Citation for published version (APA):
Stone, J., Koychev, I., Reilly, T., & McGuire, P. (2017). Reply to: Letter to the Editor: Sodium nitroprusside for schizophrenia: could methodological variables account for the different results obtained? Psychological Medicine, 1. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716003470
Reply to: Sodium nitroprusside for schizophrenia: Could methodological variables account for the different results obtained?

James Stone(1)
Ivan Koychev(1,2)
Thomas Reilly(1)
Philip McGuire(1)

(1) Institute of Psychiatry Psychology and Neuroscience, King’s College London, De Crespigny Park, London, UK, SE5 8AF
(2) Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, OX3 7JX.

Word count: 146
We thank Maia-de-Oliveira and colleagues for their thoughtful comments on our recent paper (Stone et al. 2016). There were indeed differences in the population of patients studied in our study and in their original investigation (Hallak et al. 2013). We noted in our paper that the sample studied by Hallak and colleagues were younger and had a shorter duration of illness than the patients in our study. We recognise that the response to pharmacological treatment in schizophrenia may vary with stage of illness, and we are currently running a study of a nitric oxide donor compound in patients with first episode psychosis to investigate this possibility. Given the high prevalence of tobacco use in patients with schizophrenia (Hartz et al 2014), the suggestion that smoking may influence the efficacy of SNP is interesting, and merits further study, as does the possibility that the response may vary across different ethnic groups.

