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Abstract

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition, which is accompanied by differences in gray matter neuroanatomy and white matter connectivity. However, it is unknown whether these differences are linked or reflect independent aetiologies. Using a multimodal neuroimaging approach, we therefore examined 51 male adults with ASD and 48 neurotypical controls to investigate the relationship between gray matter local gyrification (lGI) and white matter diffusivity in associated fiber tracts. First, ASD individuals had a significant increase in gyrification around the left pre- and post-central gyrus. Second, white matter fiber tracts originating and/or terminating in the cluster of increased lGI had a significant increase in axial diffusivity. This increase in diffusivity was predominantly observed in tracts in close proximity to the cortical sheet. Last, we demonstrate that the increase in lGI was significantly correlated with increased diffusivity of short tracts. This relationship was not significantly modulated by a main effect of group (i.e., ASD), which was more closely associated with gray matter neuroanatomy.
matter gyriﬁcation than white matter diffusivity. Our ﬁndings suggest that differences in gray matter neuroanatomy and white matter connectivity are closely linked, and may reﬂect common rather than distinct aetiological pathways.
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Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) comprises a group of heterogeneous neurodevelopmental conditions characterized by impaired communication, social reciprocity, and repetitive/stereotypic behavior (Wing 1997). Despite the large degree of genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity, several lines of evidence now converge in suggesting a common etiological pathway for ASD, that is, defective synaptic structure and aberrant brain connectivity (Betancur et al. 2009). However, the notion of atypical brain connectivity in ASD is complex, involving abnormalities within the gray and white matter (Ecker et al. 2013; Ameis and Catani 2015), and different levels of integration (Belmonte et al. 2004). To fully characterize the neural systems underlying ASD, it is thus important to explore different aspects of brain anatomy and connectivity within a unitary framework.

So far, evidence for altered structural brain connectivity in ASD mainly comes from neuroimaging studies investigating white matter (WM). For example, voxel-based morphometry studies reported that individuals with ASD have spatially distributed reductions in regional WM volume during childhood (McAloon et al. 2005), adolescence (Waiter et al. 2005), and adulthood (Ecker et al. 2012). Atypical structural connectivity in ASD has also been noted in numerous diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) studies, particularly in ﬁber-tracts mediating autistic symptoms and traits (e.g., language and limbic pathways (Pugliese et al. 2009), fronto-striatal and fronto-occipital circuitry (Betancur et al. 2009). However, the notion of atypical brain connectivity in ASD is complex, involving abnormalities within the gray and white matter (Ecker et al. 2013; Ameis and Catani 2015), and different levels of integration (Belmonte et al. 2004). To fully characterize the neural systems underlying ASD, it is thus important to explore different aspects of brain anatomy and connectivity within a unitary framework.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Fifty-one male right-handed adults with ASD and 48 typically developing (TD) male controls aged 18–43 years were recruited by advertisement and assessed at the Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience (IoPPN), London, and the Autism Research Centre, University of Cambridge, UK. Approximately equal ratios of cases to controls were recruited at each site: London 27:24 (ASD:TD) and Cambridge (23:25). Groups were matched for age, full-scale IQ, ethnicity, and handedness. All participants with ASD were diagnosed during adulthood according to ICD-10 research criteria and using the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R; Lord et al. 1994). All cases reached algorithm cut-offs in the 3 domains of the ADI-R, although failure to reach cut-off in one domain by one point was permitted. Current
symptoms were assessed using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS; Lord et al. 1989). ADI-R rather than ADOS scores were chosen as exclusion criteria as current symptoms during adulthood are often masked by coping strategies and can also be alleviated by treatment/intervention. The ADI-R diagnosis thus ensured that all individuals with ASD met the criterion of childhood autism. Further exclusion criteria included contraindication to MRI, a history of major psychiatric disorder (e.g., psychosis), head injury, genetic disorder associated with autism (e.g., fragile-X syndrome, tuberous sclerosis), or any other medical condition affecting brain function (e.g., epilepsy). We also excluded participants on antipsychotic medication, mood stabilizers, or benzodiazepines. Overall intellectual ability was assessed using the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI; Wechsler 1999). All participants fell within the high-functioning range on the spectrum defined by a Full Scale IQ (FSIQ) >70. All participants gave informed written consent in accordance with ethics approval by the National Research Ethics Committee, Suffolk, UK.

Structural MRI and DTI Data Acquisition

Scanning took place at the IoPPN, London, and the Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge, using a 3T GE HDx Signa System (General-Electric, Milwaukee, USA). Details of the acquisition protocol have been described elsewhere (Deoni et al. 2008; Ecker et al. 2012). Initially, multisite compatible quantitative T1-weighted inversion-recovery images, with 1 x 1 x 1 mm resolution, a 256 x 256 x 176 matrix, repetition time (TR) = 1800 ms, time to inversion = 50 ms, fractional anisotropy (FA) = 20°, and field of view (FOV) = 25 cm. Subsequently, DTI data using a spinecho planar imaging double refocused sequence providing whole-head coverage with isotropic image resolution (2.4 x 2.4 x 2.4 mm) were acquired (32 diffusion-weighted volumes with different noncollinear diffusion directions (Jones et al. 1999) with b-value 1300 s/mm² and 6 nondiffusion-weighted volumes; 60 slices; no slice gap; time echo = 104.5 ms; TR = 20 R-R interval; 128 x 128 acquisition matrix; FOV 307 x 307 mm). The acquisition was peripherally gated to the cardiac cycle.

Cortical Reconstruction Using FreeSurfer

The FreeSurfer software package (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) was used to derive models of the cortical surface for each T1-weighted image. These well-validated and fully automated procedures have been extensively described elsewhere (e.g., Dale et al. 1999). The resulting surface models were visually inspected for reconstruction errors, and surface reconstructions with visible inaccuracies were further excluded from the statistical analysis (dropout <10%) prior to sample generation.

Vertex-level measures of gyriﬁcation were derived as described by Schaar et al. (2008). The local gyriﬁcation index (lGI) is a local variant of the classical 2-dimensional (2D) gyriﬁcation index originally proposed by Zilles et al. (1988), which is deﬁned as the ratio of the total pial surface area over the perimeter of the brain delineated on 2D coronal sections (Zilles et al. 1988). The lGI utilizes the high-resolution surface reconstructions provided by FreeSurfer to measure the degree of gyriﬁcation at each cerebral vertex, thus providing 3D measures of local gyriﬁcation at each spatial location on the entire cortical surface. The lGI at a given vertex v is computed as the ratio between the surface of a circular patch (i.e., geodesic circle with radius r centered at v) on the outer surface of the brain, and the surface of the corresponding patch at v on the pial surface (vertex positions are preserved across surfaces). Thus, the lGI at each point v reﬂects the amount of cortex buried within the sulcal folds in the surrounding area (Schaar et al. 2008). Clusters of signiﬁcant between-group differences in lGI on the cortical surface were subsequently converted to 3D regions of interest (ROIs) for automated tract dissections. We also examined between-group differences in vertex-wise estimates of cortical surface area (SA), which were derived as outlined previously (Winkler et al. 2012). Last, we extracted measures of mean cortical thickness (mean CT), sulcal depth, and mean (i.e., radial) curvature from the cluster of signiﬁcant between-group difference in lGI in order to test whether differences in lGI are driven by other morphometric measures.

DTI Preprocessing

Diffusion data were preprocessed and analyzed using ExploreDTI software (Leemans et al. 2009), as described elsewhere (Langen et al. 2012). Initially, whole-brain tractography was performed using all voxels with a FA >0.2. Seed-points were sampled from a uniform grid at the same resolution of the diffusion dataset. Streamlines were propagated using an Euler integration applying a cubic-spline interpolation of the diffusion tensor ﬁeld, and with a step-size of 1 mm. Where FA < 0.2 or when the angle between 2 consecutive tractography steps was >35°, tractography stopped. A minimum length threshold of 20 mm was also applied to exclude ultra-short and spurious streamlines. Finally, diffusion tensor maps and whole-brain tractography were exported to TrackVis (Wang et al. 2007) for ROI-based tract dissection and visualization.

Automated Tract Dissections Using Surface-based ROI(s)

To examine the relationship between variations in lGI and characteristics of the underlying white-matter connections, the individual’s structural MRI data (T1-weighted volumes and brain surfaces) were ﬁrstly coregistered with the DTI data (FA map) using FreeSurfer tools and FSL FLIRT (fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FLIRT). To create volumetric ROIs, the surface cluster(s) with a signiﬁcant between-group difference in lGI were then mapped from the average standard-space cortical surface to the individual’s reconstructed WM surface in native space, thus preserving the individuals’ pattern of cortical folding. The surface-based clusters in native space were then converted to 3D volumes, which were subsequently used as “seed” regions to dissect out tracts originating and/or terminating in the surface-based ROI (i.e., we excluded tracts only passing through these ROIs) (Fig. 1). The MATLAB toolbox (The Mathworks, MA) “along-track statistics” (Colby et al. 2012) was used to measure mean FA, mean diffusivity (MD), axial (perpendicular) diffusivity (AD), and radial diffusivity (RD) across dissected streamlines for each participant, in addition to calculations of the overall number of streamlines.

In order to generate a control region of comparable size and underlying tract architecture, the cluster(s) of signiﬁcant differences in lGI were mapped to homolog regions in the contralateral hemisphere via a symmetric template (Greve et al. 2013) (see Supplementary Fig. 1), and tract dissections were performed as outlined above.

Distribution of Tract Lengths

Prior to the statistical analysis of tract-speciﬁc DTI measures, the statistical distribution of tract lengths across subjects was examined to separate short- from long-distance tracts based on their respective lengths. Initially, the Hartigan’s dip statistic (Hartigan...
and Hartigan 1985) was used to test for multimodality in the distribution, where a significant deviation from uni-modality is assumed to be indicative of multiple tract classes based on their length. Subsequently, Gaussian Mixture Models (EM algorithm in R for statistical computing) were used to model the distribution, with the number of components and their respective length cut-offs, determined by means of cross-validation, estimated from the coefficient $\beta_i$ normalized by the corresponding standard error. Corrections for multiple comparisons across the whole brain were performed using “random field theory” (RFT)-based cluster analysis for nonisotropic images using a $P < 0.05$ (2-tailed) cluster-significance threshold (Worsley et al. 1999). We also examined whether inter-individual variability in total brain measures (total brain volume, total surface area) affected the between-group difference in IGI, and in the subsequently described DTI measures, by including total brain volume as a continuous covariate.

**Statistical Comparison**

**Vertex-wise between-group Differences in IGI and SA**

Exploratory vertex-based statistical analysis of IGI and SA measures was conducted using the SurfStat Toolbox (www.math.mcgill.ca/keith/surfstat) for MATLAB. To improve the ability to detect population changes, the IGI and SA maps were smoothed with a 5-mm full-width at half-maximum surface-based Gaussian kernel. Parameter estimates for vertex-wise IGI and SA estimates ($Y_i$) were estimated by regression of a general linear model (GLM) at each vertex $i$ with diagnostic group, and center as categorical fixed-effects factor, and age and FSIQ as continuous covariates:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Group} + \beta_2 \text{Center} + \beta_3 \text{Age} + \beta_4 \text{FSIQ} + \epsilon_i,$$

where $\epsilon_i$ is the residual error. Between-group differences were estimated from the coefficient $\beta_i$ normalized by the corresponding standard error. Corrections for multiple comparisons across the whole brain were performed using “random field theory” (RFT)-based cluster analysis for nonisotropic images using a $P < 0.05$ (2-tailed) cluster-significance threshold (Worsley et al. 1999). We also examined whether inter-individual variability in total brain measures (total brain volume, total surface area) affected the between-group difference in IGI, and in the subsequently described DTI measures, by including total brain volume as a continuous covariate.

**Between-group Differences in DTI Measures Using Surface-based ROIs**

Statistical analysis of DTI measures in fiber tracts originating or terminating in the surface-based ROI was conducted to (1) examine between-group differences in white-matter microstructure in the ROI, and (2) to establish the relationship between measures of gyralization and underlying white matter diffusion properties. Here, a multivariate GLM (R Software, http://www.r-project.org/) was initially used to examine between-group differences in tract-specific DTI measures including diagnostic group and center as categorical fixed effects, and age and FSIQ as continuous covariates, using an initial test-wise error rate of $P < 0.05$ (2-tailed). Experiment-wide false positives were controlled for using Bonferroni corrections based on the number of independent comparisons conducted, resulting in a corrected test-wise error rate of $P < 0.00625$ ($n = 8$; see Table 2).
Relationship Between IGI and DTI Measures

Univariate GLMs were used to examine the relationship between local gyriﬁcation, white matter tract characteristics, and diagnostic status in clusters with signiﬁcant between-group differences in IGI. Initially, we examined the effects of diagnostic status and local gyriﬁcation on diffusion properties of tracts with a signiﬁcant between-group difference, while covarying for potential confounds of multisite acquisition (i.e., DTI = β0 + β1 Group + β2IGI + β3[Group × IGI] + β4Centre + ε). Analyses were performed (1) for all tracts originating and/or terminating in the surface-based ROI, and (2) for short- and long-distance tracts separately. Subsequently, we also examined the effect of variability in diffusion measures and diagnostic status on the degree of local gyriﬁcation (i.e., IGI = β0 + β1 Group + β2DTI + β3[Group × DTI] + β4Centre + ε).

Seemingly unrelated regression equations (SURE) (Zellner and Ando 2010) as implemented in the R software package were used to examine (1) whether the effect of group on measures of IGI was signiﬁcantly larger than the effect of group on measures of diffusion; and (2) whether the effect of IGI on measures of diffusion signiﬁcantly exceeded the effect of diffusion properties on IGI. Here, a χ² test (P < 0.05) was used to compare a model in which parameters of interest were variable across equations with a model in which parameters of interest were variable across equations.

Last, to identify the degree to which the relationship between the IGI and diffusion properties is signiﬁcantly modulated by other cortical features, we examined partial correlation coefﬁcients (r̃pq) between the IGI and diffusivity measures while covarying for variability in mean CT, sulcal depth, and mean curvature within signiﬁcant clusters. Furthermore, we examined whether these additional features are predictive of WM characteristics in addition to the IGI.

Results

Participant Demographics

There were no signiﬁcant differences between individuals with ASD and TD controls in age (t(97) = 1.04, P = 0.299) or full-scale IQ (t(97) = 1.03, P = 0.305). There were also no signiﬁcant between-group differences in total surface area (t(97) = –0.613, P = 0.541), total GM volume (t(97) = –0.89, P = 0.382), total WM volume (t(97) = 0.964, P = 0.337), or total brain volume (t(97) = 0.128, P = 0.899). In individuals with ASD, there was a signiﬁcant increase in the ratio of total gray-to-white matter volume relative to controls (t(97) = 2.831, P = 0.006) (Table 1).

Between-group Differences in IGI

Relative to controls, individuals with ASD had signiﬁcantly increased local gyriﬁcation in a large left-hemisphere cluster (tmax = 3.59, nvertices = 9341, Pcluster = 0.026) centered around the central sulcus (Talairach x = –47, y = –7, z = 32), which included the primary motor and pre-motor cortex of the pre-central gyrus, and a small portion of the posterior middle frontal gyrus (approximate Brodmann area(s) [BA] 4/6), the somatosensory cortex on the post-central gyrus (approximate BA 3/1/2), and part of the supramarginal gyrus (BA 40) in the inferior parietal lobule. There were no regions in which individuals with ASD had signiﬁcantly reduced IGI relative to controls (Fig. 2A). The same cluster of increased IGI in ASD was also observed when covarying for total brain volume or total surface area (see Supplementary Fig. 2). Within the cluster of increase IGI, there were also no signiﬁcant between-group differences in mean CT (t(97) = 1.51, P = 0.133), sulcal depth (t(97) = –1.57, P = 0.119), or radial curvature (t(97) = –1.69, P = 0.093).

Between-group Differences in Surface Area

Individuals with ASD had signiﬁcantly increased surface area as compared with controls in a small right-hemisphere cluster (tmax = 3.59, nvertices = 1739, Pcluster = 0.017) centered on the superior precentral gyrus (i.e., primary motor cortex) (Talairach x = 23, y = –16, z = 65). There were no regions in which individuals with ASD had signiﬁcantly reduced surface area compared with controls (Fig. 2B). Within the cluster of increase surface area, there were no signiﬁcant differences in mean CT (t(97) = 1.37, P = 0.17), sulcal depth (t(97) = –0.47, P = 0.64), or radial curvature (t(97) = 1.23, P = 0.22).

Table 1 Subjects demographics and global brain measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>ASD (n = 51)</th>
<th>TD controls (n = 48)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>26 ± 7 (18–43)</td>
<td>28 ± 6 (18–43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-scale IQ (WASI)</td>
<td>112 ± 13 (77–135)</td>
<td>115 ± 10 (86–137)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI total</td>
<td>35 ± 9 (21–57)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R social</td>
<td>18 ± 5 (9–28)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R communication</td>
<td>14 ± 4 (8–24)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R repetitive behaviour</td>
<td>5 ± 2 (2–10)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS total</td>
<td>10 ± 4 (1–21)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total surface area (cm²)</td>
<td>2523 ± 252</td>
<td>2494 ± 215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total white matter volume (cm³)</td>
<td>488 ± 58</td>
<td>499 ± 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gray matter volume (cm³)</td>
<td>763 ± 86</td>
<td>749 ± 72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total brain volume (cm³)</td>
<td>1251 ± 136</td>
<td>1248 ± 118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio total gray:white matter</td>
<td>1.568 ± 0.017</td>
<td>1.505 ± 0.099*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation (range), surface area expressed as cm². There were no signiﬁcant between-group differences in age, FSIQ, or global brain measures at P < 0.05 (2-tailed). *There was a signiﬁcant between-group difference in the ratio of total GM to total WM (P < 0.05).

Table 2 Between-group differences in diffusion measures in tracts and/or terminating in the cluster of signiﬁcant differences in IGI (i.e., ASD>control)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject groups</th>
<th>ASD (n = 51)</th>
<th>TD controls (n = 48)</th>
<th>F(df = 1)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short streamlines &lt;30 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.07 (×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.06 (×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.09 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.07 (×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.04 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.04 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>0.005**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long streamlines between 31 and 150 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.047*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.05 (×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.05 (×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.07 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.06 (×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.04 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.03 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All streamlines &lt;150 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.05 (×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.05 (×10⁻⁴)</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.08 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.06 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.040*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.03 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.03 (×10⁻³)</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation; F(df = 1) statistic for main effect of group resulting from the multivariate GLM; FA, fractional anisotropy; MD, mean diffusivity (mm² s⁻¹); AD, axial diffusivity (mm² s⁻¹); RD, radial (perpendicular) diffusivity (mm² s⁻¹); *Signiﬁcant at P < 0.05 (2-tailed). **Signiﬁcant following Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons at P < 0.00625 (2-tailed).
ASD had significantly reduced surface area relative to controls (Fig. 2).

Distribution of Tract Lengths

The left-hemisphere cluster of significant between-group differences in IGI provided a 3-dimensional ROI for each subject, which was subsequently used for automated fiber tracking. In native space, the ROIs did not differ significantly between groups in size as measured by surface area ($t_{97} = 0.222, P = 0.825$), which could affect the number of dissected streamlines.

When examining the distribution of tract lengths for all tracts originating and/or terminating in the ROI, we found that the distribution significantly deviated from a unimodal distribution (Hardigan’s $D_{p} = 0.002, P < 0.001$), thus indicating the existence of one (or more) tract classes (see Fig. 3A left panel). More specifically, the density distribution of tract lengths was best modeled using a mixture of 3 Gaussian distributions of variable mean and standard deviation, above which no significant improvement in fit was observed (Fig. 3A middle and right panel). Approximately 95% of all streamlines were < 90 millimeters (mm), and fell within the first 2 Gaussian components. We therefore subdivided streamlines within the surface-based ROI into 2 dominant tract classes based on cut-offs provided by the Gaussian Mixture Models: short fibers <30 mm, and long fibers between 31–150 mm in lengths (Fig. 3B). There were no significant between-group differences in the number of dissected streamlines within and across tract classes overall ($t_{30}(97) = -1.07, P = 0.284, t_{31-150}(97) = 0.04$,
\[ P = 0.969; t_{100}(97) = -0.28, P = 0.780 \], which could affect the examined measures of diffusion.

A similar distribution of tract lengths was observed in the control cluster of the contralateral hemisphere, where no significant difference in GI was found \( (t_{97} = 1.52, P = 0.130) \) (see Supplementary Fig. 3).

Between-group Differences in Tract-specific DTI Measures in Tracts Originating or Terminating in the Surface-based ROI

When controlling for the effect of multicenter image acquisition, measures of MD were significantly increased in individuals with ASD relative to TD controls when all tracts originating and/or terminating in the cluster of increased GI were examined (Table 2).

We also found a significant increase in MD measures when separating short- from long-distance tracts originating in the surface-based ROI using a test-wise (uncorrected) error rate of \( P < 0.05 \). However, none of these effects remained significant when controlling for multiple comparisons. A similar pattern of between-group differences was also observed, when covarying for inter-individual differences in total brain volume or total surface area (see Supplementary Table 1).

Notably, in short-distance streamlines exclusively, we found that measures of AD were significantly increased in ASD relative to controls \( (F_{97} = 8.02, P = 0.006) \) even after correction for multiple comparisons. No significant between-group differences in AD were observed in the long-distance streamlines (see Table 2 for details). There were no significant between-group differences in any of the examined DTI measures in the control ROI (see Supplementary Table 2).

Relationship Between GI, Measures of Diffusion, and Diagnostic Category

Lastly, we examined the relationship between GI, AD in short streamlines, and diagnostic category in order to establish whether diffusion properties of the cortical WM were (1) related to variations in cortical GM as measured by GI, or vice versa; (2) a consequence of having ASD (i.e., diagnostic category); or (3) resulting from the combination of both. First, we found that differences in AD were primarily related to variations in mean GI
within the cluster of significant between-group difference ($F_1 = 4.58, P = 0.035$), whereas there was neither a significant effect of group ($F_1 = 2.46, P = 0.120$), nor a group-by-IGI interaction ($F_1 = 2.11, P = 0.149$) (Fig. 3C). Similarly, when predicting measures of IGI-by-group, AD, and their interaction, we found that measures of IGI were significantly predicted by variations in AD ($F_1 = 6.29, P = 0.014$), and only marginally by group ($F_1 = 3.91, P = 0.056$), or the group-by-diffusivity interaction ($F_1 = 3.56, P = 0.061$).

Using SURE, we also found (1) that the effect of IGI on the AD of short tracts significantly exceeded the effect of AD on measures of IGI ($\chi^2(1) = 6.29, P = 0.012$), and (2) that the effect of group on measures of IGI significantly exceeded its effect on measures of AD ($\chi^2(1) = 3.91, P = 0.046$). Thus, differences in GM gyration and WM connectivity are significantly linked. Moreover, measures of cortical gyration seem more predictive for WM differences than diagnostic status (i.e., having ASD).

The correlation between the IGI and AD in short tracts remained significant even when partialing out the effect of mean CT ($r_{pwp} = 0.286, P = 0.002$), sulcal depth ($r_{pwp} = 0.274, P = 0.003$), or radial curvature ($r_{pwp} = 0.275, P = 0.003$) across groups, and when controlling for the effect of these additional cortical features within the GLM. Out of the 3 additional features examined, only mean CT explained significant variability in AD of short tracts in addition to the IGI ($F(1) = 4.44, P = 0.003$). Thus, WM characteristics are not only dependent on the degree of cortical gyration, but may also be associated with other morphometric GM features including CT.

**Discussion**

This study examined the relationship between GM surface anatomy and characteristics of the underlying WM connectivity in male adults with ASD and TD controls. First, we found that gyration of the brain in ASD was significantly increased relative to controls in a large left-hemisphere cluster centered around the central sulcus. In this cluster, there was no commensurate increase in vertex-wise estimates of surface area, thus suggesting that these morphometric features represent independent sources of neuroanatomical variability. Using DTI, we subsequently examined between-group differences in WM tracts originating and/or terminating in the surface-based cluster of increased IGI in ASD. We found that measures of AD in short but not long tracts were significantly increased in ASD based on their respective distance from the cortical sheet. Furthermore, there was a significant positive relationship between measures of local gyration and AD in short tracts. This relationship was not significantly modulated by diagnostic status, which was more predictive for GM characteristics than for WM properties, hence implying that ASD might affect WM differences via the cortical GM. Taken together, our findings suggest that gray and white matter abnormalities are closely linked, and may reflect common rather than distinct etiological pathways. However, this relationship is scale-dependent and primarily affects fiber tracts in close proximity to the cortical sheet.

**Atypical Gyration in ASD**

Atypical patterns of gyration in ASD have been noted previously although findings remain highly variable with regard to the sign and the regional pattern of differences. For example, Hardan et al. (2004), using a manual 2D tracing approach, reported greater prefrontal gyration of the brain in children and adolescents with ASD relative to controls, while no significant differences were found among adults (Hardan et al. 2004).

Previous studies implementing the IGI reported significant increases in gyration in bilateral posterior brain regions in males with ASD compared with TD controls (12–23 years) (Wallace et al. 2013), and significant reductions in IGI in the left supramarginal gyrus in males aged 8–40 years (Libero et al. 2014), and in the right inferior frontal and medial parieto-occipital cortices in children with ASD (Schaer et al. 2013). Furthermore, atypical cortical gyration in ASD has been demonstrated using a variety of alternative metrics, including measures of sulcal morphology (Levitt et al. 2003), sulcal depth (Nordahl et al. 2007), and gyral complexity (Williams et al. 2012). Our finding of an increased IGI in ASD therefore agrees with some—but not all—previous observations in comparable groups of individuals.

While these divergent findings can be partially explained by differences in sample size, demographics and analytical techniques, evidence also suggests that patterns of cortical gyration are highly variable across individuals, even in normative populations, and that both genetic and nongenetic factors contribute to the formation of cortical gyri (Bartley et al. 1997). For example, Kates et al. (2009) report that cortical folding patterns are highly discordant between monozygotic twins, where one had a diagnosis of ASD, although ASD individuals and their co-twins both exhibited increased parietal-lobe folding relative to unrelated TD controls (Kates et al. 2009). This finding contrasts with conventional volumetric measures of brain anatomy, which are highly concordant between twins, and are therefore largely genetically determined (White et al. 2002). Moreover, cortical folding is not significantly correlated with total brain weight or volume, or with body weight and length, which are under strict genetic control (Zilles et al. 1988; Rogers et al. 2010). Measures of gyration thus seem to be particularly sensitive to environmental (i.e., nongenetic) factors, and reflect a degree of plasticity that is independent of overall brain size (Zilles et al. 2013). Variability in findings across studies may therefore also be due to the high degree of inter-individual variation that is naturally associated with the IGI measure, which directly impacts on statistical effects. Effect sizes rely not only on the mean difference between groups but also on the standard error of measurement associated with a parameter. Differences in variability across samples may therefore influence the regional pattern of between-group differences in IGI across studies. This particularly applies to complex neurodevelopmental conditions such as ASD, where genetic effects are expected to interact with environmental factors to give rise to a heterogeneous neurophenotype. On the other hand, the high inter-individual variability associated with the IGI makes this measure particularly suited for the investigation of genetic and nongenetic factors driving the atypical development of the brain in ASD, and to disentangle the large phenotypic variability typically observed among ASD individuals.

Neurobiologically, the formation of cortical convolutions has been linked to various cellular mechanisms, although it is likely that there is no singular mechanism that could explain the highly complex patterns of cortical convolutions that are typically observed across the cortex. Most theories relate cortical folding to the neurobiological mechanisms mediating cortical expansion; that is, as the cortex expands it eventually needs to fold to fit an increasing surface area into the restricted space of the skull. For example, the radial unit hypothesis links cortical expansion to the early proliferation of radial unit progenitors, and thus an increase in radial glial cells, which provide scaffold for migrating neurons in the developing cortex (Rakic 1995). Recent studies also suggest that cortical folding may be aided by so-called intermediate radial glia cells that are exclusively found in the gyrencephalic cortex, and that facilitate the tangential—in addition to the radial...
—dispersion of neurons (Reillo et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011). In the mature brain, the degree of cortical folding has also been related to (1) the neural complexity of a brain regions in terms of neuronal numbers, synaptic density, and/or dendritic arborization (Welker 1990), and (2) differences in growth rates between the outer and inner cortical layers (Richman et al. 1975; Kriegstein et al. 2006). Thus, the neural mechanisms underlying cortical folding and cortical expansion are intrinsically linked. It is therefore perhaps surprising that we did not observe a commensurate increase in vertex-wise estimates of surface area within the cluster of increased GI, which agrees with a previous report by Wallace (Wallace et al. 2013). Mathematically, however, it is very difficult to compare the 3D nature of the GI with the 2D nature of point-wise estimates of surface (i.e., the average area of triangles/faces touching a vertex). For example, it has previously been shown that the cortex is intrinsically curved, and so cannot be flattened or “unfolded” without having to tear or shear the surface (Griﬃn 1994; Ronan et al. 2011). This means that the area of a circle with radius r on the 3D folded surface of the brain does not directly translate to the area of the same circle in-plane. In ASD, it has also been shown that 3D characteristics of the cortical surface signiﬁcantly differ from controls, which may impact on the comparability of cortical features between groups. For instance, Ecker et al. (2013) demonstrated that in the cluster where we observed an increase in GI, cortico-cortical separation distances (i.e., shortest path connecting 2 points along the cortical surface) were signiﬁcantly reduced in ASD (Ecker et al. 2013), indicating that the brain in ASD may be more intrinsically curved. It thus seems that the potential increase in surface area associated with the GI cannot be adequately captured by point- or vertex-wise estimates of surface area, and that both features reﬂect different sources of neuroanatomical variability.

Relationship Between IGI and White matter Characteristics

Our study further demonstrates that the GM pathology of ASD, as measured by IGI, is closely linked with abnormalities of the underlying WM. First, we found that tracts originating and/or terminating in the cluster of increased IGI also have increased axial diffusivity, and this was speciﬁc to short tracts <30 mm. Evidence for atypical short-distance tracts in ASD comes from previous DTI studies (e.g., Sundaram et al. 2008; Shukla et al. 2011), which supports the notion that aberrant long-distance connectivity in ASD may be accompanied by intact or even enhanced short-distance connectivity (Casanova et al. 2002; Rubenstein and Merzenich 2003; Belmonte et al. 2004; Courchesne and Pierce 2005). Moreover, our ﬁnding agrees with previous reports suggesting that the relationship between GM and WM characteristics may be scale-dependent, with short-distance tracts being more closely associated with GM variability than long-distance tracts (Schaer et al. 2013). However, the deﬁnition of short versus long tracts is ultimately arbitrary, and also signiﬁcantly differs across prior studies. For example, Herbert et al. (2004) subdivided cortical WM based on proximity to the cortical sheet, and this is comparable to our approach (as tracts were separated according to their lengths relative to the surface-based label). While this allows us to further separate tract classes in an automated fashion, it is important to note that the length of a particular tract is not necessarily indicative of its particular composition, even though WM in close proximity to the cortical sheet is likely to contain proportionately more “short” (i.e., cortico-cortical, U-shaped) ﬁbers than “long” (i.e., association or projection) tracts relative to the deeper WM. In future research, it will thus be important to investigate the relationship between GM and WM at different scales, in order to establish the scale at which their association starts to break down.

No signiﬁcant between-group differences in DTI measures were observed in the contralateral control region, where individuals with ASD did not differ signiﬁcantly from controls in IGI. While the unilaterality of differences in IGI is interesting in itself, as it suggests that the trajectory of brain development is idiosyncratic for different hemispheres in ASD, there is currently no sufﬁcient evidence that could explain our ﬁnding. Notably, many of the functional impairments typically associated with ASD (e.g., language and ﬁne motor skills) are associated with a left hemispheric specialization (reviewed in Floris et al. 2016). Moreover, it has previously been shown that the left hemisphere neuroanatomy is more predictive of ASD diagnosis than the right hemisphere (Ecker et al. 2010). It will therefore be important in the future to establish whether hemispheric differences in IGI are accompanied by hemispheric differences in neurodevelopmental trajectories, and how these relate to autistic symptoms.

While it may be tempting to speculate about the underlying pathological substrate of WM diffusivity, it is however very difﬁcult to unequivocally associate them with speciﬁc biophysical changes, and particularly measures of directional diffusivity. Some evidence suggests that radial diffusivity (i.e., the diffusion of water perpendicular to WM ﬁbers) is related to the degree of myelination (Song et al. 2002; 2005), while decreased AD (i.e., diffusion of water molecules parallel to ﬁbers) is indicative of axonal damage (Song et al. 2003). For example, AD is highly correlated with axonal damage in mice with autoimmune encephalomyelitis (Budde et al. 2009), and mouse models of other WM injuries (DeBoy et al. 2007). This would imply that our ﬁnding of increased AD in individuals with ASD may be driven by differences in axonal characteristics rather than myelination. The interpretation of the DTI measures examined in our study is further complicated by several confounding factors, including the degree of cerebrospinal ﬂuid partial volume effects (Vos et al. 2011), and crossing ﬁbers (Wheeler-Kingshott and Cercignani 2009). There are also intrinsic tractography biases that may affect the comparison of short and long ﬁbers; for example, longer paths are less likely to be reconstructed than shorter paths due to accumulated error, and curved paths are less likely to be reconstructed than straighter paths due to the eigenvector pointing “away” from the tract (Girard et al. 2014). Thus, while our ﬁnding provides some support for atypical short-distance WM connectivity in ASD, future histological studies are needed to better characterize the speciﬁc microstructural determinants of WM abnormalities in ASD.

Last, we found that there was a signiﬁcant positive relationship between the IGI and AD in short tracts, which suggests that variations in GM neuroanatomy and WM connectivity are closely linked. Notably, the relationship was not signiﬁcantly modulated by diagnostic status, which was more predictive for GM characteristics than for WM properties, implying that ASD might affect WM differences via the cortical GM. Our ﬁnding agrees with genetic studies highlighting the important role of genes affecting GM development in the etiology of ASD. For example, many of the common underlying molecular pathways implicated in ASD include genes involved in cell proliferation and neuron motility, cell adhesion and axon targeting, and synaptogenesis and synapse differentiation (e.g., Betancur et al. 2009; Pinto et al. 2010; Gilman et al. 2011). Perturbations to the genetic and molecular mechanisms underlying the typical development of the micro-circuitry of the brain are thus likely to also affect the
development of the brain’s WM macro-circuitry. On the other hand, there is less evidence for an involvement of genes that target myelination directly. For example, according to the SFARI Gene List (https://gene.sfari.org), only 10 out of 706 risk genes for ASD are involved in myelination, suggesting that the genetic load for aberrant gray matter and axonal connectivity in ASD may be higher than for atypical myelination. However, due to the cross-sectional nature of the study in an adult population, our results naturally do not allow us to disentangle the causal mechanisms that drive the association between the IGI and WM diffusivity. While some of our results indicate that the IGI predicts WM diffusivity significantly better than vice versa, we cannot exclude the possibility that the association is driven by a third mechanism affecting both gray and white matter neuroanatomy. Furthermore, we found that mean CT within the cluster significantly predicted measures of AD in addition to the IGI. Cortical gyration is therefore not the only GM feature that predicts WM connectivity. Taken together, while our study demonstrates that gray and white matter abnormalities are closely linked during adulthood, and may reflect common rather than distinct etiological pathways, future longitudinal studies are needed to elucidate the causative link between atypical gray and white matter development in ASD.

Methodological Limitations

The current study has a number of additional limitations. First, a multicenter design was used for MRI data acquisition to overcome single-site recruitment limitations. However, we utilized a standardized protocol for multicenter acquisition (Deoni et al. 2008), and also accounted for inter-site effects in the statistical model (Suckling et al. 2014). Our findings are therefore unlikely to be driven by variance components unrelated to the between-group difference. Second, while automated tractography allows for the investigation of a large number of tracts in a large sample of individuals, our approach relies on the accurate coregistration of surface reconstructions and DTI volumes. We, however, did not find any significant differences in the number of streamlines within and across tract classes between individuals with ASD and controls, and the volumetric ROIs also did not differ significantly between groups in size. Moreover, no significant between-group differences were observed in the contralateral hemisphere, which served as a control ROI. While this does not exclude the possibility of registration errors, it seems that there was no systematic registration bias that could affect the size and number of examined streamlines across groups. In the future, it will also be crucial to address the important issue of crossing fibers (e.g., using a deconvolution instead of conventional tensor models; Dell’acqua et al. 2013) and partial volume effects, which might affect the accuracy of estimated DTI metrics. Furthermore, the benefit of using alternative (e.g., non-linear) approaches for image (or surface) registration may be explored in the future in order to reduce inter-individual variability in DTI measures. However, all of these issues are expected to affect both ASD and TD group to an equal degree, and between-group comparisons are thus unlikely to be affected by these confounds. Lastly, it is important to note that ASD is a disorder that recruits multiple neural systems, which—as a whole—mediate the cluster of clinical symptoms characteristic for ASD. Thus, while our approach based on differences in IGI captures some aspects of perturbed brain connectivity in ASD, it is by no means sufficient to explain all atypical connections. Further research will be required to determine if our findings generalize to other neuro-cognitive systems that are affected in ASD.
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