user can feel as immersed ad in real life (Rizzo et al., 2013). Depending on the set-up, participants can interact with the environment either by head movements, full body turning or with a joystick. For a video example please see https://vimeo.com/145992521 (Password: cure)

We also included the job interview studies by Smith and colleagues, which were not immersive, but were delivered used a computer screen. However they were interactive and could be tailored to the participant.
2.2 Selection Procedure

Studies were included in the review if they were published on or after 2012, written in English; contained original empirical findings, published in a peer-reviewed journal; and focused on the efficacy or effectiveness or process of VRT. Studies were excluded from the review if they were; not treatment studies; case studies; reviews; not available in English; or book chapters.

The databases used were Web of Science, PsychInfo, Embase, and Medline. Reference lists of collected papers were also visually inspected to locate any cited journal articles addressing mental disorders before and after VRT.

2.3 Search Criteria

Studies for review were identified following a keyword search for the terms ‘virtual reality’ in conjunction with ‘treatment’ OR ‘therapy’ OR ‘mental health’, OR ‘mood disorders’, ‘depression’, OR ‘bipolar’, OR ‘mania’, OR ‘paranoia’, OR ‘psychosis’, OR ‘schizophrenia’, OR ‘phobias’, OR ‘obsessive compulsive disorder’, OR ‘anxiety’, OR ‘post traumatic stress disorder’, OR ‘trauma’. Only studies comparing immersive virtual reality to a control condition were included.

The search resulted in 1180 articles published between 2012 and 2015 (final search conducted August 2015). From these, 24 of these were controlled studies, which compared the effectiveness of VRT with an alternative treatment approach or a no treatment control (see Figure 1).

-- Figure 1 --
3. REVIEW

3.1 Brief review of the evidence before 2012.

Most research carried out before 2012 focused on anxiety disorders (Opris et al., 2012), eating disorders (Ferrer-Garcia et al., 2013), phobias (Botella et al., 2014) and post traumatic stress disorder (DiMauro, 2014). Findings showed the effectiveness of VR compared to treatment as usual, but only small effect sizes when VR was compared to conventional cognitive behaviour therapy (Eichenberg and Wolters, 2012). A Cochrane Review of the available literature up to 2013 of the use of VR for serious mental disorders and found only three RCT, all with schizophrenia. Their conclusion was that VR had small effects regarding compliance, cognitive functioning, social skills and acceptability of intervention (Valimaki et al., 2014). More recently two reviews have reviewed the evidence for the assessment and treatment of schizophrenia in a more comprehensive manner (Macedo et al., 2015; Veling et al., 2014) and a recent review also investigated the use of VR in autism (Grynszpan et al., 2014).

3.2 Evidence published after 2012

The current review found 24 controlled studies published since 2012. Table 1 briefly summarises each study, their main findings, strengths and limitations.

-- Table 1 --

Overall, the present review indicates that VRT has potential within mental health research. VRT has been shown to be more effective than treatment as usual or waiting list control, and has similar results as conventional CBT and or in vivo exposure. The available evidence
varied depending on the mental health disorder reviewed, and our results confirm that multiple 
sessions treatment protocols of VRT can be a valuable treatment for agoraphobia with or without panic disorder (Malbos et al., 2013; Meyerbroeker et al., 2013; Pelissolo et al., 2012; Penate-Castro et al., 2014; Pitti et al., 2015); fear of flying (Malbos et al., 2013; 
Meyerbroeker et al., 2013; Pelissolo et al., 2012; Penate-Castro et al., 2014; Pitti et al., 2015); 
social anxiety and fear of public speaking (Anderson et al., 2013; Safir et al., 2012); and spider phobia (Shiban et al., 2015a; Shiban et al., 2013; Shiban et al., 2015b). Also promising are the findings regarding the use of VRT for PTSD (Difede et al., 2014; Rothbaum et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2015a); and for the management of psychological stress (Gaggioli et al., 2014). Single session VR exposure did not seem effective for specific phobias (Moldovan and David, 2014). Limited research has been published recently for eating disorders (Marco et al., 2014) and autism (Smith et al., 2015c; Smith et al., 2014a). In schizophrenia VR was used to deliver vocational or social skills training, but no studies were reported using VR to target the distress associated with hallucinations or delusion (Rus-Calafell et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2015b; Smith et al., 2014b; Tsang and Man, 2013).

The findings should be considered in light of a number of limitations. A substantial number of studies reported relatively high drop-out rates, these were partly due to participants finding the treatment too confronting, and partly due to cyber-sickness a side effect of the use of VR headsets which induces nausea and dizziness. It is also important to note that studies often had small sample sizes and lacked statistical power. Furthermore the studies reviewed were conducted with young or middle aged adults and no evidence was found with younger children or older adults.
Finally we only included studies using immersive virtual reality, and therefore excluded studies, which were conducted using internet platforms such as Second Life and studies which did not use 3D immersive equipment.

4. CONCLUSION

Digital technology has become an integral part of our daily life, therapies will benefit from becoming integrated in these exciting technical innovations. VR is becoming accessible and in the next few years immersive 3D head mounted displays such as the Oculus Rift (https://www.oculus.com/en-us/) or headsets which can be used with a mobile phone such as Unofficial Cardboard VR (http://www.unofficialcardboard.com) as well as platforms for walking in place navigation such as the Virtux OMNI (http://www.virtuix.com/) will become as common as the Xbox and the Play Station are nowadays. Increasingly the goals of modern medicine are that it should be personalized, predictive, preventative and participatory (P4,(Hood and Friend, 2011)). Adapting therapy to these media forms will ensure more people can access with the therapeutic process and it will help establish which individual factors play a role in the onset of mental health problems (Predict); it will Personalise treatment (right treatment, for the right person at the right time); it will be Preventative (improve functioning and wellness) and highly Participatory (interactive and responsive environments). Increasing the use of VR in clinical practice will help address some of the methodological limitations of current studies which often have small sample size, high drop out rates and lack long term follow-up.
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1180 potential studies identified from electronic databases and bibliography cross-referencing (after duplicates were removed)

Phase 1: Title review
1075 studies excluded (deemed irrelevant in content, or to the current research question)

Screening
105 potential studies identified for first screen

Phase 2: Title and abstract review
73 studies excluded upon review of titles and abstracts because they were not controlled or randomized controlled trials or did not use Virtual Reality

Eligibility
32 potential studies identified for final screen (using inclusion grid)

Final Screening:
6 studies excluded upon review of full text using eligibility criteria
- 2 manuscript not in English
- 1 Not a treatment study
- 3 No using immersive VR

Included
24 studies meeting eligibility criteria

Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) Diagram of selected studies.